IN THE OHIO SUPREME COURT

WILLIAM WILSON
Plaintiff-Appellant
-vs
MUSKINGUM COUNTY
SHERIFP'S DEPT. ET AL.

20-1157
On appeal from
Muskingum Co.
Court of Appeals, 5th District
Case No. 072020-0036

NOTICE OF APPEAL OF WILLAM WILSON

William Wilson #757629 PO BOX 1812 Marion OH 43301

Benjamin D. Humphrey
(0093423)
2 Miranova Pl. Suite 700
Columbus OH 43215

FILED
SEP 2 5 2020
CLERK OF COURT

William Wilson, Pro Se

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Appellant, William Wilson, hereby gives notice of appeal to the Ohio Supreme Court from the Muskingum Co. Court of Appeals, 5th Appellate District, Case No. CT2020-0036, August 31, 2020. This case is of great general interest and raises substantial constitutional questions. Respect fully

16 10F1

SEP 2 5 2020

CLERK OF COURT
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

IN THE SUPREME COURT	OF	OHIO
----------------------	----	------

WILLIAM WILSON Plaintiff-Appellant Muskingum County : Court of Appeals : Fifth Appellate District ! Case No, CT2020-0086 -V5-MUSKINGUM COUNTY SHERIFFS DEPT. ET AL. MEMORANOUM IN SUPPORT OF JURISDICTION William Wilson #757629 Pro Se NCC1, PO BOX 1812 Marion OH 43301 Benjamin, D. Humphrey (0093423) 2 Miranova Place, Suite 700 Columbias OH 43215

PG 10F 12

EXPLANATION OF WHY THIS CASE IS ONE OF GREAT PUBLIC AND GENERAL INTEREST AND INVOLVES SUBSTANTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTIONS

This case presents the following substantial Constitutional questions:

- (1) Can a police officer use a taser-gun on a suspect who is nonaggressive and is not resisting?
- (2) Can a victim of police brutality sue the officer in civil court?
- (3) Can a victim of police brutality demand that criminal charges be filed against the offending officer?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

In the early morning hours of December 8, 2018, Deputy Wade Kanaval was on patrol in Zanesville, ohio, when he observed a vehicle ahead of him pull into a driveway. The vehicle stopped halfway down the driveway. Noone exited the vehicle. Deputy Kanaval drove past the driveway, circled around and then drove by the driveway a second time. Deputy Kanaval ran the vehicle's information and was advised that the Frazey burg Police Department had been notified that the owner of the vehicle had reported that he had lent the vehicle to someone who then refused to return it (Tr. 165) Therefore, Frazey burg police were requested to stop the vehicle.

Deputy Kanaval followed the car, doserved it make a right turn without signalling, and then attempted to make a traffic stop. He activated his craiser's overhead lights, the car accellerated, and a chase easued. The vehicle was traveling at speeds up to 70 m.p.h., was driving in the middle of the road, and running through stop signs. The car went through a residential area, eventually stopping in the front yard of a house Three males exited the car and ran. A

fourth person, a female, remained in the vehicle. The driver fled towards the woods with another occupant of the vehicle.

Deputy Kanaval taseved : Wilson : causing him to fall, Deputy Kanaval then drove his knee into the prostrate, appellants spine. Deputy Kanaval elaimed the driversus appellant, Wilson. Upon a search of Wilson's person, a baggie containing 1.38 grams of metham phetamine was found.

Stacy Nutter, the mother of Wilson's girlfriend, testified for the defense saying that she saw the driver exit the car and it was not Wilson. She further testified that she saw Wilson elimb into the front seat and then exit the vehicle.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On December 13, 2018, Wilson was indicted on one count of Failure to Comply Crisk of harm), a third degree followy, O.R.C. 2921.331(B), and one count of Obstructing Official Bysiness, a second degree misdemeanor, O.R.C. 2921.31(A). Clase No. CR20180739)

On January 23, 2019, after lab results confirmed that the substance removed from Wilson's packet was methy Wilson was indicted on one count of Possession of Drugs, a fifth degree felony, O.R.C, 2925. 11 CA) CCase No. CR20190044)

On April 20, 2020, Wilson filed in the Muskingum County Court of Common Pleas a complaint against Deputy Sheriff Wade Kanaval and Sheriff Matt Lutz of the Muskingum County Sheriff's Depart ment for unjustified use of excessive force, police brutality, cruel and unusual punishment and intentional infliction of emotional dustress, Case No. CH20200144.

Peterdants tiled a "Motion to Dismiss" that was granted by the Musking um County Court of Common Pleas on June 22nd, 2020.

Wilson appealed the dismissal to the Court of Appeals for Muskingum County, Ohio, Fifth I Appeals for Muskingum County, Ohio, Fifth I Appealate District, which issued a judgment on August 31,2020 dismissing Wilson's appeal, stating that Wilson failed to comply with O.R.C. 2969.25 which requires an immate to file en affidavit of prior civil actions within the last five years, writing "Lethe affidavit filed in April did not list several cases filed in this Court. In other words, the affidavit was an incomplete list of cases Apellant has pursued over the last five years." (Case No. 0720200036, Judgment Entry, Page 2.)

ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT

(1) Can a police officer legally use a taser on a subject who is not resisting and non-aggressive?

over the past several months, police in the United States have brutalized unarmed men and women in Minneapolis, MN, Louisville, Ky, Kenosha, Wi, Portland, Or, and a host of other cities. Most of these incidents ended in the death of the suspect and were followed by large protests, marches, and acts of civil chisobedience. There are many who worry that this could lead to widespread racial violence, civil unrest, and even civil war.

The instant case is particularly timely as it involves accusations of police brutality by a plaintiff who was not resisting and was non-aggressive. Muskingum Country Deputy Sherriff Whode Kanwal fired his taser-gin at plaintiff William Wison, dropping Wilson to the ground. Deputy Kanaval then drove his knee into Wilson's spine, causing injury and suffering. All of this was unnecessary. Wilson was non-aggressive as you can clearly see from Peputy Kanavel's arrest report.

The Ohio Supreme Court should accept juvisdiction in this case because it will allow the Court to clarify and affirm where the state of this stands on these urgent issues that are testing this country apart. When is the use of a taser justified? When is the use of a taser justified? When is it unjustified? The time is now for the Ohio Supreme Court to step in and sot dear precedents.

(2) Can a victim of police brutality sue the police officer for da mages in avil court?

Plaintiff-Appellant filed a complaint against Deputy Sheriff Whole Karaval in the Muskingum Causty Court of Common Pleas on April 20, 2020 alleging unjustified use of excessive force, police brutality, cruel and unusual punishment, and intentional infliction of emotional distress.

Defendants filed a "Motion to Dismiss" that was granted by the Musking um County Court on June 22, 2020.

Appellant appealed the dismissal to the Court of Appeals for Muskingum County, Chio, tifth Appellate District, which issued a judgment dismissing the appeal, stating that appellant had failed to comply with O.R.c. 2969.25, which requires that an inmate file an affidavit of all prior civil actions within the last five years, writing It The affidavit filed in April did not list several cases filed in this Court. In other words, the affidavit was an incomplete list of cases Appellant has pursued are the last five years. (Case No. 120000006, Judgment Entry, Pg. 2)

The Appellate Court does not state specifically which civil actions were omitted from the affidavit, stating, somewhat vaguely, that "several" were. In fact,

Plaintiff's affidavit was complete, listing every civil filing. A photocopy of the affidavit is included below in Appendix. It includes every civil tiling from the last five years.

By failing to consider plaintiffs civil action against Deputy Kanaval by making a bogus claim about plaintiff's affidavit, the Muskingum County Court has effectively denied appellant his First Amendment right to redress his grievance in court, and his Fifth Amendment right to due process of law.

All of appellant's assertions are backed up with documentation. The fact that appellant was non-agressive and not resisting is shown clearly in Deputy Kanaval's arrest report. (See Appendix) That appellant suffered physical and emotional trauma shown clearly in the "Patient Medical Summary," (See Appendix)

(3) Can a victim of police brutality press criminal charges against the officer?

Deputy Wade Kanaval of Muskingum County Sheriff's Department used excessive, imjustified force to intentionally, willfully, knowingly and maliciously cause bodily injuries, pain, suffering, and mental anguish against plaintiff despite the fact that plaintiff was non-aggressive at the time of arrest.

This was essentially an assault by Deputy Kanaval against this plaintiff. Plaintiff would like to bring criminal charges against Deputy Kanaval but has thus for been unable to get Muskingum County to press charges.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed above, this case involves matters of great general and public interest. This case also involves substantial constitutional questions. Appellant requests that the Supreme Court of Ohio accept jurisdiction in this case so that these important and urgent issues will be reviewed on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,

William Wilson, Prose

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true copy of the foregoing Memorandium in Support of Jurisdiction was sent by U.S. Mail this 23"5 day of September, 2020 to Benjamin D. Humphrey (0093423) 2 Miranova Place, Suite 700 Columbus, OH 43215

William Wilson

P6 120F12

EXHIBIT

AFFIDAVIT OF PRIOR CIVIL FILINGS

FILED TON PLEAS COURT TO LOCK OHIO

STATE OF OHIO

2020 APR 20 PH 12: 5

SS:

Now comes the affiant, William Robert Wilson

COUNTY OF MARION

foreg	going civil action, and hereby verifies in accordance with R.C.§2969.25 (A), "a description
of ea	ach civil action(s) or appeal(s) of a civil action (see A.C. § 2969.25 (A), "a description
in an	sch civil action(s) or appeal(s) of a civil action that he has filed within the last five (5) years
	y state or federal court." Having been duly sworn and cautioned as to the penalties for
perju	ry, Affiant avers the following:
1	Case Number (1) 216 Start Colorett VS GARRETT GOTAL
	Case Number: [V-219-0054 Court: Noble Co.2074
	Nature of Action: SLASSEL DEF AMATING 12F RLAGGE TOP
	Odicome. Dispissed AND AlleAle A
2)	Case Name: Milson) Milliam 1/2
	Case Number: CH 2019 - 0322 Court: STATED Doble COUNTY - HUSKINGUM COUNTY Nature of Action: (15) Charles Doble County - HUSKINGUM COUNTY
	Nature of Action: Civil - Police BUTTALLITY - Deglibence
	Suromo. Dishissen HOD Allitates
3)	Case Name: William & Wilson US SHINY WER FT-AL ROURDING WITH NAID
	Case Number: 20 EU-001060 Court: Franklie Courty
	Nature of Action: 18 MACH OF CONTRACT
	Outcome: Pending
4)	Case Name:
	Case Number: - Court:
	Nature of Action:
	Outcome:

Extlibrit

AFFIDAVIT OF PRIOR CIVIL FILINGS

Page 2 of 2

5) Case Name:
Case Number: Court:
Nature of Action:
Outcome:
And further Affiant says not. William L. Wison William L. Wilson
Affiant
NOTARY PUBLIC
Personally appeared before me, a Notary Public for the State of Ohio, County of Marion,
William f. Wilm., who signed this Affidavit Of Prior Civil Filings
in my presence and affirmed upon his oath that this is his free act and deed.
DONNA EVANS NOTARY PUBLIC • STATE OF OHIO Recorded in Crawford County My commission expires Feb. 12, 2024 Notary Public
My commission expires: 2-12-24

7	EXHIBIT AKKESI KEPUKI (EXHIBIT)
MC	Date: 12-8-18 Case#: 18-0521
3 6	Last Name: Wilson First: William Middle: 0
A 3	Jr./Sr./etc: DOB: CIC/S SCN.
WADL KADAU	Address: Homeless Sex: m
13%	Home Phone: Cell/Other Phone: No Phone:
2 10	(ABOVE INFORMATION MUST BE COMPLETED, INCLUDING ARRESTEE REFUSED BY JAIL)
Thee's	Warrantless Arrest Warrant Arrest Commitment (check all that apply)
, -	Charge: failure to Camply ORC# 2921.331 Fel/Mis F13
A	Charge: Obstructing official business ORC# 2921.31 Fel/Mis F/5
- 4	ORC# 2925.11 Fel/Mis 6/5
	Court: Appearance Date:
3	MIRANDIZED: Yes F No
-3	CONDITION OF RELEASE: Summons After Arrest & Hold for Court
4	Citation Issued Bond Type:
2	INMATE CONDITION PRIOR TO ARRIVAL: (Property/Cash/OR)
4	Intervirated I Passed Set \ / III
Mik	Force Used During Arrest - Type:
	Visible Injuries (describe):
29	Medical Condition (4-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
7	Suicide Diek (Office de about 1)
7.4	Evamined by Madien I/M Kantal Manager Balance
`~ _	Consis Hospital
7	"DICUT OF DEFINALE
9 11	"RIGHT OF REFUSAL" arrestee requires medical or mental health attention due to circumstances that occurred prior to being brought Muskingum County is a greater will be refused until and arrestee will be refused until and arrestee.
3 to	o Muskingum County Jail, arrestee will be refused until such treatment is obtained.
~ >	RRESTING OFFICER: Dep Wade Kangrel 535 AGENCY: MC10
1	RANSPORT OFFICER: Dep Mehl 537 AGENCY: MC10
A	CCEPTING OFFICER: DATE: TIME:
	(If arrestee refused write "REFUSED", supervisor's initials, date and time) FORM £05-29
	EFF. D3/D5/16
" <i>(</i>)	ON AGRESSIVE WHY TASED" UISCOVERY

THE STATE OF THE STATE OF

a - man

EXHIBIT ELD



NYSID No: B232256 B&C No: A757629

WILSON, WILLIAM R

11271 STATE ROUTE 762, CORRECTIONAL RECEPTION CENTER, ORIENT, OH 43146

DOB: 05/15/1969 Age: 50 Y Sex: male

Home: 614-877-2441

Work: Cell: Email: Primary Insurance: PCP:

Allergies: N.K.D.A

Medicaid Card provided:

Pt. being transported to outside court agency

AIDS/STD prevention education printed and provided:

Yes

Other significant health information/education printed and provided:

No

Patient signed acknowledgement of understanding:

Yes

Patient Medical Summary

Medical History

Active Pr	oblem List			
Code 997.91	Name Hypsytension	Specify	Notes	
CLI1	Cardiac / Hypertension	Cinical Status- Stable, Degree of Control-Good F/U 90 days		
493.90	Asthma, unspecified, unspecified status	-, c 30 day,		
CL17	Asthma	Degree of Control-Poor F/U		
30918193	Pestraumatiestress theorder	30 days DTS D		
304.40	Amphetamine-type substance use disorder, Severe	()		
304.30	Cannabis use disorder, Moderate			
CLI7	Asthma	Clinical Status- Stable, Degree of Control-Good F/U 90 days		
Past Med	ical History	170 30 days		
HTN				
Astboard	the papelison			



Signed on 7/1/2019 11:20:44 AM
Patient: WILLIAM WILSON
MRN: A757629
External ID: B232256
ID: 1871379337
DOB: 95/15/1969
Sex: Male
Patient Location: Noble Correctional

Institution

EXHIBIT

MED - Medical Needs Permit

Name: WILLIAM WILSON Date Issued: 07/01/2019

Number: B232256 Expiration date: 07/01/2020 (EXHIBIT #8)

Item is: [X] - State issued
[] - Patient owned
[] - Sent from home

Issued Items: [] - Eyeglasses

[] - Hearing Aid (s)

J - Knee Sleeve / Immobilizer
 J - Elbow Sleeve

] - Elbow Sieeve [] - Ankle Sieeve

[X] - Abdominal binder

] - Arm / Shoulder Immobilizer

] - Elastic bandage

] - Cane

1 - Crutches

] - Wheelchair

] - Other

Describe other:

I am responsible for the above item received from the medical department. I will not damage or allow the item to be damaged by another person. I will return all items except for eyeglasses to the medical department on or before the expiration date listed above. I before the expiration date listed above or it is returned damaged or broken. Prices are based at a minimum. If product has to be replaced the cost will be assessed at the time of replacement.

I understand that I may have to pay to have eyeglasses, specialty braces, or shoes replaced if the item is lost, stolen, or broken once I have received them from the medical department. I understand that if I received an item from home, ODRC is not responsible for the maintenance of that item.

CC: patient

WILLIAM WILSON

7/1/2019

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR MUSKINGUM COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

WILLIAM WILSON

Plaintiff - Appellant

-VS-

MUSKINGUM COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT, ET AL.

Defendants-Appellees

Case No. CT2020-0036

JUDGMENT ENTRY

27/1037-

FILED FIFTH DISTRICT COURT of APPEALS

AUG 3 1 2020

MUSKINGUM COUNTY, OHIO Wendy L. Sowers, CLERK

This matter came before the Court upon Appellees' Motion to Dismiss, Appellant's Response, and Appellees' Reply to the motion. Appellees' aver Appellant's appeal must be dismissed due to Appellant's failure to comply with R.C. 2969.25 which requires an inmate to file an affidavit of prior civil actions within the last five years. The affidavit must also include a brief description of each action.

Revised Code Section 2969.25(A) provides,

- (A) At the time that an inmate commences a civil action or appeal against a government entity or employee, the inmate shall file with the court an affidavit that contains a description of each civil action or appeal of a civil action that the inmate has filed in the previous five years in any state or federal court. The affidavit shall include all of the following for each of those civil actions or appeals:
 - (1) A brief description of the nature of the civil action or appeal;

2

MUSKINGUM COUNTY, CASE NO. CT2020-0036

- (2) The case name, case number, and the court in which the civil action or appeal was brought;
 - (3) The name of each party to the civil action or appeal;
- (4) The outcome of the civil action or appeal, including whether the court dismissed the civil action or appeal as frivolous or malicious under state or federal law or rule of court, whether the court made an award against the inmate or the inmate's counsel of record for frivolous conduct under section 2323.51 of the Revised Code, another statute, or a rule of court, and, if the court so dismissed the action or appeal or made an award of that nature, the date of the final order affirming the dismissal or award.

R.C. 2969.25(A) (emphasis added).

In his response, Appellant argues he did file an affidavit on April 20, 2020. This appeal was not filed until July 13, 2020. Appellant did not file a new and updated affidavit at the time of the commencement of the appeal as required under R.C. 2969.25. Nor did he file an updated affidavit after being alerted to the missing affidavit. To date, Appellant has not filed the required affidavit in this appeal.

Further, even assuming arguendo that the April affidavit could apply to the separate appeal, the affidavit filed in April did not list several cases filed in this Court. In other words, the affidavit was an incomplete list of cases Appellant has pursued over the last five years.

The Supreme Court has held, ""The requirements of R.C. 2969.25 are mandatory, and failure to comply with them subjects an inmate's action to

MUSKINGUM COUNTY, CASE NO. CT2020-0036

3

dismissal." State ex rel. White v. Bechtel, 99 Ohio St.3d 11, 2003-Ohio-2262, 788 N.E.2d 634, ¶ 5; State ex rel. Hawk v. Athens Cty., 106 Ohio St.3d 183, 2005-Ohio-4383, 833 N.E.2d 296, ¶ 5.

For these reasons, we find this matter should be dismissed. The motion to dismiss is granted.

MOTION GRANTED.

APPEAL DISMISSED.

COSTS TO APPELLANT.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Eale E. Wrieft.

W. Scar &