

ISSN: 2277-3754 ISO 9001:2008 Certified International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT) Volume 3, Issue 8, February 2014

# Effects of Addition of Whey on Phytic Acid Degradation of Bread

K.P. Babar, S.S. Thorat, P.M. Kotecha, R.S. Gaikwad, S.B. Lande Department of Food Science and Technology, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri Dist- Ahmednagar, MH (INDIA) 413722.

Abstract- The investigation was carried out to study the effect of addition of whey on phytic acid degradation and to improve nutritional quality of bread. Bread was prepared from wheat variety Trimbak (NIAW 301) maida with addition of different levels of whey viz., 20% ( $T_1$ ), 40% ( $T_2$ ), 60% ( $T_3$ ), 80% ( $T_4$ ), 100% $(T_5)$  by replacing requisite amount of waterand control  $(T_0)$  without addition of whey using straight dough method. Maida was analyzed and it contained 14% moisture, 2.9% fat, 12.98% protein, 66.3% carbohydrate, 4.2% ash, 0.7% fiber and micronutrients like calcium 34 mg/100g, phosphorous 508mg/100g and iron 3.52 mg/100g. Sedimentation value of maida was 37.8ml. Dry and wet gluten were 9.92% and 29.80% respectively. Phytic acid of maida was 9.8 mg/g on dry weight basis.Whey contained 1% protein, 5.50% carbohydrate, 45mg/100g calcium and 41 mg/100g phosphorous.As concentration of whey increased, phytic acid in bread was decreased from 3.20mg/g up to 1.58mg/g. Positive correlation was obtained with respect to reduction of 50% of phytic acid with increasing level of whey. Addition of whey helped to improve micronutrientof bread with respect to calcium and iron content.

Index Terms-bread; whey; phytic acid.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The bread is leavened product prepared using cereals most commonly wheat. Bread is usually made from a wheat flour dough that is cultured with yeast, allowed to rise, and finally baked in an oven. The salt, fat and leavening agents such as yeast are common ingredients of leavened bread. The wheat flour (maida) containing high levels of gluten (which give the dough sponginess and elasticity), common wheat (also known as bread wheat) is the most common grain used for the preparation of bread [1]. Whey proteins are widely used as food ingredient and have several functional properties [2]. Whey proteins enhances browning when protein combines with lactose and heat as a result of maillard reaction and increased nutritional value [3]. Whey proteins are considered as natural functional additives having the ability to interact with the starch and gluten network in a dough system and act as dough improvers [4]. Earlier scientist analyzed whey and found that acid casein whey contained 93.1% water, 0.25% fat and 1% protein [5]. The proximate composition of whey varies accordingly from the source its obtained and the method employed for its preparation [6]. Calcium and phosphorous content of whey was 38.5 mg/100g and 43 mg/100g respectively [7]. Phytic acid is present in many plant systems, constituting about 1 to 5% by weight of many cereals and legumes. Phytic acid, which is myoinositol 1,2,3,4,5,6-hexakis (dihydrogen

phosphate) as it interferes with the intestinal absorption of certain minerals like zinc and iron which causes nutritional deficiencies[8]. Phytic acid has a strong ability to chelate multivalent metal ions, specially zinc, calcium, and iron. The binding can result in poor bioavailability of minerals.Phytic acid has a high potential for chelating the mineral which may decrease the bioavailability of minerals [9]. Phytate in the form of phosphorous was determined to evaluate the effect whey addition on phytic acid. Phytic of acid (myoinositolhexa-phosphoric acid) is the major phosphorous storage compound of most cereal grains, it may accounts for 70% of the total phosphorous. The binding with minerals can result in poor bioavailability of minerals. It reduces the nutritional value. During bread making the content of phytic acid decreases due to the action of phytases in the dough. Phytate degrading enzymes exist in cereals and yeast [10][11][12].

#### **II. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Raw material:-The wheat (Trimbak NIAW 301) was procured from AICRP on wheat, Niphad under MPKV, Rahuri. Dist- Ahmednagar, Maharashtra (India). Wheat was cleaned and conditioned with 2% water (v/w) for 24 h at ambient temperature to equilibriate moisture content. Trimbak wheat was fed to brabender quadramat flour mill to obtain maida. Maida was again sieved by using 70 mesh screen. Ingredients for bread like vanaspati ghee, sugar and other required ingredients were procured from local market. Chemicals used in this investigation were procured from M/s Fine chemicals, Mumbai and M/s Loba Chemicals, Mumbai. Whey was prepared freshly by adding 0.2% citric acid solution added to fresh milk heated near boiling pointto coagulate all casein in milk. The whey was separated from casein coagulated with muslin cloth and was used in further experiments. Proximate composition Proximate composition of maida (Trimbak) like moisture, fat, protein, ash.sedimentation carbohydrates, value and gluten percentage was determined by standard methods [13]. The crude fat was determined by Soxhlet method using hexane (60-80 °C) as solvent in SOCS plus SCS 4 system (Pelican equipments, Chennai, India). For protein analysis, Micro kjeldahl method [13] was used. The crude protein was then calculated by multiplying nitrogen content with factor 5.7. Carbohydrates was calculated by difference method, subtracting total value of other nutrients. The ash content was determined by muffle furnace (Servo, Salem, India) set at 500-550 °C for 15 h. Crude fibre was determined by



#### ISO 9001:2008 Certified

#### International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)

Volume 3, Issue 8, February 2014

digesting fat free sample [17]. In order to determine the gluten content in *maida*, the dough was prepared by addition a sodium chloride solution and wet gluten was isolated by dough washing under running water and weighed. Dry gluten was determined by using *maida* at optimized conditions of hot air oven method. The determination of calcium and phosphorous was carried out by using method described by Chapman and Pratt [14][15]. Phytic acid was determined by standard procedure [16]. Iron, total solids and protein of whey were determined by method described by Ranganna [17]. pH of whey was determined by digital pH meter. Statistical analysis Statistical analysis was carried out by completely randomized design [18].

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Chemical composition of maida

The proximate composition of *maida* plays an important role for deciding its nutritional and functional qualities. It was observed (Table 1) that, moisture content of maida was 14%, fat 2.9%, protein 12.98%, carbohydrates 66.3 g/100g, ash 4.2%, fiber 0.7%, calcium 34 mg/100g, phosphorous 508 mg/100g, iron 3.52 mg/100g, sedimentation value 37.8 ml, dry gluten 9.92%, wet gluten 29.80% and phytic acid 9.8 mg/g. Similar results were reported for proximate composition (moisture 9.69 to 10.35%, crude protein 9.57 to 14.3%, crude fat 1.47 to 2.93%, ash 1.48 to 2.03% and crude fibre 0.98 to 1.43%) [19][20]. In our investigation, it was recorded that sedimentation value, dry gluten, wet gluten and phytic acid were 27.8ml, 9.92%, 29.80% and 9.8 mg/g respectively. Similar results of phytic acid content of flour in bread making was ranged from 5.4 to 11.3 mg/g [21]. The overall results with respect to chemical constituents in wheat maida of variety Trimbak were more or less similar to earlier reports. The sedimentation value which depends on the protein quality significantly correlates with bread baking, mixing characteristics and strength of the hard wheat [22]. The values for dry gluten (9.92%) and sedimentation (37.8 ml) in this investigation were slightly higher than the values 9.7% dry gluten and 34 ml sedimentation value of maida [23]. The results (Table 2) indicates that the whey contained 93% moisture, total solids 6%, fat 0.32%, protein 1%, carbohydrates 5.50%, calcium 45 mg/100g, phosphorous 41mg/100g and pH 4.5% [24]. These results are in close agreement with those reported by the earlier researchers. The proximate composition of whey varies accordingly from the source it is obtained and the method employed for its preparation [6]. Calcium and phosphorous content of whey was 38.5 mg/100g and 43 mg/100g respectively [7]. The results obtained (Table 3) indicated decrease in phytate content with the increased addition of whey during preparation of bread. The highest phytic acid content was observed in treatment  $T_0$  (3.20 mg/g) and lowest in treatment  $T_5$  (1.58 mg/g). Considerable reduction in phytic acid was observed in bread samples added with 100% whey. The degradation of phytic acid with addition of whey might be

due to the acidic nature of whey [9]. The degradation of phytic acid is necessary as it binds with minerals and reduces its bioavailability. The sensory evaluation indicated that the bread prepared with 60 % whey was superior with respect to crust colour (8.4), crumb colour (8.5), texture (8.6), flavour (8.5), taste (8.7) and overall acceptability (8.6) over all other treatments as shown in Table 4 It was clear from the results (Table 5) that nutritional value of bread with addition of whey was improved with respect to mineral content. Lowest calcium content was observed in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (72.33 mg/100g) and highest calcium content in treatment  $T_5$  (75.17 mg/100g). The calcium and iron content of bread was ranged from 72.33 to 75.17 mg/100 and 2.51 to 2.89 mg/100g respectively. There was increase in calcium and iron content of bread with increased level of whey in bread [8]. Calcium and iron deficiency disease could be overcome by addition of whey in bread. It was observed that content of phytic acid was decreased due to the high temperature during baking and degradation of phytic acid into lower inositol phosphates during a long fermentation time [25].

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

The whey can be added up to 60% to bread during preparation as it has highest overall acceptability. In addition the whey helped to improve final quality of bread with respect to calcium and iron content.

#### REFERENCES

- Dendy D, Dobraszczyk B J (2001) Cereals and cereal products: chemistry and technology. Aspen publishers 100-139.
- [2] Eissa A S, Khan S A (2006) Modulation of hydrophobic interactions in denatured whey proteins by transglutaminase enzyme .Food Hydrocolloids 20:543-547.
- [3] Stoliar M (2009) U.S. whey ingredients in bakery products. Applications monograph bakery. Published by U.S. dairy export council. U.S.A.pp.1-8.
- [4] Ali Asghar, Anjum F M, Allen J C, Daubert C R, Rasool G (2009) Effect of modified whey protein concentrates on empirical and fundamental dynamic mechanical properties of frozen dough. Food Hydrocolloids 23(7): 1687-1692.
- [5] Khare A, Singh A P, Patil R, Singh K, Singh A K (2007) Utilization of whey into beverage s a review. Beve and Food World August-27-31.
- [6] Shukla F C, Sharma A, Singh Baljit (2004) Studies on the preparation of fruit beverages using whey and buttermilk. J Food Sci Tech 41:432-436.
- [7] Shiv K, Saxena D (2008) Nutritional and health enhancing properties of whey Bev and Food World 1:44-49.
- [8] Raboy V (2001) Seeds for a better future: 'Low phytate' grains help to overcome malnutrition and reduce pollution. Trends in Plant Sci 6: 458–462.
- [9] Bock M A (2000) Handbook of Cereal Science and Technology (second ed.), Marcel Dekker Inc, New York p 479–504.
- [10] Lopez H W, Ouvry A, Bervas E, Guy C, Messager A, Demigne C, Remesy C (2000) Strains of lactic acid bacteria



#### ISO 9001:2008 Certified

#### International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)

Volume 3, Issue 8, February 2014

isolated from sour doughs degrade phytic acid and improve calcium and magnesium solubility from whole wheat flour. J Agric and Food Chem 48:2281-2285.

- [11] Shirai K, Revahmoiseev S, Garciagaribay M, Marshall VM (1994) Ability of some strains of lactic-acid bacteria to degrade phytic acid. Letters in Applied Microb 19:366-369.
- [12] Turk M, Sandberg A S, Carlsson N G, Andlid T (2000) Inositol hexaphosphate hydrolysis by baker's yeast. Capacity, kinetics, and degradation products. J Agric and Food Chem 48: 100-104.
- [13] AOAC (2005) Official Methods of Analysis18<sup>th</sup> edition Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington DC.
- [14] Chapman H D, Pratt F P (1961) Ammonium vandatemolybdate method for determination of phosphorus. In: Methods of Analysis for Soils, Plants and Water, first ed. California University, Agriculture Division, USA.
- [15] Chapman H D, Pratt P F (1982) Methods of Analysis of Soil, Plant and Water, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. University of California, Agricultural Division, USA, pp. 170
- [16] Wheeler E L, Ferrel R E (1981) A method of phytic acid determination in wheat and wheat fractions. Cereal Chem 48:312
- [17] Ranganna S (1986) Handbook of Analysis and Quality Control for Fruits and Vegetable Product. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed, Tata McGraw hill Publications
- [18] Panse V S, Sukhatme P V (1967) Stastical Method for Agricultural Workers. ICAR, New Delhi 70-72.
- [19] Khan MA, Rashid J, EI-Haramein FJ (1987) Technological values of some Pakistani wheat varieties. Pak J Agric Res 8(2): 121-124.
- [20] Adeyeye E I, Arogundade L A, Akintayo E T, Aisida O A, Alao P A (2000) Calcium, zinc and phytate interrelationships in some foods of major consumption in Nigeria. Food Chem 71(4): 435–441.

[21] Rosa M, Garcia E, Eduardo GH, Belen GV (1999) Phytic acid content in milled cereal products and breads. Food research Int 32: 217-221.

- [22] Greenaway W T, Hurst N S, Neustadt M H, Zeleny L (1966) Micro sedimentation test for wheat. Cereal SciToday 11:197-199.
- [23] Ashwini, A., Jyosna, R. and Indrani, D. 2009. Effects of hydrocolloids and emulsifier on the rheological, micro structural and quality characteristics of eggless cake. Food Hydrocolloids, 23: 700-707.
- [24] Anonymous (2013b) Chemical composition of whey. http://www.wikipedia.org/wiki/whey. Accessed 26 June 2013.
- [25] Nielsen M M, Damstrup M L, Thomsen AD, Rasmussen SK, Hansen A (2007) European Food Res Tech 225(2):173-181.

#### **AUTHOR'S PROFILE**

K.P. Babar, Ph.D. (Food Technology) Schloar at Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri. Dist-Ahmednagar, Maharashtra (India). Research area: rheology and texture analysis

Dr. S.S. Thorat, a Head with Ph.D., Dept of Food Science and Technology, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri. Dist-Ahmednagar, Maharashtra (India). Research area: food safety, rheology, wine, pigments

Dr. P.M. Kotech a Assistant Professor with Ph.D., Dept of Food Science and Technology, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri. Dist-Ahmednagar, Maharashtra (India). Research area: fruits and vegetable processing, bakery products.

R.S. Gaikwad, a Senior Research Assistant, AICRP on Wheat quality, Dept of Food Science and Technology, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri. Dist-Ahmednagar, Maharashtra (India). Research area: wheat quality, fruit wine, fruits and vegetable processing.

S.B. Lande, a Senior Research Assistant, Dept of Food Science and Technology, Post Graduate Institute, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri. Dist-Ahmednagar, Maharashtra (India). Research area: cereal processing, extruded products.

APPENDIX

Table 1 Proximate composition of maida from wheat variety (Trimbak) NIAW 301

Parameters	Values	
Moisture (%)	14	
Fat (%)	2.9	
Protein (%)	12.98	
Carbohydrate (%)	66.3	
Ash (%)	4.2	
Fiber (%)	0.7	
Calcium (mg/100 g)	34	
Phosphorous (mg/100 g)	508	
Iron (mg/100 g)	3.52	
Sedimentation value (ml)	37.8	
Gluten (%)		
a) Dry gluten	9.92	
b) Wet gluten	29.80	
Phytic acid (mg/g) dry weight basis	9.8	

The each value is average of three determinations



### ISO 9001:2008 Certified

### International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)

Volume 3, Issue 8, February 2014

 Table 2 Proximate composition of whey

Parameters	Values
Moisture (%)	93
Total solids(%)	6
Fat (%)	0.32
Protein (%)	1.00
Carbohydrate (%)	5.50
Calcium (mg/100 g)	45
Phosphorous (mg/100 g)	41
pH	4.5

The each value is average of three determinations

Table 3 Effects of different level of whey on phytic acid in bread				
Parameter/	Phytic acid			
Treatments	(mg/g)			
T <sub>0</sub>	3.20			
$T_1$	2.59			
$T_2$	2.19			
T <sub>3</sub>	1.93			
$T_4$	1.72			
T <sub>5</sub>	1.58			
$SE \pm$	0.03			
CD at 5%	0.10			
CV (%)	2.43			

#### The each value is average of three determinations

#### Table 4 Sensory evaluation of bread prepared with addition of different levels of whey

			Crust	Crumb				Overall
Attributes		Appearance	colour	colour	Texture	Flavour	Taste	accepatbility
Treatments	Treatments							
T <sub>0</sub>	8.3	3	8.4	8.4	8.6	8.3	8.4	8.4
T <sub>1</sub>	8.1		8.1	8.3	8.4	8.4	8.5	8.5
T <sub>2</sub>	8.2	2	8.2	8.1	8.2	8	8.4	8.5
T <sub>3</sub>	8.4	ļ	8.4	8.3	8.4	8.5	8.7	8.6
$T_4$	7.8	3	6.7	6.8	6.8	7.2	7.7	7.8
T <sub>5</sub>	6.9	)	5.7	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.2	6.8
SE	0.4	15	0.43	0.34	0.42	0.43	0.37	0.41
CD at 5%	1.3	31	1.26	1	1.24	1.26	1.08	1.19
CV (%)	9.7	78	9.89	7.68	9.36	9.57	8	8.73

The each value is average of ten scores



### ISO 9001:2008 Certified

# International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT)

Volume 3, Issue 8, February 2014

Table 5 Effects of different level of whey on micro-nutrients of bread				
Parameters/ Treatments	Calcium (mg/100g)	Iron (mg/100g)		
T <sub>0</sub>	72.33	2.51	_	
T <sub>1</sub>	72.50	2.55		
T <sub>2</sub>	73.67	2.60		
T <sub>3</sub>	74.53	2.69		
$T_4$	74.97	2.78		
T <sub>5</sub>	75.17	2.89		
SE ±	0.45	0.01		
CD at 5%	1.39	0.03		
CV (%)	1.05	1.28		

The each value is average of three determination