#### 2 1 APR 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Faust nosa, Chief Electrical, Instrumentation and Concrol Systems Branch Division of PWR Licensing-A
FROM:	Joha Thomason, Project Manager Vogtle Readiness Review Program Division of PWR Licensing-A Office of Muclear Reactor Regulation

SUBJECT: AFFENDIX J, EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION, VOGTLE READINESS REVIEW PROGRAM

Appendix J of the Vogt's Unit 1 Readiners Review Program is being reviewed in Region II due to their primary review responsibilities. NRR's responsibility is to provide secondary review cognizance by becoming familiar with the Appendix J document and to provide 'RR technical review concurrence. Appendix J subject content is in the area of E.I.C.S.B responsibility. Please inform me of selection of a reviewer for Appendix J as soon as possible so that the review schedule is not delayed.

If there are any questions, please contact me at X27396.

John Thompson, Vogtle Readiness Review Project Manager Division of PWR Licensing-A Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

SISTRIBUTION:

Contral Files PD#4 Reading Files JThompson MDuncan

PWR#4:DPWR+A JThompson:Tr 04/ /86 PUR#4: DAWR-A MM11-9-04/18/86 DS /1 /n PWR#4:DPWR-A PO'Connor 04/ 1/86 DSH fm PWR#4:DPWR-A BJYoungblood 04/18 /86 50-424 MAMMAC

8604280263 860421 CF ADOCK 05000424 CF APPENDIX J

1 m 1

C

C

0

# EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

### READINESS REVIEW - APPENDIX J List of Effective Pages March 24, 1986

Revised Date

Page

\* \*

All pages unchanged.

#### APPENDIX J EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION PROGRAM

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

#### Introduction

This appendix documents a review program conducted to ascertain whether the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) equipment qualification (EQ) program complies with licensing commitments and whether compliance is verifiable using existing project documentation.

The scope of this appendix includes design, procurement, installation, maintenance, and documentation activities associated with the EQ program for "afety-related equipment and project-specified post-accident mo storing equipment.

The verification of the EQ program was performed in two parts. Part I consisted of a commitment implementation verification, and Part II consisted of a review of EQ program verifications performed in other modules and in the Independent Design Review (IDR).

The program verification was conducted by a team of four engineers with a combined total of 43 years of design engineering experience, including 20 years of equipment gualification experience.

In implementing this review program, project documents such as design criteria, specifications, procedures, qualification reports, and calculations were reviewed, along with the results of past audits and industry problems. In addition, Readiness Review quality assurance (QA) personnel provided QA surveillance of the review activities. A statement from the QA representatives regarding their involvement and conclusions is provided in section J8.

Following evaluation, findings were subjected to categorization as follows to indicate their relative importance:

- Level I Violation of licensing commitments, project procedures, or engineering requirements with indication of safety concern.
- Level II Violation of licensing commitments or engineering requirements with no safety concerns.
- Level III Violation of project procedures with no safety concerns.

Phase II consisted of a programmatic review of selected documents for compliance with applicable procedures and industry standards [e.g., Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) 344-1975] as committed to in the FSAR.

Documents such as qualification reports, calculations, and drawings were included in this review.

The Phase II verification concluded that the documents reviewed had adequately implemented the licensing commitments with the exception of one commitment, which resulted in Finding J-4.

Finding J-4 (Level II) identified 6 out of 17 safety-related equipment specifications which did not incorporate the environmental qualification parameters from the latest revision of the environmental design criteria.

Revisions to the environmental design criteria have been formally reviewed by the Project to determine the potential impact to equipment. Because this review was performed, no potential hardware impact is anticipated. In response to this finding, the Project is evaluating the safety-related equipment specifications for conformance to the environmental design criteria. In cases where the procurement specifications do not contain the latest environmental parameters from the environmental design criteria, the Project will revise the specification and rereview the corresponding equipment qualification for conformance to the revised specification by June 1, 1986.

The corrective action will ensure that the equipment is qualified to appropriate environmental conditions.

#### Part II - Review of EQ Program Verification in Other Modules and the IDR

Fart II of the verification was a review of the EQ program verification in the other modules and in the IDR. Appropriate modules contain programmatic reviews of selected documents for compliance with EQ licensing commitments. The IDR contains a technical review of selected equipment and supporting documents for compliance with EQ licensing commitments. The reviews in the modules and the IDR also covered additional aspects related to the EQ program, such as equipment interface, equipment installation, and equipment maintenance during operations. The relevant modules and the IDR are listed in section J1. Part II assessed the collective significance of the review and evaluation results of the EQ program verification performed in other modules and the IDR.

The results of the Part II evaluation did not identify any items of collective significance to the EQ program. Individual findings identified in other modules and the IDR were treated

- J1 Scope
- J2 Responsible Organizations

J2.1 Equipment Qualification Task Force

- J2.2 Southern Company Services, Inc.
- J2.3 Bechtel Power Corporation
- J3 Commitments and Implementation
  - J3.1 Introduction
  - J3.2 Definitions
  - J3.3 Sources
  - J3.4 Commitment Matrix
  - J3.5 Implementation Matrix
- J4 Program Description
  - J4.1 Introduction J4.2 Design Criteria

14.2.1	DC-1005,	Seismic-Interdiscipline
14.2.2	DC-1007.	Environment-Interdiscipline
J4.2.3	DC-1010,	Project Classification
	List-Int.	rdiscipline
14.2.4	DC-1017.	Stress Analysis Criteria

J4.3 Specifications and Appendixes

J4.3.1 Procurement Specifications J4.3.2 Equipment Qualification Appendixes

J4.4 Supplier Submittals and Reviews

J4.4.1 Balance-of-Plant Equipment Qualification J4.4.2 Westinghouse Nuclear Steam Supply System Equipment Qualification

34.5 Equipment Qualification Data Packages

34.5.1	Balance-of-Plant Equipment Qualification Data
	Packages
J4.5.2	Nuclear Steam Supply System Equipment
	Qualification Data Packages
J4.5.3	Equipment Qualification Data Package Open Items

J7.2.8 Module 20, Instrumentation and Controls J7.2.9 Independent Design Review

J7.3 Readiness Review Team Conclusions

J8 Assessments/Conclusions

J8.1 Summary of Open Corrective Actions
J8.2 Quality Assurance Statement
J8.3 Resumes
Statement of the Project Engineering Manager
Statement of the Project Licensing Manager
Statement of the Readiness Review Board

#### APPENDIX J

#### EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION

#### J1 SCOPE

This appendix describes the organization and evaluation of the procedures, methods, and controls governing the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) equipment qualification (EQ) program. This program covers safety-related equipment and project-specified post-accident monitoring equipment; however, the term "safety-related equipment" will be used throughout this appendix to mean "safety-related equipment and project-specified post-accident monitoring equipment."

The VEGP EQ program addresses seismic and environmental qualification of both balance-of-plant (BOP) and nuclear steam supply system (NSSS) equipment. This appendix covers EQ-related licensing commitments, identifies both the commitments and their implementation, and verifies that appropriate procedures were in use and adhered to.

VEGP equipment qualification was also reviewed in other modules. This appendix summarizes the results of the examination of EQ-related activities during the verification of the modules listed below:

- o Module 4, Mechanical Equipment and Piping.
- o Module 6, Electrical Equipment.
- o Module 7, Plant Operations and Support.
- o Module 8, Structural Steel.
- o Module 12, Electrical Cables and Terminations.
- o Module 16, Nuclear Steam Supply System.
- o Module 17, Raceways.
- o Module 20, Instrumentation and Controls.
- o Independent Design Review (IDR).

The effective date of this appendix is October 1, 1985. That is, changes in the included program, organizations, commitments, etc. occurring after this date are not addressed.

0320A/079-6

#### J2 RESPONSIBLE ORGANIZATIONS

Georgia Power Company (GPC) is responsible for the VEGP equipment qualification (EQ) program. Southern Company Services, Inc. (SCS), and Bechtel Power Corporation (BPC) provide assistance to GPC for controlling and implementing the EQ program. In order to provide guidance for the VEGP EQ program, GPC established the Equipment Qualification Task Force (EQTF). A brief description of the EQ-related responsibilities of the EQTF, GPC, SCS, and BPC is presented below. Details of the GPC, SCS, and BPC organizations are provided in the Final Safety Analysis Report, chapter 17, and therefore, are not addressed here. The VEGP EQ program responsibilities and relationships between the various organizations involved in EQ program implementation are shown in Figure J2-1.

#### J2.1 EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION TASK FORCE

The EQTF includes personnel from GPC, SCS, BPC, and an outside consultant with expertise in the area of licensing, equipment qualification, and equipment design. The EQTF reports to the project licensing manager and is responsible for:

- Reviewing the EQ programs and assuring that the programs, as defined by suppliers' qualification plans and procedures, comply with the applicable requirements.
- Developing guidelines to meet industry gualification standards and VEGP commitments to Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) requirements.
- Providing technical consultation in the preparation of seismic and environmental appendixes for specifications to reflect an acceptable qualification methodology.
- Reviewing deviations and developing project positions on the acceptability of alternate methods or qualification.
- Independently reviewing and accepting the adequacy of the Equipment Qualification Data Packages (EQDPs).

J2.2 SOUTHERN COMPANY SERVICES, INC.

The SCS Nuclear Safety and Fuel Department is responsible for:

- o Identifying and interpreting NRC requirements.
- Monitoring the Vogtle Project effort in conducting an EQ program.





#### J3 COMMITMENTS AND IMPLEMENTATION

#### J3.1 INTRODUCTION

This section contains, in matrix form, licensing commitments and the corresponding implementing documents. These are presented in two matrixes, the commitment matrix and the implementation matrix. A brief explanation of the development process for each matrix is also included.

In addition to the specific commitments identified in this appendix, applicable quality assurance requirements and commitments or exceptions and alternatives thereto as stated in the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) are applicable to the project activities described in this appendix. The applicable requirements of these types of commitments were considered in assessing the project activities represented in this appendix.

Any differences between the commitments discussed in this section and the VEGP FSAR are unintentional, and the FSAR prevails.

#### J3.2 DEFINITIONS

A commitment is defined as a project obligation to comply with a Regulatory Guide, an industry standard, a Branch Technical Position, or an owner plan of specific action.

An implementing document is the working level document that identifies project commitments applicable to the specific work activity.

#### J3.3 SOURCES

Commitments covered by this appendix are identified from the following sources:

- FSAR, including responses to Nuclear Regulatory Commission questions.
- o Responses to Generic Letters.
- o Responses to Inspection and Enforcement Bulletins.

These sources are reviewed for commitments based upon guidelines developed from the definition in section J3.2.

Commitments identified in the commitment matrix are typically implemented through:

#### COMMITMENTS

#### SORTED BY SOURCE AND SECTION

COMMITMENT SOURCE	SECTION	COMMITMENT SUBJECT	DOCUMENT/ FEATURE	RESPONS DESTIGN	CONST	REMARKS	REF 10.
			EXPLANATION OF F	IELOS			
COMM! THENT SOURCE	- The document o	containing the o	commitment (FSAR, (	Generic Lette	r, I.E. B.	ulletin Resp	oonse, etc.)
COMMITMENT SECTION	- Identifies the	e FSAR section,	letter number, or	question num	ber		
CONTRITMENT SUBJECT	- The subject o	f the FSAR sect	ion or Generic Let	ter			
DOCUMEN1/FEATURE	- The document o	discussed in the	FSAR section or	ine plant rea	ture desc	ribed in the	e FSAR section
RESPONSIBILITY	- An X is place	d under the hea	ding for the organ	ization respo	nsible fo	r implement	ation of the commitmen
REF. NO.	- A reference n	umber that corn	esponds to the app	ropriate line	entry in	the implem	entation matrix

Fage No. 03/18/86 1

# COMMITMENTS

# FONDIX J - SORTED BY GOURCE & SECTION

COMMITMENT	COMMITMENT SECTION	COMMITMENT SUBJECT	DOCUMENT/ FEATURE	RESPONS IBILITY DESIGN CONST	REMARKS	REF NO
FSAR	1.9.9	SELECTION, DESIGN AND QUAL. OF DIESEL-GEN. UNITS AS STANDBY POWER	IREE 323-1 74 AND 387-1977, SECT. 5.4	x	SUBJECT TO REG. GUIDE 1.89	1518
FSAR	1.9.9	SELECTION, BESIGN AND QUAL. OF DIESEL-GEN. UNITS AS STANBY POWER	IREE 387-1977 AND 344-1975	x		1522
FSAR	1. 9. 29	SEISMIC DESIGN CLASSIFICATION	RG 1.29, REV. 3, 9/78	x	REF. TABLE 3.2.2-1	126
FSAR	1. 9. 40	QUALIFICATION TESTS FOR CONTDUTY MOTORS INSTALLED INSIDE THE CONTAINMENT OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS.	IEEE 334-1974	x	USED AS SUPPLEMENT TO IEBE-323-1974.	129
FSAR	1. 9. 40	QUALIFICATION TESTS FOR CONTDUTY MOTORS INSTALLED INSIDE THE CONTAINMENT OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS.	RG 1.40, REV. 0, 3/73	x	SEE REG. GUIDE 1.100 COMPARISON AND FSAR 3.11	130
FSAR	1. 9. 48	DESIGN LIMITS & LOADING COMBIN. FOR SEISMIC CAT. I FLUID SYSTEM CONFONENTS	NG 1.48, REV. 0, 5/73	x	REF. 3.9.N.3 5 TABLES 3.9.B.3-1 THROUGH 3.9.B.3-10	1544
FSAR	1. 9. 61	DAMPING VALUES FOR SEISMIC DESIGN OF NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	RG 1.61, REV. 0, 10/73	*	SEE FSAR 3.7.B.1 AND 2.7.N.1 FOR CLARIFICATION	154
FSAR	1. 9. 63	PENETRATION	RG 1.63, REV. 2, 7/78	x	EXCEPTIONS NOTED IN FSAR 1.9.63	157

Page No. 3 03/18/86

#### COMMITMENTS

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

# APPENDIX J - SORTED BY SOURCE & SECTION

COMMITMENT	COMMITMENT	COMMITMENT	DOCUMENT/ FRATURE	RESPONS	IBILITY CONST	REMARKS	REF NO
		*****************				******************	*******
FSAR	3. 7.8. 3. 1.3	SEISMIC ANALYSIS OF CATEGORY 1 SUBSYSTEMS AND COMPONENTS	BC-TOP-4A	x			1011
FSAR	3. 7.8. 3. 3	PROCEDURE USED FOR MODELING SEISMIC CATEGORY 1 PIPING	BP-TOP-1, SECTIONS 2.0 & 3.0	x			1617
FSAR	3. 7.B. 3. 5	SEISMIC SUBSYSTEM ANALYSIS, USE OF EQUIVALENT STATIC LOAD METHOD OF ANALYSIS	SEISMIC ACCELERATION VALUES ARE PEAR ACCELERATION VALUES MULTIPLIED BY A FACTOR OF 1.5 UNLESS A LOWER FACTOR IS JUSTIFIED	×			1014
FSAR	3. 7.B. 3. 6	THREE COMPONENTS OF EARTHQUARE MOTION	IRRE 344-1975 FOR QUALIFICATION BY TESTING.	×		ANALYSIS MEETS RG 1.92	1016
FSAR	3. 7.8. 3. 6	THREE COMPONENTS OF RARTHQUARE MOTION IN PIPING SYSTEMS	BP-TOP-1, SECTION 5.1	x			1620
FSAR	3. 7.8. 3. 7	COMBINATION OF MODAL RESPONSES IN CATEGORY 1 PIPING SYSTEMS	BP-TOP-1, SECTIONS 5.1 & 5.2	X			1622
FSAR	3. 7.8. 3.11	TORSIONAL REFECTS OF BCCENTRIC MASSES IN SRISMIC PIFING ANALYSIS	BP-TOP-1, SECTION 3.2	x			1625
FSAR	3. 9. 8. 3-5	ASME III CL. 1, 2 & 3 COMPONENT STRESSES	STRESS CRITERIA PUMPS	×			5029
FSAR	3. 9.8. 1. 3.2	SEISMIC CAT. I ITEMS OTHER THAN NSSS & CLASS I BRANCH LINES-STRESSES	ASME 111 STRESS LIMITS	x		FOR CODE COMPONENTS	1643

Page No. 03/18/86

5

#### COMMITMENTS

# APPENDIX J - SORTED BY SOURCE & SECTION

#### 

COMMITMENT	COMMITMENT	COMMITMENT SUBJECT	DOCUMENT/ FEATURE	RESPONS DESIGN	IBILITY CONST	REMARKS	REF NO
	******************	****************	*****************************	*******		***************************************	
FSAR	3, 9.8, 3, 1.3	UPSET CONDITION DEFINITION	ASME III	x			1659
FSAR	3. 9.8. 3. 2	QUALIFICATION OF PUMP MOTOR AND APPURTENANCES DURING SSE	IREE-344-1975	*			1677
FSAR	3. 9.8. 3. 2	VALVE MOTOR OPERATORS	IEEE-323-1974	*			1680
FSAR	3. 9.8. 3. 2	MOTOR OPERATORS	IBEE-382-1972	x			1682
FSAR	3. 9.8. 3. 2. 1	DEMONSTRATION OF PUMP OPERABILITY BY ANALYSIS	SHAFT CLEARANCE DURING SEISMIC EVENT	x			4999
FSAR	3. 9. 8. 3. 2. 2	VALVE MOTOR OPERATORS	IEEE-344-1975	x			1681
FSAR	3, 9.8. 3. 2. 2	STATIC DEFLECTION TEST FOR ACTIVE VALVES	VALVE INTERNALS WILL NOT BIND DURING SSR	x			5000
FSAR	3. 9.8. 3. 2. 2	DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF PIPING SYSTEM WITH FLEXIBLE VALVES	DETERMINE EQUIVALENT ACCELERATION FOR STATIC ANALYSIS AND OPERABILITY TEST	x			5001
FSAR	3. 9.8. 3. 2. 2	SEISMIC ANALYSIS OF ACTIVE VALVES	NOZZLE LOADS CONSIDERED IN THE ANALYSIS	x			5002
FSAR	3. 9.8. 3. 2. 2	OPERABILITY OF VALVE ASSEMBLY DURING SSE	VALVE ASSEMBLY QUALIFIED BY TEST OF ANALYSIS	x			5003
FSAR	3. 9.8. 3. 2.2	STRESS ANALYSIS OF VALVES	ASME III			SUBARTICLES NB-3500, NC-3500 & ND-3500	1683
FSAR	3.10.0.1	SEISMIC QUAL. AND DOCUMENTATION FOR SAFETY RELATED EQUIPMENT AND	IERE 344-1975	×			1209

SUPPORTS

Fage Res. 03/18/86 2

#### COMMITMENTS -----

# APPENDIN J - SORTED BY SOURCE & SECTION

COMMITMENT	COMMITMENT	COMMITMENT	DOCUMENT/ FEATURS	RESPONS	IBILITY CONST	REMARKS	REF NO
		******************	***************************************	*******	* /***	*******************	
FSAR	3,10.8. 2. 1	MRANS OF QUALIFICATION	SEISMIC- THE CBE® SHALL CONTAIN & MINIMUM OF 50 CYCLES OF MAXIMUM STRESS	x			1199
FSAR	3.10.8.2.1	MEANS OF SEISMIC QUAL. OF MECH. & ELECT. RQUIP.	RG 1.100	x			1205
FSAR	3.10.B. 2. 1	NEANS OF SEISMIC QUALIFICATION OF MECH. & ELECT. EQUIP.	IERE 344-1975	X			1206
FSAR	3.10.8. 2. 1	MEANS OF QUALIFICATION	FOR COMPONENTS PREVIOUSLY TESTED TO GENERIC CRITERIA MULTI-FREQUENCY INFUTS FROM TEST LAB REVIEWED TO ASSURE CONSERVATISM IN AMPLITUDE AND FREQUENCY CONTENT, TRS ENVALOPES RRS OVER CRITERIA FREQUENCY	x			1209
FSAR	3.10.8. 2. 1	MEANS OF QUALIFICATION	SEISMIC-ALL INTERFACES AND THE EFFECTS OF THE AMPLIFICATION WITHIN THE EQUIPMENT DUE TO THE INTERFACES AND SUPPORTING STRUCTURES ARE CONSIDERED IN THE SEISMIC QUALIFICATION.	x			1210
FSAR	3.10.8. 2. 2	METHOD OF SEISMIC QUAL. ANALYSIS W/O TESTING	IBRE 344-1975, SECTION 5	x		SER SUBSECT. 3.10.B.1	1211
FSAR	3.10.B. 2. 2	SRISMIC METROD OF QUAL. TESTING BY MULTI-FREQUENCY OR SINGLE-FREQUENCY UNDUTS	IBBE 344-1975, SECTION 6	x			1212

Page No. 03/18/86 9

# COMMITMENTS

# APPENDIX J - SORTED BY SOURCE & SECTION

							man an dard
COMMITMENT	COMMITMENT	COMMITMENT SUBJECT	DOCUMENT/ FEATURE	RESPONS	IBILITY CONST	REMARES"	REF NO
*********		* **********************					
FSAR	3.10.8.4.1	QUALIFICATION & bocumentation procedures	IBEE 344-1975	X			1227
FSAR	3.10.B. 4. 1	QUALIFICATION AND DOCUMENTATION FROCEDURES	RG 1.100	X			1228
FSAR	3.10.B. 4. 2	STANDARD REVIEW FLAN EVALUATION-BOUIF. SRISMIC QUAL. MULTI-MODAL RESPONSES	BC-TOP-4A	x		MEETS INTENT OF RG 1.92	1231
FSAR	3.10.N. 1	SEISMIC AND DYNAMIC QUAL. CRITERIA	THE SPECTRA EMPLOYED HAVE BEEN SELECTED TO ENVELOPE PLANT SPECIFIC REQUIRED RESPONSE SPECTRA IN FSAR SECT. 3.7	x			5016
FSAR	3.11. 5.1	SAVETY RELATED COMPONENTS INSIDE CONTAINMENT	DESIGNED TO PERFORM SAFETY FUNCTIONS IN LONG TERM CONTACT WITH A COMBINED BORIC ACID - SODIUM HYDROXIDE SOLUTION	x			5011
FSAR	3.11.8. 1	REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	QUALIFIED LIFE LESS THAN 41 YEARS	x			5018
FSAR	3.11.8. 1	ENVIRON MENTAL DESIGN OF MECH./ ELECT. EQUIPMENT IDENTIFICATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS	10CFR53, APP. A, GDC 4	X		SEE TABLE 3.11.B.1-1 AND FIGURE 3.11.B.1-1	2008
FSAR	3.11.8. 1	STANDARD DEVIEW PLAN	NURBG-0588	×		ADDRESSES GUIDELINES	2287

Page No. 11 03/18/86

# COMMITMENTS

# APPENDIX J - SORTED BY SOURCE & SECTION

COMMITMENT	COMMITMENT	COMMITMENT	DOCUMENT/ FRATURE	RESPONS IB DESIGN CO	ILITY	REMARKS	REF NO
			***************************************			TORED SECTOR STORES	
FSAR	3.11.8. 2	QUALIFICATION TESTS AND ANALYSES. TYPE TESTING.	IRRE 323-1974	x			1263
FSAR	3.11.8. 2	QUALIFICATION TESTS AND ANALYSES	Rg .100	x			1264
FSAR	3.11.8. 2	QUALIFICATION TESTS	RG 1.40	X			1265
₽SAR	3.11.3. 2	QUALIFICATION TESTS AND ANALYSES	RG 1.63	x		PLECTRICAL PENETRATION ASSEMBLIES IN CONTAINMENT STRS. SEE FSAR SECT. 1.9.63 POSITION C-5	1266
FSAR	3.11.8. 2	QUALIFICATION TESTS AND ANALYSES. ACCEPT. CRIT. FOR ENV. QUAL. SAFETY REL. EQUIPMENT	IB78 323-1974	x			1268
FSAR	3.11.8. 2	QUALIFICATION TESTS	NUREG-0588	X			1269
FSAR	3.11.8. 2	QUALIFICATION TESTS	RG-1.131	X			1270
FSAR	3.11.N	ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN OF MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT	SYSTEMS DESIGNED TO PERFORM SAFETY RELATED FUNCTIONS WHILE EXPOSED TO APPLICABLE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS	x			5017
IEB CORRES.	C 78/02/28	UNPROTECTED TERMINAL BLOCKS	NO UNPROTECTED TERMINAL BLOCKS WILL BE USED IN SYSTEMS WHICH MUST FUNCTION IN THE POST-ACCIDENT ENVIRONMENT	×		RESPONSE TO IEB 78-02	5021

#### INFLEMENTATION

#### SORTED BY REFERENCE NUMBER

DOM: N	PART NOT	JEE A	THESE
1000	1112.141	/TCA	TONC

DESIGN LAST

SECTION

#### DESIGN FIRST

REMARKS

REF NO.

### EXPLANATION OF FIELDS

DOCUMENT/FEATURE	- The document discussed in the FSAR section or the plant feature described in the FSAR section. (See
	Commitment Matrix.)
SECTION	- The section of the document/feature that is being discussed
DESIGN LAST	- "Last" indicates the project document currently containing the information found in the commitment
DESIGN FIRST	- "First" indicates the project document that contained the information found in the commitment when the
	activities governed by the document first began.
REF NO.	- A reference number that corresponds to the appropriate line entry in the commitment matrix.

#### Page No. 1 03/18/86

#### IMPLEMENTATION

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

### APPENDIX J - SORTED BY NUMBER

DOCUMENT/FRATURE	SECTION	DESIGN LAST	DESIGN FIRST	REMARKS	REF NO
	***********	*****	*****************************	******************************	******
RG 1.29, REV. 3, 9/78	с	DC-1010, REV. 4, 6/29/83, SEC. 1.0	DC-1013. HEV. 0, 8/4/78, SEC. 1.0		126.00
IEEE 334-1974	4.0	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 3, 2/24/84, SEC. 3	PRN, APPENDIX 'RA', REV. 0, 7/9/76, SEC. 3	SECTIONS 1 TERU 3 AND 12 ARE NOT APPLICABLE	129.01
IEEE 334-1974	5.0	PRN, APPENDIX 'RA', REV. 3, 2/24/84, SEC. 3	PRN, AFPENDIE 'EA', REV. 0, 7/9/76, SEC. 3		129.02
IRBE 334-1974	6.0, 7.0 % 10.0	PR4, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 3, 2/24/84, SEC. 5	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 0, 7/9/76, SEC. 3		129.03
IREE 334-1974	8.0	PRM, APPENDIX '&A', REV. 3, 2/24/84, SEC. 6	PRM, APPENDIK 'EA', R** 0, 7/9/76, SEC. 4		129.04
IRBE 334-1974	9.0	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 3, 2/24/84, SEC. 5	PRM. APPRNDIX 'EA', REV. 0, 7/9/76, SEC. 3		129.05
IRER 334-1974	11.0	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 3, 2/24/84, SEC. 3, DC-1007, REV. 4, 4/30/85, SEC. 3	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 0, 7/9/76, SEC. 3, DC-1007, REV. 0 6/6/79, SEC. 3	ALSO, SEE REF. NO. 1269	129.06
RG 1.40, REV.0, 3/73	C1	SEE REMARES		SEE REF. NO. 129 Conformance to 334-1974 Instead of 334-1971	130.01
RG 1.40, REV. 0, 3/73	C2	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 3, 2/24/85, SEC. 5, DC-1007, BEV. 4, 4/30/85, SEC. 3	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 0, 7/9/76, SPC. 3, DC-1007, REV. 0 6/6/79, SEC. 3		130.02
NG 1.61, REV. 0. 19/73 DAMPING VALUES	c1	PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 3	PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 3		154.00
96 1.63, REV. 2, 7/78		SER REMARES		SER REF. NO. 1265	157.00

#### IMPLEMENTATION

APPENDIX J - SORTED BY NUMBER

Page No. 03/18/86

3

 		 日本

DOCUMENT/FRATURE	SECTION	DESIGN LAST	DESIGN FIRST	REMARKS	REF NO
	**********	*****	***************************************	*****	******
RG 1.100, REV. 1, 8/77	C.1	PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 3 & 6	PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 3 & 6		175.02
RG 1.100, REV. 1, 8/77	C.2	PRM, APPENDIX 'OG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 2	PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 2		175.03
RG 1.100, REV. 1, 8/77	C.3	PRN, APPENDIX 'OG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 2	PRM, APPENDIE 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 2	SINE SMEEP TEST USED TO DETERMINE EQUIPMENT FREQUENCIES	175.04
RG 1.100, REV. 1. 9/77	c.4	PRM. APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 8	PRM, APPENDIE 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 8		175.05
IEEE 344-1975	3.0	PRM, APPENDIE '0C', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 2 & 3	PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 2 & 3	SECTIONS 1 AND 2 ARE NOT APPLICABLE, SECTION 4 COVERED IN SECTIONS 5 THRU B	176.01
1888 344-1975	5.0	FRM, APPENDIE 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 3, 6 & 8	FRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 3, 6 & 8		176.02
IREE 344-1975	6.0	PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 1 THRU 8	PHM, APPENDIX 'OG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 1 THEU 8		176.03
IBEE 344-1975	7.0	PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 1 & 3	PRM. APPRNDIX 'OG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 1 & 3		176.04
IREE 344-1975	8.0	PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 1, 2, 7 & 8, PRM, SECTION C-8, REV. 6, 3/8/85, SEC. 8.4 & 8.5	PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 1, 2, 7 & 8, PRM, SECTION C-8, REV. 4, 9/10/79, SEC. 8.4 & 8.5		176.05
RG 1.97, REV. 2, 12/80	cı	DC-1010, REV. 4, 6/29/83, TABLE 1, PAGES 143 & 162 (FOOTMOTES, COMMENTS AND SUBSECTION "p")	DC-1010, REV. 2, 7/31/80, TABLE 1, PATT 126		178.00

IMPLEMENTATION

Page No. 03/18/86 5

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

APPENDIX J - SORTED BY NUMBER

-------

DOCUMENT/FRATURE	SECTION	DESIGN LAST	DESIGN FIRST	REMARES	REF NO
	*********	************************	************************************	***************************************	******
1888 344-1975		SER REMARKS		SEE REF. NO. 176	1206.00
COMPONENTS PREVIOUSLY TESTED TO GENERIC CRITERIA, MULTI-FREQUENCY INPUTS FROM TEST LAB REVIRWED TO ASSURE CONSERVATISM IN AMPLITUDE AND FREQUENCY CONTENT. TRS ENVELOPES RRS OVER CRITERIA FREQUENCY		PRN, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 5	PRM, APPENDIX '00', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 5		1209.00
SEISMIC - ALL INTERFACES AND EFFECTS OF THE AMPLIFICATION WITHIN THE EQUIPMENT DUE TO THE INTERFACES AND SUPPORTING STRUCTURES ARE CONSIDERED IN THE SEISMIC QUALIFICATION		PRN, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 1, 2 & 5	PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 1, 2 & 5		1210.00
IBBE 344-1975, QUALIFICATION BY ANALYSIS W/O TESTING	5	SEE REMARES		SEE REF. NO. 176.02	1211.00
IREE 344-1975, QUALIFICATION BT TESTING, MULTI-FREQUENCY OR CLACIF FREQUENCY	6	SEE REMARES		SEB REF. NO. 176.03	1212.00

Page No. 7 03/18/86

#### IMPLEMENTATION

÷.

----

APPENDIX J - SORTED BY NUMBER

the state line lines	the loss and the		 	 	
	22222	***	***	 	

DOCUMENT/FRATURE	SECTION	DESIGN LAST		DESIGN FIRST		REMARKS		REF NO
		********************	*****	*************************		*******	****************	******
RG 1.109, QUALIFICATION AND DOCUMENTATION PROCEDURES		SEE REMARES				SEE REF.	NO. 175	1228.00
BC-TOP-4A		DC-1005, REV. 1, 4/4/83,	SEC. 3	DC-1005, REV. 0, 3/10/80, 8	SEC. 3	RG 1.92	IS USED PER 'QG'	1231.00
IREE 383-1974 (DAUGNTER STANDARD)	1.3	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 2/24/84, SEC. 3 & 5	з,	PRM, APPENDIX 'RA', REV. 0, 7/9/76, SEC. 3	•			1252.00
IERE 317-1976 (DAUGHTER STANDARD)	6.4	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 2/24/84, SEC. 3 & 5	3,	PRM, APPENDIX 'BA', REV. 0, 7/9/76, SEC. 3				1253.00
IBER 323-1974. QUALIFICATION SROUENCE	8.3	PRM, APPENEIX 'EA', REV. 2/24/84, SEC. 3	з,	PRM, APPENDIX 'RA', REV. 0, 7/9/76, SEC. 3	•			1254.00
IEEE 334-1974 (DAUGHTER STANDARD)	5, 6 & 7	PRM, APPENDIX 'RA', REV. 2/24/84, SEC. 3 & 5	3,	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 0, 7/9/76, SEC. 3				1256.00
IEEE 382-1972 (DAUGHTER STANDARD)	4.0	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 2/24/84, SEC. 3 & 5	3,	PRM, APPENDIX 'BA', REV. 0. 7/9/?8, SEC. 3	•			1257.00
RG 1 89		SEE REMARKS				SEE REF.	NO. 172	1262.00
IEEE 323-1974, TYPE TESTING	6.3	SEE REMARKS				SEE REF.	NO. 173.01	1263.00
84 1 100		SEE REMARKS				SEE REF.	NO. 175	1264.00
PG 1 40		SEE REMARKS		· · · ·		SEE REF.	NO. 130	1265.00
RG 1.63	с	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 2/24/84, SEC. 5	3,	FRM, APPENDIX 'BA', REV. 0 7/9/76, SEC. 2				1266.01
RG 1.63	C5	PRM, APPENDIX 'RA', REV. 2/24/84, SEC. 5	3,	PRM, APPRNDIX 'BA', REV. 2 10/1/79, SEC. 5				1266.02

#### Page No. 9 03/18/86

#### IMPLEMENTATION

-----

APPENDIX J - SORTED BY NUMBER

DOCUMENT/FRATURE	SECTION	DESIGN LAST	DESIGN FIRST	REMARKS	REF NO
		******************************	*******************************	**********************	******
NUREG 0588	2.4	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 3, 2/24/84, SEC. 3	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 2, 10/1/79, SEC. 3		1269.08
NUREG-0588	3.0	PRN, APPENDIX 'RA', REV. 3, 2/24/84, SEC. 3	PRM, APPENDIX 'BA', REV. 2, 10/1/79, SEC. 3		1269.09
NUREG-0588	4.0	PRN, APPENDIX 'BA', REV. 3, 2/24/84, SEC. 1 & 3	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 2, 10/1/79, SEC. 1 & 3		1269.10
NURRG-0588	5.0	PRM, APPENDIX 'BA', REV. 3, 2/24/84, SEC. 5	PRM, AFFENDIX 'BA', REV. 2, 10/1/79, SEC. 6		1269.11
RG 1.131	c	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 3, 2/24/R4, SEC. 3 5 5	PRM, APPENDIX 'EA', REV. 0, 7/9/76, SEC. 3		1270.00
IEEE 323-1974		SPEC. 14AE01, REV. 7, SEC. 3.3,	DELAVAL'S PROPOSAL # 1774 DATED 4/8/76	1888 387-1977 REFERS TO 1888 323-1974	1518.00
IRRE 344-1975		SPEC. X4AKO1, REV. 7, SEC. 3.3	DELAVAL'S PROPOSAL # 1774 DATED 4/8/76	IREE 387-1977 REFERS TO IREE 344-1975	1522.00
RG 1.48, REV. 0,		SEE REMARKS	DC-1017, REV. 0, 1/19/78, SEC. 4.0 AND TABLES 1, 6 THRU 12	SEE SECTION J7, FINDING J-1	1544.00
5/73	2.0 \$ 3.0	BC-1017, REV. 4, 8/9/85, SEC. 2	DC-1017, REV. 0, 1/19/78, SEC.		1617.00
BP-TOP-1	5.1	DC-1017, REV. 4, 8/9/85, SEC. 2	DC-1017, REV. 0, 1/19/78, SEC.	2	1620.00
BP-TOP-1	5.1 8 5.2	DC-1017, REV. 4, 8/9/85, SEC. 2	DC-1017, REV. 0, 1/19/78, SEC. 1	2	1622.00
BP-TOP-1	3.2	BC-1017, REV. 4, 8/9/85, SEC. 2	DC-1017, SEV. 0, 1/19/78, SEC.	2	1625.00
ASME SEC. III STRESS LIMITS FOR SEISMIC CAT. I ITEMS OTHER THAN NSSS & CLASS 1		SER REMARKS		SEE REF. NO. 1544	1643.00

BRANCH LINES

IMPLEMENTATION

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Page No. 11 03/18/86

APPENDIX J - SORTED BY NUMBER

	RECEION	DERIGH LAST	DESIGN FIRST	REMARES	REF NO
BOCOMENT/FEATURE	SECTION	PROTON DAGT			
***************************************	**********				
IEEE 382-1972, MOTOR OFERATORS		SEE REMARKS		SER REF. NO. 158	1682.00
ASME III, VALVE STRESS ANALVEIS		PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 7	PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 7		1683.00
QUALIFICATION METHODS		PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 1	PRM, APPENDIX 'OG', REV. 0, 11/9/79, SEC. 1		2006.00
SEISMIC CAT. 1 MECH. AND RLECT. EQUIP. IS QUALIFIED TO WITHSTAND THE REFERCTS OF SEISMIC LOADS RESULTING FROM ONE AND SEE		SEE REMARKS		SEE REF. NO. 1544 AND 176	2007.00
10CFR50 AFPENDIX A	•	BC-1007, REV. 4, 4/30/85, SEC. 1 & 3	DC-1007, REV. 0, 6/6/79, SEC. 1 & 3		2008.00
NORMAL, ABNORMAL AND DBA CONDITIONS FOR EQUIPMENT ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN		DC-1007, RKV. 4, 4/30/85, SEC. 3	DC-1007, REV. 0, 8/8/79, SEC. 3		2010.00
FNVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION CONDITIONS		DC-1007, REV. 4, 4/30/85, SEC. 3	BC-1007, REV. 0, 8/6/79, SEC. 3		2011.00
10CFR50 APPENDIX A	gBC 1,2,4	DC-1005, REV. 1, 4/4/83, SEC. 1, 3 & 5, DC-1007, REV. 4, 4/30/85, SEC. 1, 3, 4 & 5, DC-1017, REV. 4, 8/9/85, SEC. 1, 2, 3 & 5	BC-1005, REV. 0, 3/10/80, SEC. 1, 3 & 5, DC-1007, REV. 0, 6/6/79, SEC. 1, 3, 4 & 5, DC-1017, REV. 1, 1/24/83, SEC. 1, 2, 3 & 5		2276.01
ICCFR50 APPENDIX A	GDC 14	DC-1017, REV. 4, 8/9/85, SEC. 1. 2, 3 & 5	DC-1017, REV. 1, 1/24/83, SEC. 1, 2, 3 & 5		2276.02

#### 13 03/18/86 IMPLEMENTATION -----APPENDIX J - SORTED BY NUMBER ------REF NO REMARES . DESIGN FIRST DESIGN LAST DOCUMENT/FEATURE SECTION 4999.00 PPM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, PRM, APPENDIN 'QG', REV. 0. SHAFT CLEARANCE 11/9/79, SEC. 3 11/9/79, SEC. 3 DURING SRISMIC EVENT (PUMP OPERABILITY) 5000.00 PRM. APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0. PRM, APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, VALVE INTERNALS WILL 11/9/79, SEC. 4 & 7 11/5/79, SEC. 4 & 7 NOT BIND DURING SSE (STATIC DEFLECTION TEST FOR ACTIVE VALVES) 5001.00 SPRC. E4AR17, REV. 7, ATTACHMENT N/A DETERMINE EQUIVALENT 'QG', SEC. 2, CALC. \$4CF-7063. ACCRLERATION FOR REV. D. CALC. MACP-7072C, REV. STATIC ANALYSIS AND 1, CALC. #4CP-70720, REV. 0 OPERAPILITY TEST (FLEXIBLE VALVES) 5062.00 PRM. AFPENDIX 'OG', REV. 0, PRM. APPENDIX 'QG', REV. O. NOZZLE LOADS 11/9/79, SEC. 7 11/9/79, SEC. 7 CONSIDERED IN THE ANALYSIS (ACTIVE VALVES) 5003.00 PRM. APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0. PRM. APPENDIX 'QG', REV. 0, VALVE ASSEMBLY 11/9/79, SEC. 7 11/9/79, SEC. 7 QUALIFIED BY TEST OR ANALYSIS (VALVE OPERABILITY) 5004.00 DC-1017, REV. 4, 8/9/85, SEC. 3, DC-1017, REV. 2, 10/13/83, SEC. RG 1.148, POSITION 3. PRM, AFFENDIX 'OG', REV. 0. PRM. APPENDIX 'OG', REV. 0, 2.6(3)

11/9/79, SEC. 2, 3, 5 & 7

PRM. BECTION C-29, REV. 4.

PRM. APPENDIX 'OG', REV. 0.

8/8/84, SEC. 29.4

11/9/79, SEC. 1

11/9/79, SEC. 2, 3, 5 & 7

PRM. SECTION C-29, REV. 0,

PRM. APPENDIE 'OG'. REV. 0.

6/1/78, SEC. 29.3

11/9/79, SEC. 1

5005.00

5006.00

RG 1.148, POSITION 2.6(4)

SEISMIC QUAL. PLANS/PROCEDURES SUBMITTED BY VENDORS FOR REVIEW BEFORE TESTING/ANALYSIS Page No. 15 03/18/85

#### IMPLEMENTATION

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

APPENDIE J - SORTED BY NUMERE

a series and a local series and	 	

DOCUMENT/FRATURE	SECTION	DESIGN LAST	DESIGN FIRST	PEMARES	REF NO
		****************************	***************************************	***************************************	*******
RQUIFMENT QUALIFICATION DATA PACEAGES		PRM, SECTION C-37, REV. 2, 4/18/85, SEC. 37.4	PRM, SECTION C-37, REV. 0, 2/1/84, SEC. 37.4		5015.00
THE SPECTRA EMPLOYED HAVE BEEN SELECTED TO ENVELOPE PLANT SPECIFIC REQUIRED RESPONSE SPECTRA IN FSAR SEC. 3.7		PRM, SECTION C-37, REV. 2, 4/18/85, SEC. 37.4	SEE REMARES	NSSS SEISMIC REVISW ADDED IM REV. 2 OF PRM, C-37	5016.00
SYSTEMS DESIGNED TO PERFORM SAFETY-RELATED FU4CTIONS WHILE EXPOSED TO APPLICABLE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS		PRM, SECTION C-37, REV. 2, 4/18/85, SEC. 37.4	PRN, SECTION C-37, REV. 1, 6/31/84, 880. 37.4		5017.00
REPLACEMENT PROGRAM - QUALIFIED LIFE LESS THAN 41 YEARS		BQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION BOCUMENT PACKAGE, SEC. G	N/A		5018.00
NO UMPROTECTED TERMINAL BLOCES WILL BE USED IN STBTEMS MHICH MUST FUNCTION IN THE POST-ACCIDENT ENVIRONMENT	NRC BULLETIN 73-02	RLECTRICAL CONSTRUCTION SPEC. I3ARO1, REV. 13, 8/30/85, SEC. E9.6	ELECTRICAL CONSTRUCTION SPEC. IJARO1, REV. 5, 8/26/83, SEC. E9.6		5021.00
STRESS CRITERIA, PUMPS		SEE REMARES		SEE REF. NO. 1544	5029.00

#### J4 PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

#### J4.1 INTRODUCTION

The VEGP equipment qualification (EQ) program was developed to ensure compliance with the environmental and seismic qualification requirements for safety-related equipment in accordance with the applicable licensing commitments. This section describes the EQ program including interfaces between various Vogtle Project organizations responsible for implementing and coordinating the EQ program. The development of the qualification criteria, suppliers' EQ documentation review, and acceptance of equipment qualification by the quipment Qualification Task Force (EQTF) are addressed in this section.

#### J4.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

Requirements for the design of safety-related systems and components are provided in various design criteria which are covered in other modules. This appendix addresses the design criteria which are relevant to equipment qualification. The following is a list of EQ-related design criteria:

DC-1005, Seismiz-Interdiscipline. DC-1007, Environment-Interdiscipline. DC-1010, Project Classification List-Interdiscipline. DC-1017, Stress Analysis Criteria.

These design criteria are discussed in the following subsections.

#### J4.2.1 DC-1005, Seismic-Interdiscipline

DC-1005 provides the criteria and required response spectra (RRS) for seismic qualification of systems and components. The RRS are provided for each floor of every Seismic Category I building. These floor RRS were developed from the seismic analysis of the Category I structures utilizing the appropriate ground motion. The methodology and for seismic analyses of Category I structures is developed for seismic analyses of Safety Analysis Report and analyses 4 of Module 1.

Pertinent RRS taken from DC- c. are included in procurement specifications with instructions to suppliers that their seismic qualification must envelop the RRS. Included in these criteria are the guidelines for modeling safety-related values in the piping analysis. Further, DC-1017 provides the value acceleration limits of the piping analysis to validate the value gualification.

#### J4.3 SPECIFICATIONS AND APPENDIXES

### J4.3.1 Procurement Specifications

Safety-related equipment/material specifications are prepared in accordance with the Project Reference Manual (PRM), part C. section 8. These procurement specifications conform to the project design criteria and provide functional operability requirements which must be used in the EQ process. Standard appendixes are used to define a 3 incorporate environmental and seismic qualification requirement in the procurement specification. These standard appendixes are discussed in the following section.

### J4.3.2 Equipment Qualification Appendixes

The Project, under the direction of the EQTF, developed environmental Appendix EA and seismic Appendix QG to provide the specific information required for equipment qualification. These appendixes implement requirements of codes and standards identified in the commitment matrix (section J3.4) which do not provide detailed qualification requirements and are not plant specific. The appendixes, together with their attachments, form the basis for the qualification acceptance criteria for most VEGP safety-related equipment.

Approximately 80 percent of the safety-related equipment specifications use Appendix EA and Appendix QG for equipment qualification. The remaining safety-related equipment specifications either use other project appendixes for equipment qualification or have the acceptance criteria for equipment qualification identified in the specification itself. These specifications have been reviewed by the Project Engineering EQ group to ensure conformance to the EQ-related licensing commitments.

### J4.3.2.1 Appendix EA and Attachment EA

Appendix EA was developed to provide suppliers with environmental information such as detailed criteria, documentation requirements, and specific Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE) standards which must be used for the qualification of various types of quipment. Appendix EA provides guidance for environmental qualification which is in accordance with the project licensing commitments to IEEE responsible project functional disciplines. The procedure used for BOP environmental and seismic gualification documentation review, comment resolution, and document transmittal is in accordance with the Project Reference Manual, part C, section 37, Equipment Qualification.

### 34.4.2 Westinghouse Nuclear Steam Supply System Equipment Qualification

We singhouse is responsible for the qualification and associated accumentation for equipment in its scope of supply. Test methodology and equipment qualification data packages (EQDPs) for nuclear steam supply system (NSSS) electrical equipment are provided in Westinghouse qualification documents and WCAP 8587. Corresponding test reports are provided in WCAP 8687. These qualification documents have been approved by the NRC for generic applications with the condition that the qualification parameters in these reports must be reviewed for applicability to plant-specific requirements. NSSS mechanical equipment qualification is documented in WCAP 10856 and shop order GAE/GBE-305.

Westinghouse and the BPC Project Engineering EQ group are responsible for the review of the above Westinghouse documentation to ensure that VEGP-specific requirements are enveloped by the Westinghouse generic EQ program. This review is accomplished through completion of system component evaluation worksheets (SCEWs) for environmental qualification and the master list for seismic qualification. This review is documented in the NSSS EQDP.

### J4.5 EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION DATA PACKAGES

The EQDP is the final document which summarizes the qualification of safety-related equipment. BOP and NSSS EQDPs are discussed in the following sections and listed in Table J4-1.

## J4.5.1 Balance-of-Plant Equipment Qualification Data Packages

Pertinent equipment qualification documentation is assembled during the preparation of EQDPs and reviewed for adequacy by BPC Engineering with assistance from the outside consultant. EQDPs are finally reviewed and accepted by the EQTF.

The EQDP is organized to ensure that documentation necessary to demonstrate qualification of safety-related equipment purchased under the corresponding equipment specification is available for audit. The EQDPs are assembled for individual components or a series of components procured under a given specification and include information necessary to document the compliance with describes the procedures and controls used for disposition of EQDP open items. Additional open items, which may develop after issuance of EQDPs, are dispositioned using the program for completion of work (PCW) action item list. The PCW and PCW action item list are addressed in the PRM, part C, section 38.

#### J4.6 CONSTRUCTION

### J4.6.1 Construction Specifications

Construction specifications were prepared by BPC Engineering in accordance with the PRM, part C, section 26, and are classified under the project classification system which identifies safety-related and nonsafety-related specifications.

Safety-related construction specifications are used for the installation of equipment within the scope of the EQ program. These specifications contain provisions to ensure that equipment qualification is maintained by utilizing appropriate supplier drawings and installation manuals. These specifications are prepared by BPC Project Engineering to ensure that equipment installation is in compliance with EQ requirements.

# J4.6.2 Field Change Requests and Deviation Reports

Field Change Requests (FCRs) pertaining to 20 are processed in accordance with PRM part C, section 17. Similarly, PRM part C, section 18, establishes the processing of Deviation Reports (DRs) and Operational Deficiency Reports (ODRs). In addition, PRM appendix 2, Engineering Field Procedures, section 16, Project Field Engineering Equipment Qualification/Hazards Interface, provides the guidelines for determining possible impact of field modifications on equipment qualification and the methods to ensure that an FCR or a DR does not invalidate the seismic or environmental qualification of the equipment.

### J4.7 CHANGES TO SUPPLIERS' EQUIPMENT AT JOBSITE

PRM, part section 24, provides instructions for preparing and controlling ted changes originated by Engineering to suppliers' equipment after it has been delivered to the jobsite or to equipment still at the supplier's facilities but at a point of manufacturing that precludes further changes by the suppliers.

0313A/010-6

## TABLE J4-1 (Sheet 1 of 3)

## LISTING OF EQDPS

EQDP No.	Equipment Description
X2AG07	Containment Locks and Hatches
X3AA04	Regulated Transformer
X3AB03	Penetrations
X3AB05	4.16 kV Cable Bus
X3AC01	13.8 kV and 4.16 kV Switchgear
X3AC02	480 V Switchgear and Substation
X3AC03	480 V Motor Control Center
X3AD01	Batteries and Battery Chargers
X3AD02	125 Vdc Motor Control Center
X3AD03	125 Vdc Switchgear
X3AE01	Electrical Auxiliary Boards
X3AE03	SF Sequencer Boards
X3AE06	Isolation Device Panels
X3AE08	Auxiliary Relay Panels
X3AF01	Distribution Panels
X3AH05	Junction Boxes
X3AJ01A	5 kV and 15 kV Power Cable
X3AJ01B	5 kV and 15 kV Power Cable
X3AJ02	600 V Power and Control Cable
X3AJ04A	Instrument and Speciality Cables
X3AJ04B	Instrument and Speciality Cables
X3AJ06	Cable and Wire Connectors
X3AJ11B	Cable Terminal Material

0316A/1/079-6

C

١.

C

C

# TABLE J4-1 (Sheet 2 of 3)

EQDP No.	Equipment Description
X3AQ03	Inverters
X4AD02	Nuclear Service Cooling Water Tower
X4AE01	Component Cooling Water Heat Exchanger
X4AF01	Component and Auxiliary Component Cooling Water Pumps
X4AF02	Nuclear Service Cooling Water and Transfer Pumps
X4AF03	Auxiliary Feedwater Pumps
X4AF04	Diesel Fuel Oil Transfer Pumps
X4AF26	Positive Displacement Pump Suction Damper
X4AHO3	field Erected Tanks
X4AHO4	Shop Fabricated Tanks
X4AJ01	HVAC Duct Fabrication and Installation
X4AJ02	ESF Fans
X4AJ04	ESF Chillers
X4AJ05	ESF Chilled Water Pumps
X4AJ06	ESF HVAC Units
X4AJ07	ESF Air Cleaning Units
X4AJ16	Containment Cooling Unit
X4AJ20	HVAC Damper and Actuators
X 1AJ 32	Tornado Dampers
X4AJ34	ESF HVAC Fans
X47K01	Diesel Generator and Auxiliaries
X4AN06	Backflushable Filters
X4AROO	Nuclear Valves, 2-inch and Smaller
X4AR01	Nuclear Valves, 2 1/2-inch and Larger

0316A/2/079-6

C

C

0

# TABLE J4-1 (Sheet 3 of 3)

EQDP No.	Equipment Description
X4AR04 & X4AR04B	Nuclear Diaphragm Valves
X4AR17	Main Steam Isolation Valves
X4AR19	Main Feedwater Isolation Valves
X4AR21	Nuclear Spring Check Valves
X4AR23	Nuclear Service Valves
X4AR27	Seatless Check Valves
X5AA04	HVAC Gas Analysis
X5AA05	Containment Hydrogen Monitoring
X5AB01	Miscellaneous Control Panels
X5AC01	Nuclear Service Control Valves
X5AC03	Small Butterfly Valves
X5AC05	Safety and Relief Valves
X5AC07	Nuclear Solenoid Valves
X5AC13	Atmospheric Steam Dump Valves
X5AD04	Pressure Transmitters
X5AD07	Level and Pressure Trunsmitters
X5AE06	Resistance Temperature Detector Thermowells
X5AF02	Level Float Switches
X5AF05	Level Detection Switches
X5AG08	Instrument Valves and Manifold
X5AG14	Pitot Flow Sensors
X6AA15	Westinghouse Equipment

C

0

C

#### J5 AUDITS AND SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS

During the design and construction phase of the VEGP, the equipment qualification (EQ) program was reviewed and audited by Georgia Power Company (GPC) Quality Assurance (QA). Southern Company Services, Inc. (SCS) QA. Bechtel Power Corporation (BPC) QA, Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO). and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to ascertain whether safety-related equipment is being procured, qualified, and installed in accordance with the applicable licensing commitments and project procedures.

During the preparation of this appendix, audit reports pertaining to the equipment qualification program were reviewed. In most cases, the audits covered several aspects of the project; however, only those related to EQ are addressed in this appendix. Summaries of the more significant audit findings are provided below. An audit finding matrix is provided at the end of this section. Each of the audit findings was reported to project management and received an evaluation that included an assessment of its impact on the quality of safety-related equipment, corrective action taken, and action to preclude recurrence. The Readiness Review Team used the results of the various audits, evaluations, inspections, and records of past problems as an aid in developing the assessment program

#### J5.1 GPC QA AUDITS

The GPC QA Department conducts regularly scheduled audits to verify VEGP compliance with licensing commitments and applicable project documents. There was one GPC audit dedicated to the assessment of balance-of-plant (BOP) equipment qualification which resulted in Lour Audit Finding Reports (AFRs). In addition, there were 16 EQ-related AFRs issued during GPC QA audits of other activities.

Summary descriptions of the findings are presented in the findings matrix at the end of this section. Two of the findings are programmatic and address the interface between design and maintenance concerning safety-related equipment. These findings are discussed below.

#### J5.1.1 Audit Finding Report 831

Audit SPO1-85/63 identified problems in the area of GPC maintenance of safety-related equipment. Specifically, established guidelines and/or requirements lacked sufficient details for Maintenance Department EQ personnel to control the EQ-related equipment maintenance or replacement requirements for equipment gualified to a shorter plant life. April 18, 1985, to provide guidelines for NSSS EQ review and documentation.

#### J5.3.2 Audit Finding Report 84-16

The auditors could not determine whether a maintenance/ surveillance program to address age-related degradation of safety-related equipment to its end-of-life condition had been established.

Corrective action was taken by GPC Nuclear Operations. A maintenance/surveillance program was implemented and is controlled by procedure 20015-C. Planned Maintenance. Special qualification maintenance/surveillance information is incorporated into the planned maintenance program; this input will be administratively controlled by procedure 55002-C. Environmental and Seismic Equipment Qualification Program. Subsequent to this finding, procedure 20009-C, Equipment Qualification Implementation, was developed to provide additional administrative controls as described in section J5.1.1.

#### J5.3.3 Audit Finding Report 84-21

The auditors identified a problem area concerning equipment installation compliance with seismic qualification requirements.

BPC responded by revising PRM, part C, section 37, on April 18, 1985, to include the requirement that equipment mounting condition data sheets (EMCDS) be reviewed and incorporated into each EQDP to document equipment installation conformance to seismic gualification requirements.

#### J5.4 INPO AND SIE EVALUATIONS

The Vogtle Project participated in two (MPO project evaluations, one in 1982 and one in 1984. The 1982 evaluation, also referred to as the Self-Initiated Evaluation (SIE), was conducted by a GPC-assembled team of GPC, SCS, and BPC off-project personnel using the INPO evaluation methods and criteria. Four findings were written against the EQ program in the 1982 SIE and one in the 1984 INPO evaluation. These findings are listed in the findings matrix at the end of this section. The more significant findings are addressed below.

### J5.4.1 Self-Initiated Evaluation Finding DC.1-9

The audit group reported that plant-specific analyses were not available to verify some environmental parameters supplied to

#### J5.6 INDUSTRY CONCERNS

Inspection and Enforcement (IE) Bulletins 79-01, 79-01A, and 79-01B expressed an NRC concern relative to environmental qualification deficiencies reported by operating nuclear plants. Licensees were requested to provide written evidence of the qualification of electrical equipment required to function under postulated accident conditions. Particular attention was given to specific equipment that did not meet the regulatory requirements. In IE Bulletin 79-01B, including Supplements 1, 2 and 3, guidelines were provided to evaluate the existing EQ program, and the licensees were required to report the results of their evaluation to the NRC.

VEGP was not required to respond to these NRC bulletins as they addressed operating plants. However, VEGP held several meetings on the project to discuss the IE Bulletins, which resulted in the NRC guidelines being incorporated into the EQ program.

#### 0327A/079-6

Page No. 03/18/86

1

# FINDINGS

# APPENDIX J - SORTED BY INIT. ORG. & FINDING NUMBER

INIT ORG	AUDIT NUMBER	FINDING NUMBER	LEVEL	DAT".	SUBJECT	REMARKS	NUM BER
BPC		VH-85/079-03		06-17-85	THE STANDARDS SHOWN ABOVE ARE NOT INCLUDED IN SECTION 2.0 OF SPEC. X4AJ16 REV. 7. TECHNICAL PROVISION FOR CONTAINMENT COOLING UNITS.		2255
GPC-QA	MD03-81/73	253		09-09-81	PUMPS - DESIGN, FAB. & INSTALLATION. CONFLICTS BETWEEN SPECS. & PROCEDURES.	10CFR50, APP. B, CRITERIA V. (CLOSED 02-15-82)	810
GPC-QA	MD03-82/85	320		07-20-82	PUMPS - MATERIALS - EQUIPMENT QUAL. & LOAD TESTS TO BE SUBMITTED WITH SHIPMENT.	SPEC. X4AF03, REV. 4, PARA. 15.0 (CLOSED 11-04-82)	877
GPC-QA	MD03-83/28	419	111	04-12-83	PUMPS - FAD. & INST. LOCKING OF FASTENERS INCOMPLETE/UNVERIFIED.	10CFR50, APP. B, CRITERION V. (CLOSED 09-14-83)	976
GPC-QA	MD03-83/28	420	111	04-14-83	PUMPS - DESIGN - FAB SHIM PLACEMENT NOT PROPER.	10CFR50, APP. B., CRITERION V. (CLOSED 08-04-83)	977
GPC-QA	BD03-83/46	438	11	65-23-63	LOCA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION OF TEFZEL CABLE TIES	SPEC. X3AH08, R/3, PAR. 3.0.A. (CLOSED 08-31-83)	995
GPC-QA	ED03-83/79	497	11	08-19-83	RERCTRICAL - ENVIEONMENTAL QUALIFICATION OF CABLES	APP. RA. ENV. QUAL. (CLOSED 03-08-84)	1054
GPC QA	BD04-83/111	541	111	11-21-83	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION	10CFR50, APP. B., CRITERIA VI (CLOSED 03-20-84)	1098
GPC-QA	ED04-83/111	542	111	11-21-83	RQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION - CABLE DESIGN	IOCFR50, APP. B., CRITERIA III (CLOSED 05-16-84)	1099
GPC-QA	ED04-83/111	543	1	11 21-83	RLECTRICAL - CABLE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION	10CFR50, APP. B., CRITERIA VI (CLOSED 02-07-84)	1100
GPC -QA	RD04-83/111	544	11	11-21-83	CABLE AND WIRE CONNECTORS - ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION CABLE TERMINATIONS	BECHTEL SPEC. X3AJ06, REV. 3, SECT. 5.3 (CLOSED 09-10-84)	1101

Page No. 3 03/18/86

# FINDINGS

# APPENDIX J - SORTED BY INIT. ORG. & FINDING SUMBER

INIT ORG	AUDIT NUMBER	FINDING NUMBER	FEARF	DATE	SUBJECT	REMARKS	NUM BBR
INPO		BC.3-1		1984	PREPARATION AND VERIFICATION OF CALCULATIONS		1426
NRC-INS	80-12	80-12-01	LIC.I. DEF.	09-09-80	HOLDDOWN BOLTING MATERIAL	CLOSED 08-06-81 (NRC AUDIT 81-08)	1547
NRC - INS	80-15	80-15-02	LIC.I. DEF.	09-29-80	HYDROGEN RECOMBINER POWER TRANSFORMER	CLOSED 05-11-82 (NRC AUDIT 82-08)	1558
NRC- INS	81-02	81-02-04	LIC.I. DEF.	01-06-81	THREE INCH GATE VALVE CLOSURE PROBLEM	CLOSED 02-14-83 (NRC AUDIT 83-02)	1568
8C5-QA		84-12	111	11-15-84	WESTINGHOUSE NSSS EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION - BECHTEL REVIEW/ACTION.		2191
BCS-QA		84-13	111	11-15-84	EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION OF NON-SAFETY RELATED EQUIPMENT WHICH MAY AFFECT SAFETY-RELATED ITEMS.	10CFR50.49, PAR. (b)(2) I.F. NOTICE 79-22 SER PARA 7.7.22, CLOSED 05-17-85.	2192
SCS-QA		84-14	111	11-15-84	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES TO SUPPORT NRC SITE AUIDTO OF EQUIP. QUAL.	FMER FSAR SECT. 3.11 (CLOSED 08-16-85)	2193
SCS-QA		84-15	111	11-15-84	CENTRAL FILING OF EQDPS AT SITE TO SUPPORT NCR AUDIT.	SER SECT. 3.10, 10CFR50, APP. B (CLOSED 08-16-85)	2194
SCS-QA		84-16	111	11-15-84	MAINTENANCE/SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM FOR SAFETY/RELATED 3QUIPMENT	SER SECT. 3.10, CLOSED 05-17-85	2195
SCS-QA		84-17	11	11-15-84	EQDPS ADDRESS OF EICEPTIONS TO SPECIFICATIONS AND JUSTIFICATION. INADEQUACIES IN TEST RESULTS.	FSAR-TABLE 3.11.B.1-2, BODP X3AJO1A, X3AJO1B, NUREG 0588	2196
SCS-QA		84-18	11	11-15-84	RODP - MINIMUM TORQUE REQUIREMENTS MISSING	APPENDIX QG- SECT. 2.1.1 RQDP-X3AH05, CLOSED 05-17-85	2197
SCS-QA		84-19	11	11-15-84	SPECIFICATION VIOLATION - JUSTIFICATION	APP. QG - SECT. 3.5 EQDP X4AJ01, CLOSED 05-17-85	2198

### J6.0 PROGRAM CHANGES

Prior to March 1979, various appendixes were used in the procurement specification to qualify safety-related equipment. These appendixes were sufficient to meet the VEGP licensing commitments. However, due to uncertainties in the industry regarding equipment qualification (EQ) requirements which would be imposed on nuclear plants. Georgia Power Company and Southern Company Services, Inc., requested the Equipment Qualification Task Force to evaluate the industry concerns and prepare seismic qualification Appendix QG and environmental qualification Appendix EA. These two appendixes would establish the EQ requirements for VEGP safety-related equipment.

Appendix QG provides specific guidelines and acceptance criteria for seismic analysis and testing including the excitation wave form, operability procedures, and instrumentation to be used during testing. This appendix also covers several areas of seismic gualification over and above the VEGP licensing commitments; specifically, three-dimensional testing, input wave form, etc. Similarly, Appendix EA provides gualification guidelines and acceptance criteria for environmental gualification of VEGP equipment.

Appendixes QG and EA were transmitted to VEGP suppliers as an addendum to the procurement specification.

### J7 EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION PROGRAM VERIFICATION

This section describes the verification activities performed to ascertain whether the equipment qualification (EQ) program has implemented the VEGP licensing commitments. This verification has been divided into two parts. Part I (section J7.1) addresses the commitment implementation verification performed as a part of this appendix and Part II (section J7.2) summarizes the results of EQ verifications performed in other modules and in the Independent Design Review (IDR).

### J7.1 PART I - COMMITMENT IMPLEMENTATION VERIFICATION PERFORMED IN APPENDIX J

This section describes the EQ program verification, resultant findings, and corrective actions for Appendix J. Approximately 500 man-hours were expended by the Readiness Review Team during the verification. The four members of the team have a cumulative professional experience of 43 years in power plant design, including 20 years of equipment qualification experience.

This EQ program verification addressed the programmatic aspects of equipment qualification. Programmatic verification is a review of design documents to ascertain whether the EQ program was conducted in conformance to licensing commitments and program procedures.

This verification took place in two phases. In Phase 1, commitments identified within the scope of this appendix were reviewed for implementation in project design criteria and procedures. In Phase 2, selected commitments were further reviewed for implementation in second level documents such as specifications, equipment qualification data packages (EQDPs), supplier qualification reports, drawings, etc.

# J7.1.1 Scope and Verification Plan

The scope of the Part I EQ program verification and the plan implemented during the performance of this verification are presented below.

#### J7.1.1.1 Scope

The scope of the EQ program verification includes seismic and environmental qualification of safety-related balance-of-plant (BOP) and nuclear steam supply system (NSSS) equipment. The term "safety-related equipment" is used throughout this section to mean safety-related equipment and project-specified post-accident monitoring equipment. The BOP EQ verification included the programmatic aspects of the BOP EQ program. However, since EQ methodology and qualification reports for NSSS program. The documents reviewed included representative samples of EQDPs and their backup data; i.e., supplier qualification reports, drawings, etc. These EQDPs are listed in Table J7-1.

The commitments for the detailed review were selected on the basis that they provide a cross-section of the requirements pertaining to seismic and environmental qualification of safety-related equipment.

Section J7.1.2 provides a discussion of the results of the EQ program verification. Section J7.1.3 addresses the verification findings, including project responses and Readiness Review conclusions.

#### J7.1.2 Results and Discussions

This section describes the results of Phases 1 and 2 of the EQ verification program. Included in this presentation are the types of documents reviewed and a description of the findings.

#### J7.1.2.1 Phase 1 Verification Results

The first phase of the EQ program verification was the development of the implementation matrix, as discussed in section J7.1.1. EQ-related licensing commitments were checked to ascertain whether they were incorporated into the applicable section or appendix of the PRM, DC or other project document. Included in this effort was a review of the documents (i.e., Regulatory Guides, Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE) standards, design codes, Bechtel Topical Reports, etc.) to ensure that the required features were correctly interpreted and implemented in the project documents. Table J7-2 provides a list of the project documents reviewed.

Phase 1 of the verification determined that 64 out of 65 unique commitments were appropriately implemented. One out of 65 commitments resulted in Finding J-1 (Level II). The remaining 33 commitments duplicate one cf the unique 65 commitments.

#### 17.1.2.2 Phase 2 Verification Results

In Phase 2, 19 of the 65 unique commitments were selected from the commitment matrix (section J3.4) for a review to ascertain whether they have been consistently and correctly implemented during the qualification process. These commitments were selected based on the broadness of their application. Of the 19 commitments, 11 related to seismic qualification and the remaining 8 related to environmental qualification. Also included in the second level verification were commitments pertaining to NSSS EQ and post-accident monitoring equipment. specifications reviewed. This reculted in Finding J-4 which is discussed further in section J7.1.3.

### J7.1.2.5 Procurement Specifications and Qualification Appendixes

Qualification appendixes are controlled project documents and are included in Appendix 1 of the PRM. As discussed in section J4.3, the majority of the BOP safety-related specifications cite standard Appendix QG or EA to specify the seismic or environmental requirements, respectively. Therefore, as the implementation matrix was developed in Phase 1 of the verification, the control document referenced for first level implementation was the applicable appendix, QG or EA.

As a part of this verification, a review of the safety-related equipment specifications was performed to determine whether Appendixes QG or EA were included in the specification. This review determined that wherever these standard appendixes were not being used, Bechtel Power Corporation (BPC) Project Engineering had provided qualification criteria that was in compliance with VEGP licensing commitments either by use of other appendixes that are equipment-specific or by addressing the qualification criteria in the specification itself.

#### J7.1.2.6 Nuclear Calculations

As discussed in section J4.2.2. the environmental parameters in DC-1007 are developed using guidelines presented in applicable regulatory guidelines including NUREG-0588. The verification of project conformance to VEGP licensing comm<sup>+</sup>tments with regard to NUREG-0588 was accomplished through the review of VEGP nuclear calculations.

NUREG-0588, positions 1.1 and 1.2, address the generation of EQ temperature and pressure profiles that envelop the adverse environmental conditions of either a loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA) or a main steam line break (MSLB). A review of the VEGP LOCA and MSLB calculations was performed to verify that the computer code COPATTA was used and that the input to the code was based on the VEGP-specific design. In addition, the results of these calculations were compared to the EQ pressure and temperature profiles maintained in VEGP design criteria document DC-1007 to verify that the EQ profiles envelop the potential LOCA and MSLB conditions.

Position 1.4 addresses the determination of radiation conditions, inside and outside containment, to which equipment must be qualified. A review of VEGP radiation source and shielding calculations was performed to determine whether the assumptions, outlined in Position 1.4, were included in the calculations. The review addressed the incorporation of the following in the calculations: Project Response: Specifications X4AF03 and X4AF04 will be revised to incorporate the appropriate stress limits from the FSAR table. The corresponding qualification reports have been rereviewed for conformance to the revised specifications. The Project has concluded that qualification reports for pumps procured under specification X4AF04 incorporate the stress limits specified in the FSAR, Table 3.9.B.3-5. For the pumps procured under specification X4AF03, Project has performed additional analysis to confirm the the pumps meet the stress criteria specified in the FSAR.

Procurement specifica: ons for active pumps and valves will be reviewed and wised, if required, for conformance to stress mits, and the corresponding qualification reports will be reviewed for conformance to revised specifications. Stress limits and loading combinations for active and inactive equipment will be reinstated in applicable design criteria. The above corrective actions will be completed by May 1, 1986.

Readiness Review Conclusion: The response is acceptable. The Project corrective action will ensure implementation of licensing commitments for stress limits on active pumps.

o Finding J-4 (Level II)

Finding: In accordance with FSAR Section 3.11.B, safety-related equipment is gualified to perform its design function under normal, abnormal, and design basis accident (DBA) plant-specified conditions. These conditions are specified in design criteria document DC-1007 and provided to the suppliers in Attachment EA of the safety-related equipment specification. The Readiness Review Team performed a review of 17 equipment specifications to determine whether Attachment EA in the specifications has been updated to reflect Revision 4 of DC-1007, dated April 30, 1985. Six specifications were found not to be updated.

Project Response: Revisions to DC-1007 are formally reviewed by the project to determine the potential impact to equipment. Because of the review, no potential hardware changes are anticipated. The safety-related specifications that use data from DC-1007 will be reviewed for conformance to the latest revision of DC-1007. In cases where the data in the specifications do not conform to the latest revision of DC-1007, the specifications will be revised and the corresponding equipment qualification reports will be reviewed by June 1, 1986, for conformance to the revised specifications. Future revisions to DC-1007 will be reviewed for impact on safety-related equipment

B. The Readiness Review Team performed a preliminary review of two "in progress" EQDPs, since there were no completed EQDPs for mechanical equipment at the time of the verification review. It was concluded that for the stage of development of the qualification packages, they were in compliance with project procedures. These EQDPs are listed in Table J7-3.

There were no EQ-related findings issued as a result of the above review. More detailed information about these activities can be found in section 6.1.3 of Module 4.

#### J7.2.2 Module 6, Electrical Equipment

EQ-related activities evaluated by the Readiness Review Team in the design program verification of Module 6 included a review of eight specifications and the corresponding EQDPs using checklists. The Readiness Review Team also performed a walkdown of representative equipment procured under each specification to verify that licensing commitments and design requirements were correctly implemented in the installation process. The selected equipment and EQDPs are listed in Table J7-3.

There were no significant findings issued as a result of the specification or EQDP review related to EQ. The Readiness Review Team concluded that VEGP licensing commitments and project procedures had been properly implemented.

The equipment walkdown resulted in two findings related to deficiencies in defining and implementing seismic clearances around safety related electrical equipment. The Project has performed a walkdown of the safety-related floor- and wall-mounted electrical equipment in Unit 1 and has either confirmed or taken corrective action to ensure that separation requirements are met.

More detailed information on the EQ-related activities performed in Module 6 can be found in sections 6.1.3 and 6.1.4 of the module.

#### J7.2.3 Module 7, Plant Operations and Support

EQ-related activities to be performed during plant operation were covered in Module 7. The Readiness Review team reviewed EQ-related licensing commitments during plant operations to ensure that Georgia Power Company (GPC) Nuclear Operations Department had approved procedures for implementation of these commitments or that the procedures were in draft. There were no findings issued as a result of this review. lack of inclusion of vendor mounting details for transmitters on installation drawings. This resulted in Finding 16-13. The project committed to reinstalling the affected transmitters and revising affected drawings to conform to vendor's qualification requirements.

The program for comparison of environmental qualification levels was reviewed. The NSSS equipment evaluated in this review is listed in Table J7-3. The Readiness Review Team concluded that, although work was still in progress in this area, a complete program exists to provide a comparison of environmental qualification conditions with location-specific environmental requirements for applicable equipment. There were no findings issued as a result of this review.

More detailed information on the EQ-related activities performed in Module 16 can be found in sections 6.1.3 and 6.1.4 of the module.

#### J7.2.7 Module 17, Raceways

EQ-related activities evaluated by the Readiness Review Team in the design program verification of Module 17 included the following:

- A. The EQDP for junction boxes was reviewed, using the applicable checklist, to ensure compliance with project procedures and industry standards. There were no findings written as a result of this review. This EQDP is listed in Table J7-3.
- B. A review was performed on construction specification X3AR01. During the review, the Readiness Review Team identified that the guidelines provided in the specification for rigidly attaching cable trays to junction boxes, switchboards, and other enclosures could invalidate seismic qualification of safety-related equipment. This resulted in Finding 17-13. In response to this finding, the Project reviewed Unit 1 cable tray installation and verified that none had been attached to safety-related equipment using these guidelines. The cable trays were installed in accordance with drawing CX3DF001, which prohibits rigid attachment of cable trays to safety-related electrical equipment. Construction specification change notice 363 was written on July 16, 1985, to revise specification X3AR01, section E8, to conform to drawing CX3DF001.

More detailed information on the EQ-related activities performed in Module 17 can be found in sections 6.1.3 and 6.1.4 of the module.

### TABLE J7-1 (SHEET I OF 10)

#### COMMITMENTS VERIFICATION MATRIX

Commit		Faulpment			Ver	ification		
mont -		Description	Module		Report	Section	Page	
Number	Requirement	and Tag No.	Ref.	EQDP	No.	No.	No.	Comments
154	Were the damping values per NRC Regulatory Guide 1.61, Rev. 07	Auxillary Feed- water Motor- Driven Pump, 1-1302-P4-002	4, IDR	X4AF03(1)	X4AF03- 222-4	Appendix A, Section A.4	24	Pump is shown rigid by test and analysis; static analysis with ig SSE was used. Damping doesn't apply in rigid range.
		Control Room Air Handling Unit, 1-1531- N7-002	N/A	X4AJ07(1)	X4AJ07- 571-2, Vol. 1	Section III	16	Vol. 1 contains design criteria and methodol- ogy.
174	Were the modal responses and spatial components combined in the seismic analysis	Auxitiary Feed- water Motor- Driven Pump, 1-1302-P4-002	4, IDR	X4AF03(1)	X4AF03- 222-4	Appendix A, Section A.4	24	Spatlal components addressed. Pump is rigid, hence modal responses are irrelavant.
	in accordance with NRC Regula- tory Guide 1.92, Rev. 1?	Control Room Air Handling Unit, 1-1531- N7-002	N/A	X4AJ07(1)	X4AJ07- 571-2, Vol. 1	1.3	н	Vol. I contains design criteria and method- ology

#### TABLE J7-1 (SHEET 2 OF 10)

Commit		Equipment			Ver	rification		
mont		Description	Module		Report	Section	Page	
Number	Requirement	and Tag No.	Ref.	EQDP	No.	No.	No.	Comments
178	Was the equipment	Post-Accident	N/A	X6AA15(1)	X6AA10-	2.9, 2.10	9 to 12	
	qualified in	Monitoring			123-25	Figure 2,	16, and	
	accordance with	(PAMS) Conden-			ESE-14	and Table	18	
	IEEE 323-1974	sate Storage				1		
	and 344-1975 to	Tank Level						
	comply with NRC	Indicator,						
	Regulatory Guide	1-L1-5111A						
	1.97, Rev. 27							
		Post-Accident	N/A	X5AA05,	X5AA05-	1.0, 1.1,	8 *0	Equipment qualified
		Containment		Rev. 0	40-1	1.2	11	to IEEE 344-1975.
		Hydrogen						Panel by analysis,
		Monitor,			X5AA05-	1.0	1, 3,	system by testing.
		1-1513-P5-HMA			44-3		88,	
							89	
					X5AA05-	N/A	213,	Equipment qualified
					33-6		214,	to IEEE 323-1974.
							216,	
							221	
1016	Were the three	Motor Control	6, IDR	X3AC03,	X3AC03-	5.5, 5.6	12	Tests performed
	components of	Center (MCC),		Rev. 1	2400-3			on 4-bay MCC.
	earthquake motion	1-1805-S3-ABC						
	seismic testing	4.16 kV Metal	IDR	X3AC01.	X3AC01-	Appendix	6	
	using the guide-	Clad Switch-		Rev. 1	5157-1	II, Section	n	
	lines presented	gear, 1-1804-				6.1		
	In IEEE 344 19752	53.402						

#### TABLE J7-1 (SHEET 3 OF 10)

Commit-		Equipment			Ver	rification	
ment		Description	Module		Report	Section	Page
Number	Requirement	and Tag No.	Ref.	EQDP	No.	No.	No.
4999	Was the shaft	Auxiliary Feed-	4, 1DR	X4AF03(1)	X4AF03-	3.3.1,	16,
	clearance verified	water Motor-			222-4	and	102
	In the selsmic	Driven Pump,				Appendix E,	
	analysis?	1-1302-P4-002				Section	
						E.2	
		Component	IDR	X4AFOI.	X4AF01-	Appendix	N/A,
		Cooling Water		Rev. I	105-6	E, Appendix	6a
		Pump, 1-1203-				F	
		P4-001					
5000	Did the valve	4"-900# Gate	IDR	X4AROI,	X4AR01-	6.0,	2, 3
	internals bind	Valve with		Rev. 0	534-5	Table I	4
	during the static	Limitorque					
	deflection tests	Operator.					
	for active valves?	1-119-3009					
		4"-900# Globe	1 DR	X5AC01,	X5AC01-	Attachment	133
		Valve with		Rev. 0	262-3	5	
		Limitorque					
		Operator.					
		1-HV-5125					

Comments

#### TABLE J7-1 (SHEET 4 OF 10)

Commit-		Equipment			Ver	ification		
mont		Description	Module		Report	Section	Page	
Number	Requirement	and Tag No.	Ref.	EQOP	No.	No.	No.	Comments
5002	Were nozzle loads	4"_900# Gate	IDR	X4AROI,	X4ARO1-	v	19	Piping end reactions
	considered in the	Valve with		Rev. 0	574-1			per NC-3521(a).
	seismic analysis	Limitorque						
	of the active valve?	Operator, I-HV-3009						
		4"-900# Globe	IDR	X5AC01.	X5AC01-	2.5.	7.	Piping end reactions
		Valve with		Rev. 0	262-3	Attachment	48	per NC-3521(a).
		Limitorque				1		
		Operator,						
		1-HV-5125						
1682	Were the valve	Gate Valve	IDR	X4AROI,	X4AROI-	Report	33, 34	Both valve operators
	motor operators	with Limitorque		Rev. I	434-4	B0058,		have dc Limitorques.
	qualified to the	SMB-000-15				Sec. 5.0		
	requirements of	Operator,						
	Section 4 of IEEE	1-HV-3009				Report	240 -	
	382-1972?					B000%,	252	×.
						Sec. 1-5		
		Globe Valve with	IDR	X5AC01,	Same as	Same as	Same	Same as above.
		Limitorque		Rev. I	above	above	as	
		SB-00-15					above	
		Operator,						
		1-HV-5125						

#### TABLE J7-1 (SHEET 5 OF 10)

Commit-		Equipment			Ve	ification		
mont		Description	Module		Report	Section	Page	
Number	Requirement	and Tag No.	Ref.	EQOP	No.	No.	No.	Comments
5008	Was single frequency testing done for line-	4"-900# Gate Valve with Limitorque	IDR	XAROI, Rev. O	X4AP01- 434-4	9.0	748	Valve body demonstra- ted to be rigid (fn > 33 Hz) by
	mounted valve?	Operator, 1-HV-3009			X4AJ20- 146-7	7.3 to 7.5, 7.12	43 to 47, 48D	analysis and reson- ance testing (X4AR01- 574-! and X4AR01-511- 3). Limitorque operator testad per generic report X4AR01-434-4. Namco limit switch tested per generic report X4AJ20-146-7.
		4"-900# Globe Valve with Limitorque Operator, 1-HV-5125	IDR	X5AC01, Rev. 0	x4AR01- 434-4	9.0	748	valve body demonstra- ted to be rigid (f <sub>n</sub> > 33 Hz) by analysis and reson- ance testing (X5ACO)- 262-3). Limitorque operator tested per generic report X4ARO1-434-4.

#### TABLE J7-1 (SHEET 6 OF 10)

Committ		Equipment			Ver	rification		
mont		Description	Module		Report	Section	Page	
Number	Requirement	and Tag No.	Ref.	EQOP	No.	No.	No.	Comments
5007	Did the equipment perform its safety- related functions before, during, and after five OBEs and one SSE?	Motor Control Centers (MCC), I-1805-S3-ABC	6, 1DR	X3ACO3, Eev. 1	X3AC03- 2400-3	Appendix G, Section G2, G4, G6 to G8	N/A	Tests performed on 4-bay MCC.
		4.16 kV Metal Clad Switch- gear, 1-1804-S3- A02	IDR	X3ACOI, Rev. 1	X3AC01- 5157-1	Appendix 11, Sections 5.8, 6.7, and 6.8	5, 9	
5016	Did the required response spectra (RRS) used for qualification envelop the plant	Solid State Protection System (SSPS) Cabinet, 1-1605-Q5-SPA	16	X6AA15(1)	X6AA10- 123-25	ESE-16	30	Enveloped RRS curves In DC-1005, p. 131 en 132.
	specific RRS provided in FSAR Section 3.7 (MSSS)?	Nuclear Instru- ment System (NIS) Console, 1-1602-05-NIR	N/A	X6AA15(1)	X6AA10- 123-25	ESE-10	21	Enveloped RRS curves in DC-1005, p. 131 through 134.

#### TABLE J7-1 (SHEET 7 OF 10)

Commit		Equipment			Ve	rification		
mont		Description	Module		Report	Section	Page	
Nur/.er	Requirement	and Tag No.	Ref.	EQOP	No.	No.	No.	Comments
5011	Was this equip-	600 V Power and	12	X3AJ02,	X3AJ02-	1.4.1	5,6,33,	
	ment designed to	Control Cable		Rev. 2	7-2		49	
	safety-related	Containment	IDR	X3AB03,	X3AB03-	5.14.9,	076,	
	function while	Low Voltage		Rev. I	110-7	Figure	077	
	in long term	Large Conductor				5.14.5		
	contact with a	Electric Pene-						
	combined boric	tration Assembly.						
	ecid-sodium hy-	1-1818-H3-P70						
	droxide solution?							
5018	Was the qualified	Containment	6, 1DR	X3AB03,	X3AB03-	N/A	005,	No replacement progra
	life of less than	Medium Voltage		Rev. I	110-7		006	required, as equipmen
	41 years stated?	Electrical						qualified for 41
	If so, was a re-	Penetration						years.
	placement require-	Assembly,						
	ment identified?	1-1818-H3-P08						
		125 Vdc Battery	6	X3AD01,	X3AD01-	8.0	054	Replacement program
		and Charger.		Rev. I	179-1			was verified in EQOP
		1-1806-83-8YD						X3AD01, Section G.
		1-1806-83-CAA			X3AD01-	11.	056	
					118-2			

#### TABLE J7-1 (SHEET 8 OF 10)

Committe		Equipment			Verl	fication		
mont		Description	Module		Report	Section	Page	
Number	Requirement	and Tag No.	Ref.	EQDP	No	No.	No.	Comments
5017	Was the NSSS	Differential	N/A	X6AA15(1)	X6AA10-	2-5,7	2, 3,	
	equipment designed	Pressure Trans-			124-27,		4, 5,	
	and qualified to	mitter (PDT).			WCAP		8, 12,	
	perform its	Barton Model			8687,		14, 14e,	
	safety-related	No. 764			E03A		and 20	
	function while exposed to the				X6AA10-123	2.10,	12, 13	
	applicable				-25, WCAP	2.12		
	environmental				8587,			
	conditions?				ESE-3A			
		Externally	N/A	X6AA15(1)	X6AA10-	4, 7	8, 9,	
		Mounted Limit			124-27,		20, 21	
		Switch, NAMCO			WCAP		23, 35,	
		EA18012303			9687,		49, and	
					HO3A/		60	
					HOGA			
					X6AA10	2.10	12	
					-123-25.			
					WCAP			
					8587.			
					HE-3/HE-6			
2010	Were the normal	Containment	6. IDR	X3ABO3.	X3AB03-	3. 4.	5 12,	
2010	abnormal and DRA	Medium Voltage	0, 100	Rev. I	110-7	5	22-25,	
	condizione used	Floctrical Pono-					31,	
	for the emin-	tration Assembly.					52-58,	
	mont anylron-	1-1818-H3-P08					66-75	
	mental design							
	and qualification?							

#### TABLE J7-1 (SHEET 9 OF 10)

Commit-		Equipment			Ver	Ification		
mont		Description	Module		Report	Section	Page	
Number_	Requirement	and Tag No.	Ref.	EQOP	No.	No.	No.	Comments
		Rosemount	IDR	X5AD04,	X5AD04-	7,9	26, 27	
		Differential		Rev. I	61-5		43	
		Pressure						
		Transmitter, 1-FT-5151						
1254	Was the qualifi-	Containment	IDR	X3AB03,	X3:303-	1, 2,	005,	
	cation test	Medium Voltage		Rev. I	110-7	4	008,	
	sequence in	Electrical					009,	
	accordance with	Penetration					014-	
	IEEE 323-1974?	Assembly, 1-1818-H3-P08					020	
		Rosemunt	6 IDR	X5AD04.	X5ADO4-	4.1	18	
		Differential	0, 101	Rev. I	61-5			
		Pressure						
		Transmitter.						
		1-FT-5151						
1263	Was the equip	Rosemount	6, 1DR	X5AD04,	X5AD04-	4.1,	018,	
	ment qualified by	Differential		Rev. I	61-5	7.1.3 to	025-028,	
	type testing per	Pressure				7.1.11,	043 and	
	IEEE 323-19747	Transmitter,				9.1 and	044	
		1-FT-5151				9.3		
		Motor Control	6, 1DR	X3AC03,	X3AC03-	1.0	005	
		Centers, 1-1805-53-ABA/		Rev. I	-1215-2			
	type testing per IEEE 323-1974?	Pressure Transmitter, 1-FT-5151 Motor Control Centers, 1-1805-53-ABA/ ABC	6, IDR	X3AC03, Rev. 1	X3AC03- -1215-2	7.1.i1, 9.1 and 9.3 1.0	043 and 044 005	

#### TABLE J7-1 (SHEET 10 OF 10)

Commit		Eculoment			Ver	ification		
mant		Description	Module		Report	Section	Page	
Number	Requirement	and fag No.	Ref	EVOP	No.	No.	No.	Comments
176 (IEEE 344-1975, section 6)	Did the test response spectra envelop the required response spectra for similar damping	Motor Control Center (MCC), 1-1805-S3-ABC	6, IDR	X3ACO3, Rev. 1	X 3AC0 3- 2400-3	6.0, Figures 6.2 to 6.5, Appendix D	20, 23 to 26	
,	values?	4.16 kV Metal Clad Switch- geer, 1-1804-S3-A02	IDR	X3ACOI, Rev. 1	X3AC01- 5157-1	N/A	18 to 21	
176 (IEEE 344-1975, sections	Do installation requirements (wendor install- ation drawings)	4.16 kV Metal Clad Switch gear, 1-1804-S3-AC2	IDR	X3ACOI, Rev. I	X3ACQ1- 5157-1	Appendix 11, Section 6.1	6	Vandor installation drawing 51903-D0260 also used in qualifi cation test report.
5 and C	comply with anchorage details per the qualifi- cation report?	Auxillary Feed- water Motor- Driven Pump, 1-1302-P4-002	4, IDR	X4,3F03(1)	X4AF03- 222-4	Appendix D, Section D.4	89 to 95	Bechtel installation drawings IX20596061 and IX2059A001 agree with vendor analysis.

Notes: 1. EQDP is in progress, however, sumplier qualification reports were reviewed and accepted by BPC Project Engineering.

### TABLE J7-2

# PHASE 1 VERIFICATION DOCUMENTS REVIEWED

# Design Manual

DC-1000-C	General Design Criteria (Civil/Structural)
DC-1005	Seismic - Interdiscipline
DC-1007	Environment - Interdiscipline
DC-1010	Project Classification List - Interdiscipline
DC-1017	Pipe Stress and Pipe Supports Analysis Criteria
DC-2167	HVAC and Duct Supports

# Project Reference Manual

Part C, Section 8	Specification and Procurement
Part C, Section 29	ASME Section III Design Specifications. Stress/Design Reports, and Load Capacity Data Sheets
Part C, Section 37	Equipment Qualification
Appendix EA	Qualification Requirements for Sefety-Related Equipment, Devicer and Instrumentation
Appendix QG	Seismic Qualification Requirements for Safety-Related Seismic Category I Equipment Devices and Instrumentation

0337A/079-6

#### TABLE J7-3 (SHEET 1 OF 4)

#### EQUIPMENT QUALIFICATION REVIEW PERFORMED IN OTHER MODULES AND THE IDR

			Qua	lification	Equipment	
Equipment Description	Tag No.	EQDP	Seismic	Environmental	Walkdown	Comments
Module 4:						
I. Auxillary Feedwater Pumps	(!)	X4AF03	Yes(2)	N/A(3)	N/A	Preliminary review - EQDP in progress. See notes on Sheet 4.
2. Nuclear and Non-nuclear Solenoid Valves	(1)	X5AC07	Yes	N/A	N/A	Preliminary review - EQDP in progress.
Module 6:						
1. 480V Switchgear	1-i905-S3-805	X3AC02	Yes	Yes	Yes	
2. Motor Control Center	1-1805-03-ABA	X3AC03	Yes	Yes	Yes	
3. 125V dc Battery, Rack, and	1-1806-83-8YD 1-1806-83-RYD	X3AD01	Yes	Yes	Yes	
	1-1306-83-088		Yes	Yes	Yes	
4. 1209 ac Distribution Panel	1-1907-Q3-V*1	X3AF01	Yes	Yes	Yes	
5. 480/120V Regulating Trans- former	1-1807-Y3-04	X3AA04	Yes	Yes	Yes	
6. 120V Inverter	1-1807-Y3-182	X3AQ03	Yes	Yes	Yes	
7. Insulation Device Panel	1-1816-V3-009	X3AE06	Yes	Yes	Yes	

#### TABLE J7-3 (SHEET 2 OF 4)

			Que	lification	Equipment	
Equipment Description	Tog No.	EQDP	Seismic	Environmental	Walkdown	Comments
B. Containment Electrical Fenetration Assembly	1-1818-113-P08	X3AB03	Yes	Yes	1-1818-H3-PTT	
Module 12:						
1. 600V Power and Control Cable	(1)	X3AJ02	N/A	Yes	N/A	
2. Cable Termination Material	(1)	X3AJ11	N/A	Yes	N/A	
Module 16:						
1. Nodulating Valve	1-HCV-190	X6AA15	N/A	Yes	N/A	Selsmic verification was not performed in Module 16 inca program was still under development.
2. Motor Operated Valve	1-HV-8802A	X6AA15	N/A	Yes	N/A	
3. Solid State Protection System Cabinet	1-1605-Q5-SPA	X6AA15	N/A	Yes	N/A	
4. Transmitters	I-FT-918 I-FT-444 I-PT-418 I-PT-455	X6AA15	N/A	Yes	N/A	
5. Safety Injection Pumps	0	X6A/.15	N-A	Yes	N/A	
Module 17:						
1. Junction Boxes	(1)	X3AH05	Yes	Yes	N/A	

0342A/079-6/2

#### TABLE J7-3 (SHEET 3 OF 4)

			Qua	allfication	Equipment	
Equipment Description	Tag No.	EQDP	Selsmic	Environmental	Walkdown	Comments
1DR:						
1. Motor-Operated Valve, Outside Containment	1-(11-3009	X4AR01	Yes	Yes	N/A	
2. Motor Operated Valve, Inside Containment	1-HV-87026	X6AA10	Yes	Yes	N/A	
3. Medium Voltage Containment Electrical Penatration Assembly	(1)	X3ABC3	Yes	Yes	I -1818-H3-P08	
4. Motor Contro! Center	(1)	X3AC03	Yas	Yes	-1805-S3-ABC	
5. Auxillary Fredwater Pump	1-1302-P4-002	X4AF03	Yes	N/A	N/A	
6. Auxillary Feedwater Pump Motor	1-1302-P4-002 -M01	X4AF03	Yes	Yes	N/A	
7. Differential Pressure Transmitter	1-FT-5151	X5AD04	Yes	Yes	N/A	
8. Power and Instrument Cable	N/A	X3AJ01, X3AJ04	N/A	Yes	N/A	
9. 4.16 kV Metal Clad Switchgear	(1)	X3AC01	Yes	N/A	N/A	

#### TABLE J7-3 (SHEET 4 OF 4)

			Qui	alification	Equipment	
Equipment Description	Tag No.	EODE	Salsmic	Environmental	Walkdown	Comments
10 125 Vdc Batteries, Racks and Chargers	s (1)	X3AD01	Yes	N/A	I-1806-83-8YD I-1806-83-RYD I-1806-83-C88	
II. Component Cooling Water (CCW) Pumps	(1)	X4AF01	Yes	N/A	Yes	
12. COW Heat Exchanger	(1)	X4AE01	Yes	N/A	Yes	
13. CCW Butterfly Valves (Fisher)	(1)	X5AC03	Yes	N/A	1-HV-11800	
14. CCW Surge Tank	(1)	X4AHO4	Yes	N/A	Yes	
15. Active Valve	1-1204-04-263	X4AR01	Yes	N/A	N/A	

NOTES:

1. Reviewed qualification report, no specific tag number identified. (Typ)

2. Yes - Covered during equipment qualification review. (Typ)

3. N/A - Not covered during equipment qualification review. (Typ)

0342A/079-6/4

#### TABLE J7-4 (SHEET 1 OF 3)

#### PART 11 - EQ RELATED READINESS REVIEW FINDING SUMMARY

Finding	Finding	Level	Resolution/Project Response	Conclusion/ Assessment
6-32	Tray support clearance over electrical equip ment less than required by construction specification.	n	A walkdown of all Class IE electrical equipment identified additional discrepancies which were corrected Field procedures revised to implement the construction specification requirement.	Response acceptable
6-37	Allowable seismic gaps between components inad- equately defined in the construction specification.	11	Requirement for gaps between equipment clarified in the construction specifica- tion. A walkdown of all Class IE electrical equipment identified six instances where dripshialds infringed on the required gap. The dripshields will be trimmed.	Response is acceptable based on committed corrective action.
6-90	The battery qualification temperature of 75°F is less severe than the 80°F specified.	111	The 75°F qualification temperature is correct as determined by the HVAC parameters stated in DC-1000-M. This data was incorrectly transferred into DC-1007. DC-1007 and the specification (Attachment EA) have been corrected.	Response acceptable
16-12	Leck of documentation for comparisons made of valve acceloration levels.	111	Documentation will be included per existing (1982) calculation format during "as-built" reconciliation.	Response acceptable

#### TABLE J7-4 (SHEET 2 OF 3)

Inding	Finding	Level	Resolution/Project Response	Conclusion/ Assessment
6-13	Inaccurate qualified process transmitter seismic mounting bolt details.	4	Drawings are revised and affected transmitters will be reinstalled. Training of designers will be done to incorporate vendor qualification details on instrument installation Drawings.	Response acceptable
6-15	Lack of defined complete program to verify NSSS equipment seismic qualification.	н	Existing program to be included as part of the PRM.	Response acceptable
7-13	The construction specifi- cation X3AR01 requires that tray runs terminating at a wall mounted junction box, switchboard or other enclosure be terminated with a rigid bolted connection. No analysis is available to justify requirement.		Drawing No. CX3DF001 prohibits this connection. A field walkdown verified that the tray was installed per the drawing. A CSCN was written to correct X3AR01.	Response acceptable.
22-F15	instrument Cable qualification documents used different data points for Arrhenuis plot on aging from industry standard.		Second test report submitted by vendor included a lower data point which fell on curve plotted with the higher data point. Vendor projection of life for instrument cable is acceptable.	Later test data has been Included in the vendor qualification package and response is acceptable.

#### TABLE J7-4 (SHEET 3 OF 3)

# Finding

#### Finding

#### Level Resolution/Project Response

11

- 22-F19 Acceptance of seismic qualification of valves for X4And: was based on lower "g" values than required by Design Criteria DC-1017 (2.1/2.1/2.1g).
- 22-F21 Qualification report for the auxillary feedwater pump motor does not specifically address this motor supplied to Plant Vogtle. Prototype report WCAP 8754 is enclosed.
- 22-F25 Qualification test for cable did not meet regulatory guide position for subjected aged cables to a flame test.

Anchor Darling valves with Hiller operator were qualified to 1.95/1.95/1.95g. The value is based on certain derating factors which have increased the value to 3.09/3.09/3.09g. DC-1017 will be revised.

- 11 Total operation time for motor has been compared to the thermal endurances plot in the qualification report. Specific qualification life analysis will be added to the EQDP. Work was in progress.
- 11 Flame testing is addressed in another supplier document which describes aged and unaged cables.

#### Conclusion/ Assessment

Justification for use of derating factors must be reviewed.

IDR review of total time of operation and comparison to the thermal endurance plot conforms the motor meets the qualified life objective.

Project submitte! of test data and results meet the requirements of this project position to the regulatory guide. Tese data is now included in the gualification document.

#### J8 ASSESSMENTS/CONCLUSIONS

### J8.1 SUMMARY OF OPEN CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

o Finding J-1

Action: Revise procurement specifications X4AF03 and X4AF04 to incorporate appropriate stress limits from Final Safety Analysis Report Table 3.9.B.3-5. Review and revise, if required, procurement specifications for romaining active pumps and valves for conformance to committed stress limits. Review corresponding qualification reports for conformance to revised specifications. Incorporate stress limits and loading combinations for active and inactive equipment in applicable design criteria.

Responsible Organization: BPC Project Engineering.

Completion Date: May 1, 1986.

o Finding J-4

Action: Review and revise, if required, safety-related specifications that use data from design criteria document DC-1007 for conformance to its latest revision. Review gualification reports for conformance to revised specifications.

Responsible Organization: BPC Project Engineering.

Completion Date: June 1, 1986.

#### J8.3 RESUMES

The resumes which follow present a brief professional history of these personnel involved in the development of Appendix J:

DININIO, BETH A., Engineer, Team Member

Ms. Dininio has been employed by Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation since 1980 and is currently an engineer in the Engineering Mechanics Division. She is a support engineer assigned to the Millstone 3 Project Team responsible for mechanical and electrical equipment seismic qualification.

#### Education:

University of Massachusetts B.S., Mechanical Engineering

E.I.T., State of Massachusetts

DRUCKER, MARK T., Engineer, Team Member

Mr. Drucker began his employment with Bechtel Engingering Corporation in 1983. He has 3 years experience in nuclear power plant engineering.

As licensing engineer assigned to the Arizona Nuclear Power Project, Mr. Drucker's responsibilities include licensing coordination between project disciplines. He also evaluates the impact of changes to the power plant thought the performance of 10 CFR 50.59 safety reviews and evaluations. Previously, he participated in a backfit of fire protection equipment where he coordinated and evaluated technical information. He also worked at the Vandellos II Nuclear Power Plant as a lead engineer responsible for the evaluation of the in-containment main steam line break analysis.

#### Education:

University of California at Los Angeles B.S., Nuclear Engineering M.S., Nuclear/Thermal Engineering

KABRE, PRAKASH J., Engineer, Team Leader

Mr. Kabre began his employment with Bechtel Power Corporation since 1979 and has over 12 years experience in nuclear power plant engineering. Currently he is a technical specialist in the Civil/Structural Project Field Engineering Group where his duties include technical and quality-related reviews of the group's work. He has been an engineering group leader in the Equipment Qualification Review Group responsible for review of Georgia Power Company Project Management Post Office Box 282 Waynesboro, Georgia 30830 Telephone 404 724-8114 404 554-9961

Southern Company Services, Inc. Post Office Box 2625 Birmingham, Alabama 35202 Telephone 205 870-6011



Date: March 19, 1986

Re: <u>Plant Vogtle - Units 1 & 2</u> Readiness Review Module 21, Appendix J File: K/BD102 Log: SS-5504

From: O. Batum

To: W. C. Ramsey

Engineering has reviewed Module 21, Appendix J, Equipment Qualification, for general accuracy and completeness. In the best of our knowledge and belief, the module is a complete and accurate representation of the Equipment Qualification, and the engineering process and commitments related thereto.

2a Sole

Ozer Batum Deputy to Vice President Project Engineering

xc: Project File

Post Office Box 2625 Birmingham, Alabama 35202 Telephone 205 870-6011



DATE:	March	20.	1986
-------	-------	-----	------

LSV-NS-1671 V-B.01.05

RE: <u>Plant Vogtle - Units 1 and 2</u> Readiness Review Appendix J File: X3LB01, X7BD102

FROM: J. A. Bailey

TO: W. C. Ramsey

Licensing has reviewed Appendix J concerning Equipment Qualification for general accuracy and completeness. To the best of our knowledge and beiief, the appendix is a complete and accurate representation of the Equipment Qualification Program and the processes and commitments related thereto.

. G. Bailey

JAB/sm xc: Project File

0407V

### Equipment Qualification - Appendix J

Readiness Review Board Acceptance

The Readiness Review Board has been apprised of the scope and content of Appendix J, Equipment Qualification.

The Board has reviewed the program verification, as well as corrective actions, both proposed and implemented, by the Vogtle Project. Based upon this review and based upon the collective experience and professional judgement of the members, the Readiness Review Board is of the opinion that the corrective actions proposed are acceptable, and that the equipment qualification program at Plant Vogtle is sound and complies with commitments set forth in the FSAR and acceptable practices.

APPROVED:

DATE: 3-20-86

Doug Dutton Chairman, Readiness Review Board Vogtle Electric Generating Plant