

## EQUIVARIANT BORDISM AND SMITH THEORY. II

BY  
R. E. STONG<sup>(1)</sup>

**Abstract.** This paper analyzes the homomorphism from equivariant bordism to Smith homology for spaces with an action of a finite group  $G$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $G$  be a finite group, and let  $(X, A, \psi)$  be a pair with  $G$  action. One then has defined the  $G$ -equivariant bordism group  $\mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, A, \psi)$  and the Smith homology group  $H_*^G(X, A, \psi; Z_2)$ . These define equivariant homology theories on the category of  $G$  pairs and  $G$ -equivariant maps, and the object of this paper is to explore the relationship between these theories.

Briefly, being given an equivariant bordism element  $f: (M, \partial M, \varphi) \rightarrow (X, A, \psi)$ , the image of the fundamental Smith theory class of  $(M, \partial M, \varphi)$  gives a natural transformation

$$\bar{\mu}: \mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, A, \psi) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_*^G} Z_2 \rightarrow H_*^G(X, A, \psi; Z_2).$$

It was shown in [9] that  $\bar{\mu}$  is an isomorphism if  $G = Z_2$ .

The main results of this paper are

**THEOREM 1.**  $\bar{\mu}$  is always epic

and

**THEOREM 2.**  $\bar{\mu}$  is an isomorphism for all  $G$  pairs  $(X, A, \psi)$  if and only if  $G$  is 2-nilpotent and has Sylow 2 subgroup a  $Z_2$  vector space.

( $G$  is called 2-nilpotent if the elements of odd order in  $G$  form a subgroup.)

**2. The representation theorem.** Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $X$  a simplicial complex,  $\psi: G \times X \rightarrow X$  a simplicial  $G$  action and  $A \subset X$  a subcomplex invariant under  $G$ . It will be assumed that  $X$  is "finely" triangulated so that the fixed set of any subgroup  $H$  is a subcomplex and the projection  $\pi: X \rightarrow X/H$  is simplicial (E. E. Floyd [4] shows that this may be accomplished by taking the second barycentric subdivision).

Let  $C(X) \otimes Z_2$  denote the chains of  $X$  with  $Z_2$  coefficients and let  $g_\#: C(X) \otimes Z_2 \rightarrow C(X) \otimes Z_2$  be the chain map induced by  $\psi(g, \cdot): X \rightarrow X: x \rightarrow \psi(g, x)$ . One then lets  $C^0(X) \subset C(X) \otimes Z_2$  denote the subgroup consisting of chains  $\sigma$  so that

---

Received by the editors March 1, 1971.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 5710; Secondary 5747.

Key words and phrases. Equivariant bordism, Smith theory.

<sup>(1)</sup> I am indebted to the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for financial support during this work.

Copyright © 1972, American Mathematical Society

$g\#\sigma = \sigma$  for all  $g \in G$ . Since  $g\#$  commutes with the boundary, one has an induced boundary  $\partial: C^0(X) \rightarrow C^0(X)$ , and if  $C^0(X, A) = C^0(X)/C^0(A)$  one has induced a homomorphism  $\partial$  making this a chain complex. The *Smith homology groups* of  $(X, A, \psi)$ ,  $H_*^G(X, A, \psi; Z_2)$ , are then defined to be the homology groups of the complex  $(C^0(X, A), \partial)$ .

By using Čech [7], [5] or singular [3] methods to obtain a complex, this may be extended to all topological  $G$  pairs.

Being given a compact differentiable manifold  $M^n$  with differentiable  $G$  action  $\varphi$ , one may triangulate  $M$  "finely" so that  $G$  acts simplicially. Clearly the fundamental cycle  $\mu = \sum \Delta^i$ , the sum of all  $n$ -simplices, is then an invariant chain, defining a fundamental class  $[M, \partial M, \varphi] \in H_n^G(M, \partial M, \varphi; Z_2)$ . This lifts the ordinary fundamental class back to Smith theory.

One then has a natural transformation

$$\mu: \mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, A, \psi) \rightarrow H_*^G(X, A, \psi; Z_2)$$

assigning to the equivariant bordism element  $f: (M, \partial M, \varphi) \rightarrow (X, A, \psi)$  the class  $f_*[M, \partial M, \varphi]$ .

Letting  $\varepsilon: \mathfrak{N}_*^G \rightarrow Z_2$  be the augmentation to  $\mathfrak{N}_0 \cong Z_2$  given by ignoring  $G$  action and the positive dimensional part, one has  $\mu(\alpha \cdot \beta) = \varepsilon(\alpha)\mu(\beta)$  for  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}_*^G, \beta \in \mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, A, \psi)$  as in [9] (Note:  $H_i^G(M^n, \partial M^n, \varphi; Z_2) = 0$  if  $i > n$ ) and thus  $\mu$  induces a natural transformation

$$\bar{\mu}: \mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, A, \psi) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_0^G} Z_2 \rightarrow H_*^G(X, A, \psi; Z_2).$$

One has the analogue of [9, Proposition 2.1]:

LEMMA 2.1. *If  $G$  is a 2 group then  $\bar{\mu}$  is epic.*

**Proof.** The result is known for  $G = \{1\}$  or  $G = Z_2$  and so one may induct on the order of  $G$ . Let  $T = \{1, t\}$  be a central subgroup of  $G$  of order 2.

Being given a  $G$  complex  $(X, A, \psi)$ , any element of  $C^0(X)$  decomposes uniquely into a sum of invariant chains  $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ , where  $\sigma_1$  is a sum of simplices  $\Delta$  with  $t\#\Delta = \Delta$  and  $\sigma_2$  is a sum of terms  $\Delta + t\#\Delta$  with  $t\#\Delta \neq \Delta, \Delta$  a simplex. This gives a natural decomposition

$$H_*^G(X, A, \psi) \cong H_*^G(X, F_T \cup A, \psi) \oplus H_*^G(F_T, F_T \cap A, \psi)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_*^G(X, F_T \cup A, \psi) &\cong H_*^{G/T}(X/T, A/T \cup F_T, \psi'), \\ H_*^G(F_T, F_T \cap A, \psi) &\cong H_*^{G/T}(F_T, F_T \cap A, \psi') \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_T$  is the fixed set of  $T$  and  $\psi'$  denotes the induced action (see [9, Theorem 2.1]).

Now  $\mathfrak{N}_*^{G/T}(F_T, F_T \cap A)$  maps onto  $H_*^{G/T}(F_T, F_T \cap A)$  by induction, and if  $f: (M, \partial M, \varphi') \rightarrow (F_T, F_T \cap A, \psi')$  is a  $G/T$  bordism element representing  $\alpha, f$  may

be considered a  $G$  bordism element with  $T$  acting trivially, to represent  $\alpha$  as an element of  $H_*^G(X, A, \psi; Z_2)$ .

Also  $\mathfrak{N}_*^{G/T}(X/T, A/T \cup F_T)$  maps onto  $H_*^{G/T}(X/T, A/T \cup F_T)$  by induction, and by excision arguments as in [9, Proposition 2.1], a bordism element  $f: (M, \partial M, \varphi') \rightarrow (X/T, A/T \cup F_T)$  may be lifted to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & X \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & X/T \end{array}$$

with  $\tilde{f}$  being  $G$  equivariant and representing the class in  $H_*^G(X, A, \psi)$  corresponding to  $\tilde{\mu}([f]) \in H_*^{G/T}(X/T, A/T \cup F_T)$ .  $\square$

If  $G=(Z_2)^k$  one also has an analog of [9, Proposition 2.2].

LEMMA 2.2. *If  $G=(Z_2)^k$ , then  $\tilde{\mu}$  is an isomorphism.*

**Proof.** This is known for  $k=0, 1$  and hence one may apply induction. Let  $t_1, \dots, t_k$  with  $t_i^2=1, t_i t_j = t_j t_i$  be generators of  $G$ , with  $T_1 = \{1, t_1\} \subset G$ .

Then for any  $(X, A, \psi)$  one has an exact sequence of  $\mathfrak{N}_*^G$  modules, split as  $\mathfrak{N}_*$  modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*^G(F_{T_1}, A \cap F_{T_1}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, F_{T_1} \cup A) \rightarrow 0$$

and hence a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Q & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{N}_*^G(F_{T_1}, F_{T_1} \cap A) \otimes Z_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, A) \otimes Z_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, F_{T_1} \cup A) \otimes Z_2 \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{\mu}_0 & & \downarrow \tilde{\mu}_1 & & \downarrow \tilde{\mu}_2 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_*^G(F_{T_1}, F_{T_1} \cap A) & \longrightarrow & H_*^G(X, A) & \longrightarrow & H_*^G(X, F_{T_1} \cup A) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \wr \parallel & & & & \wr \parallel \\ & & H_*^{G/T_1}(F_{T_1}, F_{T_1} \cap A) & & & & H_*^{G/T_1}(X/T_1, F_{T_1} \cup A/T_1) \end{array}$$

with  $Q$  a ‘‘Tor’’-term.

To see that  $\tilde{\mu}_2$  is monic, one notes that  $(X, F_{T_1} \cup A)$  is relatively free as a  $T_1$  pair, so  $\mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, F_{T_1} \cup A) \simeq \mathfrak{N}_*^{G/T_1}(X/T_1, F_{T_1} \cup A/T_1)$  by assigning to a  $T_1$  free bordism element  $f: M \rightarrow X$  the induced map  $\tilde{f}: M/T_1 \rightarrow X/T_1$ . Further, this is a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{N}_*^{G/T_1}$  modules, where  $\mathfrak{N}_*^{G/T_1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*^G$  by considering a  $G/T_1$  manifold as a  $G$  manifold with trivial  $T_1$  action. One then has a commutative

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, F_{T_1} \cup A) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_*^{G/T_1}} Z_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{epic}} & \mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, F_{T_1} \cup A) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_*^G} Z_2 \\
 \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_2 \text{ epic} \\
 \mathfrak{N}_*^{G/T_1}(X/T_1, F_{T_1} \cup A/T_1) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_*^{G/T_1}} Z_2 & \longrightarrow & H_*^G(X, F_{T_1} \cup A) \\
 \cong \searrow & & \swarrow \cong \\
 & & H_*^{G/T_1}(X/T_1, F_{T_1} \cup A/T_1)
 \end{array}$$

and hence  $\mu_2$  is monic.

By an elementary diagram chase,  $\mu_1$  will be monic provided  $\mu_0$  is monic. Thus, it suffices to prove the lemma for pairs  $(X, A, \psi)$  fixed by  $T_1$ . A similar analysis may then be applied to each  $T_i$ , and hence it suffices to prove the lemma for pairs  $(X, A, \psi)$  fixed by each  $T_i$ , hence by  $G$ .

If  $(X, A, \psi)$  is a trivial  $G$  space, one has  $\mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, A, \psi) \cong \mathfrak{N}_*^G \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_*} \mathfrak{N}_*(X, A)$ , so  $\mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, A, \psi) \otimes Z_2$  coincides with  $H_*(X, A; Z_2)$  (the  $G = \{1\}$  result) and the lemma is valid.  $\square$

Now turning to the general case one has:

**THEOREM 2.1.** *For every  $G$ ,  $\mu$  is always epic.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $(X, A, \psi)$  a  $G$  pair. Let  $S \subset G$  be a Sylow 2 subgroup and  $\psi_S: S \times X \rightarrow X$  the  $S$  action given by restriction to  $S \times X$  of  $\psi$ .

Considering a  $G$  invariant chain of  $X$  as being only  $S$  invariant defines a homomorphism

$$\theta: H_*^G(X, A, \psi; Z_2) \rightarrow H_*^S(X, A, \psi_S; Z_2).$$

Being given an  $S$  invariant chain  $\sigma \in C(X) \otimes Z_2$  let  $t\sigma = \sum g\#\sigma$  where the sum is taken over a collection of  $g$  which represent the cosets  $G/S$ . (Note. if  $g' \in gS$ ,  $g'\#\sigma = g\#\sigma$  since  $\sigma$  is  $S$  invariant.) Clearly  $t\sigma$  is  $G$  invariant and this induces a homomorphism

$$t: H_*^S(X, A, \psi_S; Z_2) \rightarrow H_*^G(X, A, \psi; Z_2).$$

If  $\sigma$  is  $G$  invariant,  $g\#\sigma = \sigma$ , so  $t\sigma$  is  $[G:S]\sigma$  where  $[G:S]$  is the index of  $S$  in  $G$ , and is odd, so  $t\sigma = \sigma$ . Thus  $t\theta = 1$ , or  $\theta$  is monic and  $t$  is epic.

Now consider the extension homomorphism

$$e_G^S: \mathfrak{N}_*^S(X, A, \psi_S) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, A, \psi)$$

defined in [8, §4]. If  $f: (M, \partial M, \varphi) \rightarrow (X, A, \psi_S)$  is an  $S$  equivariant bordism element  $\alpha$ ,  $e_G^S(\alpha)$  is represented by  $\tilde{f}: (\overline{M}, \partial \overline{M}, \tilde{\varphi}) \rightarrow (X, A, \psi)$  where  $\overline{M} = G \times M / (gs^{-1}, \varphi(s, m)) \sim (g, m)$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}(g', (g, m)) = (g'g, m)$  and  $\tilde{f}(g, m) = \psi(g, f(m))$ . If one considers

$i: M \rightarrow \bar{M}: m \rightarrow (1, m), \bar{f} \circ i = f$  and the fundamental cycle of  $\bar{M}$  is  $\sum g_{\#}(i_{\#}\mu)$  where  $\mu$  is the fundamental cycle of  $M$ . Thus  $\bar{f}_{\#}[\bar{M}, \partial\bar{M}, \bar{\varphi}] = i_{\#}[M, \partial M, \varphi]$ . Thus the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{N}_{*}^S(X, A, \psi_S) & \xrightarrow{e_G^S} & \mathfrak{N}_{*}^G(X, A, \psi) \\ \mu_S \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ H_{*}^S(X, A, \psi_S; Z_2) & \xrightarrow{t} & H_{*}^G(X, A, \psi; Z_2) \end{array}$$

commutes, with  $t$  and  $\mu_S$  epic, so  $\mu$  is epic. Hence also  $\bar{\mu}$  is epic.  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group with Sylow 2 subgroup  $S$  and suppose the restriction  $\rho_S^G: \mathfrak{N}_{*}^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}^S$  is epic. If  $(X, A, \psi)$  is a  $G$  pair with*

$$\bar{\mu}_S: \mathfrak{N}_{*}^S(X, A, \psi_S) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_S} Z_2 \rightarrow H_{*}^S(X, A, \psi_S; Z_2)$$

*monic, then*

$$\bar{\mu}_G: \mathfrak{N}_{*}^G(X, A, \psi) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_G} Z_2 \rightarrow H_{*}^G(X, A, \psi; Z_2)$$

*is also monic.*

**Proof.** Let  $\rho_S^G: \mathfrak{N}_{*}^G(X, A, \psi) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}^S(X, A, \psi_S)$  denote the restriction homomorphism which ‘‘ignores  $G$  equivariance’’. It is then immediate that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{N}_{*}^G(X, A, \psi) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_G} Z_2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} & \mathfrak{N}_{*}^S(X, A, \psi_S) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_S} Z_2 \\ \bar{\mu}_G \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\mu}_S \\ H_{*}^G(X, A, \psi; Z_2) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & H_{*}^S(X, A, \psi_S; Z_2) \end{array}$$

with  $\bar{\rho}$  induced by  $\rho_S^G$  commutes, with  $\theta$  and  $\bar{\mu}_S$  being monic.

Now consider the extension

$$e_G^S: \mathfrak{N}_{*}^S(X, A, \psi_S) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}^G(X, A, \psi).$$

By [2, 6.3]  $e_G^S$  is an  $\mathfrak{N}_{*}^G$  module homomorphism; i.e. if  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}_{*}^S(X, A, \psi_S)$  and  $\beta \in \mathfrak{N}_{*}^G$ , then  $e_G^S(\rho_S^G(\beta) \cdot \alpha) = \beta \cdot e_G^S(\alpha)$ . In particular, if  $\beta' \in \mathfrak{N}_{*}^S$ , there is a  $\beta \in \mathfrak{N}_{*}^G$  with  $\rho_S^G(\beta) = \beta'$ , so  $e_G^S(\beta' \cdot \alpha) = \beta \cdot e_G^S(\alpha)$ . Since  $\varepsilon(\beta') = \varepsilon(\beta)$ ,  $e_G^S$  induces a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}: \mathfrak{N}_{*}^S(X, A, \psi_S) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_S} Z_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}^G(X, A, \psi) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_G} Z_2.$$

(Note. This used the fact that  $\rho_S^G: \mathfrak{N}_{*}^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}^S$  is epic. I cannot prove that  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  is meaningful without this, and in fact Theorem 2 of the Introduction would seem to imply that  $\tilde{\varepsilon}$  cannot always exist.)

Then  $\tilde{\varepsilon}\bar{\rho}: \mathfrak{N}_{*}^G(X, A, \psi) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_G} Z_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{*}^G(X, A, \psi) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_G} Z_2$  is induced by  $e_G^S \circ \rho_S^G$ . By [8, Proposition 13.2],  $e_G^S \circ \rho_S^G$  is multiplication by the class of  $[G/S, \mu] \in \mathfrak{N}_G^G$ , so that  $\tilde{\varepsilon}\bar{\rho}$  is multiplication by  $\varepsilon[G/S, \mu] = 1$ .

Thus  $\bar{\rho}$  is monic, and so  $\bar{\mu}_S \bar{\rho}$  is monic, which gives  $\bar{\mu}_G$  monic.  $\square$

One then has the first half of Theorem 2, given by

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be 2-nilpotent with Sylow 2 subgroup a  $Z_2$  vector space. Then  $\bar{\mu}$  is an isomorphism for all  $G$  pairs.*

**Proof.** Let  $S$  be a Sylow 2 subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $S = (Z_2)^k$  for some  $k$ , so by Lemma 2.2,  $\bar{\mu}_S$  is always monic. Letting  $K \subset G$  be the subgroup of elements of odd order,  $K$  is normal and  $G/K \cong S$ , giving a homomorphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow S$  with  $\varphi|_S = 1$ . Thus  $\rho_S^G: \mathfrak{N}_*^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*^S$  is epic, for if  $(M, \psi)$  is an  $S$  action,  $(M, \psi \circ (\varphi \times 1))$  is a  $G$  action restricting to  $(M, \psi)$ . Thus Lemma 2.3 applies to each  $G$  pair and  $\bar{\mu}_G$  is always monic. By Theorem 2.1,  $\bar{\mu}$  is then an isomorphism.  $\square$

**3. The isomorphism theorem.** In order to simplify notation, temporarily say that the finite group  $G$  has the *isomorphism property* if for all  $G$  pairs  $(X, A, \psi)$ , the natural transformation

$$\bar{\mu}: \mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, A, \psi) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_*^G} Z_2 \rightarrow H_*^G(X, A, \psi; Z_2)$$

is an isomorphism.

Following Bredon [1], one knows that two  $G$  equivariant homology theories agree for all spaces if and only if they agree for all of the coset spaces  $(G/H, \mu)$ , with  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$ .

Letting  $H \subset G$  be a subgroup, consider the pair  $(X, A, \psi) = (G/H, \phi, \mu)$ .

Clearly  $C(G/H) \otimes Z_2$  is the  $Z_2$  vector space with base the points of  $G/H$ , and these are permuted by  $G$ , so  $C^0(G/H) \cong Z_2$  with base the sum of all the points. Thus  $H_*^G(G/H, \mu; Z_2) \cong Z_2$ .

Now consider  $\mathfrak{N}_*^G(G/H, \mu)$ . If  $f: (M, \varphi) \rightarrow (G/H, \mu)$  is a  $G$  bordism element, then  $M_0 = f^{-1}(H)$ , the inverse image of the coset  $H$ , is invariant under  $H$ , and hence  $(M_0, \varphi|_{H \times M_0})$  is an  $H$  bordism element in  $\mathfrak{N}_*^H$ . It is immediate that  $f: (M, \varphi) \rightarrow (G/H, \mu)$  is the extension to  $G$  of the  $H$  equivariant bordism element

$$f|_{M_0}: (M_0, \varphi|_{H \times M_0}) \rightarrow (G/H, \mu)$$

given by the point map. Thus, this correspondence defines an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{N}_*^G(G/H, \mu) \cong \mathfrak{N}_*^H$ . If  $f: (M, \varphi) \rightarrow (G/H, \mu)$  and  $(N, \psi) \in \mathfrak{N}_*^G$ , the product is

$$f \circ \pi_M: (M \times N, \varphi \times \psi) \rightarrow (G/H, \mu)$$

so that  $(f \circ \pi_M)^{-1}(H) = M_0 \times N$  with action  $(\varphi|_{H \times M_0}) \times (\psi|_{H \times N})$ . Thus identifying  $\mathfrak{N}_*^G(G/H, \mu)$  with  $\mathfrak{N}_*^H$ ,  $\mathfrak{N}_*^H$  is an  $\mathfrak{N}_*^G$  module by  $\alpha \cdot \beta = \rho_H^G(\alpha) \cdot \beta$  for  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}_*^G$ ,  $\beta \in \mathfrak{N}_*^H$  with  $\rho_H^G: \mathfrak{N}_*^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*^H$  the restriction.

Thus, one has

**LEMMA 3.1.** *If  $G$  has the isomorphism property, then for all  $H \subset G$ ,*

$$\mathfrak{N}_*^H \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_*^G} Z_2 \cong Z_2$$

where  $\mathfrak{N}_*^H$  is an  $\mathfrak{N}_*^G$  module via the restriction  $\rho_H^G: \mathfrak{N}_*^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*^H$ .

LEMMA 3.2. *If  $H \subset G$  is a 2 group then*

$$\mathfrak{N}_*^H \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}^G} Z_2 \cong Z_2$$

*if and only if  $\rho_H^G: \mathfrak{N}_*^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*^H$  is epic.*

**Proof.** Clearly if  $\rho_H^G$  is epic, the tensor product rule holds. Now suppose  $\rho_H^G$  is not epic. Since  $H$  is a 2 group  $\mathfrak{N}_0^H \cong Z_2$  generated by a point with trivial action, which comes from a trivial  $G$  action,  $(\rho_H^G)_0$  is epic (see [8, p. 67]). Suppose

$$(\rho_H^G)_i: \mathfrak{N}_i^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_i^H$$

is epic for  $i < k$  and is not epic for  $i = k$ . Let  $K = \text{cokernel } (\rho_H^G)_k$  and let

$$\varphi: \mathfrak{N}_*^H \rightarrow Z_2 \oplus K$$

be the homomorphism  $\varepsilon: \mathfrak{N}_0^H \xrightarrow{\cong} Z_2$  and quotient homomorphism  $\varphi: \mathfrak{N}_k^H \rightarrow K$  and zero in all other degrees. This is clearly a vector space epimorphism.

If  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{N}_*^G, \beta \in \mathfrak{N}_*^H$ , consider  $\varphi(\alpha \cdot \beta) = \varphi(\rho_H^G(\alpha)\beta) = x$ . If  $\dim \alpha > 0, x = 0$  unless  $\dim \alpha + \dim \beta = k$ , when  $\dim \beta < k$ . But then  $\beta = \rho_H^G(\beta')$  for some  $\beta' \in \mathfrak{N}_*^G$ , so  $x = \varphi(\rho_H^G(\alpha\beta'))$  and represents zero in the cokernel. Thus  $x = \varepsilon(\alpha)\varphi(\beta)$  if  $\dim \alpha > 0$ . If  $\dim \alpha = 0, x = \varphi(\varepsilon(\alpha) \cdot \beta) = \varepsilon(\alpha)\varphi(\beta)$  for  $(\rho_H^G)_0$  and  $\varepsilon$  coincide as maps to  $Z_2$  and  $\varphi$  is  $Z_2$  linear.

Thus,  $\varphi$  induces an epimorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}: \mathfrak{N}_*^H \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}^G} Z_2 \rightarrow Z_2 \oplus K$ , so  $\mathfrak{N}_*^H \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}^G} Z_2 \not\cong Z_2$ . □

Now let  $G$  have the isomorphism property, let  $S \subset G$  be a Sylow 2 subgroup, and let  $T \subset S$  be a central subgroup of order 2, with  $T = \{1, t\}$ . By the lemmas,  $\rho_T^G$  is epic, but  $\rho_T^S$  is the composite

$$\mathfrak{N}_*^G \xrightarrow{\rho_S^G} \mathfrak{N}_*^S \xrightarrow{\rho_T^S} \mathfrak{N}_*^T$$

and hence  $\rho_T^S$  is epic.

Now let  $M^3$  be the manifold obtained from the 3 disc  $D^3$  by identifying antipodal points of  $S^2$  (i.e.  $\mathbb{R}P(3)$ ) with the  $T$  action  $\varphi$  given by the involution  $t \cdot x = -x$  on  $D^3$ . Since  $\rho_T^S$  is epic, there is an  $S$  action  $(N, \psi)$  cobordant to  $(M, \varphi)$  as  $T$  action. The fixed set of  $T$  in  $M$  is a point ( $0 \in D^3$ ) and  $\mathbb{R}P(2)$  (image of  $S^2$ ), and this is cobordant to the fixed set of  $T$  in  $N, F_T(N)$ . In particular, the zero dimensional part  $F_T(N)^0$  is an odd number of points. Since  $T$  is normal in  $S, S$  acts on  $F_T(N)$  and hence also on  $F_T(N)^0$ . Since  $S$  is a 2 group, each orbit of  $S$  on  $F_T(N)^0$  consists of  $2^r$  points, and since  $F_T(N)^0$  is odd, there must be a point orbit. Thus, there is a point  $p \in F_T(N)^0$  which is fixed by  $S$ . Giving  $N$  an  $S$  invariant Riemannian metric,  $S$  acts on the tangent space to  $N$  at  $p$  orthogonally, giving a homomorphism  $\lambda: S \rightarrow O_3$  ( $\dim N = 3$ ) and  $\lambda(t)$  is multiplication by  $-1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Taking the determinant

det:  $O_3 \rightarrow Z_2$ , one has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S & \xrightarrow{\det \cdot \lambda} & Z_2 \\
 \uparrow & \nearrow \cong & \\
 T & & 
 \end{array}$$

so that  $T$  splits out of  $S$ . Since this is true for all central subgroups of order 2 in  $S$ ,  $S$  must be a  $Z_2$  vector space. (If not,  $S = A \times C$ ,  $C$  the central elements of order 2, but if  $A$  is nontrivial, its center is nontrivial, giving a central order 2 element of  $S$  not in  $C$ .) Thus one has

LEMMA 3.3. *If  $G$  has the isomorphism property, then the Sylow 2 subgroup of  $G$  is a  $Z_2$  vector space.*

In order to show that  $G$  is 2-nilpotent requires a digression.

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $\alpha: G \rightarrow G$  an automorphism. One lets  $\alpha_*: \mathfrak{N}_*^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*^G$  by  $\alpha_*(M, \varphi) = (M, \varphi \circ (\alpha^{-1} \times 1))$ , where

$$G \times M \xrightarrow{\alpha^{-1} \times 1} G \times M \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$$

defines a new  $G$  action on  $M$ . If  $\beta$  is another automorphism of  $G$ ,  $(\alpha\beta)^{-1} \times 1 = (\beta^{-1} \times 1)(\alpha^{-1} \times 1)$  so  $(\alpha\beta)_* = \alpha_*\beta_*$ , and thus one has a homomorphism

$$*: \text{Aut}(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{N}_*^G): \alpha \rightarrow \alpha_*$$

Now let  $g \in G$  and  $\alpha: G \rightarrow G: h \rightarrow ghg^{-1}$  the inner automorphism so that  $\alpha^{-1}(h) = g^{-1}hg$ . Then if  $(M, \varphi)$  is a  $G$  action,  $\alpha_*(M, \varphi) = (M, \psi)$  where  $\psi(h, m) = \varphi(g^{-1}hg, m)$ . Letting  $\rho: (M, \varphi) \rightarrow (M, \psi): m \rightarrow \varphi(g^{-1}, m)$  one has an equivariant diffeomorphism, so  $\alpha_*(M, \varphi) = (M, \varphi)$ . Thus one has induced a homomorphism

$$*: \text{Aut}(G)/\text{Inn}(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{N}_*^G)$$

where  $\text{Inn}(G)$  is the normal subgroup of inner automorphisms.

Similarly, if  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$ ,  $\alpha$  acts on the set of irreducible (real) representations of  $G$ ,  $\text{IR}(G)$ , by sending  $\theta: G \times V \rightarrow V$  to  $\theta \circ (\alpha^{-1} \times 1): G \times V \rightarrow V$ . This defines a homomorphism

$$- : \text{Aut}(G) \rightarrow \text{Perm}(\text{IR}(G)): \alpha \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}, \quad \text{where } \bar{\alpha}(V, \theta) = (V, \theta \circ (\alpha^{-1} \times 1)).$$

Notice that  $\text{Inn}(G)$  acts trivially on  $\text{IR}(G)$ , that  $\bar{\alpha}$  preserves the dimension of the representation, and  $\bar{\alpha}$  sends the trivial representation  $(\theta(g, v) = v \text{ for all } (g, v))$  to itself.

Now let  $\theta: G \times V \rightarrow V$  be an irreducible real representation of  $G$ . Let  $M$  be the manifold obtained from the disc in  $V \oplus V$ ,  $D(2V)$ , by identifying antipodal points



of the sphere, with  $G$  action  $\varphi$  given by  $\varphi(g, [v_1, v_2]) = [\theta(g, v_1), \theta(g, v_2)]$ . Then the fixed set of  $G$  in  $(M, \varphi)$  is

$$F_G(M, \varphi) = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } \dim V > 1, \\ \{0\} \cup \mathbb{R}P(2V) & \text{if } \dim V = 1, \end{cases}$$

and  $G$  acts in the normal bundle at 0 as two copies of the representation  $V$ . Thus letting

$$F_G: \mathfrak{N}_{2 \dim V}^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_0(F'_G(BO_{2 \dim V}))$$

be the fixed point homomorphism,  $F_G(M, \varphi)$  is given by the inclusion of a point in the component of  $F'_G(BO_{2 \dim V})$  over which  $G$  acts as  $2V$ . (See [8] for the definition of  $F'_G(BO_n)$  and the fixed point homomorphism.)

It is immediate that if  $(M, \varphi)$  is defined by the representation  $(V, \theta)$ , then  $\alpha_*(M, \varphi)$  is defined by  $\bar{\alpha}(V, \theta)$ . Then if  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$  with  $\alpha_* = 1$ ,  $F_G(M, \varphi) = F_G(\alpha_*(M, \varphi))$  so that  $(V, \theta)$  and  $\bar{\alpha}(V, \theta)$  are equivalent representations, or  $\bar{\alpha} = 1$ . Thus one has

**LEMMA 3.4.** *If  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(G)$  and  $\alpha_*: \mathfrak{N}_*^G \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*^G$  is trivial, then  $\bar{\alpha}: \text{IR}(G) \rightarrow \text{IR}(G)$  is also trivial, or  $\alpha$  acts trivially on the irreducible representations of  $G$ .*

Now consider a finite group  $G$  with the isomorphism property, and let  $S$  be a Sylow 2 subgroup of  $G$ , so that  $\rho_S^G$  is epic (Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2). If  $N$  is the normalizer of  $S$  in  $G$ ,  $S \subset N \subset G$ , then  $\rho_S^G = \rho_S^N \rho_N^G$ , so  $\rho_S^N: \mathfrak{N}_*^N \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*^G$  is epic.

If  $n \in N$ ,  $c_n: N \rightarrow N: g \rightarrow ngn^{-1}$  is an inner automorphism, so  $(c_n)_* \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{N}_*^N)$  is trivial. Since  $S$  is normal in  $N$ ,  $c_n(S) \subset S$  and  $c_n$  is an automorphism of  $S$ , so  $(c_n)_* \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{N}_*^S)$ . Since  $\rho_S^N$  is epic,  $(c_n)_*$  is trivial in  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{N}_*^S)$ , and thus the homomorphism

$$N \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{N}_*^S): n \rightarrow (c_n)_*$$

is trivial, and by Lemma 3.4

$$N \rightarrow \text{Perm}(\text{IR}(S)): n \rightarrow \bar{c}_n$$

is trivial.

By Lemma 3.3,  $S = (Z_2)^k$ , and every irreducible representation of  $S$  is of the form  $(R, \theta)$  where  $\theta(s, v) = \bar{\theta}(s) \cdot v$ , with  $\bar{\theta}: S \rightarrow Z_2 = \{+1, -1\}$ . Thus  $\text{IR}(S) = \text{Hom}(S, Z_2)$ . If  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(S)$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}(R, \theta) = (R, \psi)$  with  $\bar{\psi}(s) \cdot x = \bar{\theta}(\alpha^{-1}s) \cdot x$ , so  $\bar{\alpha} = 1$  implies  $\bar{\theta} \circ \alpha^{-1} = \bar{\theta}$  for all  $\bar{\theta} \in \text{Hom}(S, Z_2)$  and hence  $\alpha = 1$ . Thus, the homomorphism

$$N \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S): n \rightarrow c_n$$

is trivial, or  $S$  is central in its normalizer.

One may now apply the theorem of Burnside [6, Theorem 14.3.1]: If a Sylow subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  is in the center of its normalizer, then  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $H$  which has the elements of  $P$  as its coset representatives.

Thus one has

THEOREM 3.1. *If  $G$  is a finite group with*

$$\tilde{\mu}: \mathfrak{N}_*^G(X, A, \psi) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}^G} \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow H_*^G(X, A, \psi; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

*an isomorphism for all  $G$  pairs  $(X, A, \psi)$ , then  $G$  is 2-nilpotent and has Sylow 2 subgroup a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  vector space.*

Combining this with Theorem 2.2 gives Theorem 2 of the Introduction.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. E. Bredon, *Equivariant cohomology theories*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1967. MR 35 #4914.
2. P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, *Maps of odd period*, Ann. of Math. (2) **84** (1966), 132–156. MR 34 #3587.
3. S. Eilenberg, *Homology of spaces with operators. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **61** (1947), 378–417; errata **62** (1947), 548. MR 9, 52.
4. E. E. Floyd, *Orbit spaces of finite transformation groups. I*, Duke Math. J. **20** (1953), 563–567. MR 15, 456.
5. ———, “Periodic maps via Smith theory,” in A. Borel, *Seminar on transformation groups*, Ann. of Math. Studies no. 46, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1960. MR 22 #7129.
6. M. Hall, Jr., *The theory of groups*, Macmillan, New York, 1959. MR 21 #1996.
7. P. A. Smith, “Fixed points of periodic transformations,” in S. Lefschetz, *Algebraic topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 27, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1942. MR 4, 84.
8. R. E. Stong, *Unoriented bordism and actions of finite groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 103 (1970).
9. ———, *Equivariant bordism and Smith theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **159** (1971), 417–426.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA 22901