

2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

AFF

Spkr	Muñiz Gomez & Santana	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Willie Gomez	26	34
1	Miguel Santana	27	43

NEG

Spkr	BIHS Maggiolo & De Jesus	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Carly Maggiolo	28	1
2	Carolyn De Jesus	28	2

Winner: BIHS Maggiolo and De Jesus debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: David Adames

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Carly and Carolyn were great partners, they supported each others statements extremely well. Although Carly and Carolyn had a tough side to support they were still able to bring up a lot of evidence to support their case. Additionally, Carly and Carolyn asked great questions to their opponents that helped further their case.

305524  
410 3204

# Debates en Español

<b>Orador 1A:</b> <u>Miguel Santana</u> <b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30 <b>Comentarios:</b> was nervous but did a good answering questions and bringing up evidence to support argument	<b>Orador 1N:</b> <u>Carly Maggioro</u> <b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30 <b>Comentarios:</b> Very well spoken. Asked great questions and was confident.
<b>Orador 2A:</b> <u>Willie Gomez</u> <b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 (26) 27 28 29 30 <b>Comentarios:</b> was very nervous and prone to tangling during debate. did a good job of supporting argument	<b>Orador 2N:</b> <u>Carly De Jesus</u> <b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30 <b>Comentarios:</b> Very well spoken. worked really well with teammates. Had strong evidence to support case
<b>Argumentos Afirmativos</b>	<b>Argumentos Negativos</b>

En esta parte, concéntrate en el enfrentamiento entre Aff y Neg mientras piensas acerca de los impactos que se presentan.

- Constructivos**
- 1AC (8 min.)
  - CX (3 min.)
  - 1NC (8 min.)
  - CX (3 min.)
  - 2AC (8 min.)
  - CX (3 min.)
  - 2NC (8 min.)
  - CX (3 min.)
- Refutaciones**
- 1NR (5 min.)
  - 1AR (5 min.)
  - 2NR (5 min.)
  - 2AR (5 min.)

**Cada equipo tiene 8 minutos de preparación.**

**Tiempo Afirmativo**  
8:00

**Tiempo Negativo**  
8:00

- workers don't have basic human rights
- 9h an hour - not fair. How long hours
- workers work with toxic chemicals but don't use protection
- workers can't even protest
- Anyone who tries to help can't
- Authorities fear unions? threaten civilians who were protesting
- US needs to sanction companies that work with

- US is already involved in helping China solve its human rights problems.
- China knows how to implement laws to protect workers!
- China relies heavily on export so sanctioning China would hurt economy
- When China did raise salaries the economy was negatively impacted
- Increasing wages could cause an economic crisis like in 2008
- Increasing wage would hurt money for other government sectors

- talking about human rights is a right that the US respects
- China is contradicting its own laws

- Since poor education and workers rights have dramatically improved
- China respects freedom of religion
- US can't make China become a democracy - this would create chaos

- workers rights

• 80% of companies workers who

Room: 203  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Varsity

2

Akindele, Femi

New Mission HS/BCLA

# 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	BLA Pierre-Louis & Waite	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1A	Farrah Pierre-Louis	27.5	1
2A	Millenia Waite	26	4

### NEG

Spkr	Muñiz Gonzalez & Melo	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2N	Gessel Gonzalez	27	2
1N	Cindy Melo	26.5	3

Winner: MUNIZ School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision: The neg won on Impact turn

# High School - Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. **The decision** in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Cross-Ex:** Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.

**Organization:** Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

<b>1A Speaker:</b> _____ <b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <small>comment:</small>	<b>1N Speaker:</b> _____ <b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <small>comment:</small>
<b>2A Speaker:</b> _____ <b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <small>comment:</small>	<b>2N Speaker:</b> _____ <b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <small>comment:</small>

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments
<i>In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.</i>	

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

**Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.**

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

Room: 225  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Junior Varsity

2

Bahr, Carol

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	Westie Aispuro & Jimoh	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Karina Aispuro	27	3
	Mustapha Jimoh	27.5	2

### NEG

Spkr	Ctown Zhang & Lara	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Donghua Zhang	27	4
	Randy Lara	28	1

Winner: Ctown debating on the Negative Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

The negative team won the debate because they established that the plan for military action had an uncertain, even negative possibility. ~~and that~~

China has been peaceful historically and both countries have mutual reasons why they would not benefit from war. Both economies still are growing even with China's actions.

The affirmative team had strong arguments about the possibility of China's actions creating economic problems in the region leading to regional challenges. However in my mind the negative team proved that military action could have the opposite intended effect.

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

- Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.
- Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.
- Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

### 1A Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 1N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 2A Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 2N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

### Affirmative Arguments

### Negative Arguments

In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

China expanding into the South China Sea for their own economic benefit is causing the possibility of war in the region. Other countries in the region are aligning themselves with the US to get a powerful country's support. Expansion cutting off trade routes + regional South China Sea is critical to trade + China should not expand because they. China nationalism is increasing some wars started by incidents. China is expanding their military presence. China would not risk war with US like other countries in region. Plan → increase dialog with China telling them to stop expanding and if necessary engage in military to military confrontations. Solves → creates stability in the region prevents war + prevent global economic crisis.

Military action would increase possibility of war + mutual destruction. Both countries would suffer economically if war happens. Minor military conflicts do not lead to war as historically shown, but major moves may. Plan is unnecessary + would do the opposite. Japan would be brought into conflict and it could damage the strong partnership. China is only expanding to grow their economy; they are a peaceful country. Destabilization of US + China economies because of trade issues could cause a global crisis + increase terrorism.

What happens if the plan does not work - will US need to...

Please give all speaking, presentation, and debate strategy...

Room: 236  
 Start: 6:30 PM  
 Junior Varsity

2

Bender, Sean

2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
 Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
 Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

Spkr	Ctown Huang & Mao	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1A	QianYi Huang	26.5	3
2A	Hongting Mao	26.5	4

NEG

Spkr	BIHS Brhane & Narcisse	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1N	Yorsalem Brhane	27.5	2
2N	Christelle Narcisse	27.5	1

Winner: Ctown Huang + Mao debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Yes

Signature: Sean Bender

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Qianyi! - work on eye contact, good explaining  
 make sure you read solvency  
 Try to use cross-x Powerful rebuttal!  
 Hongting - Roadmap, good sol explaining  
 Wonderful rebuttal  
 Try to use cross-x

Yorsalem - read the whole DA, ~~explain your evidence~~  
~~at the~~ good confidence  
 Strong rebuttal - especially extemporaneous  
 Christelle - excellent cross-x questions  
 good pause, eye contact, explaining

On inherency, the neg shows that there have been small, gradual changes. But it seems like the affirmative still has harms. The negative asks if the US can solve, and the affirmative says that they can because they are China's largest trading partner. These are all defensive arguments. The Econ DA was the only offense in the round, but it was read w/ the Impact card. The IAR Impact calc on human rights placed it as an ethical obligation, more important than money. The neg doesn't give me impact calc, so I have to side w/ the affirmative.

Close round, all good speakers.

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. **The decision** in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

- Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.
- Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.
- Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

**Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.**

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

### 1A Speaker:

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

### 1N Speaker:

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

### 2A Speaker:

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

### 2N Speaker:

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

### Affirmative Arguments

### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*



Room: 112  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Novice

2

Bottorf, August

Boston Latin Academy

# 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.


AFF

Spkr	BIHS NLNYet & Hasan	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Sadrack NLNYet	<del>27</del>	2
1	Abdi Hasan	<del>27</del>	1

NEG

Spkr	Hender Devine & Dang	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	<del>Neill Devine</del>	NA	NA
1	Justin Dang	26	3

Winner: BIHS School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

neg for conceded affirmative harms  
and solvency and dropped all other  
arguments.

# High School - Novice

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

**Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below)**

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.  
**Presentation:** How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas.  
**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

~~8:00~~  
 4:30  
 3:30  
 2:30

### Neg Prep Time

~~8:00~~  
 5:30

#### 1A Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: good summaries

#### 1N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: good postcard summaries

#### 2A Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

#### 2N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: stand up while speaking.

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

Burke, Mosie

Room: 214  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Novice

2

Humbert, Alan

Westie

# 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.


### AFF

Spkr	EMK Seck & Essebbar	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Mocktar Seck	28	1
2	Ibrahim Essebbar	27.5	3

### NEG

Spkr	NMBCLA Pereira & Marcellin	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Sarai Pereira	28	2
1	Mya Marcellin	27.5	4

Winner: NMBCLA PM debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: 

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

The only arguments made on the 2NR and 2AR are that war won't happen and that it could.

The 2AR has stronger arguments on this question—namely, that China doesn't want to disrupt its alliances and that economic ties stop war. The 2AR doesn't extend warrants or do a good job defending why the plan actually does anything.

# High School - Novice

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

**Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below)**

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Presentation:** How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

**1N Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

**2A Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

**2N Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

**Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:**

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

**Affirmative Arguments**

**Negative Arguments**

**Constructives**

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

**Rebuttals**

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

**Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.**

**Aff Prep Time**

~~8:00~~  
7  
6:30

**Neg Prep Time**

~~8:00~~  
4:30  
1:42

Room: 213  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Varsity

2

Cabrera, Leonel

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	BLA Alphonse & Elbidaoui	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Joelleen Alphonse	29	2
1	Dounia Elbidaoui	29	1

### NEG

Spkr	Muñiz Wade & Guerrero	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Josiah Wade	28	4
1	Ashley Guerrero	28.5	3

Winner: Muñiz Wade & Guerrero debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Yes

Signature: Leonel Cabrera Guerrero

Pronouns: BLA Alphonse & Elbidaoui's Alphonse pronouns: She/her (they/them)

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

I ended up voting neg because after all, they gave multiple details like: China will decrease CO2 by 54% by 2030, and the China only changes 0.1 ~~in a~~ decade, CO2 is good, etc...

Over all... Neg won because even though the aff seemed more prepared for the topic, the neg brought info that was not responded by the Aff and those details proved that the Aff plan was not needed and it would only ~~cost~~ waste money from the US.

If the CO2 decreases, the Aff harms won't happen.

# High School - Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Cross-Ex:** Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.

**Organization:** Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** Dawnia

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 (29) 30

comment:

**1N Speaker:** Ashley

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30

comment: 28.5

**2A Speaker:** Joelleen

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 (29) 30

comment:

**2N Speaker:** Josiah

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: 28

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

- CO2 good for crops

- china will reduce CO2 by 54% by 2030 so no more CO2 increase.

- It will cost about 1 trillion a year for the US.

- All need to invest in china to help them stop their carbon and CO2 production.

- CO2 kills people and ruins crops.

- environment is more important than economy

#### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

#### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

#### Aff Prep Time

8:00  
7:30  
4:30  
0

#### Neg Prep Time

8:00  
5:42  
3:41

Room: 222  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Junior Varsity

2

Cadet, Janite

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	BIHS Al Bidari Sattar & Casilla	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Mohammed Al Bidari Sattar	27.5	2
2	Juan Pablo Casilla	27.5	1

### NEG

Spkr	Westie Acquah & Davila	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Joseph Acquah	27	3
2	Adalberto Davila	26.5	4

Winner: BIHS School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Janite Cadet

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Affirmative team won this debate because they effectively established that human rights violations were occurring due to poor labor conditions & wages, as well as arguing against the Negatives argument that things were fine as is.

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

## Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

- Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.
- Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.
- Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** Mohammed

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: provided great roadmaps summary of evidence would be helpful

**1N Speaker:** Joseph

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: was the primary speaker for team - good command of material

**2A Speaker:** Juan Pablo

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: summary of evidence would be helpful - very convicted in speech

**2N Speaker:** Adalberto

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: great clarity and presentation when 2NC Needed more mat in CX

## Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

### Affirmative Arguments

### Negative Arguments

In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

Plan: Impose Sanctions on companies that violate human rights in China.

Plan needed because...

- Human Rights violation
  - inadequate pay for long labor hours.
  - unsafe + unsanitary working conditions.

- Enforcement of labor laws.

- worker advocacy -
  - illegal to strike + protest

Current engagements with China re: human rights violation are ineffective - Chinese gov't remain poised and need increased pressure.

- China is worse country for religious freedom.

Steps have already been made under Obama Admin

→ has been top agenda priority.

→ provided funds for programs to support efforts.

→ working conditions have improved with quality checks.

→ Labor norms have changed - workers are ↑ educated.

→ worker strikes and protests have increased in recent years - more self-advocacy

→ Importance of freedom of religion is stressed by Chinese gov't officials

→ U.S. is not the appropriate entity to investigate human rights issues.

## Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

## Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

## Aff Prep Time

8:00  
1:00  

---

7:00  
3:00  

---

4:00

## Neg Prep Time

8:00  
2:00  

---

6:00  
3:00  

---

5:30



Room: 221  
 Start: 6:30 PM  
 Junior Varsity

2

Cheung, Jacky

2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

Spkr	Muñiz Lara Gonzalez & Terr...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Williber Lara Gonzalez	27	3
2	Leandro Terrero	25	4

leo

NEG

Spkr	NMBCLA Mohamed & Noels...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Aisha Mohamed	29	1
1	Isabelle Noelsaint	28	2

Winner: NMBCLA School/Team debating on the NO Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Jacky Cheung

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Great job explaining certain terms to judge!  
 Great effort to attempt to prepare counter questions during cross-examination

Don't Give UP!

Things to work on:  
 - use more evidence from text to counter cross examination  
 improve a bit on cross-examination also, try to think of things to say when other side is speaking.  
 try not to read every thing from

Great questions against the aff side.  
 Strong defense on cross exam.  
 Clear and ~~concise~~ convincing summary of rebuttal

→ focus a bit more on China and US relations rather than Japanese (all) relationships.  
 if you are lost, try to paraphrase rather than stop and pause.

text, maybe use your own opinion/ evidence

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

1A Speaker: Williber

1N Speaker: Isabella

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

comment:

2A Speaker: Laandro

2N Speaker: Aisha

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

comment:

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

China expanding to south China sea  
south China sea conflict  
may be a war conflict  
US increasing military  
presence

provide a free trade with  
oil water and trade with  
each country

escalation  
escalation unlikely to happen.

Japan will not use nuclear  
weapons because of the  
historical destruction of and consequences  
of it.

China crossing the air-parallel  
line which is supposed to be  
shared

~~land~~ may be lost by other  
countries

What makes U.S. credible  
that it should intervene?

U.S and Japanese relationship  
may deteriorate if U.S let  
China do what it wants

use of nuclear weapons  
is catastrophic  
and could escalate to nuclear war

if war happens, ~~both~~ no one wins

~~that's why it's bad,~~  
mis calculation unlikely to  
lead to war because no one wants  
corporation) captures China

China from engagement to containment.

aff side engage in violence is a bit  
extreme. China lost sorry to start war

#### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

#### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8  
minutes of total  
prep time.

#### Aff Prep Time

8:00

1  
7  
-2  

---

5  
-1  

---

4

#### Neg Prep Time

8:00

Room: 234  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Junior Varsity

2

Christian, Michell-

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	BRI Diggs & Germain	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Richard Diggs	27	1
2	Jeremiah Germain	27	2

### NEG

Spkr	Ctown Mandell & De Los Sa...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Zach Mandell	27	3
1	Rafael De Los Santos	27	4

Winner: BRI School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Michelle Christian

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Team that won provided compelling arguments for their position regarding the South China Seas.

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

**1A Speaker:** Richard Dreggs

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

Spoke clearly + articulately

**1N Speaker:** Keyshel Santos

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

Spoke clearly, used prep time

**2A Speaker:** Jenavieve Di German

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

Spoke clearly, memorized

**2N Speaker:** Zach Mandell

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

ask questions

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

- Stop invading South China Seas

- Could go nuclear if escalation continues

- Conflict would draw numerous countries

- Developed countries will support economic growth

- military to military already happening

2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
 Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
 Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF			
Spkr	BLA Davis & Rivera	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Sydney Davis	27	4
2	Aracely Rivera	27	3

NEG			
Spkr	BIHS Pardieu & Somane	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Sherlandy Pardieu	28	2
2	Mohamed Somane	28	1

Winner: BIHS debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
 School/Team  
 Signature: Asiatou Diallo

Comments & Reason for Decision:  
 I voted for the Negatives because they proved my reasons why the Aff's plan was as going with the CP's in place under the UN from expanding. The Aff I don't vote for the Aff because they kept repeating that China and the U.S have a great relationship so basically the plan allows that really respecting to the NEG which basically shows by words to the CP

# High School - Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Cross-Ex:** Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.  
**Organization:** Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively.  
**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

**1A Speaker:** Sydney  
**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30  
 comment: You did good I just feel like you were just speaking so much that they had a hard time listening

**1N Speaker:** Sherylinda  
**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 (29) 30  
 comment: Good job on your rebuttal and on CX you were very clear my witness is the only to point out the facts.

**2A Speaker:** Honey  
**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 (27) (28) 29 30  
 comment: Good they did good I just feel like

**2N Speaker:** Mohamed  
**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 (29) 30  
 comment: I think you did really good on answering all the questions especially in CX and you did really well in your rebuttal.

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

#### Aff Prep Time

8:00  
 - 1:00  


---

 7:00  
 - 1:00  


---

 6:00  
 - 4:30  


---

 5:30

#### Neg Prep Time

8:00  
 - 1:30  


---

 6:30  
 - 1:50  


---

 5:40  
 - 5:30  


---

 2:00

Room: 239  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Junior Varsity

2

Duncan, Elyssa

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	EMK Agosto & Mohamed	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1 <sup>st</sup>	Adamaris Agosto	25	4
2 <sup>nd</sup>	Anab Mohamed	25.5	3

### NEG

Spkr	Hender Gillis & Van	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2 <sup>nd</sup>	David Gillis	28	1
1 <sup>st</sup>	Anthony Van	28	2

Winner: Hender Gillis debating on the NEG Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Elyssa Duncan

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

I believe the NEG side did an outstanding job rebutting and arguing the points outlined in the AFF side plan. They outlined:

- involvement would weaken ties with other countries, especially Japan
- military to military engagement is already occurring so the plan is essentially unnecessary

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

- Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.
- Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.
- Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

**1A Speaker:** Adamaris

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**1N Speaker:** Anthony

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**2A Speaker:** Anab

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**2N Speaker:** David

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

- US-China could escalate → go nuclear. s
- military to military exchange is necessary

- China does not want war, treaties state that
- m to m is already happening

- Kill jobs + hurt global economics. Economic growth is good for all - allows gov't to create programs

- US Japan relationship is possibly weakening due to increasing China/US relationship.
- US goes into sea doesn't stop events from occurring

- lead to high risk of war and US may not be capable of deciding which action to take

- Intervening would encourage China to become more aggressive.

- prevents use of force and looks out for well being of all countries

- US should try + strengthen relationship w/ China. Getting involved could cause escalation by creating tension



2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
 Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
 Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

Spkr	BIHS Atenor & Behek	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Vanessa Atenor	27.5	2
1	Abebech Behek	28	1

NEG

Spkr	Ctown Lara & Lara	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Juan Lara	27	3
1	Enmanuel Lara	26	4

Winner: BIHS debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
 School/Team  
 Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg - Good CX questions, No new arguments in rebuttal  
 - Speak only when it is your turn  
 - Great arguments, try to make your ~~own~~ arguments more impactful.  
 AFF won because they proved Human rights was essential right now, ~~we need~~ more important than trade.  
AFF  
 Great speaking, and good job debating Human rights

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. **The decision** in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**1N Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**2A Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**2N Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

**Affirmative Arguments**

**Negative Arguments**

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

HR are being violated  
and sanctioning companies  
working w/ china  
from ~~china~~ ~~to~~ ~~work~~  
save some of these  
lives

We have to fix  
problems in U.S. before  
fixing foreign ~~problems~~

These were the strongest  
arguments

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

~~8:00~~

~~6:00~~

7:30

### Neg Prep Time

~~8:00~~

~~7:00~~

6:00

Room: 201  
 Start: 6:30 PM  
 Varsity

2

Falcon, Zaiana

Charlestown

2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
 Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
 Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF			
Spkr	BLA Vaz & Houston	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Julie Vaz	28	2
2	Jacqueline Houston	29	1

NEG			
Spkr	Burke Goncalves & Paul	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	David Goncalves	25	4
1	Emmanuel Paul	26	3

Winner: BLA Vaz & Houston debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Z. Falcon

More on the back.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- MISCALC = something DIFF.

↳ IN THE CONTEXT OF THE AFFIRMATIVE MISCALCULATION IS THE IDEATHAT CHINA WILL EVENTUALLY OVERTAKE.

AFF: YOU DON'T HAVE TO SPEND 5-6 MINUTES PUTTING NEW EVIDENCE ON CASE IF THEY DROPPED IT - JUST EXTEND.

AFF: YOU ALL ARE IN NEED OF SOME WARRANTS FOR THESE ARGUMENTS.

AFF: I THINK THAT A PERM WOULD HAVE BEEN GOOD ON K AND CP.

↳ PERM DO THE AFF THEN THE A IN ALL OTHER INSTANCES!

↳ PERM DO BOTH US = ENV. ↳ THE K ↳ CP OVER THE SOUTH CHINA

↳ PERM DO BOTH ENGAGE WITH CHINA AS EAS CAN DO ANYTHING ELSE. WITH ENGAGEMENT THROUGH A CRITICAL LENSE -- NOT WITH THE INTENSION OF DOMINATING THEM... ONLY ENGAGEMENT.

NEG: MAKE SURE THAT IF YOU'RE GOING TO PUT AN ANSWER ON CASE THAT AT LEAST SOME OF THEM ARE IN THE INC!

NEG: WE NEED TO LOOK AT THE JUDGE DOES CROSS EX!!!

\* RFD: I VOTED...

NEG: IT IS DANGEROUS TO RUN A CRITIC WITHOUT A CARD TO BACK UP YOUR IMPACT = LEAVES YOU OPEN TO A WHOLE BUNCH OF STUFF.

NEG: I THINK THAT YOU SHOULD REALLY WORK ON THE LINK FOR THE K. I AM ALSO THINKING THAT YOU COULD CROSS APPLY SOME OF THE K ARGUMENTS TO EAS AND ANSWER CASE THAT WAY!

# High School - Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Cross-Ex:** Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.

**Organization:** Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

NEG: YOU MADE THE RIGHT CHOICE GOING FOR

CP, SOLVENCY, AND

IN THE 2NR BUT YOU NEEDED

TO MAKE CERTAIN ARGUMENTS

IN ORDER TO WIN AND YOU DIDN'T

DO THAT.

NEG: YOU REALLY NEED

TO GO DEEP INTO

THIS EXPLANATION

OF LIKE IMPERIALISM

AND WHY YOU WIN

THE IMPACT ON THE

K.

AFF: I THINK THAT COMING

OUT OF THE 2NR THEIR

IS NO CLEAR STORY ON

THE CP, K, OR SOLVENCY

ARGUMENTS AND

I THINK YOU SHOULD

REALLY CAPITALIZE

ON THAT.

2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017


If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
 Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
 Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

Spkr	BLA Flynn & Sosa Guir	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2AC	Shannon Flynn	28.5	2nd
1AC	Alexandra Sosa Guir	<del>28.5</del>	<del>2nd</del>

NEG

Spkr	NMBCLA German & Hartgro...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2NC	Ashley German	28.5	1st
1NC	Shalance Hartgrove	27.5	3rd

Winner: NMBCLA German & Hartgrove debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?             
 School/Team  
 Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I voted for the negative because their arguments were clearer than the Aff. by a small margin. Both teams were well-informed about their cases and that was apparent in the cross-ex b/c both teams asked good and thoughtful questions. Both teams need to work on structuring their rebuttal better. I feel like they ~~it was~~ jammed up all their arguments into the speech and didn't explain the impacts as well as they could of. But besides these critics it was a great round!

# High School - Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

**Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:**

- Cross-Ex:** Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.
- Organization:** Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively.
- Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** *Alexandra Gair*

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: *Clear speaker, need to engage more in cross-ex*

**1N Speaker:** *Shalance Hartgrove*

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: *Good speaker, well-informed about case*

**2A Speaker:** *Shannon Fugate*

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: *Very passionate and good at explaining the Aff viewpoint*

**2N Speaker:** *Ashley German*

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: *Good job at explaining your arguments & pressuring your opponent*

**Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:**

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

**Affirmative Arguments**

**Negative Arguments**

In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

- US & China cooperating with China agreement now
- It isn't possible to ~~not~~ work together on climate change even though no plan has happened yet
- Climate change is real
- global warming can exceed 8° Fahr. melt polar ice caps and flood cities.
- Major global benefits if China focus on renewable eng
- China's economy
- USFG should work with China ↑ & collaborate on ↓ emissions
- China - let's say their economy goes down, the difference to other world countries is 0.5°?

- Uniqueness China economy - <sup>economy</sup> weak now
- China economic decline has a detrimental affect on the whole world economy
- Link: Marketed oriented reforms makes China to flourish
- in case
- Paris agreement wasn't specified.
- China CO<sub>2</sub> has peaked and will plummet.
- PA agreement caused china to be confused on how much CO<sub>2</sub> to cut down
- Scientist keep changing data of global warming which makes it hard to get specific numbers.
- Climate change is man made or hoax

**Constructives**

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

**Rebuttals**

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

**Aff Prep Time**

8:00  
~~7:30~~  
~~3:20~~

157  
 1420

**Neg Prep Time**

8:00  
~~7:30~~  
 X

Room: 249  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Novice

2

Francis, Tajahane

Boston Latin Academy

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	Ctown Li & Xuan	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	JianYi Guan	28	2
1	Ziyan Zhao	27.5	4

### NEG

Spkr	Burke Boyce & Padilla	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Faith Boyce	27.5	3
1	Faith Padilla	28.5	1

Winner: Burke Boyce + Padilla debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team  
Signature: Tajahane Francis

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

-I voted for the neg because the neg was able to better explain their arguments as a way to break down their opponents.  
The aff also failed to bring up a plan, so the neg did a ~~plausible~~ good job of using the evidence presented and combating those specific points.

# High School - Novice

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

**Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below)**

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Presentation:** How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** Ziyao

**1N Speaker:** Faith P

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

comment:

PLEASE USE ALL time to speak

**2A Speaker:** Jian Yi

**2N Speaker:** Faith B

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

comment:

utilize prep " " time + speaking time

DON'T let your partner ask/answer all questions.

**Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:**

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

**Affirmative Arguments**

**Negative Arguments**

1AC [ Inherency + Harms  
China is expanding  
↳ confrontation + miscalculation + war will happen

NO HARM  
China will remain peaceful  
no miscalculation, no tensions = NO WAR

2AC [ solvency  
miscalculations won't occur w/ plan...  
Plan was never started?

mistrust is happening?  
tensions will only occur if we interfere with china

need to manipulate China

**Constructives**

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

**Rebuttals**

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

**Aff Prep Time**

8:00

**Neg Prep Time**

8:00



Room: 106  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Debate en Espanol

2

Hernandez, Guillermo  
~~Martinez, Yvan~~

East Boston

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS


Mar 10 - 11, 2017

AFF

Spkr	Muñiz Cabreja & Bautista	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Diana Cabreja	27	4
1	DaniQui Bautista	27	2

NEG

Spkr	BIHS Amparo & Lorenzo	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Oliver Amparo	27	<del>3</del>
2	Gabriel Lorenzo	28	<del>1</del>

Winner: Muñiz Cabreja & Bautista debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? yes  
School/Team  
Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The evidence presented always revolved about the violation of human rights. They mention the pay per work hours ratio, the morality of workers being affected. While the other team focused on the United States role, in relations with china regarding the economic growth.

# Debates en Español

<b>Orador 1A:</b> <u>Daniel Qui</u> <b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30 <b>Comentarios:</b>	<b>Orador 1N:</b> <u>Oliver</u> <b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30 <b>Comentarios:</b>
<b>Orador 2A:</b> <u>Diana</u> <b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30 <b>Comentarios:</b>	<b>Orador 2N:</b> <u>Gabriel</u> <b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30 <b>Comentarios:</b>
<b>Argumentos Afirmativos</b>	<b>Argumentos Negativos</b>
<i>En esta parte, concéntrate en el enfrentamiento entre Aff y Neg mientras piensas acerca de los impactos que se presentan.</i>	
<p>Mim. pay. get pay for 10hrs but work for 12.</p> <p>Conditions are hor.</p> <p>- Morality affected by work.</p> <p>- Workers are limited to exc. their rights/ express their emotions about the work condit.</p>	<p>- Working conditions have improved since 2008</p> <p>- Labor laws will decrease the workers' pay, causing more poverty.</p> <p>- Government system will always have a violation of human rights.</p>

### Constructivos

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Refutaciones

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

**Cada equipo tiene 8 minutos de preparación.**

### Tiempo Afirmativo

8:00

### Tiempo Negativo

8:00

2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

AFF

Spkr	BIHS Figuero & Aguasviva	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Sucre Figuero	27	2
	Leidy Aguasviva	26	3

NEG

Spkr	Eastie Argueta Iglesia & Sa...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	<del>Jorge Argueta Iglesia</del>		
	Miguel Sanchez	28	1

Winner: East Boston Argueta Iglesia debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Alexandra Hung

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- BIHS ⇒ estuvieron muy preparados pero muchas veces solo usaron el argumento del pago.

⇒ hicieron un buen trabajo en notar que informacion del Negativo era del 2008, hace 9 años atras.

Eastie Argueta

⇒ usaste bastante informacion para crear argumentos, pero debiste expandir un poco mas.

⇒ hablaste claro y con mucha tranquilidad.

# Debates en Español

<p><b>Orador 1A:</b> <u>Sucre Figueroa</u></p> <p><b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30</p> <p><b>Comentarios:</b></p>	<p><b>Orador 1N:</b> <u>Miguel Sanchez</u></p> <p><b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30</p> <p><b>Comentarios:</b></p>
<p><b>Orador 2A:</b> <u>Leidy Aguirre</u></p> <p><b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30</p> <p><b>Comentarios:</b></p>	<p><b>Orador 2N:</b> <u>Miguel Sanchez</u></p> <p><b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30</p> <p><b>Comentarios:</b></p>
<b>Argumentos Afirmativos</b>	<b>Argumentos Negativos</b>
<p>En esta parte, concéntrese en el enfrentamiento entre Aff y Neg mientras piensa acerca de los impactos que se presentan.</p>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• violaciones a los derechos humanos poro pago, condiciones malas</li> <li>• China siempre ha manipulado</li> <li>• Trabajos deben de seguir normales</li> <li>• Es ilegal hacer huelga</li> <li>• <del>libertad religiosa no es permitida</del></li> <li>• <del>China esta violando derechos humanos</del></li> <li>• los trabajadores no reciben un salario digno</li> <li>• Cada país debe respetar los derechos humanos, incluyendo China</li> <li>• si no hacen algo ahora, se seguira violando los derechos humanos</li> <li>Plan debe de <del>ser</del> <sup>quejarse</sup> su trabajo diplomático al respecto de los derechos humanos en China.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• El presidente Obama ya esta tomando acciones</li> <li>• ciudadanos Chinos han reconocido mejoramiento de las condiciones de trabajo</li> <li>• estan ganando dinero, si se cai la empresa no <del>habria</del> hubiera forma de sostener a sus familias.</li> <li>• China es un país independiente pero Comunista, si van contra China, va haber problemas economicos global</li> <li>• U.S. tambien viola los derechos humanos → se ven hipocritas.</li> <li>• → tienen sus propios problemas</li> <li>• empresas independientes y privadas, no se puede intervenir.</li> <li>Plan no es necesario, U.S. tiene que enfocarse en sus <del>propios</del> <sup>propios</sup> problemas</li> </ul>

**Constructivos**

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

**Refutaciones**

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

**Cada equipo tiene 8 minutos de preparación.**

**Tiempo Afirmativo**

8:00

**Tiempo Negativo**

8:00

Room: 111  
 Start: 6:30 PM  
 Novice

2

Karang, Puspa

2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
 Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
 Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

Spkr	BIHS Borgelin & Felixon	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
1 <sup>st</sup>	Daphcar Borgelin	27.5	3.2
2 <sup>nd</sup>	Dana Felixon	27.5	4.3

NEG

Spkr	Burke Mohamed & Paris	POINTS (24-30)	RANK
1 <sup>st</sup>	Maynum Mohamed	27.5	1
2 <sup>nd</sup>	Johanna Paris	27.5	3.4

Winner: BIHS School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No  
 Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both team did a really good job following up and asking questions:  
 - NEG team pointed out data & reasons why miscalculation will not happen as AFF team repeatedly use that for their argument.  
 - ~~NEG team~~ When given an example by AFF team, why do NEG team this work work, NEG team said that. US & China should just compromise → this is AFF team's argument that US & China should compromise w/ Mil to Mil engagement.  
 ↳ so NEG team was inconsistent in their argument bcs initially they said that M2M engagement is already going and is not necessary to take further actions.  
~~However~~, NEG team backed up their arguments with data saying that within the last 4 decades no miscalculation has been done.  
 ↳ they stated clearly that the plan is no  
 But AFF stated their plan clearly and said that if we keep letting this happen, US will be get the disadvantages in the future.

# High School - Novice

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

**Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below)**

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Presentation:** How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

**1A Speaker:** Daphcar

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

- eye contact.
- explain a lot.

**1N Speaker:** Maynum

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

- no eye-contact.
- effective.

**2A Speaker:** Dana

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

- clear.
- answering question unclear.

**2N Speaker:** Jdanna

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

- calm.

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

#### Aff Prep Time

8:00

#### Neg Prep Time

8:00

- South China sea → US not effectively stopping them.

- Philip & Viet. seeks help to US.
- National Security.

Action/Plan: - US should M2M w/ ROC.

- help other country. bc US have greater power (to their help).

M2M - already happening. nothing change → how?

- President?

Not strong enough stance.

if China continue → US navigation in South China sea could be at risk.

- Undermine stability of SEA.

- global security.

- ~~more of those~~ could → M2M w/ &

China → discuss together → not war. → equally strong.

how will other country

Problem: unfair for other country.

War may not be w/ but the small countries.

M2M excha. → w/

Miscalculation? → crisis - ext. highly unlikely)

Military to military engagement.

- only small part → will not give any effect.

- Nuclear by misinterpreted warning.

↳ lead to a wider conflict.

- war - no one wants war. anyway. (?) imply

- US - China → interested in war (!) imply

- ruin balance.

- M2M is already happening. ~~if China out~~

miscalculation wouldn't begin.

- Not important ~~the~~ M2M is already happening,

what else do you want us to do?

- will it create war?

- US - China - important to maintain stability in SEA / ASP.

- M2M working well → no reason to do more.

- peaceful - no war

- ~~no~~ war for 4 decades, now war will happen.

- not important to USA.

- miscalculation will not happen based on record.

- No need for plan. → compromise.

- their plan (Aff).

it's already happening. M2M.

- miscalculation won't happen.

- smth is being done.

2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

Spkr	NMBCLA Adan & Nina	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Maryan Adan	28	1
2	Melvin Nina	27.5	2

NEG

Spkr	BIHS Medina & Youssefou	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Geuri Medina	27	4
2	Aboubaker Youssefou	27	3

Winner: Maryan / melvin debating on the aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The affirmative team effectively explained that the status quo regarding labor + social conditions in china is not appropriate, and that only U.S. engagement can effect change. They acknowledged that china has made a great deal of economic progress, but established that it ~~was~~ is disingenuous to believe that china's choices between economic progress + human rights. The negative ~~side~~ brought up the issue of the U.S. not having sufficient credibility to encourage other countries to change, due to violence in the U.S. + the U.S.'s actions abroad. However, the affirmative side ~~insisted~~ insisted the U.S. does have the tools to protect the people of China, and enough influence to create the change.

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

## Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

## Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

## Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

page numbers

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

## Aff Prep Time

8:00

7:30 min

5:40

## Neg Prep Time

8:00

5:00

5:00

**1A Speaker:** Maryan

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: set up good line of argument re: internet

bringing - good combination

principle - matter of helping others

**1N Speaker:** Geuri

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: organizing arguments well ("1st, 2nd")

"brings us back to the fundamental question"

**2A Speaker:** Melvin

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: good job summarizing

- all - when rebutting, say it to them directly

**2N Speaker:** Aboubaker

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: used special examples in your rebuttal - you said X, but Y.

"I'm going to show you that X..." - cited to

## Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

### Affirmative Arguments

### Negative Arguments

In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

Abuses in employment:  
 - safety issues  
 - pay/conditions  
 US gov't doesn't pry it up - COMPLICATED  
 but willing to change when brought to  
 PLAN - increase of promotion argument, sanction companies that don't comply w/ labor laws  
 - avoids unfair trading advantage

- US has tools to protect people of China

Persecution re: religious/political freedom  
 US must continue to press - s. 707(a)(2)(B)  
 China not accepting political reforms

\* recognizes economic progress but US disingenuous to say the choice is between economic prosperity + human rights

if Chinese strike, they will be killed, so US is the only chance

"I think about it in your life we have X, but they have Y it is wrong because"

- human rights ~~being~~ issues being solved internally  
 - US has encouraged human rights in China - dialogue w/ Dalai Lama  
 - CN least has freedom of religion  
 - more engagement would be destructive  
 - need something onto pole

US is not credible to effect change  
 - unintentional consequences

other human rights abuses in the world  
 Greenhouse gas issues - challenge

- CN economy growing - other countries being hypocritical re: human rights  
 - gun violence  
 - attacking other countries

- risk of conflict between us + China

Best - showed a lot of conviction

Maryan

Melvin

Rebuttal

Gay

Aboubaker

Geuri



Room: 233  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Junior Varsity

2

Lai, Connie

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	Westie Estiverne & Durand	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Phaica Estiverne	29	4
2	Winda Durand	29	2

### NEG

Spkr	Hender Andrade & Mao	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Tyler Andrade	29	1
1	Kanbe Mao	29	3

Winner: Hender Andrade + mao debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Connie Lai

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

I chose team Hender Andrade + mao as the winner (Tyler + Kanbe) because they provided a supportive analysis, citing statistical data and evidence, such as the labor laws in other countries like the Honduras/Peru, and how we haven't been able to intervene in their labor laws. Other good argument: does not mean we should not have China as ally, since they are the most populous country (very powerful) and we rely on them for resources.

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

- Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.
- Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.
- Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** Phaiica

**1N Speaker:** Kanbe

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 (29) 30  
comment:

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 (29) 30  
comment:

**2A Speaker:** Winda

**2N Speaker:** Tyler

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 (29) 30  
comment:

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 (29) 30  
comment:

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

- Workers do not have basic safety protocol
- Pegatron pushing out mill. units of Apple's iPhone
- \$1.85/hr not living wage
- misses opps. of diplomacy it disregarding opportunity to expand solidarity on global scale
- Lee Quang: US Director of China Labor: "tens millions of Chinese workers work more than 10 hrs per day for a \$205 wage"

- Monk being tortured in prison for speaking out: humans violated
- Tibetans hit themselves on fire
- 85% cent increase not enough money to provide decent humane life \*
- Workers should not

- Labor norms have changed, everything aff. stated is gradually improving
- Cultural differences; plan may not work
- Involvement may actually ruin US' current relationship with China/want to keep them as ally \*; lose large military resource
- "Biggest companies in cities improving: Beijing, Shanghai, Tianjin (factories)
- Aff. plan can also increase items we buy in their economy should reserve our own \$
- As of now, people can participate in free speech (w/out help of US)
- 85 cent increase

- Comparison to shooting incidents in 2015: US must focus on own country before trying to get involved
- US previously failed before

have to worry about carrying diseases from being in com

at labor laws w/ comparison to labor laws in Honduras, Peru → we cannot help everyone

Please give all speaking, presentation, and debate strategy related feedback.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

8 min.

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

7:30 min.

Room: 205  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Varsity

2

Liang, Wenle

Charlestown

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	BLA Thompson & Figueiredo	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Nia Thompson	27	4
2	Myllena Figueiredo	30	1

### NEG

Spkr	Tech Altine & Irish	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Lyfranson Altine	28	3
2	Malik Irish	29	2

Winner: BLA Thompson and Figueiredo debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Wenle Liang

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The AFF explain the Impact for climate change.  
The Neg didn't explain ~~how~~ the harm of their impact

# High School - Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. **The decision** in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Cross-Ex:** Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.  
**Organization:** Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively.  
**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

8:00  
4:37  
2:00

### Neg Prep Time

8:00  
6:20  
3:00  
1:32

**1A Speaker:** Nira

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**1N Speaker:** Lyfrason

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**2A Speaker:** Mullera

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**2N Speaker:** Malik

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

In 2050, the extinction will happen, and all people die.  
China is a superpower, How can U.S. be Imperialism?

It will not happen (Climate change).  
U.S. have done Im to other country before.

Martinez, Yvan

Room: 226  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Junior Varsity

2

Muiruri, Caroline

### 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

**AFF**

Spkr	Ctown Rodrigues & Tavaréz	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Ester Rodrigues	28.1	<del>2</del>
2	Yomelis Tavaréz	27	4

**NEG**

Spkr	Excel Hernandez & Prosper	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	David Hernandez	29	1
2	Rachenza Prosper	28	3

Winner: Excel Hernandez & Prosper debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Neg was able to explain why US military engagement in China was bad for US and Chinese economy. The Aff failed to answer that argument, and was not capable of telling the reason why USA should solve the ~~neg~~ issue of Chinese expansion.

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** Ester Rodrigues

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30

comment:

Very confident when talking

**1N Speaker:** David Hernandez

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 (29) 30

comment:

Has strategy of adding a beneficial economic perspective of the expansion of the SCS

**2A Speaker:** Yamelis Tavarez

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30

comment:

Practice on vocalization

**2N Speaker:** Raehenza Prosper

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30

comment:

Keep your security. Your ideas are the best ideas

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

- Expansion of China will create war in SCS.
- Nobody (the Neg) is doing anything to stop the war.

- China won't get into conflict.
- US military engagement in China is bad for US economy.

#### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

#### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

#### Aff Prep Time

8:00

6:00

4:00

3:00

#### Neg Prep Time

8:00

6:00

4:00

Room: 223  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Junior Varsity

2

Masley, Kelly

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.


AFF

Spkr	Muñiz Rosado & Valenzuela	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Jonathan Rosado	26	3
2	Stiven Valenzuela	27	1

NEG

Spkr	NMBCLA Gossop & Sanchez	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Danelia Gossop	26.5	2
1	Dionellys Sanchez	25.5	4

Winner: Muñiz School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The affirmative team won this round because they effectively argued that the status quo approach of avoiding mil-to-mil engagement has not been effective in preventing China from increasing its land and power holdings in the South China Sea, and that this continued pattern of "salami slicing" will likely lead to negative consequences for the U.S. and its allies. While the negative team made good points based on evidence, specifically, the fact that existing + past ~~debate~~ tensions in the South China Sea have not lead to war, ~~from~~ the events of the past may have limited ability to predict the future, especially as China grows more powerful in the region.

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

**1A Speaker:** Jonathan

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**1N Speaker:** Dionellys

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**2A Speaker:** Shiven

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**2N Speaker:** Daniela

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

China expanding into S.E. Asia + other countries not effective.  
 China refuses to negotiate.  
 Vietnam + Phillipines not strong enough  
 Changing strategy makes war more likely  
 China's increasing aggression is increasing tension. Potential conflict. Potential nuclear war.  
 Demand China abandon expansion military to military engagement  
 Brother gave attempts to use leading questions.  
 Good US hasn't done enough to protect its allies.  
 Salami slicing -> legal credibility  
 US could lose freedom of navigation.  
 Other Asian countries are militarily in response.

Effective questions on cross Daniela.  
 Likelihood of miscalculation  
 Conflict avoidance is best.  
 China's rise won't pose threat to stability  
 Most likely both countries will continue to cooperate,  
 mil to mil engagement is small part of relationship.  
 aggressive behavior encourages aggressive behavior.  
 Jonathan - great command of fact in response, critical thinking.  
~~threat~~ threat of miscalculation unlikely.  
 hasn't happened yet.  
 US should maintain military advantage as deterrent.  
 China's military capability is growing  
 pivot to containment

Cooperation encourages aggression. liberal rhetoric to disguise realist behavior.



Room: 135  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Novice

2

Metz-Lerman, Na-

Boston Latin Academy

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	NMBCLA Demera & Aguasvi...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Nallely Demera	28	2
1	Daylin Aguasvivas	28	3

### NEG

Spkr	Westie Sanon & Amparo	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Unique Sanon	28.5	1
2	Dariana Amparo	27.5	4

Winner: NMBCLA School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: *Ruthen Manhon*

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

This debate went really well! Ultimately I was forced to vote aff because I do not know ~~what~~ why not. The aff convinced me that status quo engagement is probably not enough and that there is a real danger in not engaging. I really wanted to see the negative make arguments for why the plan would be a bad idea not just an unnecessary idea.

# High School - Novice

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

**Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below)**

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Presentation:** How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** Daylin

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: It is probably more powerful to stand up and speak. Strong voice. I liked your cross-ex.

**1N Speaker:** Unique

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: Strong cross-ex answers. Nice handling of cross-ex. Powerful voice. Strong arguments.

**2A Speaker:** Nallely

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: It is probably best to stand up. Answer all of their arguments strongly in order to fill up time.

**2N Speaker:** Dariana

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: good clash! Strong voice. Probably should stand up.

**Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:**

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

**Affirmative Arguments**

**Negative Arguments**

Notes for everyone

- It makes sense to take prep time after cross-ex not before it.
- It is OK to have fire! Passion + energy are good, and ensure the debate is interesting.
- take notes on everything the other team says. Practice flowing (ask a person on your team what that is and what it looks like.)
- remember to tell a single story that says why I should vote for you

**Constructives**

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

**Rebuttals**

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

**Aff Prep Time**

- 8:00
- 7:00
- 6:30
- 6:00
- 3:10

**Neg Prep Time**

- 8:00
- 6:00
- 5:00
- 3:00

strong arguments cross-ex.

Room: 220  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Junior Varsity

2

Mix, Becca

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.


AFF

Spkr	EMK Laborde & Gonzalez	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Rasheed Laborde	28	2
1	Jasline Gonzalez	29	1

NEG

Spkr	BRI Laurore & Moreno	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Royaumine Laurore	26	4
2	Ernesto Moreno	26.5	3

Winner: EMK Laborde & Gonzalez debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The winning team was very prepared, thoughtful in cross + all arguments were well placed. Extremely impressive. Jasline is has an extraordinary skill set.

(Although not a driver of my decision, the Superhero tops were a lovely touch.)

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** Jasline Gonzalez

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:  
Great summaries! Slow down when reading, but great eye contact.

**1N Speaker:** Rafaeline Lavore

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:  
Add summaries/explanations. Continue to participate when partner speaks.

**2A Speaker:** Rasheed Laborde

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:  
Thoughtful arguments on constructive used evidence + clear arguments

**2N Speaker:** Ernesto Moreno

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

J.G. - slow down a little.  
Summaries - well done.

Future escalation  
Denmark  
Persistent tension  
"control" + unpredictability  
"Asked for our words"

---

Thoughtful - Constructive  
(no just random)  
→ eye contact + great job refuting the other teams point

"war will happen" no matter what - not trying to cause a war

---

Mult to mult -  
Compromise  
→ pointed at inapprop use on the other side

Miscalculation  
BL no summaries + no eye contact  
"Safe population"  
Couldn't understand the questioning / arguments  
Why negotiate w/ someone who didn't like you to begin w/ "  
"No incentive"  
Not ready to fight b/c protect the ppl  
WWIII -  
Big Risk  
→ missing arguments -  
Not worth war.

#### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

#### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

#### Aff Prep Time

~~8:00~~

~~7:00 left~~  
~~3:00 left~~

#### Neg Prep Time

~~8:00~~

~~4:00 left~~  
~~0~~

Room: 211  
 Start: 6:30 PM  
 Varsity

2

Modestita, Brooke

Edward M. Kennedy Academy For He

2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
 Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
 Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

**AFF**

Spkr	NMBCLA Dean & Jean Fran...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Jakhi Dean	26.5	3.4
2	Denzer Jean Francois	25	3.3

**NEG**

Spkr	BLA Yancey & Ali	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Kiara Yancey	29	1
1	Saredo Ali	28.5	2

Winner: BLA Yancey & Ali School/Team debating on the neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? no

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I vote neg b/c the aff concedes that religious freedom is protected under the constitution & they argue that churches are parents so they can set stricter business standards. Money can have no effect.

# High School - Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. **The decision** in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

**Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:**

**Cross-Ex:** Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.  
**Organization:** Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively.  
**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

<b>1A Speaker:</b> _____ <b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <small>comment:</small>	<b>1N Speaker:</b> _____ <b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <small>comment:</small>
<b>2A Speaker:</b> _____ <b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <small>comment:</small>	<b>2N Speaker:</b> _____ <b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <small>comment:</small>

**Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:**

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

<b>Affirmative Arguments</b>	<b>Negative Arguments</b>
<i>In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.</i>	

**Constructives**

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

**Rebuttals**

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

**Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.**

**Aff Prep Time**

8:00

**Neg Prep Time**

8:00

Room: 217  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Junior Varsity

2

Onyekwu, Ugonna

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	BLA Wong & Egal	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
JA	Kakit Wong	29	1
JA	Elyas Egal	27	3

### NEG

Spkr	Ctown Coleman & Joseph	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
W	Tyerohn Coleman	27	2
JU	Max Joseph	24	4

Winner: BLA Wong & Egal debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Ugonna Onyekwu

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

BLA was very passionate + gave a strong convincing argument.  
- Example U.S. Intervention led to Chicago having the right to protest and speak against Govt.

Ctown failed to answer cross questions. While

BLA gave sufficient responses to all questions + provided a sound summary to their argument.

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

<b>1A Speaker:</b> <u>Elvis</u> <b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <small>comment:</small>	<b>1N Speaker:</b> <u>Tyrell &amp; Colson</u> <b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <small>comment:</small>
<b>2A Speaker:</b> <u>Kabot</u> <b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <small>comment:</small>	<b>2N Speaker:</b> <u>Max Joseph</u> <b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <small>comment:</small>

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments
<i>In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.</i>	
<p><del>issues</del> water environments, pollution                      Argued w/ China working                      environmentalists, senior officials                      step away from environmental                      other officials, labor laws                      are not sufficient, occupational                      hazard                      Plan??                      Chinese built + human rights                      issues. President Obama did                      not prioritize the issue.                      Harm: Lack of religious freedom,                      harm: targeted lawyers + others                      who went against                      As let more                      As China's own of the                      biggest economies in the world.                      kept on losing value</p>	<p>Did not engage with each other                      as working conditions are getting                      better                      why then does the U.S.                      benefit from helping China?                      Q3: why would China listen to this                      time?                      Affirmative: Fundamental                      problems. When there are                      opportunities, many issues                      are resolved. Long term/                      available issues, not                      dictatorships like China                      U.S. will not set a                      trade threats, <u>employment</u>                      multilateral - Affirmative                      can't solve labor issues.                      Give away rights, let                      introduce low technology                      many leading world democracies, in                      large scale issues exist</p>

Hypothesis  
 strategy  
 hypothesis

more details



Room: 208  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Varsity

2

Pontes, Jessie

Boston Latin Academy

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

Spkr	Excel Maigari & Nur	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Nadia Maigari	27.5 29.5	3
2	Rukia Nur	28	2

NEG

Spkr	Eastie Wilson & Douglas	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	David Wilson	28	1
2	Jose Douglas	26	4

Winner: Excel Maigari/Nur debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?   
School/Team  
Signature: Jessie Pontes

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD: I voted aff because...

- They proved that climate change is a major issue that leads to the 197 of scientist believe that CC exist! The card was conceded by the neg proving its a issue
- The neg dropped all solvency points in the rebuttal allowing the aff to prove there plan solve there terrible harms

Note: Couldnt outweigh DA due to only link argument

# High School - Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

- Cross-Ex:** Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.
- Organization:** Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively.
- Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

<b>1A Speaker:</b>	<b>1N Speaker:</b>
<b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	<b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
comment:	comment:
<b>2A Speaker:</b>	<b>2N Speaker:</b>
<b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	<b>Speaker Points:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
comment:	comment:

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

Affirmative Arguments	Negative Arguments
<i>In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.</i>	
<p><i>X Investor Arguments</i></p> <p>US and China coop on Paris agreement  <del>don't</del> being achieved due to            financial issues <i>- Economic decline            year for CC issues</i></p> <p>- Climate change is real and causes            issues <i>- Chinese econ slowing</i></p> <p>✓ Destruction and if China's quo does ↑            - help for CC helps China            economically, investment \$ &amp; like            Econ decline leads to emit our            emissions will dramatically reduce emission            - effective and necessary to solve CC</p> <p>Paris agreement can be achieved by            plan</p> <p>- 99% of scientists believe that CC exists</p> <p>- Action is critical now because            consequence is huge.</p>	<p>- Paris was not a breakthrough            (it doesn't explain much)</p> <p>- Can't reach Paris agreement now</p> <p>- No increase in warming (bad data)</p> <p>- Climate change slow and is            not a issue <i>ISNT a big issue</i></p> <p>- Climate change doesn't have a            major impact</p> <p>- Climate focus hurts            economy <i>Investment</i></p> <p>- Chinese economy will be ruined            by CC issues</p> <p>- Emissions are decreasing <i>while            at            money</i></p> <p>- More CO<sub>2</sub> is good</p> <p>- Investment will hurt econ</p> <p>- Econ can affect all</p> <p>- China but econ can hurt trade</p>

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

- 8:00
- 6:15
- 9:20
- 1:55
- ①

### Neg Prep Time

- 8:00
- ~~4:50~~
- 4:20
- ①

Cost  
 valuable

Room: 206  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Varsity

2

Rivas, Santiago

Charlestown

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

Spkr	NMBCLA Osinubi & Milan	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Ebun Osinubi	29	2
1	Karla Milan	28	4

NEG

Spkr	BLA Joseph & Abdulkadir	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Ebunoluwa (Esther) Joseph	29.5	1
1	Kureysha Abdulkadir	29	3

Winner: BLA Joseph & Abdulkadir debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team  
Signature: Rivas

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I gave the vote to the negative because They proved that sanctions and treaties have happened before and have been ineffective and that Human Rights is already being solved.

# High School - Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. **The decision** in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

- Cross-Ex:** Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.
- Organization:** Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively.
- Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives/

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

8:00  
6:30  
4:30

### Neg Prep Time

8:00  
6:00  
0:00

**1A Speaker:** Karla

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: Said "we're not really gonna do it" about the plan in cross-ex, Don't Do That!

**1N Speaker:** Kureysha

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: I felt that you could've brought more of your cross-ex arguments into your speeches

**2A Speaker:** Ebun

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: Great 2AC w/ lots of responses

**2N Speaker:** Ebunoluwa

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: You spoke very clearly and effectively with great summaries of your arguments

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

### Affirmative Arguments

### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

Room: 231  
 Start: 6:30 PM  
 Junior Varsity

2

Schindler, Crista

2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

*Winnin Result*

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
 Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
 Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF

Spkr	EMK Cepeda & Lynch	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1A 7	Yuli Cepeda	27	3
2A 2	Shania Lynch	27	4

NEG

Spkr	Muñiz Janvier & Fernandez	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Staphanaika Janvier	27.5	2
2	Ariel Fernandez	28	1

Winner: Muñiz Janvier & Fernandez debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
 School/Team

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

*kind of change has to happen gradual)  
 consequences of decreasing economy efficiency  
 in China due to labor law  
 and adverse affect vs  
 Improvement, summarize  
 wages ↑  
 reliance freedom ↑*

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

**1A Speaker:** Yuli Cepedh

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: Summary in own words  
direct eye contact

**1N Speaker:** Stephanika S

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: Spoke about the positive  
US has already done

**2A Speaker:** Shanik Witch

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: great effort w/ summarizing in  
her own words

**2N Speaker:** Ariel

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment: deliberation question  
put a lot of thought into  
crafting his cross-examination / use of logic

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

### Affirmative Arguments

### Negative Arguments

In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

for human rights  
10.5 hours / 6 days per week  
local min wage  
need 60 hours to get by  
falsified forms  
try not to publicize so no  
embarrassment of a senior officer  
if embarrases will get  
done -> reason to punish  
illegal + strike  
not taught to handle stress +  
se salt

publicly will then as  
we have to care about  
their workers security +  
have our system

can't do quiet diplomacy  
have many tools to help  
religious minorities

beatings (arrests)  
peaceful protests are  
countered by military cops  
violating human rights + CWP  
200 hours in access  
will develop a middle class

no authority, mtd  
many changes extremely expensive  
already made improvements  
being solved internally  
already  
Obama already protecting on  
ethnic rights  
\$2.00  
more organized demand  
may come  
free  
religious freedom  
many improvements already  
shouldn't democracy

China  
already  
accurate information -  
how do we know  
this is happen  
small democracy as  
could work  
can't shut down  
senior discuss  
the firm account

Room: 246  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Novice

2

Shayne, Clinton

Boston Latin Academy

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	ACC Bradley Prince	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1 <sup>st</sup>	Bradley Prince	27	1

### NEG

Spkr	NMBCLA Ali & Tamajong	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2 <sup>nd</sup>	Basher Ali	28.5	2
1 <sup>st</sup>	Peter Tamajong	27	2

Winner: ACC School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: [Signatures]

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both Teams were really good in reading their constructs. Aff just persuaded me more w/ analysis and final rebuttal was strong.

# High School - Novice

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

**Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below)**

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Presentation:** How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** Bradley

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30

*comment:* Confidence in talking but not in reading

**1N Speaker:** Peter

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30

*comment:* Confident, good analysis

**2A Speaker:** N/A

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

**2N Speaker:** Basler

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 (26) 27 28 29 30

*comment:* Not really confident, doubtful himself

**Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:**

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

**Affirmative Arguments**

**Negative Arguments**

~~1. AC~~  
- 1. AC hesitant, you have the right idea, just think about what you are going to say, then say it.  
CX #2 (sea doesn't belong to anyone)

1. AC - "stuff", miscalculation would lead to war, hurts economy  
CX #2 (countries around China)  
CX #3 (yearly needs) (combining mil-to-mil)  
2. NC - together, when you read the ~~topic~~ answer, you do not have to read the whole thing

- China is expanding  
- risk of conflict  
- nuclear war  
- military-to-military engagement  
- SCS will solve relationship w/ China  
- "sublimi sticky"  
- economic abundance is an impact  
- All us have no choice but to stop China

~~Expansion~~ - Expansion is inevitable  
- No reason to fight  
- want to avoid conflict  
- U.S & China should work together in another way.  
- war is expensive

- should be focused on Russia  
R1 - China is slowly liberating and doing something wrong, which they are not

**Constructives**

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

**Rebuttals**

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

**Aff Prep Time**

8:00  
6:32

**Neg Prep Time**

8:00  
- 1:00  
~~7:00~~  
~~6:00~~  
4:33 ✓

feedback



Room: 218  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Junior Varsity

2

SooHoo, Henry

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	Ctown Cox & Lopez	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
3	Sadiya Cox	25	4
1	Jessica Lopez	25.5	2

### NEG

Spkr	NMBCLA Arias & Miller	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Lilibeth Arias	27	1
4	Antwanai Miller	25.5	3

Winner: NMBCLA AM debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Main voter was nuclear war
- Con proved nuclear war was unlikely because of mutually assured destruction
  - Pro focused exclusively on nuclear and did not mention conventional. Since Con successfully rebutted this, Pro had no new impacts over status quo

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

**1N Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

**2A Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

**2N Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

**Affirmative Arguments**

**Negative Arguments**

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

**Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.**

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

Room: 210  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Varsity

2

Stuppard, Tayo

Boston Latin Academy

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr		POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	BRI Lea Barros		
	Lea Barros	28	1

### NEG

Spkr	Ctown Campbell & Sanon	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2	Ebony Campbell	26.5	2
1	Slandy Sanon	26	3

Winner: BRI Lea Barros School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I voted Aff because I buy that human survival is dependent on space exploration. The Economy DA was dropped, leaving the Negative side with no offensive arguments. The main arguments extended by the Neg were that Japan would feel threatened by U.S.-China engagement and cause ~~as~~ a space race, as well as China will not want to cooperate. But because I was convinced that survival is contingent on finding another planet, the disadvantages of not trying the Aff's plan outweighed those of trying and causing a space race.

# High School - Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. **The decision** in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Cross-Ex:** Strong debaters use CX to clarify, entrap, and illustrate deficiencies; reference CX in later speeches.  
**Organization:** Following a clear roadmap; signposting effectively; managing time and evidence effectively.  
**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

**1A Speaker:** Lea

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**1N Speaker:** Slandy

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**2A Speaker:** Lea

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

**2N Speaker:** Ebony

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD)

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

**Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.**

**Aff Prep Time**

8:00

**Neg Prep Time**

8:00

*Please give all speaking, presentation, and debate-strategy related feedback verbally.*

Room: 232  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Junior Varsity

2

Tunon, Brenda

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	NMBCLA Ramirez &...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Aleene Ramirez	28	1
2	Garshae Mowatt-McKenzie	27.5	2

### NEG

Spkr	English Jimmie O'Neil	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Jimmie O'Neil	27	3

Winner: NMBCLA / Ramirez <sup>Garshae Mowatt-McKenzie</sup> debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Brenda Tunon

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

Made each point known and had many examples/arguments to back it up. Was very clear and made sure to hit all points in cross examination. Very organized and worked together nicely.

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

- Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.
- Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.
- Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** Alexen

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:  
Lead a little too fast - but was very organized and clear.

**1N Speaker:** Jimmie

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:  
needs to be more organized but was not afraid to rebuttal/call out.

**2A Speaker:** Garshay

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:  
very clear + organized.

**2N Speaker:**

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

~~laborers~~ People working long shifts 15 min break wear them down.

- less than minimum wage.
- Bills so well cant live with that esp. w/ family.
- Companies dont care for workers - force them to sign w/out precautions known
- neith do ching - not allowed to strike
- U.S to bring/call attention to ching.
- GUT still violating their law
- follow rule of law - U.S needs to push from outsider.
- \* ching not a democracy b/c they dont listen to their people.

- Conflict of war w/ threat
- U.S. is already making efforts to help china. There is so much they can do.
- Byw working conditions are improving since 2008
- their decision - own country.
- Start w/ ching - come from its workers.
- \* constitution - right to freedom - U.S. cant tell them what to do.
- U.S cant make them do anything.
- Ching is a big dictatorship -
- U.S. has no say in their labor laws
- not their problem - need to work on their own problem

#### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

#### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

#### Aff Prep Time

8:00

- 4:00

#### Neg Prep Time

8:00

- 1

Room: 108  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Junior Varsity

2

Viaud, Franchesca

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible.  
Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks.  
Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	Ctown Darius & Hernandez	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
2A	Ricardo Darius	26.5	3
1A	Yadiel Hernandez	26	4

### NEG

Spkr	BIHS Da Costa Lopes & And...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1N	Deina Da Costa Lopes	29	1
2N	Milena Andrade	27.5	2

Winner: BIHS De Costa Lopes debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team  
Signature: Viaud

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The ~~AFF~~ NEG side made very strong & developed arguments & used evidence from their constructives to answer questions posed at cross. The aff. side didn't waste a single second they utilized all their available time & it showed in their cross & rebuttals. It was an open cross but on the AFF sides only Ricardo spoke. The NEG sides Deina in particular was very good at picking apart the opposing team's arguments.

Notes:  
 - Both sides should utilize prep time → Aff  
 - During cross all points to speaker → Aff

Have several questions to ask during cross to avoid wasting valuable time that can otherwise be used to entrap your opponents. → Aff

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

- Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.
- Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.
- Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** Yadiel

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 (26) 27 28 29 30

comment: you did a good job  
 last note for Aff side was a good argument

**1N Speaker:** Deina

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 (29) 30

comment: your cross-examination  
 did a good job illustrating deficit  
 by posing a q about the exchange  
 rate b/w the USD & Chinese

**2A Speaker:** Ricardo

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 (26) 27 28 29 30

comment: You did a good job  
 extending your team's argument  
 about an adequate SOL for the  
 workers

**2N Speaker:** Milena

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30

comment: I found your argument  
 about the U.S. trying to improve  
 in smaller countries such as  
 C, O, countries

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

#### Affirmative Arguments

#### Negative Arguments

In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

long hours, cramped quarters, low wages, unsafe conditions, \$1.85/hr = not a minimum wage  
 - No emergency drills  
 - poor health of Chinese workers  
 - unions & strikes are illegal in China  
 - You're saying you don't care about human rights  
 - when did the working conditions improve & how are they improving?  
 - How much does their current wages support an adequate standard of living?

Sanctioning China will negatively affect both China & the U.S., as well as other countries.  
 - China has no desire to resolve issues  
 - what is the exchange rate b/w the US dollar & the Chinese currency?  
 - labor norms have changed  
 - working conditions are improving / higher levels of education  
 - China's economy is weak now & vulnerable to economic shock  
 - why are Chinese workers not quitting their jobs if their wages are so low?  
 - if there is a problem the workers will strike which they are not doing  
 - The U.S. should solve their own problems 1st before focusing on China's issues.  
 - The U.S. has already tried the same thing in Guatemala & Colombia which are countries 2x smaller than China  
 - They have agreed w. us that working conditions are improving

#### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

#### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

#### Aff Prep Time

-8:00-

#### Neg Prep Time

-8:00- O

use all your prep time → Aff  
 in your cross need

The workers can't have everything they want

China's economy is weak now & vulnerable to economic shock

China will be willing to improve their human rights policies in order to maintain an economic relationship w. the U.S.

They have agreed w. us that working conditions are improving

lot of other countries are not improving their labor laws so why focus on China

China as a bargaining method  
 threaten to cut off ties w. China as a bargaining method

China's issues  
 The U.S. should solve their own problems 1st before focusing on China's issues.

Please give all speaking, rebuttation, and debate strategy related feedback



Room: 228  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Junior Varsity

2

Wright, Olivia

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	EMK Maigari & Mathieu	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Amina Maigari	27	1
	Amari Mathieu		

### NEG

Spkr	Ctown Khalid Ali	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Khalid Ali	25	2

Winner: EMK Maigari debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team

Signature: Olivia Wright

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

Affirmative side won by providing supportive information in a clear and confident manner

A  
Needs to speak more slowly more eye contact.  
Excellent job in supporting argument with examples.  
Great eye contact and body language during rebuttle.

N.  
Needs to improve on strengthening argument by providing more evidence.  
Good job with bouncing back after reading wrong information.

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

- Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.
- Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.
- Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

### 1A Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 1N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 2A Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### 2N Speaker:

Speaker Points: 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

comment:

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

### Affirmative Arguments

### Negative Arguments

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

Room: 247  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Novice

2

Yoon, Nin  
Campbell, Jake

Brighton

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

AFF <u>New Mission</u>				NEG <u>Boston Int'l High School</u>			
Spkr	NMBCLA Smith & Austin	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK	Spkr	BIHS Volpi & Silva	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
1	Khadell Smith	27	2	1	Vittoria Volpi	27	3
2	Asawn Austin	28	1	2	Cleusa Silva	26	4

Winner: New Mission School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Good critical thinking + asking good question

I would be a little ~~less~~ ~~less~~ more respectful when asking questions.

Good delivery

Both teams did read a lot in the 1st section.

# High School - Novice

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

**Areas of Focus for Speaker Points (please provide one brief comment for each debater below)**

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.

**Presentation:** How debaters adjust speed and emphasis through oral and body language to convey ideas.

**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

**1A Speaker:** Khadelil

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30

comment:

articulate reader  
- can speak up little more

**1N Speaker:** Victoria

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 (27) 28 29 30

comment:

ask in  
need to complete sentences  
- good answers

**2A Speaker:** Asawn

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 (28) 29 30

comment:

good on the spot thinker;  
an communicate answers.

**2N Speaker:** Cleusa

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 (26) 27 28 29 30

comment:

Irrelevant words "who's that called?"  
"I'm sorry"  
good physical cues,

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD). Focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.

### Affirmative Arguments

### Negative Arguments

Khadelil → reading summary well done  
- standing when asking question  
- Encourage to summarize more

Victoria  
→ reads very well  
summary is well done  
needs more eye contact  
→ good using explanation by using definition of military to military.  
→ questions/statements aren't clear.

Asawn - appropriate use of "assumption or have evidence"  
- Asks good to the point questions  
- needs a pace to be comfortable when reading especially in hard words  
- good know to use prep time  
- would be more slightly gentle/professional when asking questions.  
Good questions but asked w/ attitude.

Cleusa  
- need to be less nervous and not stop while reading. "oh my God, it's written in the text"  
- sometimes skips to do a summary  
- don't need to show frustrations  
good eye contact, more is encouraged.  
- is not clear in stance w/ answers.

Room: 107  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Junior Varsity

2

Yoon, Min

## 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

If you have any other questions about your round, please text a query to 617.863.BDL1 (617.863.2351). We will respond as quickly as possible. Half points are permitted, but quarters and tenths are not. Please rank students in order, 1 being best, 4 being worst. Ranks must agree with points. You may tie points but not ranks. Please return ballot within 15 minutes after round ends.

### AFF

Spkr	English Rodriguez Salas &...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Cristian Rodriguez Salas		
	Randy Batista		

### NEG

Spkr	Fenway Bastien & Russell	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Elizabeth Bastien		
	Natanya Russell		

Winner: Fenway debating on the \_\_\_\_\_ Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision: \_\_\_\_\_

Bye

# High School - Junior Varsity

**Speaker Points** are an indication of the quality of the speaking of each individual debater. The decision in a round is not made on the quality of speaking, but rather on the quality of arguments.

### Areas of Focus for Speaker Points:

**Clarity:** A debater with strong clarity would read and summarize evidence while providing persuasive analysis.  
**Organization:** Providing a clear overview of each speech; indicating corresponding arguments in response.  
**Conviction:** How debaters convey their belief in their arguments through changes in language and tone.

**1A Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

**1N Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

**2A Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

**2N Speaker:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker Points:** 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

*comment:*

### Areas of Focus for Deciding Win/Loss:

Use the area below to record strong affirmative and negative arguments that you will use to write your Reason for Decision (RFD).

**Affirmative Arguments**

**Negative Arguments**

*In this section, focus on the clash between Aff and Neg while weighing impacts presented.*

### Constructives

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

### Rebuttals

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

**Each team has 8 minutes of total prep time.**

### Aff Prep Time

8:00

### Neg Prep Time

8:00

Room: 101  
Start: 6:30 PM  
Debate en Espanol

2

Hernandez, Guill-

# 2017 STATE STREET CITY CHAMPIONSHIPS

Mar 10 - 11, 2017

AFF

Spkr	BIHS Maldonado & Valette	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Katherine Maldonado		
	Linda Valette		

NEG

Spkr	English Ortiz De Leon & An...	POINTS (24 - 30)	RANK
	Paola Ortiz De Leon		
	Josvany Andino Ruiz		

Winner: BIHS School/Team debating on the \_\_\_\_\_ Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments & Reason for Decision: \_\_\_\_\_

Bye

# Debates en Español

<b>Orador 1A:</b> _____ <b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <b>Comentarios:</b>  	<b>Orador 1N:</b> _____ <b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <b>Comentarios:</b>  
<b>Orador 2A:</b> _____ <b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <b>Comentarios:</b>  	<b>Orador 2N:</b> _____ <b>Puntos:</b> 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 <b>Comentarios:</b>  
<b>Argumentos Afirmativos</b>	<b>Argumentos Negativos</b>
<i>En esta parte, concéntrate en el enfrentamiento entre Aff y Neg mientras piensas acerca de los impactos que se presentan.</i>	

**Constructivos**

- 1AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 1NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2AC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)
- 2NC (8 min.)
- CX (3 min.)

**Refutaciones**

- 1NR (5 min.)
- 1AR (5 min.)
- 2NR (5 min.)
- 2AR (5 min.)

**Cada equipo tiene 8 minutos de preparación.**

**Tiempo Afirmativo**

8:00

**Tiempo Negativo**

8:00