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## Synopsis

One class of representation on Mycenaean seals, the combats between humans and animals, is the subject of this thesis. It is hoped that the study of this uniform group of 42 seals may illustrate aesthetic and other criteria for dating seals as a whole as well as shed some light on the interactions between the Aegean and the Orient and Egypt through the type (form) and meaning (content) of elemental motifs employed.

After a definition of actual and possible combat scenes which shall be included in our survey, we proceed to distinguish Mycenaean from Cretan and modern gems and, on the basis of aesthetics, shape, dimensions, material and technique, to order the Helladic sealstones chronologically.

Such a chronological arrangement is prerequisite to an analysis of the elements of human and animal positions, weapon, landscape, dress, hair, miscellaneous objects and composition, as they appear in human-lion,
human-boar, human-non-bovine ruminant, human-bull and human-fantastic animal scenes. Once we know when such elements entered the Mycenaean repertoire, we may look to the arts of Crete, Egypt and the Near East for their contemporary or previous appearance, and thereby observe native initiative or foreign intrusions in the depiction of the combat theme in Greece. It is seen that, aside from some accessories of dress and hair, the mode of combating animals from a chariot with a bow and arrow, some miscellaneous objects, antithetic and Group $V$ bull composition, and the subject of human-fantastic animal encounters, the theme and manner of expression of human-animal combats developed largely in the Aegean. Although there was great interaction between Crete and Greece, which may have provided the themes of ruminant and possibly bull combat, yet the subject matter of lion and boar combats and the idea of confronting the beast with short range weapons was a Helladic contribution.

The interpretation of underlying significance of human-animal combat scenes is shown to be quite un-
certain and although there may exist possible hints of religious or mythological connotation, no general conclusions can be drawn about any particular combat theme or about man-animal combats as a whole.
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## THE DEFINITION OF COMBAT SCENES

The objective of this chapter is to propose a 1. list of Mycenaean seals which depict combat between humans and animals. Although many seal scenes can easily be classified as combats by their poses, weapons or landscape, others - such as the 'acrobatic' and chariot scenes and other juxtapositions of men and animals need further scrutiny. We shall attempt to determine which of these more ambiguous representations might portray combats.

ACROBATIC scenes most often depict men with bulls. In four instances, however, the animal involved is a hybrid bull (NAM 79, NAM 82, MMNY 26.31 .294 , Pylos Museum seal ${ }^{3}$ ).

We must decide whether these scenes refer to the palace arena or whether they picture some form of rustic sport or hunt.

A clear illustration of the hunt of bulls is engraved on the Rutsi gold bead seal, NAM 274, where the huge contorted animal has been caught in a net. A nude man grasps the bull's horns, pulling its head toward the ground. The rocky, floral landscape plus the use of a weapon, the net, and the attempt at the bull's horns express the theme. A similar activity appears on the Vapheio Cup A. Marinatos states that, whereas on the Rutsi bead "...the bull is seized by the horns at the moment when he tries to free himself from the net... on the cup the bull is left in the net while the hunters are occupied with another bull". Here again, the aim of the main human actor (a girl according to Marinatos ${ }^{5}$, Evans ${ }^{6}$ ) is to arrest the bull's flight by attacking it head-on at the horns. A more unfortunate hunter or decoy has fallen to the ground in a pose recalling that of the vanquished man on the Mycenae Lion Hunt Dagger. The lush vegetation signifies a rustic scene. The net again serves as a weapon.

A recent discovery at Katsambas (near Knossos),

Crete has shed further light on the hunt and capture of 8 bulls. Carved on an ivory pyxis is the scene of a bull chasing two men who turn their heads back and point a 9 spear towards the animal's head. The main hunter is once more suspended in mid-air, stopping the bull's charge by grabbing its horns. A rocky landscape sets the scene.

Other Cretan man-bull scenes have been interpreted as hunts, for example, a seal said to be from Priene (AM 202), where a bull is assaulted at a cistern, that is, outdoors. His assailant is again suspended in the air, balancing on the animal's horns. Only the weapon is missing. Another Cretan seal from Praisos (HM 185), depicts a man in mid-air hanging onto a bull's horns. The lines above and below the scene may symbolize vegetation. Other hints of bull capture are seen on the Cretan sealing fragment NAM 517, where a man, upside down, is in the vicinity of the horns of a bellowing bull, and on the fragment of a 12
crystal plaque from Kinossos (HM). Here, above a galloping bull, there are traces of black hair and a rope. An Egyptian view of the Aegean bull hunt is seen on a 13 wooden box from Kahuh.

From the above survey, we define the following distinguishing features of bull hunt and capture; a weapon (net, spear, rope) ${ }^{14}$, signs of an outdoor setting and a human leaper whose task it is to grab hold of the bull's horns to arrest its motion (see Figure 1). Other human actors, as a fallen hunter or decoy, may appear. The ferocity of the beast may be shown by its open bellowing mouth (NAM 517). Only in two cases does the scene appear to be framed in possible imitation of palace wall decoration: the Praisos seal and the Vapheio Cup A (where horizontal bands run along the top and bottom of the scene).

We shall attempt to distinguish mainland seals of the above type from those truly acrobatic scenes. The stones to be considered are: NAM 137, NAM 342, NAM 95, 15 A.G. III p. 49 fig. 28 , NAM 200, AM 209, NAM 82, NAM 79, NAM 408, MMNY 26.31.294, NAM 314, NAM 370, NAM 378, NAM 152, AM 246, AM 1938.1114, Thebes 211, Pylos Museum, Jannopoulos. Scrutiny of these pieces will show that they fall into categories, roughly corresponding to Reiche's four groups of bull scenes. We must, however, add a fifth class.

In Reicha's Group I the acrobat leaps over the bull's horns in the way described by the first stages of Evan's 18 diagram. This pose, where the man has his back to the bull and aims to release the bull's horns as soon as pos-
bull activity. This same border appears on a Mycenaean heraldic scene, NAM 374, and on Cretan Group I bull scenes, eg. Z. 193. Other architectural borders: IIIIII G气の appear on seal scenes of an outdoor nature as they do on such frescoes: NAM 58, NAM 91. Base lines appear as well on outdoor scenes: NAM 247, NAM 20, NAM 35, NAM 45, NAM 55, NAM 57, NAM 119, NAM 135, etc. Imitation dadoes are also drawn on faience reliefs with outdoor settings. As Sakellariou has pointed out regarding the significance of these borders:













Thus, the architectural borders on NAM 370 give us no information about the theme represented but may speak of the relationship between seal engraving and monumental art. As bull scenes were among the most common of the palace fresco themes, the fact that the dado is very frequent on seals with bull scenes is not sur-
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prising. The assignment of this scene as acrobatic must rest on the pose alone, which is similar to the obvious games on Knossos frescoes and which could not have been achieved in man-bull combat.

Only the head and torso of an acrobat flying away from the bull's head are engraved on NAM 152. His attitude could not have resulted from a futile attempt to grapple with the horns but rather appears to be a late stage in the somersault. NAM 200 resembles the above seals except for the man's kilt, the two dashes before the bull which might indicate vegetation and the man's hold on the 23
animal's body. Its general appearance is acrobatic. The seal AM 209 (like the Cretan gem AM 208) would appear acrobatic as NAM 370 were it not for the dog below, the usual companion of the hunter. Only if we postulate a rustic counterpart to the palace sport, can we justify the acrobatic position of the human figure. Further obstacles are posed in interpreting the picture on NAM 82. It is difficult to tell whether the man is a hunter grabbing the animal's horns in the countryside or whether he is a provincial acrobat. As this piece does not offer many features to differentiate it from other Group I seals, we conclude that the entire class of representations be-
longing to Reich's Group I depict acrobatic games in the palace and in the countryside.

In his Group II, Reiche includes pictures where: "...der Mensch der sich den Hörnern des Stieres schwebend 24 hält, mit der Vorderseite dessen Rücken zugewe ndet...". Mainland Greek seals belonging to Group II are: NAM 408, NAM 79, NAM 378, Pylos Museum and Jannopoulos. Our main evidence of this pose in a hunt scene is the illustration on a box from Kahun, Egypt. Cretan gems of Group II which combine many features of a nonacrobatic nature are the Praisos seal (with a bull at rest, vegetation and a man grasping the animal's horns) and MMNY 26.31.291 (with a bull at rest). Another Cretan example, AM 248, depicts according to Kenna:
"...(a) man leaping on a bull from behind and grappling with him by the horns. A spray of a tree is in the field. This may indicate the wooded or country nature of the scenes in contrast to the formal games. There has been an attempt to show the speed of the gallop and the violence of the attack." 25

The picture on AM 248, however, offers a contrast to both the rustic and the formal games, with the man's tight grasp on the horns of the bellowing bull, a sign of combat rather than play. Although Kenna sees rustic sport on the above gem, he admits the hostile nature of the

Group II Cretan seal AM 249 , where a vanquished hunter is added to the scene (in the manner of the Kahun picture). Since the bull here seems even less violent than the beast on AM 248 and as both scenes show men grabbing bulls' horns in the countryside, they must both represent the same event, namely the capture of bulls. Kenna describes another Cretan Group II seal, AM 341 as: "...a man about to complete a backward somersault over a bull galloping to the right, head turned to the spectator; below, a sacred shield... bull game." ${ }^{27}$ Only a man who began with his back to the charging bull (an impossibility?) could execute a backwards somersault and land in Group II position (see Figure 2). Another Cretan Group II scene, BM 78, is described in Walter's catalogue:
"Two men taming a buil. The bull stands to 1. A man stands at its head, holding a horn with 1 . hand and a halter (?) unexpressed, with $r$. hand. A second man, on the far side, with $r$. hand on the bull's horn, is inserted in a contorted attitude above the bull's back." 28

Opinions then have been inconsistent in the interpretation of very similar representations comprising Reiche's Group II. The only consistent view would be to equate Group II with man-bull combats, as this class of seals resembles the Katsambas, Vapheio and Rutsi hunts in
the attack on the bull's head and horns, the outdoor setting and an occasional vanquished fighter.

Contrary views of Group II seals from the mainland have also been put forward. Sakellariou terms NAM 79 29 and NAM 408 as 'Stierspiel' . The Pylos Museum gem and the Tiryns fresco have been named riding and taming 30
scenes, respectively. The interpretation of the Jannopoulos gem as acrobatic has relied solely on the triglyph-rosette base line, which as we have seen, may bear no relation at all to the picture above. In all examples of Group II mainland seals, however, humans reach for the bull's horns. NAM 408 recalls AM 248 with its bellowing trotting bull. Vegetation is drawn on the Pylos gem. We conclude then that this entire class of representations is most consistently viewed as bull hunt and capture.

Reich includes two mainland seals, NAM 95 and A.G.IIIp. 49 fig. 28, in his Group III, where: "...ein neben dem Tiere befindicher Mann dieses an den Hobrnern hält und seinen Kopf nieder zureissen strebt..." ${ }^{31}$ To his list we add NAM 342 and NAM 137. Conflicting assessments have been made of these seals. Sakellariou calls

NAM 342 a 'Stierspiel' and designates NAM 95 as animal 32
taming. One must admit, though, that the figures on NAM 95, NAM 342 and A.G.IIIp. 49 fig. 28 are engaged in the same immediate activity of combating the bull. Their ultimate purposes are not subject for this chapter (see Chapter Four). On NAM 137, according to Wace:
"...a man apparently naked has one hand on the rump of a bull and the other on the horns and is preparing to vault over it; above his head, from which long hair hangs, an impaled triangle appears..." 33

He also describes this scene simply as "...a man grappling 34
the bull by the horns". Sakellariou sees in this scene
a fight between man and bull. This latter pronouncement accounts for the greater similarity of NAM 137 with Group III scenes and its dissimilarity from those of Group I (which Wace seems to overlook because of a sign in the field which may just be a plant; see Chapter Four). Group III scenes, then, are to be considered as man-bull combats.

Belonging to the miscellany of events termed Group IV are AM 246 and Thebes 211. AM 246 depicts a Group I scene in duplicate where "....an acrobat has vaulted; another acrobat appears in standing position in front of the other bull". There is no attempt at the
bulls' horns, no weapon and no landscape. The figure on the Theban gem is as yet unparalleled in attitude. However, if we might stretch into the air the man's legs which have been cramped into a rectangular field, the pose achieved would nearly duplicate the posture of the Katsambas hunter. A tree indicates the outdoor setting, appropriate to the hunt and capture of bulls.

We add a Group $V$ to Reichel's scheme, of scenes with men prostrate beneath animals. Mainland seals belonging to this class are: NAM 314, MNNY 26.31.294 and AM 1938.1114. Sakellariou claims that NAM 314 is a "Stierspiel" where the man has been thrown in his attempt 37 to complete a somersault. The other wild galloping bull in the field and the defeated man seen often in hunts ( Kahun pyxis, Vapheio Cup A, AM 249 ) defy such interpretation. We then understand NAM 314 to be a combat scene, conforming to Kenna's view of MMNY 26.31.294 as 38
a wild boar trampling a hunter. A final example of Group V is the Thisbe seal AM 1938.1114(Gemma Debutandae), interpreted by Evans as a taurokathapsia because of the sacral knot in the foreground. The appearance of a symbol of palace ritual here would prevent the assignment of Group $V$ as combats, were it not for a ring said
to be from Smyrna. On this ring, the palatial sacral knot is coupled with a rocky landscape. This contradictory state of affairs and indeed the appearance of the sacral knot at all with Group V scenes add to the many reasons posed by Kenna for discarding the Thisbe ring (and the Smyrna ring) as forgeries. By eliminating this AM example from our discourse, Group V presents a consistent picture of man-bull combat.

In summary then, of our five groups, we define the following as man-bull combat scenes: Group II, Group III, Group IV: Thebes 211, and Group V.

CHARIOT compositions "....occur in three contexts in Mycenaean art: hunt, war and funeral games", states 40 Mrs. Vermeule. Of mainland seals, the only clear illustration of a chariot hunt is NAM 15. Standing in a box chariot with one of its two four-spoked wheels drawn and led by two galloping steeds are two men, a driver(?) and a marksman. The hunter aims his bow at a fleeing deer in a rocky setting. Other mainland Greek gems NAM 229 and NAM 230 present only abbreviated chariot scenes which seem to defy interpretation. In the more accomplished piece, NAM 229, (of which NAM 230 may simply
be a poor imitation) one or two men ride in a fourspoke two wheel chariot drawn by a two horse team. The charioteer wears a long striated robe and carries a lance or a spear. Only a ground-line below sets the scene. There is no victim depicted.

Precedents exist for one as well as two and three figure chariot battle scenes(stelai 1428, 1429 from Mycenae) and possibly hunts (stele 1427). The ceremonial garb of the NAM 229 driver, though quite different from NAM 15, is the common apparel on "chariot craters" of LH III, on the Tiryns hunt fresco and is known as early as LMIb on a Knossos fresco fragment. Such long dress is common in Near Eastern hunts, eg. the Ras Shamra cup. More difficult to parallel is the weapon used by the NAM 229 charioteer. The spear is used by footmen 4546 (Tiryns fresco, Tiryns sherd, Ialysos Tomb LX crater ) in the hunt. Yet there is no Aegean picture of a charioteer hunting animals with a spear. This fact, taken together with the absence of landscape and quarry, urges us to exclude NAM 229 and NAM 230 from further consideration.

Another class of seal pictures, obscure in meaning,
show MEN STANDING BEHIND ANIMALS. On NAM 133 and NAM 280,men, only drawn to the waist, appear to be behind one or two open-mouthed lions. Their hands point to the animals' heads and on NAM 280 to their tails. Neither scene is landscaped. While NAM 133 has not been interpreted, the figures on the similar NAM 280 picture have been named either two gods or two heroes guarding or 47
mastering two lions. In such an assignment, scholars have chosen to emphasize a possible connection with Cretan seals as T.R. 46, T.R. 47, and H.T. 134(HM 508), where the beasts are termed as divine protectors or attendants. These Cretan scenes are far from anonymous, with their distinctive dress and weapons.

A much closer resemblance to NAM 133 and NAM 280
can be seen in the more indefinite Cretan representations: MNMY 26.31 .246 , HM 839, HM 900, HM 18, HM 1317, HM 32?5, G. 190(HM 3372), AM 238, NAM 512, BM 79, Z. 194, BN M7985, and AM 1941.133. On these pictures we see a single man (two on G. 190 and $H M$ 900) standing behind (except MMNY 26.31 .246 ) an often bellowing, striding beast in a natural setting (NAM 512, MMNY 26.31.246). The men direct one hand to the head of the animal, holding the other hand
close to the waist as on NAM 133 (exception: HM 1317). Clearly in one instance, BM 79, a rope is held to bind a bull by its horns. The rope was used with other animals, eg. with an ewe on AM 242 and possibly with a lion on NAM 512. It might be possible, by nature of the anonymity of NAM 133 and NAM 280 and because of their hand position, to reconstruct a rope as a weapon used for the hunt and capture of the animals. We shall then include these seals in our survey as possible man-animal combat scenes.

Another group of seals show a FIGURE HOLDING AN ANIMAL BY THE HEAD, HORNS, or NECK. Holding animals by their horns are the women on seals NAM 220, NAM 221, NAM 222, Berlin 3 and Berlin 4. The women wear elaborate flounced skirts, headdresses and jewellery (NAM 220). There is no indication of an outdoor setting. Furtwängler saw in these scenes, Artemis or Aphrodite with their sacred 48 animals. Others see a ritual sacrifice, by analogy with the Cretan sealing Z.4, which shows a female and animal nearly identical to those on NAM 221. At the right is a tiered structure, perhaps an altar. Other similar Cretan scenes, MMNY 26.31 .309 , AM 280, HM 1287, HM 183 , HM 393, HM 213 and HM 1476 have all been termed scenes of ritual animal sacrifice and, according to Kenna, the
animal on AM 283 seems to be dead already. On the other hand, however, the above group of seals bear a slight resemblance to the Asine gem NAM 199 and the Mavrospelio cylinder HM 1334 where simply clad men contest with livelier animals. The animal also seems quite alive on the Cretan gem HM 1499 with a woman in flounced skirt. Furthermore, slightly elaborate clothes may be worn in the hunt and women may partake of such sport, eg. bull hunting. Yet it is rare to find a woman in skirt and jewellery in single combat with a beast. This factor, taken together with the appearance of the altar on $Z .4$, urges us to exclude this group from our survey.

Representations of MEN BETWEEN TWO ANIMALS are commonly termed MOTNIOS OHPRN. This term should only apply to perfectly symmetrical static groups, which are of a peaceful nature. ${ }^{50}$ More dynamic scenes, where there is not perfect symmetry or where animals are held upside down, need further scrutiny: NAM 89, NAM 163, Prosymna 581, Thebes 175 and BM 1945 10-13,133. The animals on all these scenes appear lively by their movements or their open mouths and contorted poses. The men have a definite hold on their throats or feet. The Theban and

BM cylinders show quarry, which urges us to include this group in our survey. Among the symmetrical antithetic scenes of humans flanked by two animals, we include scenes where either the human or animal reach for one another and where the beast is found in the upright C pose, so common in hunts: AM $9 P$ and BN M7271. All other seals of this group, where there seems to be no interaction between figures by nature of their hand positions (eg. B 9, HM 1583) or by the fact that bodies are turned away from one another, will be excluded from this survey.

> We arrive at a list of mainland Greek seals
and sealings (see note l) with human and animal combat and possible combat scenes:

| NAM 9 | NAM 290 | BN M6673 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAM 15 | NAM 294 | BN M6984 |
| NAM 79 | NAM 302 | BN M7271 |
| NAM 89 | NAM 307 | AM 9P |
| NAM 95 | NAM 314 | AM 247 |
| NAM 112 | NAM 324 | Thebes 175 |
| NAM 133 | NAM 331 | Theoes 211 |
| NAM 137 | NAM 340 | Pylos Museum |
| NAM 163 | NAM 342 | Rhodes(Ialysos) |
| NAM 165 | NAM 359 | Prosymna 581 |
| NAM 199 | NAM 378 | BM 1945 1013,133 |
| NAM 224 | NAM 408 | Peronne |
| NAM 227 | B 7 | Nauplion 9875 |
| NAM 228 | B 40 | AG IIIp. 49,f. 28 |
| NAM 274 | M SL681 | $\overline{\mathrm{AM}}$ 1938.1113-4 |
| NAM 280 | NAM Inv.9048a,b Jannopoulos | MMNY 26.31.294 |

## NOTES ON CHAPTER ONE

1. To be included in this list are also seals of uncertain provenance (eg. MMNY 26.31.294) and possible forgeries. Discrimination of My cenaean from other seals shall be made in Chapter Two.
2. The term 'acrobatic' is used for convenience to denote scenes which have been so interpreted in the past. No judgment as yet has been made about the significance of this group.
3. This seal as yet has no inventory number in the Pylos museum. It is published in Ergon,1963, p. 85 fig. 86.
4. Marinatos and Hirmer, Crete and Mycenaean Greece, p. 169.
5. Ibid.
6. Evans, PM III, pp. 180-82.
7. A decoy is intended according to Page, "Acrobats and Bulls in the Arenas of Minoan Crete", Warburg Institinte Lecture, 17 November 1965.
8. The pyxis is on display in Room VI, Case 74 of the Heraklion Museum; it is published in Ergon 1963 p. 181, fig. 191.
9. These spearmen are decoys according to Page; see
note 7.
10. As the field of a seal impels the abbreviation of narrative, other armed participants in the hunt may have been excluded; or, the cistern itself may have been a suitable decoy.
11. Bosanquet, "Excavations at Praisos I", BSA viii, 1901-1902, p. 252; his horizontal rather than vertical attitude may be necessitated by the shape of the field. For the absence of a weapon, see note 10.
12. Plaque: PM III, pp. 108-111; it is on display in Room IV of the Heraklion Museum with a questionable reconstruction. The fragmentary natiure of these scenes does not allow their certain interpretation as hunts.
13. Bissing, "Stierfang auf einem ägyptischen Holzgefäss", Ath. Mitt. xxiii, 1898, p. 242 ff。
14. See note 10.
15. This seal is published in a drawing from the impression in Furtwångler, Die AntikenGemmen, vol.III, p. 49 , fig. 28. It was said to be in Athens but is missing from the National Museum.
16. This seal from the Jannopoulos Collection is published by Biesantz in Arch. Anz. 1959, p. 106, fig. 25b.
17. Reichel, "Die Stierspiele in der kretisch-mykenischen Kultur", Ath. Mitt. 1909, p. 85 ff.
18. PM III, p. 223; Evan's theory of the man landing on the bull's back before jumping to the ground, based on the Spencer-Churchill statuette (BM) is denied by Page (see note 7), who suggests a somersault without touching the bull at all. However, the positions of men in Group I indicates that the acrobat hurtled over the bull to the ground in one leap, using his hands on its body for a final thrust.
19. See PM III, p. 163 drawing; PM III, p. 86:town mosaics.
20. Bossert, Alt Kreta, abb. 82, 83.

PM III p. 313; PM IV p. 563.
21. Oddly enough, whereas the dadoes depicted on seals with bull scenes are very functional in appearance, those which are painted below 'Toreador' frescoes seem more like stylizations of a rocky outdoor setting.
22. See note 18.
23. Reichl, op. eit., p. 90.
24. V.E.G. Kenna, Gretan Seals, p. 125.
25. Ibid.
26. Ibid., p. 137.
27. Walters, Catalogue of Engraved Gems and Cameos in the British Museum (Greek, Etruscan and Roman), p.10.
28. Sakellariou, Corpus der Minoischen und Mykenischen Siegel, Band 1. Die minoischen und mykenischen Siegel des Nationalmuseums in Athen, pp. 95, 422.
29. Pylos: Ergon 1963, pp.84-85; Schliemann, Tiryns, p. 303.
30. Reichl, Op. Cit., p. 91.
31. Sakellariou, Corpus, pp. 368, 342.
32. Wace, Chamber Tombs at Mycenae, p. 11.
33. Ibid.
34. Sakellariou, Corpus, p. 156.
35. Kenna, Op. Cit., p. 125.
36. Sakellariou, Corpus, p. 35.
37. Kenna, "Cretan and Mycenaean Seals in North America", AJA 1964, p. 12; the animal is really a hybrid boar-bull.
38. Evans, "The Ring of Nestor", JHS 1925, pp. 5-6.
39. E. Vermeule, Greece in the Bronze Age, p. 205.
40. Scholars who see one man here are: Mylonas, "The Figured Mycenaean Stelai", AJA 1951, p. 134; Evans, PM IV, p. 419; Sakellariou, Corpus p. 262, sees a second man in the lines behind the robed figure;

Marinatos, op. cit., p. 174 sees here a sack or an animal. If the robed man on NAM 229 does indeed hold both the reins and the weapon, then he must be alone.
42. Mylonas, ibid., interprets stelai as funeral games.
43. S. Alexiou, "Neue Wagendarstellungen aus Kreta", Arch. Anz. 1964, p. 785 fir., figs. 3-4.
44. C. Schaeffer, Ugaritica II, pl. 1
45. Schliemann, Tiryns, pl. xiv.
46. A Maiuri, "Jalisos", Annuario 1923-24, p. 233, fig. 149.
47. Sakellariou, Corpus, p. 316; Marinatos, Ergon 1956, p. 92; Vermeule, op. cit. , p. 402.
48. Furtwängler, Beschreibung der Geschnittenen Steine in Antiquarium, pp. 1-2.
49. Kenna, Cretan Seals, p. 129.
50. According to Kenna, the term also applies when the man touches the animals' heads, ibid., p. 149.
51. This list includes the obvious combat scenes which are not discussed in Chapter One as well as the more uncertain representations which we define as actual or possible combats.

## CHRONOLOGY OF MYCENAEAN COMBAT SCENES

As this thesis is concerned with the combats between humans and animals on Mycenaean seals, we must decide whether all of the mainland seals in addition to seals of uncertain provenance listed in Chapter One are indeed of Mycenaean fabrication. As glyptic art, arrived in Greece fully developed in LH I at a time of close contact with Crete, it is plausible that Cretan seals may have been imported or utilized as the inspiration for Mycenaean gems. In later periods of Mycenaean colonization and trade we may expect to find Helladic seals scattered throughout the Aegean and East, including Crete. More recently, imitations of Aegean glyptic art have been produced by modern forgers. Hence, provenance
is no certain guide to craftsmanship. The task of this chapter is to arrange chronologically those seals discriminated as Mycenaean.

Many authors have provided criteria for distinquishing Cretan from Mycenaean glyptics. Furtwängler only differentiates 'Mycenaean' (including Cretan and 2 Helladic) from Egyptian art. Matz describes Cretan glyptics in accordance with Furtwängler's designation of 'Mycenaean' as having passionate movement, an atectonic interest in surface rather than internal structure, an interest in nature, and an ability to fill a circular 3 field with torsional poses.

Furumark separates Minoan LMIb from Mycenaean LHIIa ceramic styles:
"...differences may be detected in compositional features, in the manner of drawing, and in the actual form of separate designs...The mainland compositions, besides being less dynamic and more symmetrical, are also much less coherent, and more mechanical. Whereas Minoan compositions give a strong impression of having been conceived tout ensemble by the artist, the Mycenaean frequently appears to have been created in an agglutinative fashion...Mainland tendency (is) to isolate the elements of the composition, to treat them as separate patterns, and to give them standardized forms. There is much less variation in the Mycenaean IIa than the IMIb reperctory... the differences are those which distinguish original art from imitative handicraft." He speaks of a "...traditional Helladic predilection for tectonic syntax in con-
nexion with symmetrical and agglutinative composition."

In discussing Cretan and Mycenaean seals, Biesantz differentiates syntax from style. He claims that, whereas in the early phases, stylistic treatment is similar for both arts, a divergence is obvious in their syntax or composition. Generally agreeing with the above scholars, he attributes to Crete a predilection for: lines drawn parallel to the frame, an atectonic sense of unity, and the use of curved lines rather than straight lines and right angles. Mainland glyptic syntax is characterized by: diagonal lines forming supporting trusses, tectonic partitioning of the field, and the use of straight lines and right angles. In later periods stylistic differences also appear. Whereas Crete tends toward a less active art through a dismemberment of figures (Auflösung), Mycenae achieves this static end by segmenting body parts with sharp lines, emphasizing joints, and setting off figures from the background (Erstarrung).

Sakellariou seems to notice these late tendencies of style, mentioned by Biesantz, in early Mycenaean art, in its sharp modelling of parts of the body and notching of profiles, contrasting with the flowing shapes of

Cretan figures. She claims to notice enough of a distinction between the glyptic art of Mycenae Shaft Grave Circles B and A to explain the foundation of Helladic seal engraving, with its emphasis on hunting and combat 6 scenes.

As other scholars have pointed out, it is an oversimplification to equate 'tectonic' exclusively with My cenaean and 'organic unity' and 'naturalism' with Minoano Diagonals and rigid decorative patterns are found in Cretan art and undulating lines are found on some 7 Helladic seals. A more promising method of inquiry would examine particular mainland seals, analyzing their similarities to and differences from contemporary Cretan seals.

The first appearance of seals with human and animal combat scenes in Greece corresponds to the MMIIIb-LMIa period i:l Crete and the sealings of the Knossos Temple Repositories. Kenna sees in these sealing pictures from Knossos, agonistic subjects, especially bull games, exhibiting remarkable anatomy and a sense of urgency or terror unlike the more formal earlier Hieroglyph Deposit seals. With the use of the amuletic gem
for portraying quasi-religious subjects, the other seals were free to depict genre studies. Common seal shapes used in MMIIIb-LMIa were the lentoid, the flattened cylinder and the metal signet with ring handle. Materials employed were of great variety: gold and silver and hard semi-precious stones as cornelian, jasper, amethyst, chalcedony, rock crystal, quartz, hematite and serpentine "...carefully chosen for pure colour, translucency, or variegated effect"."The range of subjects increased. In addition to the motifs from nature, compositions half-natural, half-dreamlike were invented... There were corresponding advances in technique... virtuosity is absent... and technique quietly serves the particular style."

The trend in Cretan glyptics is set by two other LM I hoards, the Hagia Triada and Zakro sealings. Whereas the varied shapes of Hagia Triada sealings recall previous glyptics, the Zakro group are nearly all lentoids as later Knossos examples. Some scenes forecast the heraldic and torsional compositions of later Cretin art. The clearest understanding of the style of Cretan seals at this time is attained by applying the most
general aesthetic categories to MMIIIb-LMI seals and sealings (see Appendix to Chapter Two for a definition of aesthetic terms):

| Aesthetic | Description | Remarks of |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Categories | of Seals | Scholars |

STYLE:
Line

| quality | graceful curves | no straight <br> lines or right <br> angles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| direction of <br> movement | circular | lines parallel <br> to frame |
| relation of a circle or rotating, <br> lines rariant radial |  |  |


| Form |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mass | naturalistic, | surface not |
|  | muscular treat- | structure; or- |
|  | ment of surface | ganic plasti- |
|  | rather than in- | city, atectonic |
|  | terest in bones |  |
| pose | naturalistic, | flowing forms, |
|  | graceful, moving | naturalism |
| perspective | close-knit fio | unity, rapport |
|  | gures;relation |  |
|  | of figures to |  |
|  | lackground |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| COMPOSITION: <br> general <br> accommodation <br> lines parallel <br> to frame <br> to frame |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| unity | by glance and unity, rapport, pose torsion |
| balance | if all figures free movement |
|  | in balance, |
|  | then whole is in static balance; |
|  | if individuals are nola |
|  | not balanced, whole |
|  | is in dynamic ba- |
|  | lance |

Exceptions to this general aesthetic categorization are found in Cretan glyptics -- in Line which may be straight in quality, diagonal in direction and rectilinear in relation. However, this only occurs on non-lentoid, rectangular surfaces as the flattened cylinder AM 226. Even here, other features remain the same and the syntactical arrangement accommodates the shape of the frame.

Contemporary mainland seals with human-animal combats as yet come only from Mycenae:

NAM 15: found in grave IV of Shaft Grave Circle A, where five men had been buried in great splendour. In agreement with Furumark, Mylonas dates this tomb as the third earliest of Circle A after graves VI and II, in LH I. ${ }^{9}$ NAM 9: found in grave III of Shaft Grave Circle A with three skeletons. Among the vases in the sepulchre were matt-painted ware and early Mycenaean ware. Mylonas 10
dates the burial to $1580-1500$. Wace states that: "The two latest shaft graves are III and I....but they are not so late though as the end of the l6th century... the series stops before the end of LH I". Then, the main difficulty with Shaft Grave seals is not their
date but their origin. Biesantz and Sakellariou claim their Mycenaean nature on the basis of tectonic structure and style. Other scholars cannot see the deve? pment of such a polished art ex nihilo or within the space of a few years (between graves $B, \Gamma$ and $A, I V$ ). In judging the matter, we must examine all the features of the two seals: their style, composition, shape, dimensions, material and technique, to see whether they differ from contemporary Cretan glyptics.

According to our aesthetic categories, NAM 9
and NAM 15 exhibit the following stylistic and syntactical features:

Aesthetic Categories
Description of Seals
STYLE:

| Line <br> quality | gracefully curved (but see <br> Technique) |
| :--- | :--- |
| direction of <br> movement | along atraight line: <br> diagonal, vertical, hori- <br> zontal |
| relatior of |  |
| lines | roughly rectilinear:off- <br> centred triangle and a <br> part elliptical-part rect <br> angular shape |
| Form | naturalistic body surface <br> modelling; muscular <br> detail |


| pose | naturalistic; grace- <br> fully moving |
| :--- | :--- |
| perspective may not |  |
|  | figures may or may <br> overlap; all appear <br> close-knit |
| COMPOSITION: |  |
| general |  |
|  |  | | rectilinear and partly |
| :--- |
| elliptical shapes on rec- |
| tangular and elliptical |
| frames; some accommodation |
| balance |
|  |

The stylistic differences of the Mycenae seals from Cretan examples stem from the direction of their lines along straight rather than circular paths. The Cretan circular pattern formed is unified by its very nature, whereas on the mainland seals, unity is attained only by the glances of beings, related by their lines into rectilinear (though partly elliptical on NAM 15) figures.

Similarities between Mycenae and Cretan seals are illustrated in Figure 3. The most striking resemblance is in Form(mass, pose, perspective). The muscular detail of the driver on NAM 15 resembles that of Z. 2 (central figure) and the pose of the man on NAM 9
recalls the lanceman's stance on H.T. 133. The treatment of the animal's head on NAM 9 is paralleled by the monster-mask on Z. 167. The lion's pose on NAM 9 is like H.T. 84-86, and the deer on NAM 15 resembles H.T. 68. The flying gallop of NAM 15 horses is common on many Minoan works of art.

The inspiration for these seals' style and syntax was mainly Minoan. However, the undulating lines of Cretan figures tend to straighten out, imposing Helladic restraints on Minoan artistry -- perhaps the restraints of a Mycenaean king who has taken a superior Cretan artist under his patronage.

Other features of these seals are:
SHAPE: NAM 9 is a flattened cylinder, a popular shape in contemporary Crete. Rings similax to the NAM 15 metal signet are also found in Crete.

DIMENSIONS: The ring's dimensions are larger than usual for this period in Crete, being $3.45 \times 2.1$ cm . The flattened cylinder, $2.0 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$., is longer and narrower than AM 226 but
smaller than other mainland flattened cylinders and its dimensions give us little information about date and origin.

MATERIAL: Both seals are of gold, a common material for Cretan signets, especially at the time. 13 TECHNIQUE: Both Kenna's Technique 1 and 2 are used (Technique 2 on the lion's face of NAM 9 and Z. 167) in Mycenae and in Crete. On the Shaft Grave seals, lines seem to be more sharply incised, thus losing some of the suppleness and flowing quality of Cretan lines.

The above analysis results in the same conclusion derived from aesthetic considerations. There is a mixture of Minoan and Helladic features, possibly to be explained as Cretan work under Mycenaean direction.

Bearing close resemblance in theme to the Mycenae LH I Lion Hunt Dagger is the sealstone BN M6984 which, according to Evans was "...found on or near the site of Kydonia...the stone was obtained at Canea." The only information recorded in the museum register, however, is that the seal was acquired in 1909 from
M. Dosseur. The uncertain context of discovery of this seal does not provide any guide to its date. We shall apply our aesthetic categories and examine the other features of the sealstone to determine its chronology and origin.

Aesthetic Categories
Description of Seal
STYLE:

| $\frac{\text { Line }}{\text { quality }}$ curved and straight |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| direction of | along straight lines: |
| , | vertical,diagonal,hori- |
| tion of lines rectilinear |  |
| Form |  |
| Ss | the torso of the mark |
|  | man is hardly marked by |
| n $80 \times 2.5$ | musculature; only an in- |
| tof the 14am medel1 that | his back from his chest; |
|  | only indications of |
| yo is treatedilite tho ayes | muscles on his legs are |
| -49e Assidu from thateg apeot | calf; human faces though |
|  | are detailed with ey |
| the genersi sreatsoat of is | beard, long h |
| itcle ansculature botongs | crescent at join |
|  | forelegs to bod |
|  | ing hind legs;man detailed face |
| pose | men are |
|  | upright and lion is |
|  | in partial C ;stiff |
|  | appearance |
| perspective | figures overl |
|  | somewhat interrelated |


| COMPOSITION: <br> general | rectilinear on rectan- <br>  <br>  <br> gular frame; accommoda- <br> tion to frame |
| :--- | :--- |
| bnity | by glance |
| individuals are unba- |  |
|  | lanced;some degree of <br> dynamic balance is a- <br> chieved by entire scene |

Various features of this sealstone appear to be early LHI-IIa. The subject and human poses seem to copy the Mycenae Lion Hunt Dagger (LH I) and the compositional scheme on a flattened cylinder recalls M.SL68l(LH IIa). The body of one warrior is covered by a shield as NAM 229 but the shield is more like those on Cretan seals HM 2315 and HM 260. The bulging legs of the lion recall the Kakovatos lion and its eye is treated like the eyes of boars on NAM 227 and B. 40. Aside from these specisic characteristics, the genersi ireatment of human and animal mass with little musculature belongs to a later period in Mycenaean art. This mixture of styles which might point to a later Mycenasan copy of an early Mycenaean dagger on a seal possibly from Crete, leads us to suspect the gem's authenticity. Other suspect features are the

[^0]As the above features are quite Aegean, the suspicion about the seal's authenticity rests mainly on aesthetic grounds. The good condition of the stone and the uncertain provenance may support the designation of this gem as a forgery.

In continuing our discussion of Mycenaean seals we shall treat together seals of a similar contextual date and other undated material with parallel features. We shall treat the seals from the east and west mainland separately in case there might be any regional differences.

The seal NAM 224 was found in the tholos 15
excavated by Tsountas at Vapheio. Miss Gill
summarizes the reasons for Furumark's dating of the tomb to Myc.IIa (LMIb in Crete):
"This mainland tholos excavated by Tsountas in 1889 is firmly dated by the architecture and grave goods. The bronze brazier and shallow cup with ring handles, the stemmed cup decorated with the ogival canopy and the handled lamp for example are clearly of Myc.IIa type. The seals believed by the excavator to have formed an amulet, cannot therefore be dated later, and apart from the amygdaloid 'amulet' that may be dated MMIII-LMI, are probably all within the confines of LMIb/Myc.IIa." 16

The main problem concerning this sealstone then is not its date but its origin. We begin with its aesthetic analysis:

Aesthetic Categories
Description of Seal
STYLE:

| Line <br> quality <br> direction of <br> movement | gracefully curved |
| :--- | :--- |
| relation of lines | circular, not along |
| straight lines |  |


| COMPOSITION: <br> general | circle on round frame; <br> accommodation to frame |
| :--- | :--- |
| unity | by glance and pose |
| balance | individuals unbalanced <br> yet whole is dynami- <br> cally balanced |

NAM 224 exhibits all aesthetic characteristics of Cretan seals. A nearly identical scene, adopted for a rectangular frame, is found on the sealing Z. 193 from Zakro (see Figure 3). The treatment of mass and pose are also paralleled on Z. 2 (right figure) and H.T. 125 (torso). The human heads though are paralleled on the mainland (see NAM 199). The animal's frontal face recalls many monster portraits from Zakro. The animal's limbs, resembling those of the lion on NAM 9, are like many from Crete (see Figures 3 and 5) $\infty$

Other elements of the Vapheio lentoid are:
SHAPE: The lentoid shape of this seal became popular in the Zakro hoard. The seal is tipped in two places with gold leaf. Such use of gold is seen on other seals, eg. the Cretan seal HM 839 (LM II).

DIMENSIONS: $1.75-1.95 \mathrm{~cm}$. This seal is the same size as other Vapheio sealstones, eg. NAM 228.

This seems to be the average size for seals in both Greece and Crete at this time.

MATERIAL: This lentoid is of red jasper, a semiprecious stone popular at this time in the Aegean.

TECHNIQUE: The seal is executed in Kenna's Technique 1, the most common way of engraving at the time. The heads of the men may be in Technique 2, and resemble the later seal NAM 193 from Asine.

According to its various features, this seal is mainly of Cretan inspiration. (For possibility of Helladic attributes of beards and dress and for subject matter of man combating lion as Helladic, see Chapter Three). Kenna classifies this seal as "Minoan-Mycenaean"... "...either made in Crete or on the mainland by Cretan 17 craftsmen". We then consider this seal to be more Cretan than Mycenaean.

$$
\text { AM } 247 \text { is said to come from Gytheion, Laconia. }
$$ Both its date and origin are in doubt. Evans dates this seal to LMIb, to correspond with the dates of the

gold cups from the tholos at Vapheio. As we shall see below, this seal is closely related in many ways to the Vapheio seal NAM 224 as well.

Aesthetic analysis of this piece shows:

Aesthetic Categories Description of Seal

## STYLE:

Line

| quality <br> direction of movement | gracefully curved <br> horizontal and roughly <br> circular as well |
| :--- | :--- |
| relation of lines | circle |
| Form | naturalistic; interest <br> in body surface, muscu- |
|  | lar detail; the man's |
|  | head is like NAM 224 |

COMPOSITION:

| general | circle on round frame; <br> accommodation to frame |
| :--- | :---: |
| unity | through glance and pose |

Like NAM 224, this sealstone exhibits all aesthetic features of Cretan seals. Its other characteristics are: SHAPE: This seal is a flattened lentoid with 18 nearly no carination, according to Kenna.


> Two further seals depicting combats between humans and animals formed part of Tsountas' bracelet, worn by the Vapheio prince: NAM 227 and NAM 228. These seals are securely dated by the contextual evidence mentioned on p. 47 to the Myc.IIa period.

> Although found alongside NAM 224, these seals exhibit quite different aesthetic traits:

## Aesthetic Categories

Description of Seals
STYLE:
${ }_{\text {Line }}^{\text {quality }}$

> graceless stark curves approaching straight lines


The entire character of the style and composition of these Vapheio sealstones appears alien to the art of LMIb in Crete, as expressed by AM 247. Squat figures with disjointed bodies in awkward
poses are not paralleled in Minoan art (see the great gap between NAM 227 and Z. 190 lancemen). The composition, with its total lack of consideration of the picture frame, is foreign to Crete. Furthermore, the drawing of rocks or leaves at the top but not at the bottom of the scene on NAM 227 may indicate a Mycenaean misunderstanding of the Minoan 'aerial view'. These Vapheio seals are called feeble Mycenaean imitations of Minoan engraving by Kenna and Biesantz.

Other characteristics of these seals are:
SHAPE: Both seals are lentoids, the most common shape in LMIb-II/Myc.II.

DIMENSIONS: Both seals are of average size for Crete and Greece.

MATERIALS: NAM 227 is of chalcedony, a popular material throughout Mycenaean glyptics and used in this period in crete. NAM 228, however, appears to be of a less precious black shiny stone. It does not seem to be dull enough to be described as hematite (as Sakellariou does in the Corpus).

TECHNIQUE: Both Techniques 1 and 2 are used.

The above factors neither prove nor deny the conclusion of aesthetic investigation, that here we have our first purely Mycenaean seal engravings, of Helladic inspiration and fabrication. They are not continuous from previous mainland examples.

## B 40, at present in the Charlottenburg Museum

 West Berlin, portrays a theme identical to NAM 227. The seal was known to come from the Peloponnese by Milchhoefer (1883), Perrot and Chipiez (1894) and Furtwängler in his Berlin catalogue (1896). As the exact context of discovery is unknown, we must determine the date as well as the origin of this seal. We begin with its aesthetic characteristics:Sr'YLE:
Line
stylised curves,straight lines
direction of along straight lines,
movement
relation of lines diagonally triangle

Form
mass
there is an attempt at realistic portrayal of

> | the boar, though it is |
| :--- |
| feeble; the man has |
| thick legs with lines |
| indicating muscles; |
| his torso shows slight |
| swelling and a at |
| neck indicates his |
| collar bone; fingers |
| are drawn on one hand; |
| face is realistic |
| human pose is convin- |
| cing, with one hand |
| outstretched the length |
| of the spear and the |
| other hand bent to |
| steady the point near |
| the beast; his legs |
| extend far back to |
| lend thrust; the ani- |
| mal is in feeble |
| flying gallop |
| no overlapping; the |
| very high curve of |
| surface and depth of |
| intaglio may lend |
| dapth to the scene |

pose | human pose is convin- |
| :--- |
| cing, with one hand |

COMPOSITION:
general
triangle on amygdaloid frame; partial accommodation to frame by glance individual figures are unbalanced creating dynamic balance in the complete scene

In the treatment of line on the stone, there is an overwhelming Mycenaean character. The form shows an attempt at naturalism, but not with great success. The boar is very like NAM 227 in mass: its coat, ears,
jawline, eye with its prominent outline and eyeball, and limbs. Only their snouts differ. The poses of the Berlin and NAM 227 boars are identical. The human figure on the Berlin gem is unlike NAM 227 as there is an attempt to depict muscles and other body details. However, it too suffers from squat proportions and lack of coordination of parts of the body. The human pose is a great improvement on NAM 227 and is more in the tradition of the lancemen on the Lion Hunt Dagger and Z. 190. The composition is generally Mycenaean (see NAM 9). The dynamic balance is a feature more common to Crete, however.

The main stylistic characteristics of this seal seem to point to a Mycenaean origin. Only the human pose and dynamic balance may betray Cretan talent. Chronologically, this Berlin sealstone cannot be placed far from NAM 227, as both seals agree so closely in theme, and in most aesthetic categories, including the nearly identical treatment of boars.

Other features of this gem are:
SHAPE: This sealstone is amygdaloid in shape and well curved. The shape is especially
popular in LHIIa/LMIb.
DIMENSIONS: The seal is of average size for Crete and Greece.

MATERIAL: The material used is cornelian, a common stone for seal engraving in the Aegean. TECHNIQUE: Technique 1 is employed.

The element of shape then supports the chronological assignment of B 40 to LHIIa, but the designation of the seal as Mycenaean in origin rests on aesthetic considerations alone.

NAM 112 was discovered at Mycenae in Chamber Tomb 79. The only other published objects found in the tomb are seals NAM 113 and NAM 114. NAM 113 bears some resemblance to NAM 112 but more so to NAM 257 from Vapheio in the treatment of the animals' heads. NAM 114 depicts a one-handled libation jug of a type which first appeared in MM Crete (PM IV pp.448-9). It is evident on Vapheio seals NAM 231 and NAM 232. The contextual evidence may possibly point to the period of the Vapheio tholos, Myc.IJ.a. However, a final decision on the date and origin of NAM 112 must await
aesthetic analysis and description of its other features.

The aesthetic traits of NAM 112 are:

| Aesthetic Categories | Description of Seal |
| :---: | :---: |
| STYLE: | closely Eslatedi sien |
| Line | the arror |
| quality | stylised curves |
| direction of | along straight lines, |
| movement | vertical, diagonal |
| relation of | rectilinear figure: |
| lines | parallelogram |
| Form |  |
| mass | little muscular de- |
|  | tail on man's body |
|  | except for swellings |
| gereraz | of trapezium muscles of his arms and of |
|  | calf muscles; leg mus- |
|  | cles also indicated |
|  | by lines; poor treat- |
|  | ment of lion's body, |
|  | with globules on |
|  | limbs; lion's neck is |
|  | non-existent and its |
| balamee | head just sits atop |
| pose | the motionless body |
|  | typed pose indica- |
|  | ting a runner (or man |
| cal. | in action) which will |
|  | become popular in Myc IIIb at Fylos; his |
| 8 , | arms are in unrealis- |
|  | tic position, holding |
| Relisatc ininspl | spear behind his back; his body lends no |

```
thrust; lion's position is couchant, as many single wounded animals appear on gems; great distance between its forelegs creates an unpleasant effect figures do not overlap and are not closely related; even the arrowhead,which must have entered the lion's body, bears no relation to che shaft held by man: convention of not drawing second hindleg of lion, as it is behind
```

perspective

COMPOSITION: general
rectilinear figure on amygdaloid shape; little accommodation to frame as evidenced by the man's head which seems to be cut off at top

| unity | by glance |
| :--- | :--- |
| balance figures are un- |  |
|  | balanced and fail to |
|  | create a dynamically |
|  | balanced whole |

On stylistic and syntactical grounds, this seal exhibits no Cretan features, and is then considered to be Helladic in inspiration and fabrication. Many of its stylistic features are paralleled on Vapheio seals

NAM 227 and NAM 228. The hands of the figure are as unconvincingly posed as those of the hunter on NAM 227, in contrast to the realistic thrusts of earlier (Shaft Grave) and later (NAM 294) periods. The seated lion recalls many Vapheio gems. Its facial treatment is similar to NAM 228. The body may be a feeble attempt to copy the Vapheio lion NAM 243: see, for example, the globules on on the legs of the animals. These comparisons suggest a date of Myc.IIa, the time of the poor Helladic creations from Vapheio.

Other features of NAM 112 are:
SHAPE: The seal is an amygdaloid, a common shape in the LMIb/Myc.IIa period, though occasionally found afterwards in Greece. The back is striated.

DINENSIONS: The amygdaloid is of average size. MATERIAL: The seal is of rock crystal, common in the flourishing periods of Aegean glyptics.

TECHNIQUE: Human and animal bodies are in Technique 1 but faces are in Technique 2.

As these features are generally Aegean, our dating and
judgment of the origin of this seal rests mainly on aesthetic grounds. We then consider this seal to be a Mycenaean work of Myc.IIa. It belongs to the period of the first purely Helladic works of glyptic art.

NAM 133 comes from Chamber Tomb 103 at Mycenae. The only other known objects from this unpublished burial are sealstones NAM 132, NAM 134, NAM 135 and NAM 136(?), all of which appear to be very poor works. NAM 136, an amulet seal, is like the Vapheio gem NAM 261 but much more debased in design. The only means of obtaining the date and origin of NAM 133 is by examination of its aesthetic and other features:

Aesthetic Categories
Description of Seal
STYLE:

| Line <br> quality <br> direction of <br> movement | stylised curves, <br> straight lines |
| :--- | :--- |
| relation of lines straight lines: |  |
| vertical, horizontal, |  |
| diagonal |  |$\quad$| rectilinear figure |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Form | lower part of man's |
| mass | body is absent; the <br> chest muscles are not |
|  | well defined and body <br> treatment is generally |
|  | poor; some trapezium |

swelling of arms;
lion's body is
poorly done with
little musculature,

| COMPOSITION: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| general |  |
|  | rectilinear figure <br> on circular frame; <br> disregard for shape |
|  | of the picture's |
| frame |  |

On stylistic and syntactical grounds, NAM 133 evidentally belongs to the Mycenaean period which produced the feeble art of NAM 227, NAM 228 and NAM 112. The summary treatment of muscles is known on NAM 227 as well. The odd bulging trapezium muscles are more poorly done than on NAM 112. The lion's body is a stretched out version of the Vapheio lion NAM 243. Its head, in its attempt at realistic portraiture, is like NAM 149, NAM 243, and NAM 252 from Mycenae and Vapheio, respectively. Its mane is composed of globules rather than flames. The limbs, as NAM 112, are near copies of NAM 243. As on the sealstone NAM 280, which was discovered in the Myc.I-IIa tholos 2 at Rutsi-Myrsinochorion, the man is not drawn below the waist. Such conventions for achieving depth are absent from Cretan examples: NY 26.31.246, NAM 512, HM 839, HM 900, HM 18 and HM 1317. The generally summary treatment of attributes betrays the Mycenaean origin of this seal.

Other features of this sealstone are:
SHAPE:
The seal is lentoid, a common shape in LMI-II/LHII.
DIMENSIONS: $\quad 2.65-2.75 \mathrm{~cm}$. This lentoid is quite large
which might indicate a date correspon-
ding with the Knossos palace sealings.
However, the Vapheio gem NAM 229 of
LMIb/Myc.IIa date is 3.0 cm .
The material used is agate, used in
all Aegean glyptics.
There is very deep incision throughout
and especially on the man's lowered
arm and the lion's tail, where a channel
is created. Technique 2 is used on the
lion's head and limbs.

The technique supports the conclusion made on aesthetic grounds, that NAM 133 is Mycenaean, belonging to the Myc.IIa period.

We now turn to seals which were found in Myc. IIa context in the western Peloponnese.

$$
\text { NAM } 274 \text { was discovered in the Pylos vicinity, }
$$

in Tholos 2 at Rutsi-Myrsinochorion, which was excavated by Marinatos in 1956. Although the superstructure of this tomb hardly survives, it was found unplundered containing six to seven burials. The gold
bead seal was in one of the two shafts in the burial
chamber. Marinatos discusses the exact context of

## discovery:

Shaft II was the main burial place of the tomb. It was covered with heavy slabs, on which stood, upside down, a great jar with octopus and seascape decoration. The upper dead person was still distinguishable, but three and perhaps four more skulls indicate that, in all, five persons were buried in this shaft. Only the property of the uppermost burial was still in situ and this was magnificent. Near the left hand on a sort of platform lay a superb inlaid dagger. The blade was decorated with nautiluses... among sea creatures in gold, silver and niello. Nearby thirteen gold buttons...a small mirror....a bronze dagger...gold rivets. In the right armpit of the dead man was found....a second inlaid dagger... with cat-like animals in a rocky and wooded landscape, again in gold, silver and niello... The other finds in the shaft belonged to the earlier dead... They include a lit.t.le silver goblet and fragments of a larger one; several gold leaves; a little gold spoon, some gems among which was...n precious solid gold bead bearing in fine, almost microscopic engraving oi the capture of a bull. The net, the tree and the bull-fighter remind us of the famous gold cup from Vapheio which is of the same period...About 40 vases were found throughout the tholos, badly broken... With the exception of the burial on the floor, no vases were found in situ in the shafts. But it is of interest that the disjecta membra of the pottery of the shafts are earlier than the pottery in situ with the floor burial... A span of 60 to 75 years (say 1500 to 1425 BC ) is covered in the stylistic evolution of all the vases in the tomb." 24

Marinatos repeats his chronological assessment of the
tholos:

 $\tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ गु०



The context guides us to a date for this seal which is early in the span from Myc.IIa/LMIb to Myc.IIIa. For greater accuracy in dating and in deciding the seal's origin, we must examine its various features.

The aesthetic characteristics of NAM 274 are:


| COMPOSITION: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| general | complete accommodation |
|  | to the frame with an |
| ellipse on the amyg- |  |
|  | daloid shape |
| unity | by pose but especially |
| balance | by glance |
|  | unbalanced individual |
|  | figures produce a dy- |
|  | namically balanced |
|  | whole |

Every aspect of the style and syntax (as well as of the attributes: net, vegetation) of this gold bead seal may be attributed to the Cretan LMIb tradition which created the gold cups from Vapheio. This Cretan art had its mainland imitations, eg. NAM 283 from Rutsi, thus expressing a single phenomenon in Myc.IIa, of Helladic attempts to remcreate Cretan artistry on sealstones. The syntax of NAM 274, characterized by torsion or contorsion of active figures to accommodate the circular frame, appears on many LMI sealings from Hagia Triada, eg. H.T. 48, H.T. 78, and the bull's pose is closely paralleled on H.T. 60. This syntactical treatment, thought to be peculiarly Cretan, begins to appear with LMIb and the Rutsi gold bead seal, and reaches fruition in LMII.

## Other features of NAM 274 are:

SHAPE:
NAM 274 is a bead seal of lengthened amygdaloid shape with a carinated back. On its ends are circular granules. Lengthened amygdaloids appear in the Vapheic deposit and mainly belong to the Myc. $1 \mathrm{Ia} / \mathrm{LMIb}$ period.

DIMENSIONS: 2.8 cm . long; this lengthened amygdaloid only appears elsewhere in the Vapheio deposit dated Myc.IIa/LMIb.

MATERIAL: The bead is solid gold.
TECHNIQUE: Incision is deep and smoothly executed. Technique 1 is employed.

These characteristics then also point to a date of Myc.IIa/LMIb and the technique may betray the Cretan hand evident in an examination of the aesthetic aspects of the Rutsi gold bead seal. The Rutsi Tholos 2 in the west Peloponnese, which produced NAM 274 and its poorer relation NAM 283, is closely paralleled by the Myc.IIa Vapheio Tholos in the eastern Peloponnese, where fine Cretan works of art are found alongside poor Helladic creations. NAM 274, like the Vapheio cups, appears then to be a Cretan import of the LMIb period.

A seal also found in the Pylos area at Kakovatos is M.SL681. Miss Gill gives a clear description of its discovery in an excavation dump and eradicates all doubts about its authenticity. She shows the inaccuracy of Evan's assignment of this seal by quoting a letter from the discoverer, Mr. Sanborn:
"My impression... is that my visit to Kakovatos was in the autumn of 1909 after the rains had begun, as rainwater had made little channels in the sloping mound of earth excavated from the tholos tomb, and the cylinder seal appeared in the mud of one of these channels not "in a little brook near the shore at Kakovatos". I also picked up several bits of gold leaf" washed out of the excavated earth by the rain." 27

Miss Gill agrees with Furumark's dating of the Kakovatos tholoi to Myc.IIa at the latest. She says:
"The three tholoi, excavated a year or so before (Mr. Sanborn's discovery in 1909) each contained a single burial accompanied by Myc.IIa painted and coarse ware and the odd survivor from Myc.I period (in tholos A and C). There is nothing certainly of a later date, in spite of Fossing's claim that a fragment of glass is LH III..." 28

The Kakovatos and Rutsi groups of tholoi then appear to be the western contemporaries of the Vapheio tomb in the east. The date of the Kakovatos cylinder is then of greater certainty than its origin.

The aesthetic features of this seal are:


The human actor on the Munich cylinder bears much stylistic resemblance to the human figure on NAM 9 and to the bearded man on NAM 15 (especially in mass; see Figure 1), which are in turn like many Hagia Triada and Zakro figures. The realistic treatment of the lion's head and leg muscles is paralleled on H.T. 36. The 'Minoan Genius' has a lion's head like those on H.T. 40 , H.T. 43 and H.T. 47. Without close Minoan counterpart is the unnatural upright pose of the lion, which is only a little more graceful than the Vapheio lion on NAM 228. This last factor, taken together with the preference for straight lines and rectilinear arrays persuades us to assign this seal on aesthetic grounds to Helladic craftsmanship.

## Other characteristics of the seal are:

SHAPE: This seal is a cylinder, a shape which occurs very rarely in Early Mycenaean times (eg. Mycenaean-Oriental cylinder from Rutsi NAM 285). The shape is more common in the Later Mycenaean period.

DIMENSIONS: 1.2 .2 cm ; larger than later Greek cylinders of the LHIII period from Cyprus
and Thebes.
MATERIAL: This cylinder is agate, a popular stone at this period in the Aegean.

TECHNIQUE: The lion's head and muscles, the Genius and the man are done in Technique 1.

This Kakovatos cylinder appears to be a finer piece than the Vapheio examples NAM 227 and NAM 228, with its human form closely related to that on NAM 9. Yet the lion's position and the fact that the Vapheio seals NAM 231 and 232 portray 'Genii' similar to the one on M SL681, do not permit us to date the seal much earlier than Myc.IIa/LMIb. A mainland product, this gem shows a slight decline from the art of LHI (NAM 9) but a far better artistic sense than portrayed on NAN 227 and NAM 228. Then, at Kakovatos in LHIIa, some of the Minoan inspiration, evident on Greek gems at Mycenae in LHI and absent from the eastern Peloponnese in LHIIa (where works were either totally Cretan (imports) or totally Helladic), remains.

Found also in the Pylos area, in the second tholos at Rutsi-Myrsinochorion that produced the Cretan
gold bead seal NAM 274, was NAM 280. Whereas the
Cretan import was found in one of the shafts of Tholos
2, this lentoid belonged to the final burial on the floor of the chamber, described by the excavator $S$. Marinatos:







 o人pסiou." 29
"...gerns of cornelian, agate, sardonyx, and lapis lazuli..." 30

Marinatos dates the span of use of the entire tomb 31
from Myc.IIa to Myc.IIIa:1, from 1500-1425.

For chronological accuracy and judgment of the origin of NAM 280, we shall first attempt an aesthetic analysis:

Aesthetic Categories Description of Seal
STYLE:
Line

| quality | stylised curves |
| :--- | :--- |
| direction of |  |
| movent | along straight lines: <br> horizontal, vertical; <br> also circular |
| relation of | approximately recti- <br> lines |

there is little muscular detail on the human bodies, but the overall impression is one of naturalism; most prominent are the median line verticaliy dividing the body into
two and the breast
nipples; there is
swelling to indicate
the trapezium muscles and biceps; heads are
given more summary
treatment; lion's body
has little indication
of muscles buc legs, mane and faces, especially the eyes, are quite detailed and realistic
pose $\frac{\text { realistic }}{\text { men are posed to stand }}$
behind the animals;
lions are upon their
hind haunches and upright on forelegs; the backward turn of their heads is not expressed
in any muscular dislocation of the neck and thus their heads seem just to sit upon the long neck
perspective
figures are not over-
lapping but there seems
to be some interaction
between them; the convention exists of excluding in the background that which is to be shielded from view by objects in the
foreground, eg. the second hind leg of the first lion and both legs and body of the second lion; this is extended, to the disregard of realism, to cover parts of the background figures that would appear in real life, eg. man's legs (see NAM 133)

COMPOSITION:
as the rectilinear
figure formed by the
basic lines is curved
at one side to run
parallel to frame, there
is partial accommoda-
tion to shape of seal
by glance
as the legs of the
animal are level they
produce a steady pose;
the men, because of
their arm positions
also appear steady
giving a total impres-
sion of static balance

The closest parallel in subject matter to NAM 280 is NAM 133 from Mycenae, 2 much poorer gem exhibiting the same conventions in perspective. From the point of view of general composition, there is some resemblance between our lions and the Vapheio lion NAM 243, described by Kenna:
"In this gem the balance achieved between monumental and natural claims is a reminder that the problem of transposing a subject from nature to fill the field of a gem in a timeless reference was solved at the end of the first phase of the Late Minoan period." 32

Such an achievement is seen to a lesser extent on NAM 280, with the turn of the animals' heads, although there is too much emphasis on the horizontal (bodies). Closer is the similarity of the faces, manes and legs on the Vapheio and Rutsi lions.

On the basis of these stylistic and syntactical correspondences with NAM 133 and the Vapheio gem, we date NAM 280 to Myc.IIa. Its aesthetic features contain a mixture of Mycenaean elements: line, human form and some aspects of composition, with Minoan: animal form and the partial accommodation of their necks to the frame.

Other aspects of NAM 280 are:
SHAPE: The seal is a lentoid, a popular shape in LMIb-II/Myc.II。

DIMENSIONS: 1.8 cm ; the seal is not very large in comparison with the Vapheio lion. Large lentoids were characteristic in the

> hoard of sealings found in the destruction level at Knossos (LMII-IIIa) but also at Vapheio(Myc.IIa). Small dimensions may indicate early date.

MATERIAL: The seal is cut from cornelian, a semiprecious stone used in the flowering periods of Cretan and Nycenaean glyptics.

TECHNIQUE: Sharp incisions are evident. Technique $l$ is used.

As only the technique may point to Helladic work, our conclusion about the origin of NAM 280 rests on aesthetic considerations. We then designate this Rutsi seal as a Greek work with some Cretan inspiration. Once more (as with M SL681) a mixed CretanMycenaean art is seen in the western Peloponnese in Myc.IIa.

In the succeeding period in Crete, LM II, various tondencies seen in the Hagia Triada and Zakro sealings are brought to fruition. Priniary is the torsional arrangement of figures, especially animals, to fit the most prevalent shape, the lentoid seal.

## According to Kenna:

"A subsidiary development of this torsional tendency is seen when it passes into a fragmentation of the torsioned objects: and this, furthered by some aesthetic compulsion, leads to a reassembling of several parts to create new forms and beings (HM 708, 1527, 870...)....It would seem that the vogue of this peculiar style and its variations lies between LMIb and LMIIb." 33

A general aesthetic analysis shows:
General Des-
Aesthetic Categories cription of Seals

STYLE:


COMPOSITION:
general

## unity

balance
accommodation of circular figures to lentoid frame, though a central vertical axis may tend to cut through the frame by pose and glance if individuals are blanced the whole impression given is of static ba-

> | lance; if indivi- |
| :--- |
| duals are unba- |
| lanced, their in- |
| terrelation produ- |
| ces a dynamic ba- |
| lance |

Of the subject matter on LMII seals in Crete, Kenna says:
"The interest in nature and animal life is still maintained, but, among the studies of animals in the attack motif, struggle and suffering become more prominent...towards the end of LMII it seems that many traditional motifs and subjects were conventionally treated, and what differences exist are those which come from slight variations..." (In this period the heraldic scheme of 'Master of Animals' appears)..."Except for the small group in which the subjects are contorted, the underlying similarity of approach might indicate the monotony which preceded decline." 34

Kenna generalizes about the shape of LMII seals mainly from the five destruction deposits of sealings at Knossos, many of which were impressed from a class of lenticular seals of large dimensions. Others are from elliptical bezels of metal signet rings, one is a flattened cylinder and a few are glandular. The amygdaloid shape, according to Kenna, was reserved for talismanic representation and does not assoctated 35 appear in the destruction level at Knossos.

As the Knossos destruction date has recently
been considered to be IMIIIa:I on the basis of 36
pottery classification , the above description may concern seals contemporary with the Myc.IIb-IIIa:I period in Greece.

Of mainland seals, possibly corresponding to the description of LMII Cretan seals, we first consider examples from the eastern Peloponnese.

NAM 95 was discovered in Chamber Tomb 58 at Mycenae. The only other published items from this tomb are sealstones: NAM 89, NAM 90, NAM 91, NAM 92, NAM 93, NAM 94, NAM 96, NAM 97, NAM 98. The heraldic positioning of two figures, sometimes flanking a central vertical axis and popular in LMII, is seen on NAM 89, NAM 90, NAM 98 and NAM 92 (if we turn Sakellariou's picture in the Corpus $90^{\circ}$ to the left-37
clockwise ). NAM 93's goats greatly resemble
those on the lentoid sealstone found by Sakellarakis at Arkhanes in a LMII-IIIa context (1400). ${ }^{38}$ These seals then generally appear to be later than those associated with the Cretan seals of LMI. To learn more about the origin and date of NAM 95, we must

> Aesthetic analysis of the seal shows:

with his curving body is well done, forming a decorative $S$ which binds together the ends of the turned beast, rather than forming a natural pose figures overlap and are interrelated; depth is achieved by the technique of lightly engraving the lower part of the seal with the man's and animal's legs and by deeply cutting their heads and upper bodies so that in the impression, the upper part of the figures is prominent and the lower part is recessed, creating a great depth of scope 39

| COMPOSITION: <br> general | segmented circle $\Phi$ <br> on circular frame; <br> complete accommo- <br> dation to frame |
| :--- | :--- |
| bnity | by pose |
| balance | unbalanced indivi- <br> duals create a dyna- <br> mically balanced <br> whole |

All stylistic and syntactical features of this seal appear to agree closely with Cretan art, es-
peciallyin the predilection for the contorted animal, creating a circle (see Knossos palace sealings N1, with its combination of circular and straight lines, reminds us of the treatment of lines on the mainland seal NAM 294 from western Greece. The man's face, with its armenoid appearance and flat cap is more closely related to Cretan than Mycenaean portraits.

## Other characteristics of NAM 95 are:

SHAPE:
The seal is a lentoid, common in the Aegean in the Early Mycenaean era, and especially in the Zakro and later the LMII Knossos sealing deposits.

DIMENSIONS: This seal is 2.2 cm. , somewhat larger than usual. An increasingly large lentoid is apparent in the LMII palace sealings at Knossos.

MATERIAL: The amazonite material or jasper was used throughout the Aegean in flowering periods.

TECHNIQUE: Generally, Technique 1 is used. There is unparalleled excellence of technique

```
in the uneven depth of cutting to
achieve spatial perspective: see
'perspective'.
```

There are no specifically Helladic aspects of this seal. The stylistic and syntactical features along with the excellent technique point rather to Cretan artistry, in the 'torsion school' of LMII-IIIa:l.

Another man-bull scene belonging to Group III is depicted on the gem AGIIIp. 49 fig. 28. According to Furtwängler, this banded agate lentoid was in Athens and was originally found at Mycenae. He only saw the impression of the gem given him by Tsountas. As this seal is not in the National Museum of Athens it may be missing.

In Kenna's opinion, this seal is Cretan and belongs to the LMIb-II period. Our judgment rests on a consideration of aesthetic and other features of the seal:

Aesthetic Categories
Description of Seal
STYLE:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Line } \\
& \text { quality }
\end{aligned}
$$

| direction of <br> movement | horizontal, vertical <br> and circular |
| :--- | :--- |
| relation of | semi-circle pierced <br> lines |



COMPOSITION:
general semi-circle; some accommodation to

|  | frame |
| :--- | :--- |
| byity pose; man's |  |
|  | glance seems to |
|  | break up unity of |
|  | picture |

balance

> unbalanced individuals produce a dynamic balance of entire scene

All aesthetic features, especially in the relation of lines, the animal's contorted pose, the perspective conventions to achieve depth and general composition, recall NAM 95. The running pose of the man's legs closely resembles theit of the human on BM 76 (LMII in style). Kenna then must be right in recognizing in this seal a Cretan import, but its date cannot be far from that of NAM 95, that is, LMII-IIIa:1.

Other characteristics of this Mycenae seal are:
SHAPE:
The seal is a lentoid, a common LMIb-II shape.

DIMENSIONS: $2.2-2.3 \mathrm{~cm}$; the seal is about the size of NAM 95.

MATERIAL:
The seal is said to be of banded agate,
a popular stone in the flowering and
later periods of Aegean glyptics.
TECHNIQUE: Techniques 1 and 2 are employed. We
again find the advanced technique for achieving depth used on NAM 95, but it is not quite so effective in this case.

Shape, dimensions and technique point to further connections between this seal and NAM 95, and support our assignment of the gem as a Cretan import of LMII-IIIa date.

Another seal from eastern mainland Greece may belong to this LMII-IIIa/Myc.IIb-IIIa period. NAM 79 was found in Chamber Tomb 44 at Mycenaeq the tomb is briefly published by Tsountas:






 As little evidence of date can be culled from the context of the sealstone's discovery, both the chronology and origin of NAM 79 must be decided by analysis of the seal's individual traits. We begin with style and syntax:

| Aesthetic Categories | Description of Seal |
| :---: | :---: |
| STYLE: |  |
| Line |  |
| quality | long stylised curves |
| direction of | along straight lines, |
| movement | mainly horizontal |
| relation of lines | rectilinear |
| Form |  |
|  |  |
| mass | there is an attempt to portray the supple |
|  | contours of the ani- |
|  | mal's body; there is |
|  | little muscular detail however and the |
|  | jcint of the foreleg |
|  | extends too high |
|  | cuttira the body off |
|  | from the neck; hooves |
|  | are detailed; the |
| $\frac{\ln 2 . t y}{\text { bel. } 0 n c e}$ | animal's head is very |
|  | sketchy with a pro* |
|  | truding eye and tip |
|  | of the nose; swel- |
|  | lings of the man's 18 |
|  | body contours indi- |
|  | cate calf and thigh |
|  | muscles and possible |
|  | trapezium and biceps; |
|  | the only internal |
|  | detail is the inci- |
|  | sed line on the man's |
|  | leg indicating the |
|  | shin bone; his head is sketchy |
|  | the animal is in a |
|  | quickened stride; |
|  | the man above, in 1 |
| Fesambles tha Mantold NAN:380 | Group II position, |
|  | has his head thrown |
| tomb at Bendra-stider, which b | back in an unrealis- |
|  | tic fashion;his pose |
|  | is poor |

there is no overlapping and figures seem remote from one another; all legs of the animal are in evidence

COMPOSITION:

| general | very slight accommo- |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | dation to elliptical |
|  | frame by turning |
| back of the man's head |  |
|  | and since the seal is |
|  | wider than long, the |
|  | horizontal body of |
|  | the animal fits; yet |
|  | the overall imprese |
|  | sion is of a recti- |
|  | linear figure on a |
|  | near-circular frame |
| unity | no unity |
| the animal, because |  |
|  | of the position of |
|  | its hind legs appears |
|  | unbalanced, though it |
|  | may have ba- |
|  | lance because of the |
|  | triangular relation |
|  | of forelegs under the |
| horizontal body; the |  |

In style and syntax, NAM 79 seems quite un-
Cretan. In the animal's line and form, thjis seal much resembles the lentoid NAM 188, found in the tholos tomb at Dendra•-Midea, which has a terminus ante quem
of Myc.IIIa:l and a post quem of Myc.II. This particular jadeite lentoid of slightly large dimensions ( 2.2 cm ) was found with two other lentoids inside the LMIb gold octopus cup in pit 1 of the 45
chamber among the king's burial gifts. Long undulating contour lines, the high joint of the foreleg, the treatment of the hooves, the full raised chest and the quickened stride can be observed on the animals of the two gems. Arother seal, bearing some resemblance to NAM 79 in the treatment of the animal is the lentoid HM 179 from Tomb IX at Kalyvia Messara near Phaistos. The LMII school of glyptics responsible for this lentoid is discussed by Kenna:
"Stance, particularly in the fore and hind legs, poise of body, chest well forward, curve of tail, the use of accessories in the field, for style: treatment of feet, legs, horns, eyes...for technique. These may be considered representative of early LMII engraving." 46

The contours and limbs and partly the stance resemble the NAM 79 and NAM 188 animals.

NAM 79 depicts a hybrid animal, combining
features of non-bovine horned animals and bulls. Such a composite creature may be explained by the trend in

## LMII to reassemble fragmented objects to create new forms.

Thus, according to aesthetic criteria, NAM 79 seems to be a Helladic contemporary of seals produced at the time when collapse was imminent at Knossos, LHIIb or LMII.

Other traits of this seal are:
SHAPE: Sakellariou describes the shape as "amygdaloid, fast elliptisch" ${ }^{47}$. This is true, but the impression one gets is of a slightly lengthened circle.

DINENSIONS: $1.8 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~cm}$; the size is odd, being-between the dimensions of a lentoid and an amygdaloid or elliptical seal surface.

MATERIAL: The seal is made of cornelian, a popular semi-precious stone used in periods of Aegean artistic flowering.

TECHNIQUE: Technique 1 is used on bodies but Technique 2 is used on the heads. Engreving shows very sharp incisions and ridgy

## lines at edges.

Of these additional features, only the technique points to Helladic manufacture, supporting the results of aesihetic investigation. We then designate NAM 79 as a Mycenaean product of the LHIIb period.

## MMNY 26.31 .294 has been designated by Kenna

 48as a. Mycenaean work of the LHIIb period. The context of the seal's discovery is unknown. We must rely then solely upon analysis of the seal's traits in order to judge Kenna's claims. The aesthetic features of the New Iork seal are:

man body except for trapezium swelling, slight arm and leg swellings and incised lines on calves to indicate muscles; arm seems disconnected from rest of body and head dislocated; little facial detail; drill marks; animal's body has curving contours and ridges where legs join body; forelegs seem to cut off neck from body; animal's face is detailed but with evidence of drill marks as on its leg joints

|  | joints |
| :--- | :--- |
| striding animal with |  |
|  | fallen prostrate man |
|  | below ; poor |
| all legs of animal |  |
|  | appear; one arm of |
|  | man is drawn; figures |
|  | are unrelated and do |
|  | not overlap |

COMPOSITION:

| general | rectilinear on len- |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | toid shape but slight |
|  | accommodation to |
|  | frame in sloping head |
|  | of animal and slight- |
|  | ly curved legs and |
|  | head of man |
|  | no unity |

balance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { as legs of animal } \\
& \text { are of varying } \\
& \text { lengths, the animal } \\
& \text { seems unoilanced but } \\
& \text { the triangle formed } \\
& \text { by its hind and fore- } \\
& \text { legs may proviae some } \\
& \text { degree of balance; } \\
& \text { man unbalanced; over- } \\
& \text { all impression is of } \\
& \text { lack of balance }
\end{aligned}
$$

In support of Kenna's dating is the close relationship between this seal and NAM 79 in style and syntax. Similarities between the two gems are observed in the treatment of line, with some slight circularity, and of form. The human body surface is treated on both seals with the same amount of detail and there is an odd head position and disjointed parts of the body. The sweeping contour, enclosing a great volum and the foreleg joint segmenting the neck from the rest of the body of the hybrid bull-boar, are also seen on the NAM 79 animal. The pose, perspective conventions and composition generally of the New York seal are paralleled on NAM 79. We then, in agreement with Kenna, consider MMNY 26.31.294 to be a Helladic work of LHIIb, on the grounds of style and syntax.

[^1]| SHAPE: | This seal is lenticular in shape. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | The lentoid seal gained in popularity |
| DIMENSIONS: | $1.97-2.07 \mathrm{~cm}$; the seal is average size. |
| MATERIAL: | The stone is green jasper, a semi-pre- |
|  | cious gem used in the flowering periods |
| TECHNIQUE: | Technique 2 is evident on the animal's head |
|  | and limbs and Technique 1 is used for its |
|  | body. |

The technique then, in addition to the style and syntax of the New York seal, shows its relation to NAM 79 and hence supports Kenna's assignment of the gem to the Mycenaean IIb period.

A seal from the eastern Peloponnese of a possible Myc.IIIa ante quem date, is NAM 199 from Mycenaean

Necropolis I, Chamber Tomb I at Asine in the Argolid. The chamber tomb was approached by two dromoi built in different ages. The northern, short broad and vaulted, was used until LHIII and the second southern dromos, long narrow with straight sides, was used later (LHIIIc) and into Geometric times. The northern dromos is 8 m . long running $N N E-S S W$ with a width at entry of L. 7 m . with sides slightly concave. The doorway tapers to the top. The lintel was destroyed. The chamber is irregularly rounded and into the NW wall are cut two niches. The grave contained 6-7 skeletons. The tomb was probably constructed in LHII and approached by the northern dromos. This passage was filled in after burial and the tomb forgotten. A Mycenaean cist grave near the surface, the southern dromos and the niches to house the bones of older burials, all belong to a later period of re-use of the tomb. According to Furumark, the two burial periods of this tomb are marked by Myc.IIb-IIIa:2 ware 50 and by LHIIIc:l-Geometric pottery.

51
As Mr. Robin Hägg informs me, the exact find-spot in the tomb of NAM 199 is unrecorded in both
preliminary reports and field notebooks of the Asine excavations. Biesantz attributes the seal to the 52 first burial period, LHIIb-IIIa:2. In hopes of learning more about the date and origin of this seal we shall examine its aesthetic and other features.
Aesthetic Categories Description of Seal

| STYLE: <br> Line <br> quality <br> direction of <br> movement | long stylised curves |
| :--- | :--- |
| relation of lines | along straight lines: |
| diagonal, vertical |  |


| perspective | there is no over- <br> lapping and figures <br> do not appear to be <br> closely interrelated |
| :--- | :--- |
| COMPOSITION:  <br> general  <br>   <br>   <br>  rectilinear with some <br> curves on rectangular  |  |
| frame; accommodation |  |
| frity | to seal shape |

The general treatment of line and form seems to be un-Minoan. There are particular features which are like Cretan (or Minoan-Mycenaean) works, however: the man's head greatly resembles those of the men on NAM 224 from Vapheio. The animal's body treatment is paralleled on another Asine flattened cylinder, NAM 198. The heraldic pose of this cylinder places it probably at the earliest, LHIb-IIIa(to correspond with the sealings of the destruction level at Knossos). In both Asine seals there are traces of the Minoan torsional school of LMII and of the fragmentation and reassembling of figures in the sense that the animals'
identification is difficult (see pp.901 and 78 ).
Cretan seals which resemble NAM 199 are the Nirabello man-agrimi combat lentoid, AM 320, the Hagia Pelagia combat AM 285, and BM 76 wh: un depicts a man spearing an ibex (?). The Ashmolean seals are of banded agate and exhibit similar treatment of limbs of animals as NAM 199. BM 76, a LMII seal as are the others, shows a similar linearity, emphasis on joints and a partially contorted animal pose to accommodate the frame of the picture. This Cretan torsion to fit the circular frame seems to be misunderstood by the Mycenaean artist, who extended its use to rectangular frames. In Cretan seals we have obyious combats and close interrelations of figures, both of which are supressed on our Asine gem. Here, there is a more decorative juxtaposition of figures. One outher Cretan cylinder from Tomb VII at Kalyvia Messara, HM 169, bears some similarity to NAM 199. The stone is described by Kenna:
"This stone was perhaps engraved at two different periods. For one thing, a flattened cylinder is rarely engraved on both sides... The man with the bull (b) does not appear to belong to the same assured technique as that of the Minoan Genius (a) nor indeed for that matter does the captive doe(a). Moreover, what engraver of LMI would spoil the fine engraving of the Tau-ert by allowing captive animal's feet run into the genius' front paw?" 53

This Messara gem (side b) can be dated as late as LMIIIa. The line, form, mass (especially limbs) on side $b$ and the style and composition of the doe (side a) recall our seal.

Thus, on aesthetic grounds, the treatment of our seal seems to be Helladic. The date of its engraving appears to be LHIIb-IIIa.

Other characteristics of NAM 199 are:
SHAPE:
This is a flattened cylinder. There is one example of this shape among the palace sealings from the destruction level at Knossos. The shape, however, was more common in earlier periods.

DIMENSIONS: This cylinder is $1.4 \times 1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. , smaller
than the flattened cylinder NAM 9
from the Mycenae Shaft Graves.
MATERIAL: The sealstone is agate, a common stone in Aegean glyptics.

TECHNIQUE: Technique 2 is common in treatment of
both figures. This may be translated into
Biesantz' terminology as exhibiting Ge-
lenkknorpel (obvious drill marks) and

> separation of parts of the body: Innenzeichnung (sharp incisions), thereby creating a stiffer appearance. Sakellariou would term this "Style $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$, which she considers to be characteristic of Mycenaean engraving. 54

Of the above features, only the technique points to obvious Mycenaean origin. This, coupled with the aesthetic and contextual evidence,allows us to designate NAM 199 as a Mycenaean work of Myc. IIlb-IIIa, the period of palace destruction in Crete. Although figures do not attain the naturalism of anals done under Cretan inspiration in previous periods, they have achieved a more coordinated and pleasant, if decorative, art than exhibited on poor examples from Vapheio: NAM 227 and 228, and from Mycenae: NAM 133 and NAM 112.

A seal in the Rhodes Museum but unavailable for 55
examination and published only in a drawing is
Ialysos T.XXI no.5~. ${ }^{56}$ According to Furumark, Tomb XXI
in the Ialysos cemetery is securely dated by its 57 pottery to LHIIIc:l. The excavator, Maiuri, states:
"Tutto il materiale in ceramica di questa tomba si presenta con le stesse uniformi caratteristichi: decorazione a colori opachi con motivi prevalentemente di tipo iineare e preludenti nettamente alla decorazione geometrica: mancano in oltre completamente vasi di medie e grandi dimensioni. Ad eccezione della gemma lenticolare d'importazione indubbiamente cretese, tutto il resto della deposizione puo riferirsi58 agli ultimi periodi del miceneo o sub-miceneo rodiese." Unfortunately, our assessment of Maiuri's claim that the seal is a Cretan import to Rhodes must be made on the basis of a sketch . We can notice the following aesthetic features from this illustration: Aesthetic Categories Description of Seal STYLE:

| Line <br> quality <br> direction of <br> movement | stylised curves |
| :--- | :--- |
| along straight lines: |  |
| relation of linea | vertical, diagonal; <br> circular |
|  | circle with straight |
| side |  |



This seal recalls NAM 199 in many aspects: in the relation of straight and curved lines, in the slight muscular detail of figures, in the pose of animals (better executed on the Ialysos gem though) and in the composition, though in one case adopted to a rectangular frame and in the other to a circular frame. This seal also bears close relation to a Cretan gem. The human figure is a near copy of the man on BM 76, with his stereotyped running pose and tassel hanging between his legs. (His hand position, though, is more like that of the lion fighters on B 7.) The animal on BM 76 , however, is twisted in on itself convincing us of its agony while the Ialysos animal, as NAM 199, just appears to be curved for decorative effect in a Mycenaean version of the torsion scheme. The date of the Ialysos gem must not be far from that of BM 76
(LMII-IIIa) or NAM 199 (LHIIb-IIIa) and appears more closely related to Mycenaean than Cretan glyptic tradition.

Other features of the Ialysos seal are:
SHAPE: This seal is a lentoid, a popular shape from the LHII period on.
DIMENSIONS: $2.2 \mathrm{~cm} \cdot$; the slightly large dimensions of this lentoid may indicate a date contemporary with che Knossos destruction level sealings.

MATERIAL: The seal, according to Maiuri, is of variegated white and black sardonyx. This stcne was used in the flowering periods of Aegean glyptics.

TECHNIQUE: This is impossible to determine from the sketch.

The dimensions of the seal may suppor't the conclusion besed on aesthetic considerations that the Ialysos seal belongs to the LHIIb-IIIa period. The context of the seal's discovery, a Mycenaean cemetery, in addition to the fact that the Minoan settlement in rhodes at Trianda was taken over by Mycenaeans in

Myc.IIIa, may support our conclusion on grounds of style and syntax, that this gem is Helladic and not Cretan as Maiuri says.

The situation in western Greece can be seen in two seals from tholoi in the Pylos vicinity.

NAM 290 was found in Grave $\Delta$ at Pylos in the 1953 excavations of Lord William Taylour. This small tholos, 80 m . from the palace at Ano Englianos, has a dromos facing SW to NE with (originally) vertical sides that sloped downward to the chamber. The dromos was 10 m . long and 1 m . wide at the outer end, broadening a oit toward the doorway. The door was framed with jambs of limestone blocks. The chamber was 31 ft . in diameter. Lord William Taylour dates the construction of this tomb to the late l6th century and thus to Wace's first group of tholos tombs.

NAM 290 was found near the door of the chamber. However Lord William Taylour informs me that:
"...that particular tomb was so thoroughly rifled that the position in which the sealstone was found tells us nothing. There were over 20 burials in that tomb but for the most part only fragments of
the skulls survived and these were found at different levels in the soil. Not a single object or burial was in its original position in that tomb." 61

The context of discovery then gives us only a post quem date of 16 th $c$. According to the excavators:
"...the tomb was built for one of the kings who, from his seat on the throne in the megaron of the palace that stood on the neighboring hill, reigned over southwestern Messenia." 62

We again invoke aesthetic criteria in hopes of dating this seal more closely and in determining its origin:

Aesthetic Categories Description of Seal STYIE:
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\begin{array}{l}\text { Line } \\ \text { quality }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { stylised curves, } \\ \text { strection of } \\ \text { straight lines }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { movement } & \text { along straight lines: } \\ \text { diagonal, vertical }\end{array}\right]$


The individual aesthetic categories are all
given Helladic treatment, with the stiffness and rectilinear relation of lines, the treatment of form and general composition. The man's pose is descended from the figures on NAM 9 and M SL681 and the animal's
attitude recalls the Munich and Vapheio NAM 228 seals. The treatment of the animal on NAM 290 differs from these in the same way that the animal on NAM 199 differed from Helladic seals from Vapheio. The attempt to achieve Cretan naturalism seems to be replaced by a sense of decoration, which achieves vigorous movement through strong lines. The muscles have become tubelike. The man's pose is very exaggerated. As on NAM 199 there is recognition of the need, prevalent in LMII, to accommodate the figures to the frame by exaggeration or torsion of figures, and this is carried out partially (as on NAM 199) here in the $\operatorname{man}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ stance. Because of the stylistic and syntactical relationship of NAM 290 to NAM 228 and M SL681 on the one hand and to NAM 199 on the other, its date must fall between Myc.IIa and Myc.IIIa.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SHAPE: } \begin{array}{l}
\text { Other features of this Pylos seal are: } \\
\text { This seal is a lengthened amygdaloid } \\
\text { with a striated back. Such seals are } \\
\text { found in the Vapheio deposit dated LMIb/ } \\
\\
\\
\text { Myc.IIa. }
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
$$

| DIMENSIONS: | $2.0 \times 3.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ : this lengthened amygda- |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | loid is as long as the longest Vapheio |
|  | anygdaloid (NAM 238) but wider. Kenna |
|  | considers the amygdaloids of these |
|  | dimensions to represent a late phase |
|  | of LMI (LMIb/Myc.IIa). |
|  | NAM 290 is cut from amethyst, which was |
| TECHNIQUE: | Technique 2 is employed for the seal. |
|  | periods of Aegean glyptics. |
|  | This accentuation on the instruments of |
|  | engraving rather than the final product |
|  | itself, shows poor workmanship. This |
|  | poor technique has elsewhere been called |
|  | the Erstarrung style by Biesantz and |
|  | Style B by Sakellariou. |

The technique certainly points to Helladic fabrication. The shape and dimensions suggest a date not much later than the Vapheio seals (LMIb/Myc.IIa) and the technique recalls NAM 199 (IIb-IIIa). We then conclude, according to all the features of this sealstone, that it is a Helladic work of a period between Myc.IIa and Myc.IIIa, perhaps Myc.IIb-IIIa.
"The dromos has not been preserved... (It was probably on the south side)... It is estimated that the tomb contained 23 burials dating from the sixteenth and fifteenth centuries. The last burial was laid out at full length in the centre of the tomb. The remains of previous obsequies were pushed aside to make place for it and then stuffed into pits; except for four burials of an earlier period which had been put into large pithoi or storage jars." 65

Lord William Taylour has informed me that:
"The sealstone was not found in relation to any burial. It was found at roughly the same level as some complete pots that on stylistic grounds ranged from LHII to early LHIIIa. Nothing in the tomb appears to have been later than LHIIIa،" 66

In order to determine to which period in the span between LHII to IIIa our seal can be dated and to settle the question of its origin, we shall first attempt aesthetic analysis:
Aesthetic Categories Description of Seal

STYLE:
Line
quality stylised curves, some straight lines, sharp

| direction of movement | circular; along a straight line:diagonal |
| :---: | :---: |
| relation of <br> lines | $3 / 4$ circle with one straight edge |
| Form |  |
| mass | few muscles on bodies |
|  | of figures yet na- |
|  | turalistic not de- |
|  | corative treatment; |
|  | prominent median line |
|  | on man's torso and |
|  | ribs(?); sharp lines |
|  | to indicate his knee |
|  | joint; some swelling |
|  | but no internal incision to indicate |
|  | muscles of limbs; |
|  | good treatment of |
|  | animal's limbs, |
|  | though with added glubules; its body |
|  | has a curving con- |
|  | tour and the only |
|  | internal details are |
|  | its hairs and joints |
|  | (not very prominent); |
|  | man's face is more |
|  | sketchily treated |
|  | both employ the drill; |
|  | face of dog attempts |
|  | realism but seems |
|  | more like a lion's |
|  | face |
| pose | torsion position of |
|  | animal to fit the - |
|  | frame--the boar is |
|  | turned but not con- |
|  | torted,i.e. forming |
|  | a decoration rather |
|  | than trying to con- |
|  | vince us of the real |

```
ity of its pain, a
justification for
a convulsive atti-
tude (here the head
and legs are not
turned in on the body);
man is kneeling(in
Corpus) but if the seal
is turned (in Muu.E\varphi)
he is in a variation
of the runner's pose;
the former seems more
likely because of the
dog and the man's hand
position; his arms are
like those of other
spearmen except that
one arm is bent above
the weapon
                                    lapping and close re-
                                    lation of figures; the
                                    weapon is cut into the
                                    man and the man into
                                    the dog, to gain sculp-
                                    ted depth in the im-
                                    pression showing the
                                    dog as the farthest
                                    behind and the spear
                                    as most prominent
                                    (see note 39)
```

    perspective there is much over-
    COMPOSIT TON:
general
complete accommodation of circle to lentoid shape

| unity | by glance and pose |
| :--- | :--- |
| indivince | induals are un- |
|  | balanced, but create |
|  | adynamically ba- |
|  | lanced scene |

The treatment of line, the attempt for realism
in body treatment (especially the animal) and the pose and perspective, along with the syntactical traits point to Cretan origin for this seal. The only Helladic features appear to be the termination of the circle with a diagonal, the sharp angle made by the boar's body, and most important the 'mistreatment' of the torsional pose. Here it is purely decorative (there is no justification for the animal's turning without convulsions expressed in the position of head and limbs); in Crete the animal is contorted with head turned round to express excruciating pain (AM 285, AM 314, AM 315). Such a convulsive attitude is described by Kenna in his discussion of AM 315:
"The style of the motif is an example of the distortion of a natural form which not only fits the circular field of the gem, but is peculiarly appropriate to the lentoid shape. The head and neck are incurved and turned towards the centre of the design, and the shoulders have been formally adjusted to suit this arrangement. Since the head is in traditional profile, but in a completely new position, a striking effect is produced. This arrangement appears deliberate..." 67

Such an effect is not produced on NAM 294.

The man on our seal resembles those human figures on NAM 280 (Myc.IIa) in the prominent median line of the torso. Like NAM 199 (IIb-IIIa), there is
a sharp division of parts of his leg (Innenzeichnung according to Biesantz). There is a slight resemblance of the boar's limbs to the bull on AM 311 (LMII-III). The double line on the hind leg (Konturrippen?) is considered by Kenna to be a Cretan feature.

Thus we see in the style and syntax of NAM 294 a combination of Cretan and Mycenaean features as we did on NAM 280. The treatment of aesthetic aspects, especially the attitude of the boar, points to a period contemporary with the Knossos palace sealings, Myc.IIb-IIIa. This date is in agreement with the evidence from the context of the seal's discovery.

> Other features of this seal are:

SHAPE: The seal is lenticular, the prevalent shape in the LMII-IIIa sealings from Knossos.

DINENSIONS: $2.3-2.45 \mathrm{~cm}$; the lentoid shows a

- Theslightly increased size, characteristic
of the Knossos sealings (LMII-IIIa).

MATERIAL: This seal is of conglomerate.

TECHNIQUE: Technique 1 is used on the bodies of figures, and Technique 2 on the heads (with prominent use of the drill). All of Biesantz' criteria for Erstarrung are evident: Konturrippen, Innenzeichnung, and Gelenkknorpel. There is only a slight trace of the recessed cutting of weapon, man and dog, which is more evident on NAM 95. These features also point to a mixture of Cretan and Mycenaean art. We must, therefore, attribute this seal to the mixed tradition which produced NAM 224 in eastern Crete and NAM 280 in the west. The seal is best dated to the period of the Cretan torsion school (LMII-IIIa). The treatment of mass falls between NAM 280 (Myc.IIa) and NAM 199(IIIb-IIIa). We then designate this sealstone as a work of the Myc.IIb-IIIa period.

Of unknown provenance is a lentoid in Paris BN M6673. The only information supplied by the museum register of the Cabinet des Médailles is that the gem was purchased from a M. Hanbar in 1907. We place
this gem at this point in our survey to investigate its relationship with both the earlier (LHI-IIa) and later (LHIIb-IIIa) groups of Mycenaean seals already discussed.

The seal has the following aesthetic features:

Aesthetic Categori:s
Hescription of Seal
STYLE:
Line
dual
move
rela
line
Form
stylised curves, straight lines
along straight lines:
vertical, diagonal;
some curved: lion's
head and feet
rectilinear with
partially curved sides
Form
human torso is oddly detailed with rib marks extending from either side of the curved torso: there is trapezium and bicep swelling; his legs are also muscular, swollen at the great trochanter, thighs and calf with some incision as well for calf muscles, shin and ankle bones; little detail of human face except for a possible beard which is more obvious in the impression of the seal;
there is no hair
appearing from under
the tiered plumed helmet; lion's body has depth and good contour lines; mane and face are quite detailed with a big eye and odd lines radiating from it to the facial outline; his limbs show incision lines and slight globules at joints; generally well done but nearly decorative in amount of surface detail

| pose | Man is in a partial |
| :---: | :--- |
|  | Harmodean pose with |
| legs while in his |  |
|  | lowered arm he points |
|  | spear at animal's body; |
|  | animal in $C$ pose, tur- |
|  | ned but not contorted |
|  | in Crecan manner |
| perspective | no overlapping but |
|  | some relation of |
|  | figures (lance) |

COMPOSITION:

| general | rectilinear with cir- <br> cular edges on a <br>  <br> lentoid frame; some |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | accomodation to |
| shape of frame |  |

It is evident from the treatment of both
style and composition, especially in the partial lack of balance and possibly the lion's pose, that this piece is Helladic. The combination of straight and curved lines to accommodate a lentoid frame is evident on LHIIa works (NAM 280). The human and animal forms appear to be slightly decorative stylizations of those evident on NAM 9 and M SL681. The man is in partial Harmodean pusture, the complete version of which can be seen from LHI (NAM 9) to LHIIb-IIIa (NAM 290). The lion's C pose appears to be an adaptation of the upright lions of NAM 9, M SL 681 and NAM 290 to the artistic trends of the day, i.e. to a Helladic version of the torsion scheme (LMII). Aesthetic considerations then lead us to place this seal chronologically between the naturalistic treatment of body surface and pose in LHI-IIa and the more decorative treatment and torsion attitudes of LHIIb-IIIa.

Other features of the Paris seal are:
SHAPE: The seal is a lentoid.
DIMENSIONS: $1.5 \times 1.7 \mathrm{~cm}$; this is an average size lentoid. MATERIAL: The seal is cut of a popular Aegean stone,

## red jasper.

TECHNIQUE: There is deep engraving, especially of the lion's body. Technique 1 is generally employed.

As these features tell us little of the date and origin of the seal, our designation rests solely on aesthetic grounds. We assign the Paris gem as a Helladic work of possibly Myc.IIa-IIb.

The closest relative to BN M6673 in the treatment of subject is HM 1756, a lentoid seal from the Little Palace at Knossos. Although the lion's position is similar on both gems differences are evident in the treatment of the human being and the relation of the lion's head to body, as well as in line and general composition, which betray a Cretan rather than Mycenaean hand or at least a mixed Aegean 68 style.

The following seals were probably produced after the fall of the Oretan palaces, in the period of Mycenaean prosperity, in late LHIIIa and LHIIIb. Of Cretan developments in glyptics at this time, Kenna states:

```
"There are signs of great dispersal of Cretan seal styles, and then a revival of earlier fashions in Crete itself. Traces of Cretan gem technique and style can be seen among the contemporary scarabs and scaraboids in Syria. The seals of Cyprus were also affected and there are signs that the coast of Palestine received some of the Cretan craftsmen and their patrons towards the end of the second millenium B.C.....In Crete itself many of the motifs of the LMII period are used, even those associated with the earlier talismanic gems. The tecnnique is excellent, but the material, apparently from local sources, is poor; and the style itself is of the traditional kind. "70 (see Episcopi Pediadha and Knossos lapidaries workshop).
```


## Various experiments are attempted:

"....in which the body of the animal and its legs are fantastically curved and elongated...These are original expressions of a movement which was determined to treat even natural forms as the proper material for design and to adapt them accordingly... There is a decline in variety of motif's and details."71 According to Kenna, taurokathapsia scenes disappear.

Other characteristic features of Cretan seals in this
post-destruction period are the technique of using the tubular drill and the nearly exclusive use of the
lentoid shape:
"In LMIII there are no glandular shaped stones or amygdaloids; nor, as far as we know, the making of gold signets with ring handles... (excluding two at Kalyvia Messara)... This is in great contrast with their frequency on the Mainland at the same time; the flattened cylinder appears but sporadically..."

Seals are not so accurately proportioned and have high72
ly carinated backs. Of the material used, Kenna
states that poor local stones were used. He describes
the Knossos lapidaries workshop:
"In this small room were found impressions in clay $C$ seel types normally used in Cretan practice and some pieces of stone in process of manufacture as lercuids. They were grey-green steatite. Except for an oblong piece of jasper there were no other materials of the semi-precious kind...except for the occasional use of glass, steatite seems to be the usual material for the seals of the last period." 73

In a recent lecture, Kenna speaks of LMIIIa tombs with a high proportion of cornelian and pale grey agates, and the increasing use of marbles, serpentines, and 74 steatites in LMIIIb.

In the later Mycenaean age (late LMIIIa-b), there was little direct influence of Crete on Mycenaean art and we may expect that the glyptic trends in Greece at this time deviated from the paths followed in Crete. It is probable that all glyptic products found in Greece at this time are not Minoan. An ex rination of tha sealstones and sealings found in later Mycenaean context will show whether Melladic glyptics continued to follow old Cretan ideas or whether it gained a new creative impulse with the collapse of the Minoan world.

From the east Peloponnese come two sealings
from Mycenae, dated securely by context to the early Myc.IIIb period: NAM 163 and NAM 165. These may be among the first works of glyptic art found in domestic context so far in Mycenaean Greece.

NAM 165 was discovered in the House of Shields, outside the acropolis wall. According to Wace:
"The House of Shields proper consisted of three main rooms, an East room....a West room....and a North room... The East and West rooms lie side by side on an artificial terrace supported on the east and south by a heavy cyclopean wall." 75

Of the finding of this particular sealing, Wace and Bennett say:
"In the House of Shields in 195,4 we found a triangular lump of clay...it is pinched together with the fingers, the prints of which call still be seen, into a kind of peak...The base was smoothed and on it was impressed a signet. The design represents a man in combat with a lion and seems to have been carved on stone. On the sides of the lump of clay are lines which suggest a branch or foliage. The hole of the string round which the clay was pinched is still cleažy visible." 7ó

Mrs. E. Wace-French, who has made a definitivs study of the pottery of the Mycenae houses, dates the complex:

> "The House of Shields is the earliest of the group of important buildings...all of which can be dated to early LHIIIb. The construction date... is determined by the pottery in the fill of the terraces beneath them...it seems probable that all the houses in the Clytaimnestra group (House of Shields, West

House, House of Oil Merchant, House of Sphinxes) were destroyed simultaneously by the same fire... (the evidence of the tablets supports this conclusion)... The great destruction can be dated to the middle of LHIIIb." 77

Wace reports on the excavation of the House
of Sphinxes, where NAM 163 was found:
"The basement...consists of a long corridor running north and south. From this separata rooms branch off to east and west...in the North-East Room (Room 1)...the walls were plastered, and apparently also the floor...The room was full of broken vases. In adaition to the large jars of plain coarse clay found in 1952 in the centre of the room, there was a great mass of coarse domestic and kitchen pottery along the north wall mixed with many painted vases of LHIIIb style. A mug and some examples of piriform jars...Along the south sida were innumerable fragments of undecorated drinking cuovs, kylikes, and shallow bowls. As stated, there was much wood ash... In the doorway from the corridor we found seven clay seal impressions. These are of the usual shape, triangular in section, and were pinched with the fingers around strings. The impressions are all from the same signet, which shows a man standing between two wild goats. On the back of each impression there are inscriptions in the Linear B Mycenaean script. In one case the inscription was too long for the back, and the final sign is incised on the front over the seal impresion. Perhaps these impressions had been used to seal strings or cords fastening the door of the room, and perhaps too, the inscriptions are the signatures or names of seven witnesses to the sealing up of this storexoom。" 78

Aside from one example from the Citadel House 79
(contemporary with the Pylos sealings), these sealings represent the final stage of glyptics at Mycenae. They
are certainly Helladic. There is question however, whether the originals from which these sealings were impressed were made in the same period in which thejr impressions were found. We begin with an analysis of style and syntax to determine the date of these original gems:
Aesthetic Categories Description of Sealings

STYLE:
Line
stylised curves, some straight lines

| direction of | along straight lines, |
| :--- | :--- |
| movement | vertical, diagonal; some | circular movement

(animals' bodies on both sealings)

| relation of | both sealings) <br> rectilinear, though <br> with some curving <br> sides (especially 165) |
| :--- | :--- |


| Form | very conventionalized; |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | lack of inner detail of |
|  | torso and legs of men, |
|  | except for dearcation |
|  | of ankle and calf(l65); |
|  | swellings to indicate |
|  | arm and leg muscles of |
|  | men; human faces are |
|  | hardly dram; animal |
|  | bodies also exhibit |
|  | little inner detail |
|  | (lion's mane on l65) |
| and are thin, exagera- |  |
|  | ted in form;their |
| faces are also sketchy |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { (we must remember the } \\ \text { poor quality of the im- } \\ \text { pressions, however) }\end{array}\right\}$

Our sealings do not exhibit direct connection with the
trend of activities in Crete in the LMIII age. Rather they follow the traditions of LMII-IIIa/Myc. IIb-IIIa, with its heraldic and contorted compositions. The lines remain as in that period and treatment of form recalls the description of Myc.IIb-IIIa seals, with little internal detail and summary treatment of heads. The poses are conventional (as in all Helladic glyptics, but here possibly to a greater extent), employing the runner's pose for a man in action, first seen on NAM 112 and later to be popular at Pylos, and the $C$ animal pose, a torsion of the animal which also becomes standard at Pylos. The position of the man in NAM 163 bears likeness to NAM 95(LMII). The liveliness in these Mycenaean sealing scenes is derived (as in NAM 290, NAM 199) from an exaggeration of the poses of figures, the use of straight lines rather than sweeping curves, and of conventions which evoke action. Thus, the Mycenaeans achieve movement, but by different means 0

SHAPE: Both sealings are from lent, =ids, it
Other features of these sealinge are; appears. (NAM 165 seems elongated however). DIMENSIONS: 163: $2.4 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~cm} . ; 165: 2.3 \times 4.0 \mathrm{~cm}$.

Both are very large, possibly attesting to their late date.

MATERIALS: The excavators suggest that these sealings were made from stone seals.

TECHNIQUE: Technique 1 is used on bodies, but Technique 2 is evident in faces. There is less evidence of the tools of engraving (or according to the terminology of Biesantz, Konturrippen, Gelenkknorpel and Innenzeichnung), as the Mycenaean technique improves.
$\because$ see then a slight development in technique and the expression of action scenes by various conventions. Otherwise, all features of these sealings are closely related with the art to Myc.IIb-IIIa. It is possible then that the original seals were made in an earlier period than the context of the discovery of the Mycenae sealings suggests(IIIa-b).

## Thebes 211 and Thebes 175 were discovered in

 the destruction level of a part of the 'Palace of Kadmos' at Thebes in the excavations of 1963-1964.As Catling points out, the assignment of the des80 truction layer to Myc.IIIa:l by Furumark, needs reconsideration on the basis of these recent excavations:

> "The 1963/4 excavations in Thebes...have shown... that there were certainly two and probably three major destructions in Thebes during the Mycenaean period. This much seems clear from the following correlations. There was an architectural complex of fourteenth century date on the Pelopidas Street site, above which had been constructed a building violently destroyed c.l300 B.C. On the Antigone/Pindar Street site, a building destroyed c.l300 B.C. (presumably in the same misadventure as the upper building on the Pelopidas Street site) had another built over it that was burnt some thirty years later."..."The earlier building was on the same alignment as the complex excavated by Keramopoullos, further to the north; the orientation of the later building was different." 81

The seals were found in this later building amon:
other treasures, described by the excavators:
"The objects were scattered in two adjoining groups, one of the cylinder seals of lapis lazuli and agate, other seals and jewellery; the other of golden and gilass paste ornaments, mainly necklave beads. With these objects were found several pots...inost of them decorated with patterns and method of decoravion typical of the LHIIIb period. Under the burnt layer in which these objects were found appearad a layer of fragments of painted plaster with decorative and representational motifs." 82

As none of the cylinders found is later than 1300 and none of the pottery is post-1270, the excavators conclude that the destruction must have been in early

## 83

Myc.IIIb.

As the cylinder seals found in conjunction with the two Mycenaean gems 211 and 175 span a long period of time, it is possible that context may not provide a secure date for these seals. We must turn to aesthetic analysis. We shall here consider the human-bull scene on Thebes 211:



The stylistic characteristics of this seal,
in line, the treatment of mass and the slight reference to torsional pose recall the Mycenae sealings. The gallop of the bull is familiar but the man's attitude has no equal. The syntax, with less accommodation to the frame and lack of unity and balance, shows that the torsional poses have not been used as effectively at Thebes as in the Argolid (especially NAM 165). The turning of figures does not suit them to the frame but pushes them out of it. As the Mycenae sealings, the Theban cylinder exhibits a vigor,

```
deriving not from sweeping curves and generally
effective style and composition, but rather from
the strength of its straight lines and its uncon-
trolled (wild) appearance.
```

Other characteristics of the Theban seal are:
SHAPE: This is a flattened cylinder, an early
shape most popular in MMIII-LMI/LHI.
One example is found in LMIII Crete at
Kalyvia Messara near Phaistos, depicting
a man and bull (HM 169). It is thought
to be an early piece, however, engraved
in two periods. The latest Mycenaean
cylinder before(?) this seal is NAM 199
(IIIb-III a) .
DIMENSIONS: Thebes 211 is 2.7 cm . long, rather smaller
than other flattened cylinders.
MATERIAL: The cylinder is made of onyx (agate) of
brown and white. This stone was very
popular in later Crete and prevalent
in the lapidaries workshop at Thebes.
TECHNIQUE: The man's and bull's bodies are executed
in Technique 1 , though there is use of
Technique 2 in the animal's head andlimbs. The beast exhibits Biesantz'Konturrippen and to a lesser extentGelenkknorpel. There is no Innenzeich-nung. (In other words, there is sharpincision of contours but smooth mo-delling of the animal's body). Thetechnique recalls the Mycenae sealings.
The technique, as some stylistic and syntactical featuresof the Theban cylinder, is paralleled on the Mycenaesealings. In addition, the appearance of the man-bull theme in LMII Crete (AM 248, AM 249) and LHIIbGreece (NAM 79, MMNY 26.31 .294 ) may suggest thatthis seal belongs to a period slightly earlier thanthe destruction level of the Antigone/Pindar Streetscomplex: to LHIIIa(or IIIa-b).
Another eastern mainland seal, $\frac{\text { NAM } 408}{84}$ wasfound in the tholos at Dimini, Thessaly but is not 85 mentioned explicitly in the excavation reports. The structure of this tomb is related to the Myc.IIIb tholos at Menidi in Attica. Among the objects recorded by the excavators are the vases which they describe:
according to their decoration:
"...eine liegende Purpurschnecke, einmal das Schuppenornament, einmal das 'Muschelnetz'...ein senkrechter, von wagerechten Wellenlinien belebter und mit mehreren Linien und einer Reihe rundlicher Zacken eingefasster Streifen... Im dromos nicht wie Menidi Vasenscherben jüngerer Stilarten gefunden haben." 86

The vases described by the excavators in their re87 ferences to examples from Mykenische Vasen , belong to categories defined by Furumark as:

Form 266: LHIIIb
150: LHIIIa:l(?) 8: LHIIIa:2

Motif 62,19: LHIIIb
23 : LHIIIa:2IIIb
70 : LHIIIa-b

The pottery ranges the Myc.IIIa to IIIb period.

Among other small objects found in the Dimini Tholos was a gold ring, NAM 407, depicting in a very sketchy manner, hardly discernable, two animals. The ring bezel is very elongated ( $0.8 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$.), in one piece with the ring, and unlike earlier Mycenaean gold rings its handle is without any decoration, as in Perati (LHIIIc).

Our analysis of NAM 408 begins with style and composition:



Many parallels with the style and syntax of the Theban seal are suggested by the above analysis. Lines are similarly treated on both seals. The mass of the human body is neglected in favor of the animal's figure in both cases, and we see drill marks and sharp contour lines. Both seals misuse torsion. The animal's pose goes back to AM 247 (LMIb) but is more closely related to a Cretan seal AM 248(LMII-IIIa). In fact, the Dimini seal is a near copy of AM 248 in every aspect of style and syntax and is also closely related to other Cretan seals of this period: AM 249 and BM 78, especially in treatment of form; human and animal mass and human pose and composition. As at Thebes, there
is an attempt to escape the frame and lack of unity and balance. On aesthetic grounds, 408 must be contemporary with the Theban seal and not much later than the Cretan examples.

| SHAPE: | NAM 408 is a lentoid seal, the most comm shape in later Crete. |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIMENSIONS: | 1.8 cm. ; the seal is not as large as many late lentoids. |
| MATERIAL: | The stone is described by Sakellariou as marble. 90 However the white bands |
|  | on the face of the seal and the brown collor on the back as well as cracks at |
|  | the edges may suggest that the material |
|  | is agate which had been burned and hence 91 |

TECHNIQUE: Technique 1 is employed on the bodies, though Technique 2 is seen on human and animal limbs, joints and heads. The prominent drill and wheel marks are signs of lateness according to Biesantz, showing Erstarrung through Konturrippen and

> Gelenkknorpel. Innenzeichnung appears as well with the sharp incisions separating the animal's body from its neck. The technique recalls the Theban cylinder (as well as the Cretan seals somewhat), although Innenzeichnung is more evident here on the bull's body.

On the evidence of technique, aesthetics and supported by the context of the seal's discovery, we date this Helladic piece to LHIIIa (or IIIa-b).

A gem,said to come from Larissa, is the Jannopoulos ring. Biesantz has been the only available source of information about the provenance and present whereabouts (in 1959) of the seal. He states that it was part of the collection of Captain Jannopoulos. I have been unsuccessful in my trip to volos in learning whether the seal is presently in their museum erd therefore I was unable to find out the details of the seal's dimensions, material and to some extent, 93 shape.

According to Biesantz, this gem is a Helladic 94
work of the $15-14$ th c. B.C. In order to assess
Biesantz' claims, we shall first analyze the
aesthetic traits of the Larissa seal:

| Aesthetic Categories | Description of Seal |
| :--- | :--- |
| STYE: <br> Line |  |
| quality |  |
|  | stylised-graceful |
| curves; very few |  |
| direction of |  |
| movement | straight lines |
|  | along straight lines, |
| horizontal and dia- |  |
| relation of lines | gonal; curved lines |
| lof man's body) |  |


|  | his limbs undisci- <br>  <br>  <br> plined; bull is in <br> flying gallop |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | no overlapping and |
| figures are not close- |  |
| knit |  |

Many aesthetic features of this seal can be compared with the style and syntax of Thebes 211 and NAM 408. There is a similar treatment of line on all gems, with possibly more emphasis on the curved line here. As on the other IIIa-b seals, more attention is paid to the treatment of the mass of the animal than to that of the man. As at Thebes and Dimini, the bull's body is well modelled with some sharp separating lines and drill and wheel marks on the limbs. There is then Innenzeichnung and to a much lesser extent Konturrippen
and Gelenkknorpel, which are signs of late Mycenaean art according to Biesantz. The man's body, however, exhibits Biestantı' late Minoan auflösender style 95 anibits Blesantz late Minoan auflosender style. Its lack of internal detail and undisciplined pose with slight torsion remind us of the men on NAM 408 and Thebes 211 (although the body here is more curved). The treatment of syntax, with the definnce of the frame, lack of unity and balance, can be paralleled on the two IIIa-b bull scenes. On aesthetic grounds, then, we agree with Biesantz' designation of this seal as Helladic and date it to Myc.IIIa-b.

Other features of the Lnrissa gem are:
SHAPE:
The seal is a lentoid which seems to have been set into a modern ring.

TECHNIQUE: The figures are executed mainly in Technique 1. The instruments of engraving are not particularly prominent except on the faces, the animal's limbs and on some contours.

The technique of engraving again points to connections between the Larissa gem and the examples from Thebes and Dimini. We then date this Helladic seal to LHIIIa-b.

Another scene: with a human and bull is depicted on seal NAM 137 from Chamber Tomb 504 at Mycenae. As the seal comes from a burial, its date is not secured. Wace describes the Tomb:
"The tomb is very small... it faces east and is approached by a dromos which is 5.50 m . long and 0.70 mo to 1.10 m . wide, is wedge shaped in section, and has its entrance closed by three stones. The doorway, which was walled by packed stones, is 0.70 m . deep, 0.70 m . wide, and was originally 1.06 m . high... The chamber i.s extremely small and roughly circular, in its present state. It is barely large enough to contain a full grown man sitting down, and was full of earth when found... in it we found the remains of one skeleton...The tomb seemed to have been disturbed in spite of the stone walling in the door...The tomb from its plan and shape as well as from its contents obviously dates from the LH III period." 96

The only objects, aside from the seal, which were found in Tomb 504 were terracotta figurines. According to Furumark's classification, they are of types T 1 (Myc.IIIa:2-IIIb) and $\Psi 1$ (IIIb). E. Wace-French's study, however, shows that both types span Myc.IIIa:2-IIIb.

In order to affirm whether the sealstone NAM 137 can be dated to the period of fabrication of the other
objects found along with it, we shall examine the aesthetic and other features of NAM 137.

Aesthetic Categories
Description of Seal
STYLE:
Line
stylised curves, straight lines

| direction of <br> movement | straight lines <br> along straight lines: <br> diagonal and hori- <br> zontal |
| :--- | :--- |
| relation of lines | rectilinear |

Form man's body is poorly done; the only internal details are some incisions on the torso and calf; some swelling of torso, arm and legs to show body contours; sharp lines divide off torso from limbs and head; man's head consists of dots and channels; bull's body is somewhat modelled in the middle, but sharp lines point out the joints and limbs; drill and wheel marks
pose $\quad$ on limbs and face sion in the man's attitude; his pose is almost that of a runner: man's torso is unrelated to his undisciplined limbs; bull is in a partial trot (hind legs) but

|  | its action seems uncoordinated |
| :---: | :---: |
| perspective | convention of por- |
|  | traying all essential |
| cke. | features of a figure |
| rsion | even if they should |
|  | the man's legs and |
|  | entire torso appear, |
|  | but with no connec- |
| The tumbers ${ }^{\text {s }}$ poee | tion; figures overlap |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | Itainloutareber |
|  | rectilinear; attempt |
|  | at human torsion does |
| d | not create a shape |
|  | which is adapted to |
|  | the frame; rather, |
|  | man's legs and head |
|  | disregard it |
| unity | no unity |
| balance | balanced bull and |
|  | unbalanced man create |
|  | an unbalanced picture |

Line and form are treated as on the Mycenae sealings and on the Dimini and Theban seals. Lack of inner detail and similar treatment of neck and arm muscles are seen on NAM 163. The man's face is like that of the Theban man, but mostly resembles a seal of LHIIIb context from western Greece, the Akona lentoid(Pylos Museum). Such facial treatment was evident on NAM 199 (LHIIb-IIIa) and NAM 224 (LMIb/Myc.IIa) before this.

Animal mass is treated as on NAM 408, with some internal modelling, but sharp separations and wheel and drill marks. The human pose shows some remnant of the torsion school, seen on the animals from Mycenae: NAM 163 and NAM 165 and the Theban and Larissa men. The runner's pose is evident on NAM 165; the animal's partial trot was seen on NAM 79 (LHIIb). The perspective convention of showing all essential features of objects, which would not show in reality, is somewhat observed on the Myc.IIIb Pylos sealing NAM 340. The syntactical features of defiance of the frame through 2 misunderstanding of the torsion principle, and lack of unity and balance, is seen on all other IIIa-b seals and sealings.

Because of the relationship of NAM 137 to the Mycenae, Dimini, Theban and Akona seals and sealings, we date the seal to LHIIIa (or att latest III $a-b$ ), a date which corresponds to the period of manufacture of other objects in Mycenae Tomb $50 \%$.

Other features of NAM 137 ars:
SHAPE: The amygdaloid shape is generally thought
to be early and to have been reserved
for talismanic stones in Late Minoan Crete. Anygdaloids do appear occasionally in later Mycenaean times, at Pylos and Perati (Myc.IIIb,IIIc).
DIMENSIONS: 2.2 cm . long; the amygdaloid is of medium to slightly large proportions.
MATERIAL: The stone used is cornelian, a gem which was common in the flowering periods of Aegean glyptics and is evident even at Perati (IIIc).
TECHNIQUE: ALI of Biesantz' elements of Erstarrung are obvious, which means in terms of technique that the instruments of engraving (wheel and drill) were in evidence and that figures were sharply incised in Technique 2.
These features, especially technique, corroborate the conclusion about chronology already reached by aesthetic analysis of the seal. Then, according to context and individual aspects of NAM 137, we date this Helladic piece to Myc.IIIa-b.

Another group of seals possibly from this
period and coming from eastern mainland Greece and the eastern Mediterranean are the representations of humans and animals in heraldic or quasi-heraldic syntax: Thebes 175, BM 1945 10-13,133, Prosymna 581, NAM 89 and AM 9P and BN M7271.

The Theban agate cylinder, Thebes 175 , was discovered along with the flattened cylinder Thebes 211 in the destruction layer of the part of the Kadmeion on Antigone/Pindar Streets, dated Myc.IIIb (see pp. 128-9). The origin of the seal is more open to question, but does not seem to be oriental. Miss Porada suggests that it might be of local Theban origin. We shall employ our aesthetic and other criteria for judgment on the Aegean aspects and date of this cylinder seal.

Aesthetic Categories STYLE:

Line


Description of Seal

| Line <br> cuality | stylised curves, <br> straight lines |
| :--- | :--- |
| direction of along a strailght line: <br> vertical and diagonal <br> movement rectilinear <br> relation of lines human torso details con- <br> sist of only a few in- <br> cised lines with swel- <br> Form  mass |  |

ling toward the arms; limbs have little detail, except for incision running the length of one leg; human head consists of a dot for eye and a long nose and globuled hair: animal bodies are very exaggerated in shape, elongated and narrow near hind legs; wheel and drill marks are obvious in treatment of body contours and limbs; the manes and wings are striated, very stylised; heads are dominated by circle for the eye and mouths are open pose human stands with stags and griffins, with $S$ curved bodies, exhibit scme amount of torsion; their poses form pleasing decorations
perspective there is no over- lapping nor intimate relation of figures

COMPOSITION: general
rectilinear on cylinder which in impression is a frieze field; accommodation to the
frame; the slightly contarted beasts lend
variation to the vertical and the horizontal

| unity | by glance |
| :--- | :--- |
| individual animals are |  |
|  | unbalanced; man is ba- |
|  | lanced; entire scene |
|  | attains a degree of |
|  | static balance |

The treatment of form, especially, is Mycenaean. The line drawing, lack of internal details and evidence of sharp contours and drill marks on limbs and heads, the pose with its remnant of torsion all recall other works of the LHIIIa (or IIIa-b) period. The cylindrical shape is best accommodated by rectilinear figures and symmetrical composition, and a better unity and balance are created.

Other aspects of this seal are:
SHAPE: The cylinder is a shape which is found occasionally in the Aegean ( eg. Kakovatos seal), but which is obviously derived from the Orient.

DIMENSIONS: 1.8 cm . long; the cylinder is smaller than the Kakovatos piece.

MATERIAL: The cylinter is made of brown and white agate, a stone which was very popular
for seal engraving in the flowering periods of Aegean glyptics and which was abundant in the lapidaries workshop at Thebes. According to Miss Porada, "...the agate from Thebes has more intense color contrasts than is generally seen in the 100 agates from Western Asia."

TECHNIQUE: Technique 2 is in evidence on this seal. The tools of engraving, drill and wheel, create deep holes for eyes and joints and sharp contours on bodies and limbs.

Aside from the attributes of this seal which show Mycenaean rather than Oriental dress and hair style 101
(as compared with Thebes 203 ), the material and technique and the style and syntax of the The ban cylinder all point to the Aegean origin of this Myc.IIIa (or IIIa-b) seal.

BM 1945 10-13, 133 was acquired by the British Museum from the Southesk Collection. According to the catalogue of this collection, it was found in Golgoi, Cyprus, and is a Cypriot cylinder of c. 1000 B.C.
which is "somewhat in Mycenaean style". The human 102 form is likened to Gretan figures.

In order to assess these claims, we shall apply our aesthetic criteria and then consider other individual features of the seal.
Aesthetic Categories Description of Seal

| STYLE: <br> Line <br> quality | stylised to graceful <br> curves; few straight |
| :--- | :--- |
| direction of  <br> movement alnes |  |
| diagonal, vertical, |  |
| relation of |  |
| lines | horizontal; few curves |

## - 151 -

slight to a great deal of torsion; their figures are thin and curved and on the lions' bcdies there is internal detail showing hairs on the lower body contour and the mane; drill marks on limbs indicate joints; faces consist of a large circle for an eye and a dot at the tip of the nose
man is upright with arms extended, bent at the elhow; lions and goats are in partial torsion poses, well done, with their heads turned back in on their bodies
perspective
there is some overlapping, but the convention exists of showing all essential parts of the body even if they would not appear in reality, being shielded by another animal(goat); figures strewn all over the field and do not appear eloseknit

COMPOSITION:
general
rectilinear figure on
rectangular frame (im-
pression); accommodation
to shape and also varia-
tion with the partial
torsion of animals re-
lieving the horizontal
and vertical emphases
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { unity } \\ \text { balance } \\ \\ \\ \\ \text { by glance and to some } \\ \text { extent by pose(symmetry) }\end{array}\right\}$

In addition to the many correspondences between this seal and Thebes 175: in subject matter of humans with lions, griffins and stags, and in general composition, we also see similarities with other IIIa-b seals and sealings in the tratment of form: the human torso recalls NAM 163; the stag on the far side has the pose of the NAM 163 animals; the lions' attitudes are paralleled in Thebes; the lack of much inner detail of the body surface, the slight torsion of figures and exaggerated shapes of bodies are common to IIIa-b seals; the convention of showing all parts of a figure which is behind another, thereby defying realistic portrayal and segmenting its body, can also be seen on NAM 137 and the Pylos sealing NAM 340 (IIIb); animal heads are treated as on Thebes 175.

Other features of the BM seal are:


The technique and possibly material of this seal, in addition to the style and syntax and the general lack of Oriental attributes of dress and hair, persuade us to consider this seal, as Thebes 175 , to be a work of Mycenaean art. The date of the seal's manufacture must lie in the Myc.III period, probably II Ia-b.

An Argolid seal with similar subject, Prosymna 581 ,
was found in Tomb XXXIII of the prehistoric cemetery near the Argive Heraion. According to Sakellariou, this seal was not found along with other objects from Prosymana in the Athens Museum, and may have been 103 lost during the war.

This seal was discovered in a side chamber in the tomb. This chamber, according to Blegen, was placed without reference to the axis of the main chamber. Unlike the main chamber:
"The side chamber was small and very irregular in shape...the roof of the side chamber had fallen, causing considerable damage to the objects on the floor below. Barely within the door, on the left, lay the broken remains of a cylix and of a stirrup vase....a body had once lain in a contracted position on its right side in this left part of the side chamber...the remains in the outer right corner of the side chamber... consisted of a shallow cup with two high handles; a chisel of bronze and a flat, toothed saw of some material; two lentoid seal stones, one with an intaglio scene of a cow and a suckling calf found at the points, the other with a human figure holding two lions, found at the blunt end of the saw... The side chamber had been used for at least two burials... The construction and employment of the tomb must...fali wholly in Late Helladic III. The earlier remains, from the cists and from the side chamber, are without doubt to be assigned to the initial phases of that period..." 104

Furumark adds:
"According to the excavator, the finds from the side chamber are probably earlier than those from the floor. But the side chamber pottery is IIIb, and the
finds from the inner left corner of the main chamber must belong to the same burials, or, possibly to another IIIb interment..." 105

> As many other seals and sealings dated by
style to Myc.IIIa or IIIa-b, this gem was found in Myc.IIIb context. We list its aesthetic features:
Aesthetic Categories Description of Seal

STYLE:

| Line |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| quality <br> stralised curves; <br> strection of <br> movement lines |  |
| relation of lines | along straight lines: |
| vertical, horizontal |  |

pose
the man stands upright
with extended arms there
is a remnant of the tor-
sion school in the $C$

The stylistic and syntactical features of this lentoid relate it closely to the Mycenae sealings and to the Theban agate cylinder. Line is treated in characteristic Myc.IIIa-b manner with a mixture of curves and straight lines (see NAM 165). The internal details and swellings of the torso are like NAM 163 and Thebes 175. As on other IIIa-b seals, globules indicate the man's short locks. The lions' bodies and faces and $S$ and $C$ attitudes recall the Theban(175) and Mycenae (165) pieces. The general composition is paralleled
at Thebes, and the slight torsion of figures is common throughout IIIa-b, thereby creating a degree of accommodation to the frame.

Other features of Prosymna 581 are:
SHAPE:
This seal is a lentoid, the most popular shape in late Aegean glyptics.

DIMENSIONS: 1.5 cm ; the seal is of rather small proportions for the period.

MATERIAL: The seal is made of agate. According to Kenna, such a fine stone would not have been used in Myc.IIIb, the date suggested for this seal by the objects found along 106 with it in Tomb XXXIII.

TECHNIQUE: Technique 2 is used but the instruments of engraving are not so clearly in evidence. The technique seems like the manner of engraving used on NAM 163 and 165.

These additional features, especially, according to Kenna, the material, along with the style and syntax of Prosymna 581, lead us to assign it to the group of seals of Myc.IIIa or IIIa-b that were all found in
in context with Myc.IIIb objects.

Another representation of humans and lions in quasi-heraldic syntax appears on NAM 89. This ring was found in Chamber Tomb 58 at Mycenae. The contents of the tomb are unpublished, except for a few sealstones in the Athens Museum. One of these, NAM 95, has been designated as a Minoan LMII import, and other seals in the tomb show affinities with the glyptic art of LMII-IIIa/Myc.IIb-IIIa (see p. 80). Sakellariou dates this seal to LHIIIb on the strength of its relation to Prosymna 581, which was found in context with Myc.IIIb objects. As the Prosymra se?l may be dated slightly earlier than Myc.IIIb and since the sealstones found in Chamber Tomb 58 at Mycenae point to an earlier date, the chronology of the Mycenae seal is not certain. We shall first examine the aesthetic aspects of the seal.

Aesthetic Categories Description of Seal

## STYLE:

Line
stylised curves; straight lines
direction of along straight lines, movement mainly vertical, also horizontal


|  | the grab the thens' hor the men |
| :---: | :---: |
| COMPOSITION:  <br> general rectilinear on ellip- <br>  tical frame; the torsion <br>  of lions in $C$ and $S$ <br>  poses and the horizontal <br>  thrust of the man's arms <br> along the length of the  <br>  seal allow accommoda- <br> unity tion to the frame <br> balance by glance and pose(sym- <br>  metry) <br>  human is balariced but <br>  animals are not; whole <br>  achieves some static <br>  balance |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

The style of this seal, especially in mass and perspective, differs greatly from that of IIIa-b seals. The human torso bears slight resemblance to the chest of the human figures on NAM 280 (Myr.IIa). Arms and legs are treated as on NAM 95 (LMII-IIIa). The closest parallel for the treatment of human mass on the Mycenae seal is evident on a broken small rock crystal lentoid in Paris, BN M3377. This lentoid has a representation of a combat among men. The position of its figures and the similarity of helmets worn to that on the LHII seal BN M6673, point to
a date for this lentoid of , at the latest, LHIIb. The facial portrait on the Mycenae seal is only paralleled in Greece on the LHI seal NAM 5. A prism seal in Paris, BN M6781, depicts the heads of bearded men with similar details (see Figure 19). The mass and pose of the animals are better execuled on the Mycenae gem than on the Prosymna lentoid. Yet, the head of one Mycenae lion is nearly identical with the heads of lions on Thebes 175. A real torsion is created in the $S$ and $C$ poses of lions and they are well suited to the shape of the frame. The scene is unified by pose and glance and attains static belance.

Though at first glance the Mycenae ring has much in common with Myc.IIIa-b seals, under aesthetic analysis it betrays a great degree of artistic skill which was more evident at a slightly earlier date in Crete. We may wish to relate this seal to the LMII-IIIa tradition that produced NAM 95, and date this piece (which still seems to be more of Mycenaean than Cretan inspiration) to LHIIb-IIIa.

Other characteristics of NAM 89 are:

SHAPE: The seal is a signet ring. This type of seal is popular in earlier Mycenaean glyptics altrough it is evident ut Perati and may have been used widely at Fylos in Myc.IIIb (elliptical sealings). The ring handle is undecorated, which, according to Kenna, points to early 107
fabrication. Such ring handles, though, are also found at Perati(IIIc), eg. NAM 390.

DIMENSIONS: The ring handle is the same size as NAM 15(LHI): 1.9 cm . in diameter, and large enough to be worn. Its bezel is 1.8 cm . $x 2.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. and considerably smaller than the seal surface on Shaft Grave rings.

MATERIAL: The ring is made of red jasper.
TECHNIQUE: Technique 1 is employed on bodies. Technique 2 appears on the limbs and man's torso: Incision is sharp but modelling is smooth and lions' bodies are like the lion on NAM 9 in technique.

This good technique, in addition to the style and syntax of the Mycenae ring, point to a period where figures
were less stereotyped and generalized than in Myc.IIIb and may indicate some Cretan inspiration. On the basis of context, desthetic features and technique, we date this seal to the period when heraldic compositions are popular in Crete, to the time of the Knossos palace destruction, that is, LHIIb-IIIa. As with the group of man-bull scenes, the antithetic scenes in Greece began to appear in LHIIb and gained in popularity in LHIIIa-b.

Two other three figure antithetic scenes are very similar and may be treated together: AM 9P and BN M7271. According to Evans, the Ashmolean 108 lentoid was found in Kydonia, Crete. Kenna, however, in his catalogue of the Oxford seals, does not give 109
a provenance for the seal. He places the seal in a group of "peripheral gems" which:

> "...are obviously related in varying degrees to the Cretan and mainland products and... for style and general characteristics Crete appears to have been the original source..." 110

The provenance of the Paris seal is unknown. The only information given by the museum register is that it was acquired from M. Hanbar in 1909. In our attempt to determine the origin and date of these seals, we
shall first consider their aesthetic features:

Aesthetic Categories Description of Seals

$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { perspective } & \begin{array}{l}\text { figures do not overlap } \\ \text { and are not closely } \\ \text { interrelated }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { COMPOSITION: } \\ \text { general }\end{array} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { some accommodation to } \\ \text { the lentoid frame by } \\ \text { the curve of the lions, }\end{array}\right\}$

All of the above features seem to relate the two seals closely to the group of quasi-heraldic representations of Myc.IIIa-b. The treatment of line and form, especially in the Mycenaean adaptation of the Cretan torsion scheme in the C curved lions, point to a Helladic origin for both pieces.

Other aspects of the Paris and Ashmolean gems are: SHAPE : Both seals are lentoids. This was the most popular shape in late Aegean glyptics.

DIMENSIONS: Paris: 1.9 cm ; Oxford: 2.1 cm ; the Oxford lentoid is slightly larger than average.

MATERIALS: The Oxford gem is white banded agate, a popular material in the late Bronze Age in Crete and Greece. The Paris seal is hematite, a sufter stone.

TECHNIQUE: The carving on the Paris seal is deep. Technique 2 is evident on both gems. The sharp divisions between the lions' hind quarters and bodies and the men's thighs and lower legs along with the drill marks evident on heads and limbs, seem to be signs of Biesantz' Erstarrung.
perlod The technique seems to be characteristically Helladic. We then conclude on the basis of style, syntax and technique that the two seals are Helladic and belong to the group of heraldic scenes of Myc. IIIa-b.

The third Mycenaean period may be represented in western mainland Greece in the sealstone from the Akona tholos near Pylos, Pylos Museum (uncatalogued). As general with tomb finds, its dating is not secured by context. This second of a pair of tholoi at Akona was excavated by Marinatos in 1963. The diameter of
the tomb's chamber is 6.20 m . and the height of its walls is 2.50 m . The seal was found in a pit in the chamber along with a small dagger or $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma 0 \varepsilon$ © $\delta$ $\mu \alpha x \alpha l \rho l o v$. Ancther small find from the chamber, published by Marinatos, is a $x \cup \sim \nexists \circ$, which is best rejated in form to Furumark's Form 227 of Myc. IIIb. Marinatos dates the final burial in the tomb to 113
Myc.IIIb. The objects in the pit may belong to an earlier burial.

In order to observe the trends in west Peloponnesian glyptics in the third Late Mycenaean period and to learn more about the date of the sealstone, we shall first consider its aesthetic features.

Aesthetic Categories Description of Seal STYLE:

| Line <br> quality | stylised curves; few <br> straight lines |
| :--- | :--- |
| direction of <br> movement | along straight lines, <br> horizontal, little <br> vertical; some circular <br> (horns, man's legs) |
| relation of | rectilinear with some <br> curves |
| lines | human body has no in- <br> ternal details to in- <br> dicate muscles; we just |
| mass |  |

see a curving form with a hole mark at the shoulder and incisions on the thighs; human head consists of a dot for eye and channelled outline; the animal's body has smooth inner modelling except for a sharp break between rump and torso and sharp lines at the join of the foreleg to the body; legs show wheel and drill marks and the body contour shows marks of the drill, producing Konturrippen; the animal's head is done with drill marks
pose man is in Group II man- bull pose, but with his legs kicking up to form a whirl-pattern; his hands do not grab the animal's horns but its neck; animal is in a trot; its hind half seems stationary and its forequarters seem active; the effect is not pleasing
perspective
no overlapping and figures are not very close-knit

COMPOSITION: general
rectilinear on lentoid
frame; because of the slight torsion of the man's whirling legs and the animal's curving horns, there is partial

|  | accommodation to the <br> shape |
| :--- | :--- |
| unity | partial unity is |
|  | created by the man's |
| glance, but this is |  |
| defeated by the animal |  |
| who looks out of the |  |
| picture |  |

The aesthetic features of the Akona lentoid were also evident on the Group II man-bull scenes found in eastern mainland Greece and dated to Myc.IIIa-b. There is a combination of straight and curved lines, relating into a rectilinear figure with some curved edges. As on NAM 408, the human mass is given summary treatment and the animal receives most attention. It is treated with smooth inner modelling and sharp contour marks and drill holes at the joints, limbs and face. The human face is like that of the man on NAM 137 (IIIa-b) and NAM 199(IIb-IIIa) and NAM 224(Myc.IIa/LMIb) before that. The animal's pose is seen on NAM 408, though the hind limbs on the Akona seal seem a bit stiffer. The hybrid nature of the beast recalls earlier gems: eg. NAM 79 (LHIIb). The treatment of syntax is not very satisfactory. Although there is partial accomodation
to the frame, individual figures are not closely related to each other (perspective), causing an unbalanced picture with little unity. The relation between this seal and the Group II man-bull scenes from the eastern mainland, persuades us to assign the Akona seal to the Myc. IIIa-b period.

Other features of the Pylos gem are:
SHAPE:
The seal is a lentoid, a popular shape in the late Aegean Bronze Age.

DIMENSIONS: 1.5 cm ; the seal is rather small. MATERIAL: The seal is made of green chalcedony. TECHNIQUE: Technique 2 is evident, especially on the man's face. The tools of engraving are somewhat in evidence, especially the wheel which produces sharp contours of the body of the animal.

The technique again points to the relationship between the Akona gem and Myc.IIIa-b gems and sealings. On the basis of context, tiechnique and aesthetic features, we date the Pylos Museum seal to Myc.IIIa-b. We do not see any particular differences between Helladic works of glyptic art found in the eastern and western
parts of mainland Greece at this time.

Evidence of the achievements in Mycenaean glyptics at the time of the final palace destruction in Greece, when Myc.IIIb and IIIc ware appear together, at present comes almost exclusively from the Palace 114 of Nestor' at Pylos (Ano Englianos). As with most domestic contexts, our dating is secure. Sealings were found in the palace, often alongside Linear $B$ tablets. They fall into groups according to the areas and rooms in which they were found:
Main Complex (megaron)
archive rooms: 7.
7............................................. 307

North-East Complex (workshop)

> rooms: $98 . \ldots$.......................NAM 324,314
> 99...........................NAM 331

Wine Magazine (on north edge)
rooms: 104
105............................. NAM 342,359

Southwest Slope (of the hill)
NAM 378
uncertain.............................NAM Inv.9048a,b

We shall examine each of these find spots
within the palace separatiely:

Main Complex (Archive rooms 7 and 8):
These two rooms are on the left as one enters the central palace to approach the megaron. The excavator states that "...(here) where the tax collector apparently had his office, 100 tablets were found..." (during the excavations of 1939). 115 Blegen reports that among the tablets on the floor, were recovered the bronze hinges from containers and a lurge number of clay sealings. In 1955, after excavation of the SW palace had begun, Blegen stated:
"Our own conclusion is that the pottery from the floors of the palace by and large must be attributed to a time when the types called Myc.IIIb were associated with and beginning to give way to those that 116 became characteristic of Myc.IIIc:1...1200...destruction."

## North-East Complex

This complex consists of meny rooms forming a rectangular block. At the north-east corner is a large rectangular room (98). "It was probably a storeroom . 117 for supplies of raw materials." Blegen says that:
"The apartment...had been buried beneath large chunks of fused clay and stone, and a thick layer of disintegrated crude brick; and here too there was a profusion of carbonized matter on the earthen floors. Under one badly crushed vessel near the middle of the chamber, Miss Rawson recovered 12 clay sealings and nine further examples were collected from other places in the room." 118

To the south of this room was a very long
room (99), in whose westerly quarter were found "...97
pieces of inscribed tablets with which were associated 119
11 complete and four fragmentary clay sealings."
Of the purpose of this area, the excavators state that:
"The presence of so many tablets and seal impressions suggests in any event that official administrative services of some kind were installed here...since several of the seal impressions are inscribed with the word $0 \infty P A$, which has been interpreted as referring to some form of feudal service... palace guard...armoury?" 120

This complex then appears to be a wing of the main palace and its destruction date should agree with that of the megaron (as supported by finds in the burnt layer).

## Wine Magazine

This complex is outside the main building, to the north and parallel to the steep north-western edge of the hill. The area was excavated in 1958 and its appearance at the time of its discovery is described below:
(The entry to the complex was at the north-west end of the vestibule (104)) "No stone threshold was found in place: the space it might have occupied was filled with burnt earth and clay in which was recovered some 14 clay seal impressions and fragments. Otherwise, the vestibule yielded nothing of interest.... (In the storeroom 105)...in a restricted area beside the jars at

$$
-174=
$$

the right of the entrance and behind the southeastern row of pithoi were found --- perhaps fallen from niches -some 40 or more clay sealings, complete or fragmentary, representing a good many different seals of varying artistic quality. Four of these sealings bear an inscribed ideogram, which Ventris and Chadwick, following Sundwall, have recognized as designating wine." (Wr 1358-61 ) 122

This area appears to have belonged to the palace complex and to have suffered destruction along with the main building.

Southwest Slope
The only section of the hill which does not comply in date with the above complex is the array of building walls in the south-west. The South-West sector was first explored in 1956, and by 1961, the plan of a large building oriented nw to se with a later addition at its se end, became claar. Blegen dates this building:
"Its construction must be attributed to Early Mycenaean (LHT), and it had evidently been demolished before the palace of Mycenaean IIIb was erected. Abutting against its southwestern side, where its outer face was veneered with smallish, but nearly squared blocks of poros, houses forming part of the lower town of Mycenaean IIIa were built. The associated deposit contained an accumulation of potsherds of that phase... In the black earth, disturbed by the plow, that formed the superficial stratum covering this early building were found nine small fragments of inscribed tablets, and two clay sealings which had been baked hard enough by fire to be preserved." 123

Near the sw edge, 6 m . se of the throne room of the

Southwest Building, was an olive tree which was cut down to allow excavation of the area. Under the layer of vegetation decay was a burnt layer, under which were stone walls aligned with others in the nw, sw and se:
"From the top of the burnt layer --which barely covers stereo -- came a clay sealing and a fragment of another In the overlying disturbed black earth were collected 14 bits and fragments of inscribed tablets. Whence and how they reached this relatively modern deposit is not now determinable." 124

In Papathanasopoulos' examination of the stratified deposit of the towerlike corner of the sw building, he saw phases Myc.IIIb preceded by IIIa, II and I.

The only sealing in our survey to come frow this ill-defined area is NAM 340. In both southwest areas where sealings were found, they were associated with Linear $B$ fragments and a burnt layer. We may then assume that this sealing owes its preservation to the same great fire which swept over the main palace complex in Myc.IIIb-c, baking all of the other Pylos sealings.

NAM 307, discovered in the destruction layer in Archive Room 7, and the more recently examined pieces, Inv. 9048 a and $b$, display similarities with the ring in the Musée de Peronne. We must examine the evidence for the Thessaloniki provenance assigned to this gold ring and then, in aesthetic analysis and observation of other particularities of these pieces, determine whether this ring does provide an east mainland counterpart to the glyptic activities in Messenia.

The Peronne seal appears first in publications by Gobineau, Furtwångler arid Perrot and Chipiez. In 1874, Gobineau discusses this ring, no. 44 in his collection:
"Cette bague a été trouvée en 1867, à Salonique, dans une sépulture antique, et j'ai beaucoup regretté étant alors à Athènes, de n'avoir pu connaftre les détails de la decouverte. Le travail de cette belle oeuvre est complétement antique..." 125

126
127
Perrot and Chipiez (1894) and Furtwängler (1900)
repeat the information avout the discovery of the ring in 1867 in a Thessaloniki tomb. According to Sakellariou, however, the finding of a Mycenaean ring in such a con$1 ? 8$
text seems improbable. This gold ring passed from Gobineau into the hands of Danincourt, who placed it in the museum at Peronne, France.

## As there is little contextual evidence, we

turn to an aesthetic comparison of the Peronne ring to the Myc. IIIb Pylos sealings, NAM 307 and NAM Inv. 9048 a and b.

Aesthetic Categories
Description of Seals
STYLE:
Line
quality

| direction of | along straight lines: |
| :--- | :--- |
| movement | diagonal; some curving |
| relation of | rectilinear, an x with |
| lines | curving ends $\infty$ |

Form
mass
stylised curves;
straight lines
diagonal; some curving curving ends $\infty$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { no attempt at great } \\
& \text { muscular detail of } \\
& \text { human torso; there is } \\
& \text { a } v \text { depression where } \\
& \text { the neck meets the } \\
& \text { torso, and indications } \\
& \text { of the lower boundary } \\
& \text { of the thorax on the r. } \\
& \text { man on } 307 \text { and the } 1 . \\
& \text { man on Peronne(impress } \\
& \text { sion); there is swelling } \\
& \text { of trapezium and bicep } \\
& \text { muscles on all figures } \\
& \text { but particularly on the } \\
& \text { r. figure on } 307 \text { legs } \\
& \text { have inaision on thighs } \\
& \text { and calves and have un- } \\
& \text { dulating contours to } \\
& \text { indicate muscles, es- } \\
& \text { pecially graceful on } \\
& 307 ; \text { bulging, well } \\
& \text { modelled muscles on } \\
& 9048 ; ~ o n ~ t h e ~ P e r o n n e ~
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{man}$ at l. (impression) is separated from the body, and his torso is disconnected from limbs; his proportions and legs are squat; on 307, thighs and lower leg of man at 1. are unconnected, but generally the treatment of bodies on 307 provide a more graceful impression, with a longer figure; the figures on 9048 are even thinner; some facial detail on all pieces; lions' bodies are smoothly modelled, with lines and holes on
limbs on all pieces; faces show a long nose between two round eyes, and on Peronne, a prominent mane

## pose

the poses on all seals appear to be identical; however, the line of torso to hind legs of the men on Pylos sealings forms a straight line and strong diagonal, while this line on Peronne figures is broken and produces a feebler pose; whereas the hand of the 1. man on 307 is thrust back as with most men in Harmodean attitudes, and his back is arched (9048), the raised arm of the r. figure on Peronne (impression) seems cramped and awkwardly raised without backward thrust; poses are stereotyped;
the pose of lions on the sealings is obscured by their state of preservation, but it seems to correspond to the $C$ curving, slightly contorted bodies and topview heads of lions on the Peronne ring; human poses on the sealings are the reverse of their poses on the seal
perspective $\quad$ poses on the seal appear close-knit

| COMPOSITION: <br> general | rectilinear with curved <br> edges on an elliptical <br> frame, probably in all <br> cases; some accommodation |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | to the frame by the dia- |
|  | gonal slants of the hu- |
|  | mans and the torsional |
| poses of the lions |  |

The differences between the Peronne seal and Pylos sealings seem to lie in craftsmanship, where the better artists of NAM 307 and NAM Inv. 9048 could pro-
duee better proportioned and posed human beings whose combination with animals provided a more dynamic comppsition than that achieved by the Peronne artist, with his squatter and more steady figures.

The similarities, as opposed to earlier works as NAM 9 and NAM 290, are mainly found in the sphere of composition, according to Sakellariou:







These three pieces then exhibit many similar stylistic as well as syntactical features, which are characteristic of later Mycenaean Glyptic art (IIIb): the combination of straight and curved lines; bodies with little inner detail and swollen and often exaggerated torsos; stereotyped poses which are nevertheless vigorous and may exhibit torsion; partial accomodation to the shape of the frame.


Further differences between the Peronne and Pylos seals can be seen in their technique. The more successful technique of NAM 307 and Inv. 9048 again points out the better craftsmanship of the Pylos artists. Aside from
some details of hair style, landscape and dress,
these seals may be considered to be similar and contemporary, although of different artistic quality.

Other sealings from Pylos form a homogeneous group in terms of stylistic and syntactical features which are evident in Myc.IIIb. They may also be of differing artistic quality , however:

Aesthetic Categories Description of Sealings

STYLE:
Line

> in all examples, we find stylised curves, which produce a straight rather than swaying impression

| direction of |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| movement | diagonal $(302,314,324$ | $331,340,342,359,378)$, horizontal $(302,314,324$, 342), vertical (331?); some curved $(359,302$, $314,324,331$ )


$\frac{\text { Form }}{\text { mass }}$
in all cases there is little attempt to show internal detail of human


| $\frac{\text { imity }}{\text { barayon }}$ | poses are also stereotyped, the most common attitude being the $C$ : 302,331(partial), 359 (turned sideways $\cap$ ), which indicates slight torsion; other torsion poses show animals with back-turned heads: 314, 302,324; 314 shows animals in flying gallop; 342 has a badly posed. animal, its limbs confused with the man's; animal heads are in top view $(302,331)$, frontal (359?,342) or profile $(314,302)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| perspective | figures generally do not overlap very much, but have some rel tion to each other $(302,314$, $324,359,331$ ); convention of showing all parts of a figure in the back- |
| has beck obestrad on lall 307 , Pe九̆orna ring. . Thines are atra | ground, though they may be shielded in reality by a foreground object (340) |

COMPOSITION: general
because of the fragmentary nature of the material, it is difficult to learn the composition of the entire seal surface; we do, however, seer on the broken sealings, rectilinear figures on circular or elliptical frames, but with some accommodation to the shape made by the curved


These sealings present a style and syntax which has been observed on NAM 307, NAM Inv. 9048 and the Peronne ring. Lines are straight and curved producing rectilinear figures with curved edges. Mass is treated in all cases with little internal detail and some contour swelling. Humans are stereotyped into action poses: Harmodean (307,9048, Peronne), or running (seen first on NAM 112 and 165). Aninals are slightly contorted and inany form the C pose as on NAM 307 and the Peronne ring, and the top view head, seen before in LHI (NAM 9), returns for lions. Figures are interre-
lated. The perspective convention exhibited by NAM 340 was seen also on NAM 137 (IIIa-b). Syntactical treatment, with partial accommodation to an elliptical or circular frame, achieved by a rectilinear figure with curved edges (of torsioned animals) creating unity by glance and/or pose and some degree of dynamic balance. In all. cases, vigour is achieved by using strong lines, exaggerated shapes (at times) in slight contorsions and stereotyped poses evoking action. This trend, begun with the Mycenae sealings (and hinted at earlier in Myc. IIb-IIIa on NAM 199 and NAM 290), reaches culmination with the Pylos sealings.

Other features of the Pylos sealings are:
SHAPE; As the sealings are fragmentary, it is difficult to decide their original shape. Most seem to be elliptical or lentoid.

DIMENSIONS: Some sealings are of large proportions, which might indicate rirg bezels of elliptical shape: 302: $2.3 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~cm}$; 314: $2.3 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} . ; 324: 2.2 \times 3.1 \mathrm{~cm} . ;$ 331: $2.5 \times 2.7 \mathrm{~cm}$.

MATERIAL: According to Sakellariou, gold may have been the material from which these clay
sealings were impressed.
TECHNIQUE: Both Techniques 1 and 2 are employed by the artists of the Pylos sealings. There is sharp engraving on 331, making firgures like cut-outs. All the characteristics of Biesantz' Erstarrung are evident on 314 --- but generally, the tools of engraving are not obvious.

In all these features, our sealing are closely related to NAM 307 and NAM Inv. 9048 and, aside from some attributes, to the Peronne signet ring. Although the Myc.IIIb period is beset with stereotyped forms, these in addition to a slightly improved technique and a better sense of composition, help to create a vigorous Mycenaean: art in its final phase.

Another seal must be discussed here, on the basis of its close relationship with NAM 331, B 7 found in Syme in the Dodecanese. According to H. vol Littrow of the Berlin Bodenstrasse Museum, this seal was formerly 132 in the Rhousopolis collection.

The aesthetic features of the Berlin seal are:

limbs and especially in the face, which is dominated by an eye of concentric circles and a linear mouth
pose
the human figures are in partial-Harmodean posture although the wrong hand of the man at l. holds the sword; there is a strong diagonal formed by the connection of the man's torso to his legs; thereby producing a convincing pose; thighs are exaggerated; the lion is in a variation of the $C$ position, with a frontal head in line with the body; this head position defeats the torsion of the C pose; the dog is in striding attitude
perspective
there is no overlapping of figures; they appear interrelated but not very close-knit

## COMPOSITION:

general

## unity

balance
rectilinear figure with slightly circular edge $\mathbb{D}$; very little accommodation to the frame; mainly triangular appearance
by glance

Individual figures are unbalanced but the entire scene forms a degree of dynamic balance, though not a great degree because the figures are not close-knit

Nearly all of these aesthetic features may be paralleled on NAM 331 from Pylos. There are the same straight lines flanking and dominating over a curved central axis, creating a rectilinear formation with only slightly curved edges. Human mass and pose are similar to that of the $r$. man on 331 and the contour lines and pose of lions on both pieces are alike, although the figure and face of the Berlin lion are less exaggerated and stylised than on NAM 331. Figures do not overlap and create a syntactical arrangement with unity and a degree of dynamic balance, as on 331.

Other features of the Berlin seal are:
SHAPE: The Syme seal is a lentoid.
DIMENSIONS: 2 cime; this is the average diameter for an Aegean lentoid.
Material: The lentoid is sardonyx, a common stone in late Aegean glyptics.
TECHNIQUE: Ther is use of Technique 1 on bodies and Technique 2 also on bodies as well as on Iirabs and faces; the tools of engraving are not especially evident, exee.t for
the Konturrippen on the lion's lower body contour and the wheel marks on faces. As on the Pylos sealings, the technique is not poor.

In all these features, our seal agrees with NAM 331 and other IIIa-b and IIIb seals and sealings. From a complete examination of this seal, we designate its origin as Helladic and date it to Myc.IIIb.

A final sealstone which needs scrutiny is Nauplion Museum 9875. The Nauplion Museum register gives no provenance for this piece. We begin with its aesthetic analysis:

Aesthetic Categories
Description of Seal
STYLE:
Line
stylised curves, straight lines
direction of movement along straight lines: horizontal, diagonal rectilinear
relation of lines
Form
total lack of human musculature:no internal details, just incisions; man's neck and feet are just curved lines;
his face consists of
lines and a dot; the
lion's body is also
given summary treat-
ment; its feet are
simply: $=0$ and there
is only the eye to
indicate its face;drill
marks are evident
the man stands; animals
are standing or in
a partial gallop
there is no overlapping
and no interrelation
of figures in this
register arrangement

COMPOSITION:
general
the cylinder seal is
treated like a flattened
cylinder by the artist
as figures are engraved
lengthwise on one flat-
tened face of the seal;
the rectilinear shape
of the representation
is better suited to a
flattened cylinder but
is ill-suited to the
cylindrical shape
no unity
thereis no balance of
individuals or of the
whole

The representation on the Nauplion cylinder is in a late and debased style. The shape of the seal is totally ignored by the artist, who put figures on top of one another rather than next to one another to surround the cylinder surface. Line and the form of
the animals are paralleled on Perati seals (Myc.IIIc), for example, NAM 394 (especially the mass and pose).

Other features of the Nauplion seal are;
SHAPE: This cylinder seal is flattened on the side where the representation is engraved. DIMENSIONS: 2.1 cm . long; the cylinder is larger than Myc.IIIa-b cylinders from Thebes and Cyprus.

MATERIAL: The material of the seal is onyx, which was also used at Thebes. It is a common stone in the later Mycenaean period. TECHNIQUE: There are sharp incisions.

An examination of the basic features of the Nauplion seal points out that it bears little relation to other seals discussed in our survey. Its debased style and lack of sense of composition suggest that it was made at the time of the Perati seals, in Myc.IIIc.

The subject of combats between men and animals has been of great popularity with modern forgers as well as with ancient artists, as seen from the Kydonia seal. Other suspect gems depicting this theme belong to a hoard of gold gerns discovered, according to Evans,
on the Greek mainland at Thisbe in Boiotia: AM 1938.1113
1938.1114 (see Chapter One), 1938.1116, 1938.1118 and 1938.1124.

Evans describes their discovery:
"The find was made in 1915, at a time when war conditions diverted the course of discovery from official channels, and a fortunate chain of circumstances now enables me not only to describe but to exhibit...the whole hoard. Under the circumstances it is impossible without a breach of confidence to give all the details, but, from what I have been able to ascertain, the discovery was made by a peasant in a chamber tomb excavated in the rock, by the village of Dombrena. Near this spot, about a quarter of an hour NW of Kakosi, on the Akropolis height of Thisbe, Mr. W.A. Heurtley of the British School at Athens, kindly informs me that he was show, in an olive grove, three chamber-tombs with dromoi. He adds, 'the old man who showed me had dug one completely and found a dagger and vases and some sherds, all of which he had lost'. Mr. Heurtley adds, however, that from a drawing that the old man made of one of the vases, it is clear that it was a stirrup vase of later type." 133

We shall first examine whether any stylistic
or syntactical principles governing the decoration of these seals can distinguish them as modern copies. We must remember that if the forger were successful, he would be able to recreate the Helladic style. Aesthetic Categories Description of Seals STYLE:

Line
quality

## - 195 -

| direction of | circular:1113,1114,1116 |
| :--- | :--- |
| movement | $1124 ;$ straight:1113 |
| relation of | rectilinear, forming a |
| lines | triangle, except for 1114 |


| Form | torso muscles are only |
| :--- | :--- |
| mass | evident on one man on |
|  | lll3, where ridges indi- |
|  | cating rectus abdominus |
|  | are shown (as on NAM 9); |

the legs on 1113,1114,
show a line for shin
bone and globules for
knee cap; on the arms
biceps and very sharp elbows appear; the animal bodies are paralleled on Mycenaean gems; the sphirix on 1124 has the face of a woman, certainly post-Mycenaean
pose men throw spears with 1. hand; animals have hind legs on the ground and forelegs up in the air, with nearly horizontal bodies:1124,1116;poses are not exactly dupiicated on Helladic seals
perspective there is not much overlapping; the convention used on NAM 133 and 280 is not evident on 1113, which would relate it to Cretan works but not Helladic

COMPOSITION:
general
unity
balance
accommodation to frame
by glance;none on 1118 balance

The treatment of Iines and mass and composition
is paralleled on "elladic works. The lion's face on 1116 is too detailed, however. The poses of figures are unique, but may be deduced from objects found by Schliemann at Mycenae and by Tsountas at Vapheio before 1915. The pose on 1118 may derive from a confusion of NAM 15, where the deer was chased by a marksman, and from the series of women holding goats by the head. 1116 may stem from the combination of NAM 9 and NAM 227. The convention of showing the man's legs behind the beast on 1113 would signify Cretan craftsmanship, rather than Helladic, and would be inconsistent with the evidence of the context, subjects and aesthetic features.

Other oddities are noted in the attributes. On 1113 and 1124, the long braided hair is odd, as is the short hair on the woman on 1118. The man on 1116 has odd straps covering his chest, as on $1113,1124$. The woman's dress looks odd. The dagger on 13.13 is more elaborate in form than on Helladic examples. The figure on 1118 uses an oriental bow on foot, uniquely in the Aegean.

Other features of these gems are:

| SHAPE: | 1113 and 1114 are flattened cylinders; |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 1116,1118 and 1124 are amygdaloid gold |
| beads; all shapes are known in the Aegean. |  |
| DIMENSIONS: | There is nothing suspect ahout the di- |
|  | mensions of the Thisbe gems. |
| MATERIAL: | All seals are gold. Kenna claims that |
|  | 1113 and lll4 were ancient gold beads |
|  | used for modern forgery. |
| TECHNLQUE: Kenna claims that the technique shows signs |  |
|  | of modern work. |

From all these features, in addition to aesthetic traits, we add this hoard to the Kydonia cylinder as modern products of forgery.

We arrive af a chronological table of the
seals considered in Chapter Two:
I. CRETAN SEALS (where the major source of inspiration is Cretian)

| East | West | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAM 224..... Vapheio | NAM 274......Rutsi | LMIb/ |
| AM 247.....Gytheion |  | LHIIa |
| AGIII49-28. Mycenae |  | IMII |
| NAM 95.......Mycenae |  |  |

II. MYCENAEAN SEALS (where the main source of inspiration is Helladic, though Cretan influence may make itself more or less evident)

| East | West | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAM 9....... Mycenae |  | LHI |
| NAM 15......Mycenae |  |  |
| NAM $227 \ldots .$. Vapheio | M SL681......Kako- | LHII |
| NAM 228.....Vapheio | vatos |  |
| NAM 112......Mycenae | NAM 280......Rutsi |  |
| NAM 133.....Mycenae |  |  |
| uncert | provenance |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B40. } \\ & B N M 6 \end{aligned}$ | Peloponnese | LHII |
| NAM 79.......Mycenae | NaM 290.....Pylos | LHIIb, |
| NAM 89.......Mycenae | NAM 294......Pylos | IIb- |
| NAM 199.....Asine |  | IIIa |
| Dodecanese |  |  |
| Rhodes.e.lalysos |  |  |
| uncertain provenance |  |  |
| MMINY 2 | 1.294 |  |
| NAM 163.....Mycenae | Pylos.......Akona | LHIIIa |
| NAM 165.....Mycenae |  | IIIa-b |
| Thebes 175..Thebes |  |  |
| Thebes 21l..Thebes |  |  |
| NAM 408.....Dimini |  |  |
| NAM 137.....Mycenae |  |  |
| Pros.581.....Prosymna |  |  |


III. FORGERIES

Kydonia BN M6984
Thisbe AM 19381113

## APPENDIX TO CHAPTER TWO:

## 1 DEFINITIONS OF AESTHETIC TERMS

The STYLE of a work of art derives from its treatment of plastic elements, which in a sculpted object consist of LINE and FORM.

One aspect of LINE is its quality, in glyptics being either straight or curved. In Chapter Two, curves have been termed either 'graceful', to designate a sweeping line: $\uparrow+$ where the distance between peaks (indicated by arrows) are far apart, or 'stylised' (or terse): $\uparrow$ where the peaks are close together.

The direction of movement and relation of
lines refer to the schematic line formed by the figures, for example:
The FORM of figures involves their surface appearance or treatment of mass, their poses and their relationship to one another in multi-figured scenes or perspective. The term 'torsion' describes the pose of figures
which have been turned or twisted. 'Contorsion', however, is a non-technical term that expresses the feelings of the figure in torsion pose.

The SYNTAX of a work of art, or the positioning of figures in a defined space, is first given GENERAL discussion in Chapter Two. A more successful arrangement accommodates figures to the frame which a less satisfactory grouping defies the frame. The artist may or may not achieve UNITY and BALANCE in composition. UNITY concerns the relation of figures to the frame of the picture while BALANCE is the outcome of relating figures to one another.

A picture lacks UNITY if its aesthetic quality can improve by an expansion, contraction or partitioning of its frame.

Expansion may be caused by excluded matter which the beholder is curious to discover. Contraction may be due to a feeling that extraneous matter has been included which diverts attention from the focal point of the work of art. As the frame given to the seal engraver
is so small, unity is not generally destroyed in this manner.

Partitioning may be due to the existence of a number of independent subjects within the same frame.

UNITY is a relative concept, that is to say, a change in the frame of a picture may give it more or less UNITY, so that the statement that a picture has 'no unity' involves a subjective distinction of relatively tolerable from relatively intolerable lack of unity. This subjectively defined UNITY may be achieved either by the glances of figures toward one another, drawing our eye along an imaginary line connecting them (thus avoiding the need for expansion and partitioning of the frame), or by the pose of a single figure or many figures, turning in on itself and creating a closed circle within which the eye remains (also avoiding the need for expansion and partitioning of the frame).
BALANCE may be discussed in terms of individual
objects and the composition as a whole (or groups of objects).

If a 'balanced group' consists of individually
'balanced objects', the composition of the group is said to be statically balanced.
If a 'balanced group' consists of 'unbalanced objects', the composition of the group is said to be dynamically balanced.

A 'balanced object' is one whose forms would not collapse were they subjected to the normal rules of gravity, i.e. the object would maintain equilibrium. BALANCE is not absolute but rather may be regarded in degrees. Examples of a more stable balance are: a triangle supported on its base; a trapezoid supported on its long base; a horizontal line. A more unstable balance, where no great force is required to induce collapse, is evident in: vertical lines; a trapezoid standing on its shorter base. Such compositions are more tense. The use of the terms 'group' and 'object' depends on ore's level of generality. Thus, at the highest level of generality, a man and a
lion can be considered as a single 'object' which may either be balanced or unbalanced (or more or less stably balanced). This general 'object' can usually however be decomposed into other 'objects': man, lion, at the next lower level of generality. In order to distinguish between the two levels of 'objects', we term the more general 'object' a 'group' and its less general constituents 'objects'. The balance of the group can exhibit internal stresses that depend on the state of balance of its constituent 'objects'. Static balance contains fewer stresses than dynami- balance, but both forms refer to the entire 'group'.
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1. These definitions are convenient for use in this thesis. They do not necessarily agree with the definitions provided by aestheticians for various stylistic and syntactical features of all works of art; they only describe the manner in which terms are used in Chapter Two.

## CHAPTER THREE

# ORIGIN AND DEVELOPMENT OF ICONOGRAPHICAL FORMS <br> ON COMBAT SCEINES BETMEEN HUMANS AND ANIMALS 

As Mycenaean art first appeared on the Greek mainland fully developed at a time of the most brilliant phase of civilization in Crete and of Minoan colonization and contacts in the East, we are not able to assume that all the motifs: including subject matter, human and animal poses, attributes and composition, entered Hellas with the first Greek speakers. In order to understand the influences acting upon the Mycenaean artist and in this way to comprehend better the extent and type of relationship the Helladic peoples had with Egyptians and Orientals, we shall decompose our combat scenes to observe their elements
and attempt to find contemporary or previous examples of such motifs in the arts of Crete, Egypt, Cyprus and the Near East.

Our study begins with scenes depicting
COMBATS BETWEEN HUMANS AND LIONS. Table l summarizes the elemental motifs in leontomachies on Mycenaean seals (listed chronologically on p.198垈. In order to complete our picture of human-lion combats in Mycenaean Greece, we add three other objects which depict this subject, all from Mycenae belonging to the first Late Helladic period:

NAM Inv. 394: Lion Hunt Dagger from Mycenae Shaft Grave
This niello dagger blade shows on one side, a lion attacking a gazelle whose frightened companions flee. Side B gives the fullest Aegean portrayal of a lion hunt, where two lions flee while a third remains, up on its hind legs, to confront four warriors after having disposed of the first. The men carry both defensive and offensive arms: shields, a bow and spears or lances. If we may connect the activities on both sides of the dagger, side A may depict the reason for the leontomachy.

Stele 1427: Mycenae Shaft Grave A,V (see Ch.l, note 42) This stele depicts a man driving a horse drawn chariot, with a lion chasing a gazelle below. The scene has been given many interpretations. Most often it has been considered that two registers appear with isolated scenes. Thus, the charioteer's victim would not be in the lower but in the upper register, and he would not be a hunter of lions. However, the possibility of causal relationship between the activities on sides $A$ and $B$ of the lion hunt dagger, suggests that we may also here be concerned with the theme ol a man rescuing a gazelle from the clutches of the wild beast (a common theme in the Orient, where herdsmen protect their flocks).

Nauplion Museum 13575: Mycenae Shaft Grave B, I
Marinatos has reconstructed the design of this stele. According to his description, the actors are two human beings to the $r$. and 1 . The man at $r$. is upright holding a dagger, while the man at 1. has fallen either wounded or dead. Between them, is a three figured antithetic group consisting of two lions flanking an animal, only fragments of which remain.

Marinatos states that there were:






In his interpretation of the scene which as he says shows both the Aegean motif of lions catching quarry and the antithetic scheme of Asia:




The component aspects of these objects are listed in Table lb.

We derive the following information from Tables la and lb .

Leontomachies are equally common subjects for artists in eastern and western mainland Greece. More human-lion combat scenes were found in Early Mycenaean times in the east and in Late Mycenaean times in Messenia, but this may simply be an accident of excavation. The subject is popular throughout My-
cenaean glyptics from Shaft Graves to Fylos sealings and is the most predominant of human-animal combat scenes. In regard to other categories of the Tables, we see:

## Man's Position:

Body: Human beings are either in the Harmodean attitude, running, standing still, crouching or prostrate on the ground. Iil lion combats, humans are most often in the Harmolean pose, which appears from the beginning of Mycenaean glyptics in LHI and continues in use through its final stage at Pylos. The running posture to denote a man in action begins in Myc.IIa on NAM 112, but gains in popularity only in Myc.IIIa-b and is widespread at Fylos. Upright standing men occur on 'heraldic' and 'quasi-heraldic' scenes (Myc.III) and scenes of men behind standing lions (Myc.IIa). Crouching and prostrate men are only evident on the Lion Hunt dagger of Myc.I. Most figures have frontal torso (except NAM 112) and profile legs and head (except NAM 9).
Arms: The Harmodean arm position, which appears from LHI-IIIb is only used for dagger (or short sword)
carriers. Men hold spears or javelins (Myc.II-III) with both hands either up: $\sqrt{N-}$ or down: $I$. Arms which do not hold weapons are bent or straight (generally in antithetic three figure scenes and man behind animal scenes) and may be directed toward different parts of the lion's body. Direction toward the head occurs in IIIb on scenes of confrontation and antat.hetice scenes; toward the neck appears in confronting, man behind animal and antithetic scenes throughout the Mycenaean period; toward the front paws occurs on confronting and antithetic pictures in Myc.II-III; to the rump only occurs on NAM 280(IIa); some IIİ antithetic scenes show hands at the animal's mouth; only antithetic scenes of IIIa-b show men holdirg the feet of upside down lions and (IIIb) directed toward the back; IIa and III scenes of man behind animals and 'heraldry' show the man's hand above the animal's head. Lion's Position:
Lions are found in the following attitudes: upright profile, upright C profile, upside down in S, standing on forelegs with hind legs couchant, couchant, running
in a flying gallop. Most common is the upright lion, who at first keeps his hind legs on the ground and his body diagonal (LHI: NAM 9) or vertical (LHIIIIIa: NAM 228, NAM 290, M SL681), but then forms a C with head and hind legs perpenaicular to his vertical body (first on BN M6673 of LHII; variation seen earlier on Shaft Grave stele B, $\Gamma$ : LHI), which is most popular in the final stages of Mycenaean glyptics (Myc.III: NAM 165, AM 9P, BN M7271, NAM 89, Prosyrana 581, NAM 302, NAM 307, Peronne, NAM Inv.9048a,b, NAM 359--variation turned $90^{\circ}$ ). Possibly a futher variation, where limbs and head are on opposite sides of the body is the $S$ curve of animals held upside down in LHIII. The lion which is half standing and half couchant and the couchant lion appear in Myc.IIa(NAM 280, NAM 133, NAM 112) and the flying gallop is evident on the lion hunt scene on the Mycenae dagger and stele 1427 of LHI. Lions' heads are seen in top view at the beginning and end of Mycenaean glyptics (NAM 9: LHI and NAM 302, NAM 307, NAM 331, NAM Inv. 9048 in LHIIIb ; Nauplion 9875 in LHIIIc). Profile heads are popular throughout. Our only example of a frontal head on a leontomachy scenes comes in

Myc.IIIb on a seal from the Dodecanese, B 7.

## Weapon:

Offensive weapons used against lions are: the short sword or dagger, the spear or lance, bow and arrow, and in many cases none at all. Most often employed, throughout the Mycenaean period, is the short sword or dagger. The spear or lance is seen on the LHI Sháft Grave dagger and on NAM 112 (Myc.IIa). Its only possible occurrence in later leontomachies is on NAM 331 where the position of hands of the man at 1. warants a spear. The bow and arrow are only seen on the Shaft Grave dagger, of LHI. Daggers may be directed toward the animal's head (LHI), mouth (II), throat or nape of neck (III), to its back (IIIb) or are pointed above the beast (I-III). On the stele 1427 (LHI) and on the Nauplion seal(IIIc), the dagger is attached to the man's side and not employed. Spears are directed to the animal's head (I), to its throat (IIa) or to its belly and paws (II). Scenes where offersive weaponry does not appear begin in Myc.IIa (NAM 133, 280, though a rope may be postulated) and continue into IIIb.

Defensive armour, consisting of shields (tower and figure-of-eight) and the boar's tooth helmet
(BN M6673) appear in LHI and II, respectively. The chariot is used only by the man on the Mycenae Shaft Grave stele 1427 of LHI.

## Landscape:

Generally, there is no landscape detail on leontomachy scenes. Only NAM 228 displays a rocky ground. It is a surprise to behold the small trees or bushes on the Peronne seal, which might put the seal in doubt, were it not for its correspondence to the recently discovered Pylos sealings NAM 307 and NAM Inv. 9048a and b. In fant, a flower seems to be drawn near the men's feet on $9048 \%$

## Dress:

Nearly all men are girt at the waist (except on the lion hunt scene on the Mycenae dagger and BN M6673)either singly, doubly or triply and in two cases from Pylos (NAM 290, M SL 681), one from Mycenae (NAM 89) and on the Peronne seal, with a long, at times tasseled extension (though the Pylos examples, as Nauplion 9875 , look like scabbards). Figures are otherwise nude (II-III)
or wear in addition, shorts with tiered flounces in early Mycenaean times (NAM 9-NAM 290: LHI-IIIa) and in later Mycenaean times, shorter shorts with slight flounces or edgings (though early examples of this dress exist: B 40 of IIa). Only the man on NAM 89 (and possibly AM9P) wears a loin cloth.

## Hair:

Faces are often difficult to discern and even when visible, it is possible to mistake engraving of a chin in Technique 2 for a beard. The obviously bearded man is rare (NAM 89). Hair is normally short and either curly in globules (eg. NAM 9, Frosymna 581, NAM 89, AM 9P: LHI-III) or unworked (from LHIIa on). The $r$. man on NAM 307 (IIIb) seems to have long locks.

## Miscellaneous Objects:

On some seals, figures or objects are added to the leontomachy which may aid in our understanaing of the significabat of the theme (see Chapter Four). In two III scenes, dogs appear (NAM 165 and B 7). In the LHII $K$-iovatos seal M SL681, a 'Minoan Genius' stands next to the hunter; in a Pylos sealing, NAM 302,
a quadruped, called a cow by Sakellariou in the Corpus but which appears to be a hybrid with a cow's body, a horse's long legs and a deer's face.,
stands near a tiered construction which may be an
altar. If stele 1427 is indeed a hunt and sides
$A$ and $B$ of the Mycenae dagger are related, then these objects along with the Nauplion seal and the stele from Circle B, depict the lions' quarry (gazelles, cow) and thus the cause for the hunter's pursuit.

Of the odd objects and markings on the Nauplion stele 13575 , Marinatos says:


 Griffins attack stags on Thebes 175 and the BM cylinder. (For derivation, see man-griffin scenes).
Composition:
The main compositional schemes used for leontomachies are: confronting of two figures (occasionally of more) and the antithetic scheme involving three, or four figures in an $X$. The confrontation of two figures is predominant in the earlier period (first on NAM 9 and Lion Hunt Dagger: LHI) but is also seen later (in II-III: NAM 290, BN M6673, NAM 165, NAM 302). The antithetic pose of three figures, though evident
on Stele $B, \Gamma$ (of the animals), is most common in Myc. III (NAM 89, AM 9P, BN M7271, Thebes 175 etc.). The four figured pose is not found before the latest period of Mycenaean glyptics (LHIIIb: Peronne, NAM 307, NAM Inv. $9048 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ). Other poses which are rare are the man standing behind an animal (LHIIa: NAM 133,280), the chase on NAM 359 (IIIb) and the registers of the Nauplion cylinder.

In order to decide whether these features evident on Mycenaean leontomachy scenes are native Helladic or were imported into Greek art, we shall examine the art of peripheral areas: Crete, Egypt and the Near East for concurrent features.

As Crete was indeed the forerunner of Greece in the field of glyptics, it is imperative that we begin with a study of the leontomachy in Minoan art.

Combats and possible combats between humans and lijons in Minoan art only appear on seals. In the early period (EM), lions wore popular in art but they were rurely dopicted with human beings. Only three early Minoan seals may picture leontomachies:

HM 939 -- found in EMII deposit at Sphoungaras.
According to Hall, the face of this bird-headed ivory seal depicts:
"...the figure of a man standing between a
four-legged animal and a snake(?)...The sealing surface must be regarded as the prototype of those representations of goddesses standing between animals or birds heraldically placed, which are so characteristic of Cretan culture." 6

It is not evident that the quadruped is a lion. Kenna, comparing it with an Iranian work, calls it a dog. We only mention this as a possible man-lion scene.

HM 1201 - found at Marathokephalo in EMIII context. The excavator describes the seal picture as a:


 $\lambda$ ย̇ov $\tau \alpha \ldots$..." 8
The man resembles the spearman on NAM 227 in attitude. The motif of a man present while a lion attacks quarry is seen later on Mycenae stelai (LHI) and may recall the old oriental motif of a hunter saving his flocks from the ferocious lion.

Platanos 1103 -- was discovered in EMIII-MMI context in Hut $\delta$, a trench near Tholos $\bar{F}$ in the Messara. The
smaller end of this ivory cylinder is decorated with :
"...a pair of lions reversed back to back and each facing left, and behind each of them is a man (a hunter?) stretching forth his hand against the beast." 9

The following seals date to the MMIII-LMI period and later and depict a human figure standing behind or before a lion: H.T.134, Z.194, T.R. 47, HM 18, NY 26.31.246, HM 839, HM 1317, HM 3215, NAM 512, T.R. 46, Z(BSAxvii fig.2), BN M7985 (hound?, lion?), AM 1941.233. These scenes are often considered to be quiet episodes in the life of the god or goddess who ars attended by their protective beasts, or to be scenes of quiet rustic life. As demonstrated in Chapter One, some of these scenes may possibly betray a warlike state of affairs, and in parallel to NAM 133 and NAM 280, we include them as possibly bearing some relation to combat.

Of a more obvious combatant nature is HM 1756, depicting a man confronting a contorted lion from the Knossos Little Palace. Although of a more Cretan style, much of the inspiration for the scene may be

Mycenaean and hence its value in the description of Cretan features may be limited.

On an impression originally made from a flattened cylinder, Z.193: "Due uomini legano un leone, tenendolo capovolta con la testa in basso, e strin11
gendo in un mazzo le quattro zampe." Although the impression is poor, the animal does appear to have a leonine body and thickness at the neck or a mane. According to Levi:
"Anche l'episodio del leone catturato, dunque, rappresenta probabilmente un verace soggetto di vita e dimostra ancora una volta, con tutte queste descrizioni particolareggiate e originale dell'arte cretese, quanto verisimile appaia che il leone sia realmente esistito in Creta in epoca minoica." 12 (see later sections of Chapter Three).

Closely related to $Z .193$ is NAM 224 from the Vapheio tholos (LMIb/Myc.IIa), which we include as a seal of mainly Minoan inspiration. Another related piece is M 1171, a black steatite seal depicting two men tying a lion.

Other Cretan sealings and seals have heraldic designs, with a human flanked by symmetrically posed lions, either back to back with heads turned to the man, or facing each other with profile or frontal
heads. Cretan examples of both poses are found in LMII-IIIa, mainly in the Knossos palace destruction level.. The first type shall not be considered 13
as human-animal combat, but in the second there is a group of scenes with a vivid quality, of lions in C position and kicking, having physical contact with the man; these features might warrant their inclusion as combats: R 43 (HM 219, 252) and R44 (HM 218), which were found in the East Complex of the Falace at Knossos (LMII-IIIa). In all these cases, the lions in $C$ position or upright seem to grasp for the man as he 14 extends his hands to their heads.

Another 'antithetically composed' scene most definetly belongs to the sombat repertoire. On 15 Little Palace (Knossos) 19 a man strides to the left betveen two couchant oxen, one of which is attacked by a lion. We have all the ingredients here for an episode in the life of an oxherd, warding his flock against the dangers of wi.ld beasts. Representing a similar theme is HM 901 where a man stands before a bull which has been attacked from the back by a lion.

The confrontation between a man and two lions on HM 1200 seems likely to be connected with combat.

Table lc classifies information about the characteristics of thse Cretan human- lion combat and more often, possible combat scenes.

The scenes of human-lion conflict begin, then, possibly in EMII/III- MMI, at a time of popularity of the lion on cylindrical seals from the Messara. Their appearance is more clearly observed in MMIIILMI and after. Early Cretan seals with leontomachy motif are found mainly in the south of Crete (Messara), while from MMIIIb on, they are found at the great palace centers---in central (Knossos), Eastern (Zakro) and southern (Hagia Triada) districts. The subject never attains the active nature of Mycenaean leontomachies (except for HM 1756 which seems Mycenaean inspired). Other aspects of these scenes show:

Man's position:
Only the striding-standing and prostrate positions of humans on Mycenaean combat scenes are observed in leon-
tomachies from Crete. In the EM period, men stand with bent knees and in MMIII on they stand upright, possibly prototypes for the men on NAM 280 and 133 of Myc.IIa (though on Cretan seals, the entire human figure is drawn, the man's legs appearing below the animal's body or the human standing before the lion). The Cretan man-man combats provide a closer parallel for the action poses (Harmodean) on Helladic combats: H.T. 112, 113, 114, 115, Z. 190: LMI. HT 112 may depict a partial running pose, but not of the stereotyped quality of late Mycenaean seals and sealings. Figures generally have frontal torso (except NAM 224) and profile legs and head (except Z.193r(?) and possibly the EM example from Sphoungaras).

Arms: The Harmodean arm position in Crete is used for spear thrusters rather than dagger carriers. The Helladic position of arms bent up or down for the spear thrust does not appear. Precedents exist, however, for arms without weapons bent to the neck and rump in man behind animal scenes end to the foot on scenes where the animal is held upside down. Men's arms extend above the animal's head on 'heraldic'
scenes of LMII-IIIa, contemporary with the appearance of this pose in Greece.

Lion's Position:
The most prevalent lion attitude in Crete is the animal standing on four legs, which occurs throughout Cretan glyptics. The Helladic lions of Myc.IIa from Mycenae (NAM 133) and Pylos (H.M 280) stand up only on forelegs with hind legs bent. The only Cretan parallels are on Phaistos sealing 240 (MMIIa at the 17
latest according to context ), on the seal of a $\operatorname{dog}$ Z. 162 (LMI) and when the lion is couchant (Z.155, Z.143). Upright profile lion poses as NAM 9 (LHI) may also be found in Cretan lion scenes (H.T. 8 1 , 85, 86: LMI and Phaistos 234), but not on man-lion scenes. The later Helladic variation, the upright $C$ formation also enters Crete late, in HM 1756 (a contemporary most probably of BN M6673 and of Helladic inspiration) and is also seen on sealings from the last phase of palace occupation at Knossos (excluding a possible $C$ pose in EM of a possible lion: HM 939). Lions in flying gallop are evident in the Fhaistos sealings (eg.
233), which were found in the earliest phase of the first palace at Phaistos in association with pottery including polychrome Kamares ware and a Linear A inscription which is dated to either 18
MMI or IIa, The pose then without doubt originated 19
from Crete. Lions' heads are in profile view, possibly turned back. Top and frontal views appear late (LMII) and the top view (see HM 1756, HM 18) is rarer than in Greece. Due to a late entry into Cretan glyptics or a simultaneous entry as in Greece of lions in upright $C$ and with top and front view heads, the evidence for their derivation from Crete is not decisive.

## Weapon:

The only actively used weapons to combat the lion on Cretan scenes are ropes (Z 193, NAM 224, M 1171: LMIb) and possibly in one case, BN M7985, a dagger. The ropes are not evident on Helladic man-lion scenes (unless we postulate their existence on NAM 133 and 280 by analogy to BM 79). The dagger, so rare in Cretan man-lion representations and reservec for man-agrimi combats of LMII, was of great popularity in Greece. Other weapons as the spearfsceptre?) and the bow are
not used actively in combats. On other Cretan seals, however, lions are wounded by spears: H.T. 43 (LMIb), AM 330 (LMII), NAM 506 (Second Palace Period); they are wounded by arrows: H.T. 48 (LMIb), NY 26.31. 278 (LMIb according to Kenna ${ }^{20}$ ), BM 30 (LMII?), Agios Ioannes-Warrior Tomb III. 22 (LMII-IIIa); B 2 shows a woman with a bow. These examples then prove the use of such weapons as the spear and bow and arrow against lions from LMIo in Crete. The chariot is not used in lion combats in Crete and its origin is then yet uncertain as is the origin of the dagger which arrived late in use in Crete and was of greater popularity in Greece.

Defensive use of tower and figure-of-eight shields in Greece is only paralleled on T.R. 47, where the man carries the tower shield. The derivation of these weapons is Minoan but their use in lion combats is not necessarily derived from Crete. The boar's tooth helmet of $B N M 6673$ (and possibly on man-boar scene 294) is not seen on Cretan gems before LMIb and is not seen at all on Cretan lion hunts. In Crete, a conical cap with brim was commonly worn.

## Landscape:

As in most Helladic seals, there is often no setting, especially in LMII. Cretan seals may show plants, aereal perspective with rocks growing from above and below from MMIII onward, obviously a forerunner to Mycenaean landscaping. Small trees as on the Feronne ring and spiral and other dadoes are obvious on

Gretan man-bull scenes however but not on lion scenes: on the Vapheio Cups and many Knossos Temple Repository sealings.

## Dress:

Men are most often girt at the waist and may wear loin cloth and anklets on Cretan man-lion scenes. They may be clad in the flounced shorts (NY 26.31.246: LMII, and on scenes of men against other animals and against each other: B 8:LMI, H.T. 112-114; H.T. 115, H.T. 127) which are so popular on Early Mycenaean seals.

They may also be nude. The only feature of Greek dress not paralleled on Cretan seals is the long (tasseled) extension to the girdle (NAM 89, Peronne and scabbards: NAM 290 and M SL 681). The Cup Bearer though wears a kilt with hanging piece.

## Hair:

In Crete many men have short hair throughout LM times and are unbearded, as most youths partaking of hunts in Greece. Old Cretan men, as on the seal BN M6781, were bearded, as on a few Mycenaean lion combat scenes. The only trace of a beard on Cretan man-lion scenes is on NAM 512 and Vapheio seal NAM 224.

## Miscellaneous Objects:

The only objects found on Cretan leontomachies and possible leontomachies are a snake (EM) and oxen (L.P. 19 and HM 901: LMII-IIIa). The snake has no Helladic parallel, but the presence of oxen attacked by the lion may have the same significance as the appearance of the hybrid animal in Myc.IIIb on the Pylos sealing NAM 302 and of quarry on the Mycenae stelai and Lion Hunt Dagger (LHI). As these Cretan examples appear late, the presence of quarry is not necessarily a Cretan notion. No Cretan leontomachies depict dogs. The 'Genius' on M SL681 is of immediate Minoan derivation, according to Miss Gill, but its appearance on leontomachies is unparalleled.

Composition:
The pose of the man standing beside animal on Mycenaean IIa seals is predominant in Cretan glyptics from MMIII-LMI. There is a very early example of the three-figured ancithetic pose (EM: HM 939), which is isolsited ani not connected to the later appearance of this syntax in part in LMIb and fully in LMII-IIIa (mainly at Knossos). The X-four figured antithetic pose is only approximated on a two figure sealing from Phaistos. The chase is not evident at all. The most popular of all Helladic leontomachy compositions, the confrontation of man with animal in single combat, is seen only once on HM 1756 from Knossos (a LMII seal of Mycenaean inspiration). This syntax was used in agrimi combats in later Crete.

The characteristics of Mycenaean seals which cannot be derived assuredly from Cretan sources are: 1. The Subject itself: The active obvious leontomachy which is evident on many Mycenaean works does rot appear in Crete (except on HM 1756). We may only have the aftermath of the hunt (Kalathiana 851, NAM 224 etc.) or even quieter scenes. However, wounded lions
(H.T. 48), combatant lions (H.T. 84,85,86) and ferocious lions (H.T. 40, 43) appear in LMIb. Evans in his discussion of the origin of the lion and the lion hunt scenes scaues that the lion, first appearing in Crete on EN seals, was part of a series of elements derived from pre-dynastic and proto-dynastic Egypt. He further states that the lion:
"... is an exotic reminiscence. It is impossible to suppose that the animal existed in a wild state in the island. Neither does there seem to be any evidence of the appearance of the lion even as a sphragistic type -on the contemporary three-sided steatite bead seals of indigenous Cretan fabric. By the second Middle Minoan Period, however, probably owing to the intimate contacts with mainland Greece then established, the lion takes an active place as a motif of prism seals in hard stones." 22

Evans postulates that the lion motif came to Crete originally from Egypt and then from Greece to Crete and from Grete back to Egypt (Aahmose Dagger of c. 1571 B.C.).

The lion itself appears continuously in Crete preceding its appearance in Hellas. Leontomachies, however, aside from examples of the aftermath of the fight in EM and LMIb, and from a gem of much Mycenaean inspiration (HM 1756), do not gain much favour in the Cretan repertcire. For this reason, we may not attribute the origin of the leontomachy motif on Myce-
naean seals (LHI-III) to Cretan origin.
2. The Man's Position: Arms: Harmodean arm (dagger)
LHI on
bent or (spear)............ LHIIa on
without weapons:
to neck in antithetic, confronting
scenes..................... LHI on
to paws in antithetic, confronting
scenes
LHII-III
above animal's head in antithetic
scenes...................... LHIIa on
to mouth in antithetic scenes.... . LHIIIb
to head in antithetic and con-
fronting scenes.
LHIII
3. The Lion's Position:
LH II on
upside down in S....................... LHIII
head: in top view............................... LHI
4. Weapon:
dagger . ................................................... . . LHI on
directed to animal's head.............. LHI
directed to animal's mouth............ LHIIa
directed to animal's neck(throat)..... LHIIБ-III
directed to animal's back............. LHIIIb
directed above animal.................. LHI
spear. ..... LHI
directed to animal's head. ..... LHI(directed to other partsof animal's body on Cretanwounded animal scenes)
Chariot ..... LHI
use of defensive armour in hunt ..... LHI
5. Dress: tasseled belt extensions(in some cases scabbards)........... LHII-III
6. Miscellaneous Objects:
quarry..................................... . . LHIdogs...................................... . . LHIII
7. Composition:
antithetic three and four figures.. LHIII,IIIb
confronting combat pose.............. LHI chase....................................... LHIIIIn order to decide whether these features whichcannot be attributed to Crete are native Greek orforeign, we must examine briefly the glyptic andother arts to the south and east.

Mycenaean contacts with Egypt and possibly artistic exchanges begin in the Early Mycenaean period. The interaction becomes more evident in Myc.IIIa with Helladic vases at Amarna and in IIIb at Gurob and other 24 sites. Egyptian objects are also found in Greece. Stubbings' view that the late Mycenaean pottery in

Egypt is of Rhodian and Cypriot rather than mainland Greek provenance has recently been totally dis26
claimed by the discoveries at Berbati and by
Catling's chemical analysis of Mycenaean ware found abroad in order to determine its provenance. He states:
"The lack of Rhodian pottery in Cyprus, North Syria and Egypt is striking... Peloponnesian.. is the Mycenaean pottery from Tell el Amarna..." 27

In attempting to learn whether any aspects of Mycenaean leontomachy scenes, listed on pp. 241 to 243 , may be of Egyptian inspiration, we shall first be concerned with the glyptic art of Egyptian scarabs, and then with its other more monumental art.

According to Newberry,
"Hunting scenes on scarab seals appear for the first time during the Hyksos period... To 2 later period, probably not earlier than the nineteenth drrasty belong the common hunt scarabs... The first and rarest type shows a hunter with lions and cheetahs chasing a gazelle. The second and commonest type represents an archer hunting the lion and other desert animals. The thind type is more elaborate and depicts the hunter riding in a chariot drawn by one or more horses while on other scarabs, we sometimes see tic huntsman overtaken by a lion, and lying flat on the ground, apparently slain." 29

We shall here take into account all hunt
scenes involving lions, as the arrangement of
figures in the frame of the flat side of the scarab often obscures the victim of the hunt (gazelle or lion). According to Petrie:
"The familiar period of the lion was before the XVIIIth dynasty; in the XVIIIth and after it was more of a traditional and symbolic usage. This points to the lion having been largely driven back owing to the Hyksos and the XVIIIth dynasty love of hunting." 30

We shall then be concerned firstly with the leontomachy pictures on seals which were introduced with the coming of the people called Hyissos by Manetho, or the "Hikau-khoswet, 'Princes of the Desert 31
Uplands' or 'Rulers of Foreign Countries'. This title had been used as early as the Old Kingdom, but in this instance it is thoughe to refer to:
"...the infiltration into the Delta during the declining years of the Middle Kingdom of groups of several different western Asiatic peoples, chiefly Semites, forced southward, perhaps, by widespread disturbances in the lands to the north and east of Egypt. To the Egyptians the intruders appeared to be the same Asiatic fold...as those who from time immemorial had harassed the north-east border and already, during 32 the First Intermediate Period, had overrun the Delta." As these people remained in Egypt from 1720 to 1567, we may attempt to find in their art an origin for unexplained features of Mycenaean man-lion combats which were introduced in LHI.

The expulsion of the Hyksos brought the great XVIIIth dynasty and then the XIXth, with their stereotyped hunting scenes, where, according to Newberry:

```
"The cutting...(of scarab scene)...is generally deep and the subject is always more or less coarsely rendered; few specimens bear any trace of glazing, and when found it is always of an inferior kind, which has turned brown." 33
```

Later features of Mycenaean leontomachies may derive from XVIIIth-XIXth dynastic art.

A list of elements comprising Egyptian leontomachy scenes is given in Table 1d. Other Egyptian objects which may give fuller treatment of the theme are listed in Table le.

The art of the civilization to the East of Greece must also be considered at this point. There is evidence of some Mycenaean pottery at Ialysos and Miletus and possibly at Ras Shamra and other eastern sites in the Early Mycenaean period. By the Late Mycenaean period, Mycenaean colonies and trading posts were set up in the eastern Mediterranean and Mycenaean pottery was widespread in the Near East. Of the provenance of this pottery, Catling has found that:
"For Cyprus....the imported pottery belongs exclusively to the Peloponnesian group...Peloponnesian 36 products are met again at Tell Atchana in North Syria." Artistic exchanges are attested to by Mycenaean 37 ivories at Megiddo and possibly by oriental cylinders 38 found recently at Thebes. The elements of such oriental imports with leontomachy motif, found at Thebes, Perati, Argos and Crete, shall be described along with the elements of other Near Eastern seals of this theme, in Table If. Cypriot seals are classified in Table $l_{g}$ and other objects from the east are described in Tableslpg We shall consider seals beginning from the Kassite period in Mesopotamia and from the Second Syrian period in Syria.

We learn from the information supplied in Tables la-h that human-lion combats appeared in Egypt in the early Hyksos period and continued in great popularity in the XVIIIth and XIXth dynasties, thus spanning the entire time of popularity of this theme in Greece. The theme is even older in the Near East, where its popularity in the First Babylonian Dynasty and later is precedented by many confrontations between humans and lions in the third and second millenia.

As in Greece (but not in Crete), there is active combat in both Egypt and the Near East (though the action in early Near Eastern scenes may be set in the context of some myth or ritual and the lion fighters may be composite creatures rather than human beings). In order to be able to attribute the leontomachy theme to foreign Eastern and Southern influence, we must first be persuaded that lions did not exist in Greece (for example, as Nile landscapes did not) or that specific elements of this theme in Greece were preceded by similar motifs abroad. The appearance of the lion itself is seen earlier in Cretan art, but not lion combats. If lions did exist in Greece, as the realistic portrayals of lions by Mycenaean artists (see NAM 10) and the great popularity of the theme might suggest, then the artist would be depicting an event from daily life. However, as the case for the existence of lions in Mysenaean Greece 39 is not decisive and has often been denied, we must turn to specific eloments of the theme to notice any close Egyptian or Orianval parallels.

## Man's Position:

There is no precedent for the Harmodean arm position of dagger throwers in Egyptian and Oriental art. Possible contemporaries are rare and come from Egypt (LHI) and perhaps from Syria (Alalakh 28). These cannot be considered as forerunners of the stereotyped Mycenaean dagger pose. Hence, this pose on the Ras Shamra Cup ( 14 th c.) and on the Cypriot mirror handle (LBIII) may be seen as evidence of the spread of the Mycenaean motif eastward. The spear throwing position with bct: arms bent up or down to hold the weapon must also be Helladic in origin. There are rare occurrences of the pose in late XVIIIth dynasty Egypt and Orientals hold the spear with one hand if at all (the weapon may just protrude from under their arm). The extension of arms to various parts of the animal's body on three figured antithetic scenes is seen in the Near East (Middle Assyrian Group, eg. CANES 955) as it was in LMII Crete. Miss Porada has pointed out to me, however, that the position of human arms extending above the heads of lions is not Oriental. The appearance of this pose on the Enkomi cylinder

41
in the Nicosia Museum ( $15-14$ th c., the time of popularity of the theme in the Aegean) must be due to Mycenaean influence in the eastern Mediterranean.

## Lion's Position:

The top view head, which appears in earliest Mycenaean context in the Shaft Graves at Mycenae (NAM9) and which returns on Pylos sealings, is not popular on contemporary Egyptian lions. In the Near East it appears later in Mitanni examples and early in Early Dynastic seals (eg. on a seal of Lugalanda from Lagash, see Figure 28). Although the lion on NAM 9 much resembles the beast. on the Lagash seal, it is difficult to attribute a causal relationship to such a coincidence of features of over 1000 years apart (although it is true that Early Dynastic heirlooms have been found on Greek soil). We prefer to notice here only a correlation but no definite causal relationship. Lion body positions which entered the Mycenaean repertoire in the LHII-III periods are the upright $C$ pose and the $S$ pose formed by lions held upside down. The placing of lions upright occurs throughout Near Eastern glyptics in an attempt to
fit the long narrow frame of the cylinder seal. The animal may also be upright and diagonal on Oriental, Egyptian as well as Cretan seals (Hagia Triada). The upright $C$ variation of this pose, however, only occurs in Egypt in the miniature lion hunt from Tutankhamon's treasure. As Wrescinski points out, the circularity and freeness of the lions' 42 poses appear intrusive in Egyptian art. The torsional or circular rendering of animals in a circular field is generally attributed to Crete from the LMIb period (H.T. 48) and more commonly in the LMII period. The Mycenaean variation of this torsional scheme (discussed in regard to NAM 294 in Chapter Two, p. 113) portrays the animal turned, up in the air, decoraiiveiy and unnaturally to fit the circular frame. Although the animal has been speared it is not contorted with its head turned in on its body (as it would be in Crete), and the torsion of its body seems unjustified. It may be possible to see the same origin of $C$ poses in Mycenaean art. The upright pose (seen in Crete,Greece, Egypt and Near East) did not fit the frame properly and the

Mycenaeans, during the period of vogue of lentoid stones, attempted to make the lion fit the frame by turning round its head and legs, again for decorative reasons rather than in realistic portrayal. The S pose for lions held upside down appears in Greece at a time when animals were offen held upside down in the Orient. The lions on the Mitanni seal (Frankfort, $\frac{\text { Cylinder Seals }}{44}$ pl.42a) and on the cylinder found at Argos are held upside down vertically but the goat held on the Argos seal is in an $S$ attitude. As other Oriental seals found in Greece, eg. 45
at Perati , show other animals in this pose and since the lions on the Argive and Mitanni seals are slightly curving (in an L), it might be postulated that this motif derived from Near Eastern art.

## Weapon:

Unexplained features in LHI leontomachies are the use of the dagger, the chariot and defensive armour (shields). The dagger is not used in contemporary Oriental and Egyptian lion fights although it is seen on earlier seals from the East (see Figure 28 ). Once again, causal relationship cannot be inferred
over such a long time interval and we then consider the use of the dagger and the manner of its direction to various parts of the animal's body, on leontomachy scenes, to be native Helladic.

As the chariot appears only on the Mycenae stele 1427 which is of uncertain interpretation, and is not accompanied by the bow and arrow on Egyptian and Oriental chariot hunt scenes, we shall leave this element for discussion in relation to HUMAN-)nonbovine RUMINANT COMBATS.

The use of defensive armour as shields in Early Mycenaean leontomachies does not derive from Crete, although the actual weapons do. As we do not find shielded lion hunters abroad, we must consider this to be a Mycenaean feature. The use of the boar's tooth helmet (LHII) is also Helladic although headgear of various types is worn on both Cretan and Egyptian man-lion scenes (LMIb: T.R. 47, H.T. 134; Hyksos: AM pl.25,26).

## Dress:

The only unexplained feature of Mycenaean dress is the belt extension which appears in eastern mainland Greece (Mycenae and Thessaloniki?) and which in the
western Peloponnese (Kakovatos and Pylos) appear to be scabbards (see Figure 29). On an Oriental seal which seems to attempt to depict Aegeans 46 , a conspicuous feature of dress are the belt extensions of the type seen on the Peronne ring. This may then have been considered by Orientals to be part of Aegean (Cretan) dress. However, as the sporan was widespread in Egypt in an early period and belt extensions are evident on Middle Assyrian seals, that the feature of dress was originally intrusive in Mycenaean art.

## Miscellaneous Objects:

Appearing on early Mycenaean leontomachies are the quarry which the lion hunted and which the herdsman wished to protect. These ruminants appear very commonly on Egyptian hunt scarabs from the Hyksos period on. They appear more sporadically on contemporary Oriental cylinders. However, the notion of a herdsman protecting his flock from litons was of great popularity in early Mesopotamian glyptics. Hence, in regard to this element, we must observe the correspondence of all areas to be attributed either to artistic interactions or to a common fear in the
lives of eastern Mediterraneans.
The dog accompanies the lion fighter on the LHIIIa -b sealing NAM 165. On the late XVIIIth dynasty Egyptian painting, MMNY 267.1453, the dog appears in a leontomachy scene. It is possible that the use of the dog against lions derives from Egypt. (The use of dogs in hunts will also be discussed in conjunction with other man-animal combats.)

## Composition:

It is in this element that the greatest east to west influence has been proposed in the past. The confronting composition, generally forming a $\triangle$, may grow naturally out of man-man combats on Cretan seals. This syntax also appears continuously throughout Egyptian and Oriental glyptics from the Hyksos and First Babylonian Dynastic periods, respectively. In these cases, with a few Hyksos exceptions (eg. BM 66750, see Pl. 17 ), the lines of the scene relate to form a $\square$ rather than a $\triangle$. The dynamic confrontation then may be native to Greece, although the idea of single combat was an old one.
The far less dynamic compositional scheme of anti-
thetic three figured scene became fashionable in the Aegean at the close of the second Late Bronze Age period. Many scholars have remarked of the derivation of this scheme from the art of Mesopotamia, as it would appear that such a composition would allow the infinite repetition of figures needed to 47 fill the frame of a cylindrical seal. We must however insist that various characteristics of this 48 theme are not entirely new to the Aegean. 'Heraldic' antithetic scenes of three figures do appear previous to the LMII period in Cretan art: in EMIII HM939, where a man is flanked by a snake and possibly a lion; in EMIII-MMI Platanos 1103 , where the lions form a two figured antithetic pose (not strictly relevant here), and Z.193, NAM 224, M 1171 of LMIb , where the three figure fusc io not strictly antithetic. On Cretan scenes of men with other animals there are more striking examples. Furthermore, we find antithetically disposed animals on the Greek mainland in LHI on the Mycenae stele from Circle B, Grave $\Gamma$. The notion of antithetic syntax is not restricted to the Aegean and Orient as
isolated examples of this scheme appear in Egyptian art as well, eg. the Early Dynastic wall paintings from Hierakonpolis and the Hyksos seal BM 66742 (see Pl. I7). Then the idea of antithetic composition was not new. If the scheme of a man flanked by two lions was introduced from abroad in LHII-III, it was not done so without alteration (in the positioning of animals in $C$ and $S$ ), for adjustment to the frame of the Aegean seal.

The four figured antithetic scheme forming an $X$ is found among Pylos sealings NAM 307, NAM Inv.90483a, b and on the Peronne ring, of LHIIIb. The only Cretan precedent for such an X pose is found on a scene of two lions, Phaistos sealing 234 (at latest MMII). This pose however, is more common on Oriental seals of the First Babylonian Bynasty and later (eg. Newell 166). It is found on Oriental cylinders which had been imported into the Aegean: HM 1460 found at Astritsi Pediadhou in Crete. It is conceivable that the antithetic arrangement arrived at by crossing figures in an $X$, was derived from the East, where such a crossing pose created the diagonals needed to break the monotony of straight lines
of the Oriental cylinder seal.
A third pose, seen on the LHIIa seal NAM 112 and the IIIb Pylos sealing NAM 359, is the chase, where one figure has its back to the other. Such poses are seen in the Orient (eg. Second Syrian Group: CANES 942E, Cyprus:cl450: MNNY '74.51.4303) but are more common on Egyptian hunt scenes from the Hyksos period (BM 66744) on. It is possible that this pose is originally Egyptian.

Foreign influence on the Mycenaean leontomachy theme may then be observed in the elements of lion's position and composition and possibly dress and miscellaneous objects.

A second group of combat scenes involves MEN and BOARS. Table 2 lists all Mycenaean seals depicting this subject and in addition, the Mycenaean wall painting from Tiryns. This fresco fragment, which adorned tae wall of the second palace (LHIIIb) is part of a hunt cycle which pictures also chariotry and hunters with javelins and dogs. The boar hunt fragment depicts at right, the arms of
men thrusting spears into the head of the boar. Dogs follow in pursuit.

On the $b a s i s$ of these extant representations of man-boar combat, we see that examples of this theme come from both the eastern and western Peloponnese. They span the period from LHIIa-IIIb, but we may not draw any conclusions about the lack of LHI material on the basis of so few objects. Other elements of Mycenaean combats between men and boars are:

## Man's Position:

The standing fighters are in the Harmodean or partial Harmodean leg posture with arms bent: $\sqrt{N}$ to hold 52 the speare A variation of the pose is seen on NAM 294 (LHIIb-IIIa), where the man kneels (or, if the scene is turned $90^{\circ}$, he stands on one foot) as he thrusts his spear. The only wide deviation from the above postures for boar hunters is on the NY gem 26.31.294, where the man is prostrate, with a hybrid boar-bull (a pose better examined in conjunction with man-bull scenes). All heads are in profile.

Boar's Position:
The Tiryns fresco (IIIb) depicts the boar in a flying gallop towards its attacker. The Vapheio and Berlin seals (IIa) show boars in partial gallops, where forelegs are thrust forward and hind legs either do not exist or are on a lower plane (Vapheio). This may be a feeble attempt at the flying gallop as the human pose on NAM 227 may be a futile attempt at a Harmodean leg posture with arms bent to hold a spear. Heads of animals are all in profile.

## Weapon:

The spear was the weapon used for boar combat. It was this confromblag aynthe 53 always directed to the animal's head, above the eye.

## Landscape:

Rocky or grassy landscape is evident on the LHIIa
gems from Vapheio and the Peloponnese and on the
IIIb wall painting from Tiryns. The Vagenas lentoid has no scenery.

## Dress:

All men are girt and one wears shorts (LHIIa).

## Hair:

No men are obviously bearded. The men on the Berlin and Vagenas gems (LHIIa,TIB-IIIa, respectively) unlike the man on NAM 227 (LHIIa), have long hair.

## Miscellaneous Objects:

On two pieces from LHIIb-IIIa and IIIb, dogs aid in the capture of the boar. These animals had also been used in the hunt of lions (eg. NAM 163).

## Composition:

All combats are direct confrontations between men and boars. The majority of lion fight scenes were of this confronting syntax.

It is probable that some elements of boar hunt scenes: the Harmodean leg position, the flying gallop, the rocky or grassy landscape, the long hair, originated in Cretan art. In fact, nearly all the features found on Mycenaean man-boar scenes have been included in the engraving on the dageser blade in New York MMNY 26.31.499, which according to Evans was:
blade has been broken away, but its upper part, which is provided with three rivets, shows two short flanges on either shoulder to grip the edge of the wooden handle. The form itself is interesting, since, except that the shoulders are slightly more sloping, it. exactly corresponds with a type of short sword found in the annexe of the smaller ossuary tholos at Hagia Triada (PM I, p. 195)--the tang being in that case so far preserved as to show another rivet hole. This type, as pointed out above, is probably contemporary with the later class of polychrome pottery found in this annexe which belongs to the middle of MMII. The date of the Lasithi dagger can hardly be brought down lower than the close of that period." 54

Sandars supports the asssrtions of Evans about the 55 dagger blade itself. However, the authenticity of the engravings is open to question. Aside from the unique character of the technique, various features of human dress, landscape and the bull's gallop on the reverse side of the dagger, seem to be misunderstood copies of characteristics appearing on both Vapheio 56
Cups. We cannot then use this object with any certainty. The only other object from Crete which may have depicted human-boar combat is the fragment of a steatite vase found in trial pit $E$ of the town site at Palaikastro (MMIII), which represents only a galloping boar. Although it might be possible to reconstruct a human adversary, on the basis of Mycenaean representation, there are no intrinsic reasons
for doing so.

As the theme of combat between human and boar is not found in Crete or abroad, we consider its major elements to be of native Helladic origin.

Our next group of combats involve MEN and non-bovine RUMINANTS, such as deer, goats, gazelles, ibexes, antelopes, or generally, the quarry of larger and stronger animals as well as of human 57 hunters.

In addition to the seals listed in Table $3 a$, there are representations of this theme on palace frescoes. On a fragment from Pylos (Myc.IIIb), there is a confrontation beiween a man in a spotted tunic and a galloping stag. The man is ready to thrust his spear at the turned head of the animal. Other fresco fragments of huntsmen, hounds and stags appear to belong to the same wall painting. Fresco fragments from Tiryns also involve hunters and rumi59 nants, On fragments $189-193$, deer, hares and plants, and men wearing belted tunics and carrying two spears appear. One hunter walks with a hound and a
horse. Chariots also seem to be part of the scene. On fragments 199-222 ${ }^{61}$, deer stand behind one another.

Pictorial vases may also depict the theme of combat between humans and non-bovine ruminants. Fragments of a vase found at Mycenae near Tsountas' House, of LHIIIb date, depict galloping and stationary stags and pursuing hounds as well as men walking forward. Another Myc.IIIb vase, of Cypriot provenance, shows a man with spears behind a row of galloping stags whose necks are bitten by attacking dogs. A bell krater, also from Cyprus and of Myc.IIIb date, depicts a robed human figure with both arms extended to the right, holding the tail and hind leg of a galloping animal. Another animal appears behind. According to Karageorghis:
"The identification of the animals is not quite certain. They seem to be female. There are traces of horns (?) on the animal to the right." 62 The features of ruminant combats on frescoes and vases are enumerated in Table 3a.

> On the basis of the above extant objects, we notice that this combat theme existed in Greece from
the earliest Mycenacan period (deer in Shaft Grave art) until the late palace period, with the fresco decorations at Pylos in the west and Tiryns in the east Peloponnese. The following elements of these scenes are observed:

## Man's Position:

In most man-ruminant combats, the human figure is standing upright. In one instance from Ialysos he is in a running pose(IIb-IIIa) and on the Asine gern, his legs are in a partial Harmodean attitude (IIb-IIIa). Arms may be bent, holding the animal by the horns or holding a spear (IIIb). On the Pylos fresco, however, 2. Harmodean arm position is given to the spear thrower. Extended arms may hold a dagger (IIb-IIIa) or reach above the animal (IIb-IIIa) or for his tail and feet (IIIb). Heads are in profile.

Ruminant's Position:
On the LHI seal NAM 15, the deer is in a partial flying gallop, with a profile head turned back. The Pylos animal (IIIb) is seen in a similar attitude. The Tiryns fresco fragments (IIIb) present a variety of poses: stride, flying gallop, torsion pose, with
profile heads often turned back toward assailants. These poses are repeated on other objects. On NAM 163 (IIIa-b), the animals are upright on their hind legs. On the Asine and Ialysos gems (IIb-IIIa), the animals are upright but turned into an $S$ pose (heads).

## Weapon:

On the Mycenae picture (LHI), a marksman shoots at the deer from a chariot. The man on the Ialysos gem (IIb-IIIa) directs daggers to the back and neck of the animal. The men from the Pylos fresco and Aradippou vase (IIIb) aim spears at the animal. Defensive armour (helmets) is seen on vase fragments (IIIb).

## Landscape:

The scenery is most detailed on NAM 15 (LHI) with its hanging rockwork and plants. Only a wavy line appears on the Pylos fresco fragment (IIIb).

## Bress:

Some figures are nude and girt. The men on frescoes, however, are more elaborately dressed (IIIb), with belted tunic and gaiters. One figure from Cyprus we ars a long robe (IIIb). The belt worn by the
man on the Ialysos gem (IIb-IIIa) has an extension hanging between his legs.

## Hair:

Hair may either be short or long. Beards may be a sign of age, as the marksman on NAM 15 is clean shaven and the charioteer is bearded.

## Miscellaneous Objects:

Hounds appear on the Ialysos gem (IIb-IIIa) and on IIIb bases. They also appear on fragments of frescoes from Pylos and Tiryns.

## Composition:

The most frequently used syntax is the chase, with a man behind the fleeing animal (LHI-IIIb). The pursued creature often turns its head back toward its assailant. The confronting syntax is used on the Pylos freaco (IIIb), and on NAM 163 (IIIa-b), the anfithetic three figure composition is employed.

As the theme of combat between humans and non-bovine ruminants was often depicted on Cretan objects, it is possible that many of these elements on Mycenaean examples derive from Minoan art. We
shall then attempt to define the elements of such Cretan scenes. Cretan seals which possibly depict this theme are:

AM 6 -- an EM seal from Mirabello. On side $b$ of this three sided prism we see 2 man standing. Next to him is a horned animal with its boiy facing him. This animal either has its axis shifted and is thus standing with a raised head, or it is upon its hind legs with its head turned away from the man. Although no weapons appear, we include this as a possible man-goat encounter.

AM 14 -- an $\mathbb{E M}$ seal from southern? Crete. This mangoat scene extends over two of the three sides of a triangular prism seal. On side $a$, we see a man upright with one arm extended holding a spear vertically. His possible opponent, a wild goat, appears on side $b$, standing on a diagonal axis that Kenna 63 suggests might indicate a mountainous landscape. This is a possible combat scene as the weapon is evident, although the opponents aro separated.

AM 36 -- is an EM seal from Mallia. On side a of this rectangular seal, a man stands with his arms extended
to carry a pole across his shoulders, from which hang two horned animals. His activity may bear some relation to combat.

AM 51 - On side b of this EM three sided prism, Kenna sees a figure touching the agrimi's horns in possible ritual activity. The seated human may also be combating the agrimi.

G 34 -- an EM seal from the Messara. A man stands behind a tree and a horned animal raised on its hind legs. Although no weapon appears, this may be a combat scene.

G 63 -- On side b of this EM rectangular prism seal, a horned animal stands behind a man, possibly engaged in combat.

G 78 - On this EM triangular prism bead, on side $c$, 2 man sits with his hands extended toward a goat?, whith is perpendicular to him. Between the figures is a triangular object, possibly a knife. This has led M. Dessene to call the man a butcher. His profession is difficult to discern, but there is a suggestion of combat.

Mélanges Dussaud p. 122 fig.1:- a seal from MMI context from Mallia. On one of the three sides of this seal is depicted a man $u_{r}^{r}$. - ${ }^{\text {nt }}$ with arms extended to the necks of flanking couchant goats. Although no weapons appear, the human hand position suggests encounter. A later antithetic scene is R56.

PM I fig. 373:-- from the Hieroglyphic Deposit of sealings from Krossos belonging to the MMII-III period. Depicted on this sea? ing is a boy or baby, seatad below a goat. Above the animal are a spear and a netlike pattern behind it. Evans sees here the goat Amaltheia with an infant. Because of the appearance of weapons, although unrelated to the human figure, we include this object in our survey. Another Cretan seal of unknown provenance, HM 2114 has a similar scene.

AN 242 -- LMI from Kastri in East Crete. This amygdaloid depicts a man standing behind a horned animal (ewe?), as she suckles her young. He bends tc tie a rope around the animal's neck. Z. 15 from the hoard of sealings at Zakro (LMIb).

A man stands holding a kid in each hand. He is approached by two horned animals. Although there is no weapon, some aspect of the hunt may be depicted.

B 8 - probably of LMI date and said to be from Syra. A man with bent knees leans toward a spotted deer which is perpendicular to him. The animal's head is down and slightly contorted. The activities of both figures must be interrelated and although there is no visible weapon, hunt or capture may be intended.

AM 226 -- On this LMI (or MMIII-LMI) seal, a man attacks a leaping deer, attempting to kill him with his sword. A hound dashes below in flying gallop. MMM 14.104.3, from the same period, depicts an ibex galloping in the field and a hound running against it. Although no human appears, the hunter's spear above allows us to reconstruct another scene of chase.

HM 650 -- a Knossos sealing of destruction date (LMIIIIIa). A man carries two ruminants on a pole which is supported on his shoulders. Some aspect of hunt
and capture might be depicted.

AM 285 - an LMII-IIIa seal from Hagia Pelagia. On this gem, a man kneels to stab the neck of a contorted Cretan agrimi.

AM 320 On this LMII-IIIa seal from Mirabello, a man in running posture, with his torso bent back and head turned away, thrusts a short sword into the neck of a huge agrimi, its body curved toward him.

BM 76 -- On this LMII-IIIa lentoid, a man in running position with arms bent, spears an upright contorted ibex.

HM 1863 -- from LMIIIa-IIIb context at Sellopoulo, Tomb 1 (Knossos). Two men, one with bent knees and the other in a partial running pose, seem to carry a stag which is upside down between them. A 67 phase of combat must be depicted.

Other works of Minoan art also depict the theme of combat and possible combat between men and non- bovine ruminants:

Town Mosaics from MMII. On some fragments we find figures which may have comprised a goat hunt scene. Some pieces depict standing goats. Others show men, clad in loin cloths, carrying bows or spears. Some fragments depict outdoor settings.

PMIIIp. 185 fig. 128 -- a fragrent of a steatite rhyton of LMI? date. A man appears to be dragging a goat behind him by the horns. Below is a crested boar's tooth helmet, bearing little relevance to the scene.

Dendra Silver Goblet -- found on the Greek mainland in LMIb context in the Dendra tholos, but in Cretan style. Persson describes the representation on the goblet as a:
"....hunting scene with deer and hounds in various attitudes and situations -- on the portions preserved there was no trace of huntsmen. The hounds are depicted leaping at full stretch with the front of the body thrown far forwards and the hind part stretched straight back. .One of the deer is shown just at the moment whon it is breaking down: the head with its proud antlers is sinking, the forelegs are giving way under the animal, one hind leg is stretched far forward, the ther straight back as in a powerful stride. One deer is turning a somersault just lile the bull in the net on one of the Vapheio cups..." 68

Although there are no evident weapons, the presence
$\qquad$
of hounds and the lack of other attacking animals seem to imply that the human hunter was near.

Zapher Papoura Larnax -- from Chamber Tomb 9 at the LMIII cemetery of Zapher Papoura, Knossos. On one side of the larnax the four-spoke wheel of a chariot is drawn. Evans describes the other side:
"The left panel of the other side shows traces of a beardless man throwing a lasso which twists round the curved horns of a Cretan wild goat. His other hand seems to seize the goat's hind quarters, and in front of the animal is another man, the upper part much effaced, who apparently grasps its neck. The horns of another 'agrimi' are visible behind the first...On the partition between this and the next panel are palm trees." 69

As the quality of the photograph of this larnax, published by Evans, is very poor (or more probably the larnax is in a poor state of preservation) and as I was unable to find this object in the Heraklion Museum, Evans' description must suffice.

The elements of Cretan human-ruminant combat and possible combat scenes are summarized on Table 3b. We see that this theme appears from the beginning of Cretan seal engraving in EM and continues into the LMIII period. Hence, the subject matter which appears in LHI Greece, seems to be of Cretan origin.

## Man's Fosition:

Cretan seals from EM on depict men standing straight 70 or with bent knees, as on some Mycenaean seals. The Mycenaean figure leaning from a chariot (LHI) is unparalleled in Crete. The running attitude on the Ialysos gem (IIb-IIIa) is seen on contemporary Cretan seals (AM 320, BM 76) and earlier on the LMI seal AM 226. This one early Cretan instance, though, may not be responsible for the stereotyped running pose so widespread in LHIII. The partial Harmodean leg position on NAM 199 (LHIIb-IIIa) is seen earlier in Crete on'marlbull scenes (Z. 104 where a Genius replaces the man: LMIb) and is of Aegean origin. The spear throwing attitude (Myc.IIIb, but seen with other animals from Myc.I on) is shown on BM 76 (a se al which may be of Mycenaean inspiration). In LMI, arms are bent and grasp the horns and neck of the animal (seen in Myc.IIIb Greece). Arms extended with daggers as on the Ialysos gem (IIb-IIIa) are seen on contemporary Cretan gems (AM 285, AM 320). Then the Harmodean leg position and the arm position grasping the horns and neck of the animal may beeattributed to Crete, as may be the
original running pose.

Ruminant's Position:
The gallop seen on Mycenaean seals (LHI, III) and AM 226 (LMI), is of Cretan origin, as evidenced by MM sealinge from Phaistos: 224; 225, 233 and earlier Cretan seals HM 1435 and HM 1304. The striding or standing animal (Myc.IIIb) is precedented in Crete. The attitude of animals upright on hind legs in slight torsion (S) (IIV-IIIa) may be derived from upright animals seen from EM on and from the Cretan torsion school. The lack of contorsion however demunstrates a Mycenaean adaptation of the Cretan pose. The turning of heads back toward assailants is evident in both LHI and LMI and may be native to both arts.

## Weapon:

Of the weapons employed in Mycenaean ruminant combats, the dagger, spear and bow and arrow appear in Crete. The dagger appears uniquely on an EM prism bead $G 78$, but is not in vogue until the LMII-IIIa period in 72
scenes of active man-horned animal combat. The
appearance of the weapon on the LMI seal AM 226 , however, may attest to a Cretan origin for the use of this weapon against ruminants (seen in Myc.IIIa Greece). Similarly, the New York seal MMNY 14.104.3 gives evidence of the use of the spear in LMI Crete (preceding its appearance on Mycenaean inspired gem BM 76 and the Pylos fresco fragment of Myc. IIIb). The bow and arrow is used in MM times, but it is not used from a chariot in Crete as on NAM 15 (LHI). Furthermore, the defensive use of helmets (Myc.IIIb) is not found in Crete.

## Landscape:

Mycenaean landscape with its hanging rockwork and aereal perspective derives from Cretan art. The total lack of setting on some gems is found both in Crete and Greece.

## Dress:

Nude and girt figures appear early in Minoan art. The elaborate tunic ani gacters (Myc.IIIb) do not appear in crete. The hanging belt extension on the Ialysos gem (IIb-IIIa) and the long rcbe (IIIb) may

[^2]be intrusive in the Aegean.

## Hair:

In Crete, with the exception of the Town Mosaics, hair is short. Cretan hair styles may have spread to Mycenaean Greece.

## Miscellaneous Objects:

The hound seen in Myc.IIb-IIIa, appears on the LMI seal AM 226, and its participation in the deer hunt may derive from Crete.

## Composition:

All compositional schemes employed on Mycenaean objects with human-ruminant combats are found on EM-MMI seals. The chase is $\dot{c}$. l so evident on AM 226 , the New York gem and the Dendra Cup(?) at the time of its appearance on NAM 15. The confronting syntax is seen on the Mycenaean inspired group of man-homed animal scenes of LMII-IIIa (by analogy with leontomachies). The three figure antithetic composition appears uniquely in MMI before the Knossos destruction sealings, and the Cretan derivation for this later Mycenaean syntax is not certain.

> The comparison of the features comprising
man-non-bovine ruminant combats results in thefollowing list of elements which remain unexplainedby either Cretan art or obvious native Helladicinitiative (eg. the stereotyped running pose, anattitude seen in Crete and given Helladic treatment).

1. The Man's Position:
body: upright man leaning from chariot...LHI
2. Weapon:
bow and arrow used from chariot ..... LH I
use of defensive armour: helmet ..... LHIIIb
3. Dress:
tunic and gaiters ..... LHIIIb
hanging extension to belt ..... LHIIb-IIIa
long robe ..... LHIIIb
4. Composition:
three figure antithetic. ..... LHIIIa-b
A survey of Egyptian and Near Eastern artmay elucidate the origin of these features ofMycenaean combats between humans and non-bovine rumi-73nants (see Tables $3 c-3 e$ ). On the basis of objectsmentioned in the tables, we notice the following re-
Syrian Group cylinders.
lationships:

Man's Position:
The LHI pose of a man leaning from a chariot occurs on Near Eastern chariot hunt scenes in the Kassite period. The origin of this pose is directly connected to the origin of the weaponry involved.

## Weapon:

The hunt of deer with chariot and bow and arrow together occurs uniquely in Mycenaean art on NAM 15 (LHI). As Wrescinski points out, this mode of hunt in general was also an intrusive feature in Egypt which entered in c. 1600 :
"Dem alten Schema der Jagddarstellung, das sich bis in die Mitte des letzten vorchrist Jahrtausand nachweisen lässt, trat im 16.Jahrhundert eine neue Komposition an die Seite, deren Eindruck von dem leichten zweiradrigen, von 2 Rossen gezogenen. Wagen bestimmt wurde der damals aus Vorderasien ins Niltal gelangt war." 75

It is ossible that this feature entered Egyptian and Mycenaean art in LHI from the Near East. This mode of cumbat is very cormon on Kassite and Second Syrian Group cylinders.
Egyptian hunters (with lions and gazelles) may wear conical caps, possibly related to the Myc.IIIb hat.

## Dress:

The tunic and gaiters are evident only on monumental art in Greece. The tunic was frequently worn on Egyptian hunt scenes from Hyksos times, but it took a different form. This mode of dress must be native to Greece. The long robe (Myc.IIIb), however, is an intrusive feature in the Aegean and owes its origin to the Near East. The hanging extension to the belt (Myc.IIb-IIIa) is found in Hyksos Egypt and on Near Eastern seals (see man-lion scenes).

## Composition:

The three figure antithetic syntax, although appearing at Mallia in MMI and once at Knossos in LMII-IIIa (on the evidence of drawings in Evan's notebooks alone), is a rare scheme for men and ruminants in the Aegean. There are examples of this syntax on late XVIIIth to XIXth dynasty scarabs from Egypt and on contomporary cylinders from the Near East. Some Hyksos pieces al.30 employ this composition. As this syntax appears often in the east and south and sporadically in Crete, it may be of foreign origin. The adaptation of antithetic composition to fit a lentoid frame, as with leontomachies, appear's to be Mycenaean.

The majority of aspects of the hunt of non-
bovine ruminants were then imported into Greece, mainly from Crete and partially from abroad.

A large group of Helladic objects depict the combat between HUMANS and BULLS. We add the following objects to our list of Mycenaean man-bull seals on Table 4a:

Nauplion Museum 13576: Grave Stele, Mycenae Circle B:LHI A human figure seems to confront a charging bull which i.tself is being attacked on its back by a lion(?). Tiryns Palace Fresco: Myc.IIIb

The human figure (a woman as it is painted white?) is horizontal over the bull in Group II position. One foot tuaches the beast, the other kicks in the air and one hand grasps the animal's horns. Krater Fragment from Klavdia, Cyprus : Myc.IIIb On this vase fragment a spotted bull is faced by a man who holds two dogs on leads. Although there is no visible weapon, the man with his hounds may possibly be a hunter.

Excluded from this survey are the Athens
77 pyxis , where the man bears little relation to the


#### Abstract

bull and the vase from Cyprus 78 with a man and bull in Group I acrobatic position.


The theme of man-bull encounter is most popular in the later Myceraean period although it first occurs on the LHI Mycenae stele. The subject is prevalent throughout Greece, and consists of the following features:

Lan's Position:
Men rarely stand before the bull (Mycenae stele, Klavdia vase of LHI and LHIIIb, respectively). In one instance of Group III syntax the human is seated or kneeling (LHIIIb) and on another he leans backwards in a near running leg position (IIIa-b). In Group II scenes, the man is horizontal above the beast (IIb on). In the one Group IV scene he is partly suspended upside down (IIIa-b). Group V scenes show the man prostrate on the ground beneath the bull, from LHIIb on. Arms are generally extended to the head, neck, hoins, rump or back of the bull. Heads are in profile.

Bull's Position:

Animals either stand (IIb), trot with hind legs straight and forelegs bent (IIIat) or are in flying gallop (IIIa-b). Heads are generally profile and may be directed up, down or around (IIb on). Only one seal depicts a bull with frontal face (IIIb).

## Weapon:

The single example of a weapon is on the LHI Mycenae confrontation scene, where the man wields a club. Mycenaean scenes with wounded Julis: NAM 35, NAM 147, NAM 148 , NAM 175 ?, B 20 , demonstrate that spears were also used in bull hunt in Greece.

## Landscape:

Occasionally a branch, tree or plant (LHIII) denote the outdoor setting.

## Dress:

Most figures are nude and may be girt. There are rare instances of the wearing of a kilt (IIb), loin cloth and gaiters (IIIb) and flounced shorts (LHI,IIIb).

## Hair:

Hair is short. One IIIb man may be bearded.

## Miscellaneous Objects:

On one sealing (IIIb) a second bull appears. The LHI stele shows another animal, probably a lion, attacking the bull. In IIIb, hounds appear in a possible combat scene. The 'impaled triangle'(?) sign appears in IIIa-b (for significance see Chapter Four).

Composition:
Aside from the confronting composicion (LHI,IIIb), bull scenes fall into Groups II (Myc.IIb-III), III (Myc.III), IV (Myc.IIIa-b) and V (Myc.IIb-IIIa), as defined in Chapter One.

As the theme of human-bull combat and possible combat occurs only rarely outside the Aegean, we must look carefully at the Cretan material for the origin of elements of this subject. In accordance with the conclusions of Chapter One, Group I Cretan scenes shall be excluded from our discussion.

Possible combats between humans and bulle are observed on the following Cretan seals:

AM 202 (MMIII-LMI), two Hagia Triada sealings termed

80
taurokathapsia by Levi H.T. 108 and 109 (LMIb), NAM 274 (LMIb), AM 52S (LMII-IIIa), PM IV fig. 597 Bk (LMII-IIIa) and PM IV fig. 597 Bm (LMII-IIIa) are Group IV combats between men and bulls (although the last four pieces also bear relation to Group III). Group II scenes belong to the period of the Knossos palace destruction and include $\mathbb{M M} 185$ from Praisos, AM 248, AM 249, M 1180, AM 341, HM 1232, NMNY 26.31.291, and BM 78. Belonging to Group III are AGIII p. 49 fig. 28 and NAM 95, both of the LMII-IIIa period, and $\mathbb{H M} 169$ (LMIIIa). Only AM 249 belongs to Group V.

AM 247 - from the Peloponnese, of LMIb style. A man stands at one side with one arm extended and pointing (as NAM 133) toward a trotting bull. Below is another bull (according to Evans, a cow which acts as a decoy by analogy with Vapheio Cup $B^{81}$ ). The only possible weapon is a net, suggested by the chevrons at left (original). The scene may depict a form of chase.

AM 300 -- of LMII-IIIa(?) style. A man stands at one side bending toward three standing oxen, one of which turns its head back toward him. The pose
recalls AM 242. Although no weapon appears, the human actor's attitude repeats that of the man on AM 242, who held a rope. This scene is possibly some form of chase.

BM 38 -- from Crete, in LMII-IIIa style. A man at left (original) has lost his balance, being pushed by the nose of a bull standing behind him. Behind is another bull with raised profile head. The field is filled with a plant spray and uwo symbols often considered sacred, the impaled triangle' and the figure-of-eight shield (see Chapter Four for interpretation). This scene represents some type of man-bull confrontation.

BM 79 -- from Crete of LMII-IIIa style. A man stands behind a powerful bull in a pose recalling NAM 133 and NAM 280 lion scenes. His arms are bent at the elbow and in his hands is a rope which he has placed round the animal's horns. Although the bull appears totally disinterested, the use of the cope may indicate encounter between human and bull.

G 190 -- from Vassiliki Anogeia of LMII-III date.

On side $b$ of this triangular prism seal, a man stands behind the animal, as on BM 79. The 'bull' however is bizarre in form, being composed of two front quarters, one upside down. The human figure is also repeated below upside down. No weapons appear but the hand position might suggest a rope.

Little Palace 19 -- of Knossos destruction date. See man-lion scenes for a discussion of this sealing fragment. It seems as if the 1 ion, not the man, is out to kill the bulls. A similar theme is ropresented on HM 901.
Z. 104 from the LMIb hoard of sealings at Zakro. This is our only actual combat intarete which involves a bull. The spearsman is a Mínoan Genius and not a human being.

Other Cretan objects, mentioned in Chapter One, depict the combat of men and bulls: Vapheio Cups, the Katsambas Pyxis and possibly the Knossos Crystal Plaque. One panel of the Boxer Vase from Hagia Triada (LMIb) shows a man caught on the horns of a charging bull. It is possible that the man
dropped from above, landing by error atop the bull's horns, and will fall to his death as one figure on Vapheio Cup A. This may then be a Group IV scene and hence a man-bull combat.

Two EM - MM bull vases from Messara, Koumasa 4126 and Porti 5052, show in relief, two men clinging to the horns of the bull while a third (Koumasa) is spread across its forehead. According to Xanthoudides, these are scenes fr m the Minoan bull 82
ring, but they appear more like Group IV bull hunts.

We observe from Table 4b that Minoan bull hunt scenes are concentrated in the Late Minoan period, with the exception of the early bull vases. Our examples come from all parts of Crete as well as from mainland Greece. Comparison of Crこうan and Mycenaean features shows:

## Man's Position:

Men stand on Cretan scenes in LMIb and II-IIIa in compositional schemes of possible chase and man beside animal. As Mycenaean bull hunters are found in this position in LHI and it is such a natural
stance, this attitude cannot be intrusive in Helladic art. Humans are in a kneeling - near running pose on Cretan Group III scenes of LMII-IIIa. The pose of the man's legs on the Mycenaean seal NAM 137 (Myc.IIIa-b) may derive from this Cretan attitude. The Group II human position appears simultaneously in Crete and Greece in LMII-IIIa/Myc.IIb-IIIa. Its origin is uncertain. The suspended man on Group IV scenes is seen early in Crete, on the Messara vases of EMIII-MMI and from TMIb on and must be of Cretan origin. The figure of a fallen prostrate man on Group $V$ scenes is rare in Crete. Although it appears earlier on Minoan seals (AM 249) than on Mycenaean gems involving men and bulls, its appearance in early Mycenaean leontomachy scenes and its lack of popularity in Crete cause us to doubt its Minoan derivation. On Cretan scenes, arms extend to the bull's horns (Groups II, III, IV) or may reach for the head and neck, back or rump as on Mycenaean Group II, III and IV suones؛the origin of hand positions depends on the origin of each particular group). As in Greece, Minoan bulls' heads are in profile and possibly turned.

## Bull's Position:

The flying gallop is seen on Cretan bull scenes of LMI, preceding its appearance on Myc. III bull scenes. The trot appears on Cretan seals from LMIb and is of Minoan origin. This attitude is frequently seen in the LMII-IIIa/Myc.IIb-IIIa in Group II representations. The standing bull is common throughout Cretan glyptics but may be considered to be too natural an attitude to be intruis ve in Mycenaean art. Heads are in profile and often turned up in both Crete and Greece. The frontal face appears late in Crete (LMII-IIIa), preceding its appearance on the Pylos sealing.

## Weapon:

Weapons are rarely used in Cretan man-bull encounters. However, the complete hunt episodes from Katsambas and Vapheio (LMIb) demonstrate the use of the spear and net. The violent attack on bulls must then - 83 be considered to be entirely Aegean. 0 . There is
no example of use of the club in Crete.

## Landscape:

In Crete there may be plants in the field but, as in

Greece, there is often no landscape. Flora is given full treatment on the Vapheio Cups, the Katsambas pyxis and NAM 274.

## Dress:

Figures are nude and girt from LMI on. Other modes of dress seen on Mycenaean scenes: the kilt, loin cloth and gaiters, are of Cretan origin. The flounced shorts, worn by the man on the Pylos sealing, are seen in both Crete and Greuce in the 16 th c., but are of greater popularioy in Greece (on ieontomachy scenes).

## Hair:

The short hair of Mycenaean bull fighters is also seen in Crete from LMI on.

## Miscellaneous Objects:

The two Minoan examples where lions attack bulls with men standing by, occur in LMII-IIIa, after the depiction of such a scene in LHI Greece. The possible 'impaled triangle' on NAM 137 (Myc.IIIa-b) appears in its more camonical form on an earlier Minoan seal (LMII-IIIa), suggesting a Cretan origin for the
presence of this symbol on bull scenes.

## Composition:

The Group IV syntax, which appears late in Mycenaean art, owes its origin to Crete. The Group III composition, appearing in Myc.IIIa-b, is more popular in Crete in an earlier period (LMII-IIIa). Group II and Group V scenes occur simultaneously in both areas and their derivation is uncertain. The confronting of man and buil in single combat, seen possibly on the Myvenae stele of LHI, iз clearly depicted on the LMIb Zakro sealing 104.

A strong Cretan influence is obvious in the representation of the hunt of bulls in Greece. Some features, however, remain unexplained and may possibly be intrusive in Aegean art:

1. The Man's Position:

Group II-horizontai above bull.................LHIIb-IIIa
Group V- prostrate beneath bull................ LHIIb-IIIa
2. Weapon:

3. Miscellaneous Objects:

Lions attacking bull. ......................... . . . . LHI
4. Composition: LHIIb-IIIaGroup Vo...... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . LHIIb-IIIa
An examination of foreign art shows thatmost aspects of mainland combats between humans andbulls are native to the Aegean and intrusive inthe East (see Tables $4 c$ and 4d):
Man's Position:
The Group II horizontal position, appearing simul-taneously in Crete and nainland Greece in the LHIIb-IIIa/LMII-IIIa period, is depicted on one Egyptianobject, the Kahun box (XVIIIth dynasty) and on asingle oriental seal of the 15 th $c .^{85}$ The over-whelming popularity of this pose in the Aegean atthe time of its rare appearance in the East, makeit impossible to attribute it to foreign artistry.The attitude of prostrate man on Group $V$ scenesfrom LHIIb on may find ptecedence in the fallenman on the Mycenaean leontomachy (Shaft Grave Dagger).On the other hand, we must recognize that this wasthe most common pose for figures on Egyptian scarabs ofthe type where the king, in bovine form, tramples his
enemies (from early XVIIIth dynasty, Tuthmosis III on). This attitude may then be intrusive in Aegean art.

## Weapon:

There is no precedent for the use of the club in the East in connection with bull combat.

## Miscellaneous Objects:

The combination of men with lions attacking bulls appears earlier in Greece than elsewhere. As the motif of lion attacking bulls from behind became a very popular motif in Aegean art, we consider this feature to be Aegean. Whereas in the Cretan scenes the man's victim seems to be the lion, in the sculpture on the Mycenae stele he aims for the 87 bull's horns.

Composition:
The sporadic occurrences of Group II (as well as Group IV) syntax in Egypu and the Near East at a time of their popularity in the Aegean, only demonstrates the east and southward propogation of an Aegean scheme. As this syntax appears late in the Cretan man-bull repertoire at the time of its presence in

Greece, it may be a Mycenaean adaptation of the Cretan Group I acrobatic scheme and Group IV hunt syntax, to express an event in bull capture. The Group $V$ composition, appearing less frequently in the Aegean, may bear some relation to the Egyptian scarabs depicting the king as a bull trampling his enemies.

The only aspect of combat between humans and bulls as depicted on Mycenaean objects, which may be attributed to foreigr ari, is the Group V composition, with the human being prostrate beneath the bull.

A final group of combat scenes involve HUMANS with FANTASTIC CREATURES, notably the GRIFFIN. Our single Mycenaean example of this theme is NAM 324 (LHIIIb) ${ }^{88}$ where, according to Sakellariou, there are "...MZnner zwischen Greifen und Hirschen." However, all the creatures on this sealing appear to be winged and must then be griffins and not deer. The elements of this sealing, listed in Table 5a, are described below:

The running pose of the man is another instance of the stereotyped attitude so common at Pylos (Myc.IIIb). The animals' turning bodies and the circular, partly antithetic composition and dress all owe their origin to Aegean art (as discussed in relation to other man-animal combats). The hair, forming a tail behind, may be an intrusive feature. The hematite cylinder seal published in Syria, 1963 pl.XXI 4, depicting a man with similar attitude and hair style, suggests a Near Eastern derivation for this element.

The most obvious feature which is foreign to Aegean art is the subject matter itself, of the combat between humans and monsters. Mycenaean artists did depict battle between griffins and other animals, eg. Pylos Museum seal 15 and many ivories from Megiddof where there is a mixed Greek-Oriental tradition). As monsters such as dragons are often depicted in combat with men on Oriental cylinders (eg. Middle Assyrian CANES 596), we infer that the origin of this theme lies ir the Near East. The appearance of a man confronting a griffin on the
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Cypriot mirror handle from Tnkomi 0 T: 90 Cypriot mirror handle from Enkomi O.T. 17 is an illustration of the spread of the oriental motif westward in LHIII, and its adaptation into the confronting syntax of a man in Harmodean attitude stabbing his dagger into the griffin which is up on its hind legs. (For details of foreign objects with men combating monsters, see Table 5b.)


We conclude from the above analysis in Chapter Three that there was a great deal of interaction between Cretan and Mycenaean artists in the treatment of combat subjects and relatively fewer relations with Egypt and the Near East.

Contacts with the Near East did, however, span the entire Late Bronze Age and a degree of Oriental influences are found in combat scenes between men and lions (LHI-IIIb), men and non-bovine ruminants (LHI-IIIb) and men and fantastic creatures (LHIIIb). They are observed in the appearance of some miscellaneous objects: possibly in the quarry and the griffins attacking the quarry on lion combats (LHI and III). Accessories of dress as the belt
extension (Myc.II-III) and the long robe on the Myc.IIIb vase of men and ruminants, as well as the hairdo of the man combating the griffin on the Pylos sealing, seem to derive from the Near East. The method of hunting deer with a bow and arrow from a chariot (Myc.I) is also Oriental in origin, as is the $S$ position of the lion hanging upside down. (Myc.III). An important contribution to Aegean art is the introduction of the theme of combat between humans and monsters(Myc.IIIb). Of more consequence, however, are the Oriental additions to Greek syntactical schemes, the three and four figure antithetic compositions. These schemes are used with themes of humans combating lions, ruminants and possibly griffins, in Myc.III.

[^3](Myc.III) and the appearance of quarry in leontomachies from LHI may be attributed to Egypt. The Egyptian composition used to depict the royal beast trampling enemy warriors may provide the origin for the Group $V$ syntax on man-bull scenes (Myc.IIb on), and for the prostrate man. The 'chase' scheme on lion scenes may also be Egyptian. This composition is prevalent on scarabs and occurs sporadically in LHIIa and IIIb Greece.

The remaining elements of combat scenes between humans and animals originated in the Aegean. Gretan influences are strong throughout the Late Minoan palace period and may linger in Mycenaean art after the fall of Knossos. They are evident in combats involving men with lions, boars, ruminants, bulls and griffins. Human positions which may derive from Crete are the Harmodear leg stance (LHI), the early running pose (later stereotyped in Greece), the Group III attitude (LHIII) and Group IV suspended man (LHIII) in bull confrontations, and various arm positions: holding the feet, neck, rump, and directed
above the head of animals. The position of animals standing upright (LHI) may derive from Crete. The flying gallop, seen throughout the Mycenaean period, in combats with lions, ruminants, boars and bulls, and the trot on LHIII bull scenes, are Minoan poses. Similarly, the partial torsion of Mycenaean animals from LHII on, is copied from Crete. Bulls and lions in frontal face occur in Crete before they do in Greece.

Most variations of dress, hair style and landscape in Mycenaean art derive from Crete (although we can say that flounced shorts were more fashionable in Greece). The appearance of miscellaneous features such as the Minoan Genius on the Myc.IIa leontomachy and the hound on the manruminant combat of Myc.IIb-IIIa, may be attributed to Cretan influence. Certainly Minoan are the various compositional schemes of man beside lion (Myc.IIa) and of Group III and IV bull combats, as well as the circular composition on the Pylos sealing.


#### Abstract

The subject matter and many other features of combats between humans and non-bovine ruminants seem to be of Cretan origin. Only the direct confrontation of adversaries (by analogy with Mycenaean leontomachies) may derive from Greece. On the other hand, LMI Crete does provide us with a scene of single combat between a Minoan Genius and a bull, contemporary with the appearance of the humanbull confrontation on the Mycenae stele. Although this type of composition cannot be attributed with certainty to Crete (because of its more frequent appearance in Greece), many other methods of bull capture (Groups III and IV) and possibly the theme itself of encounter between man and bull originated in Crete.


The themes of human battle with lions and boars, on the other hand, were mainly developed in Mycenaean Greece. The appearance of quarry in lion hunt scenes may provide us with the reason for the hunt. Other native features appear in the categories of human and animal position, weapon,
composition and dress. Humans in Harmodean stance wielding daggers (LHI) and humans with bent arms directing spears at the animal's body (LHI) are native to Greece. The running pose, although seen on AM 226, was stereotyped on the Greek mainland to become the most prevalent among later Pylos leg positions. The representation of standing figures and profile heads cannot be intrusive features in Helladic art. Animal positions as the upright lion or its later $C$ variation and upright turned ruminants are native or native adaptations of Cretan torsion attitudes. The stance of lions on NAM 133 and NAM 280 is also Helladic as may be the top view head.

The offensive use of daggers, spears and possibly clubs along with the reliance on defensive armour as shields and helmets are observed in Mycenaean scenes with confronting composition. This immediate encounter of man and beast (from LHI on) is a distinguishing feature of Mycenaean combats. Another method of overpowering the animal which may be Greek is expressed in Group II bull hunt syntax.

The adaptation of the three figure antithetic scheme to the lentoid frame by employing animals in $C$ and S poses, is also Mycenaean.

The only native Greek garb worn by the hunter, either in confrontation scenes or in the preliminary procession to the hunt, is the sleeved and belted tunic with gaiters protecting the legs.
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It is a difficult task to decide whether the abbreviated, generalized pictures of combat between humans and animals on Mycenaean objects are expressions of ritual, myth or simply narrative of daily activities.

Combat scenes have been designated as RELIGIOUS on the basis of miscellaneous objects in the field such as fantastic creatures: the Minoan Genius (M SL681) and griffin (NAM 324), and cult paraphernalia or symbols: the altar and animal beside it (NAM 302) and the impaled triangle (NAM 137); the subject itself, of man-bull activities; the syntactical schemes
which, by analogy with interpretations of similar compositions in other civilizations to the south and east, have been imputed religious significance: three figure antithesis with a human between two animals and men standing beside or behind lions (NAM 133, NAM 280).

We begin with the elements of combat scenes which have the most obvious claim to religious significance, the miscellaneous objects. The first fabulous creature to appear on Mycenaean combat scenes is the Minoan Genius. This lion headed creature with a dorsal apperdage appears in Aegean art, according to Miss Gill:
"...not only...as an attendant on the god in
in the same manner as other attendants, but it performs in the divine realms the ritualistic actions of the human priesthood." 1

In its above aspect, the actions of the Genius and his fellow actors would be in some sense sacred. However, rather than considering the Genius simply as an attendant on the god and hence engaged in some ritual on the LHIIa Kakovatos cylinder M SL681, Miss Gill states that this scene is the only occasion
where the creature performs the original function of its Egyptian Tau-ert ancestor, that of divine protection. Of the scene, she says:
"It is impossible to decide whether the god is here battling with the lion, or whether it is the hero engaged in a pursuit that was still a reality on the mainland, if a rare one." 2

Hence, the appearance of the Genius alone is not enough to persuade us of a definite religious significance for the Kakovatos representation. In the capacity of Taumert, the Genius would not always appear in sacred surroundings but might be involveci in the protection of those neediest, namely mortals rather than gods. There is no other feature on the Kakovatos seal to distinguish it from all the other human-lion confrontations and to assume the divine nature of its hunter and the depiction of a religious act.
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The griffin appears uniquely on NAM 324 (Myc.IIIb) in combat with humans. It is depicted on other Helladic objects however, in combat with animals ( on the man-lion scenes Thebes 175 and BM 1945 10-13,133 and ivories; for a Cretun example see HM 367). This warlike aspect of the fantastic
beast seems at odds with its peaceful function in
Aegean art. Miss Gill states that in Mycenaean
art:
"...the mythical animals are portrayed neither practicing evil nor as the crushed antagonists of the gods and heroes like those of Mesopotamia. Theirs is a milder office. Attendants of the deity, guardians of the cult object, performing ritual acts, or just existing without particular attribute or action, they are if not benevolent towards mankind, at least not evilly disposed." 4

The more violent disposition of the griffin toward human beings (or of humans toward the griffin), as exhibited on the Pylos sealing and reflected more clearly on the Enkomi mirror handle, as stated in Chapter Three, owes its derivation to the Near East. The interpretation of this theme, which is prevalent in Oriental art from the Old Babylonian period, is religious. According to Frankfort:
"The representations (on Syrian objects) suggest that we should now separate the griffin from the winged and priffin headed demon with human body and limbs... Both may guard the sacred tree, but furthermore we find the griffin in hunting scenes, mostly killing game but occasionally being attacked by a bowman or, held captive, or rastrained by the griffin-demon from getting its prey. The griffindemon appears throughout as beneficial to man... It is possible that the griffin represents some terrifying power, against which the griffin-demon affords protection, or with which, in the shape of the griffin-demon, humanity can be reconciled...

Perhaps the consciousness of a great cosmic force might find double pictorial expression, namely in its purely terrifying aspect as the griffin, in an aspect more accessible to man's prayers as the griffin-demon. To complete our hypothesis we might add that we should like to regard the griffin as an 'Angel of Death'. 5

The distinction between griffin and griffin-demon is not so clear on Mycenaean representations. Rather a single beast with oriental and Minoan features appears in both peaceful and warlike scenes.
Because of this factor, in addition to the fact that the scene on NAM 324 was unique on the Greek mainland and that the creatures on this sealing are treated as pleasant designs rather than evil spirits inspiring terror, it is difficult to assume that the Greeks in taking the Oriental theme also adopted or understood its significance in the Near East.

Other miscellaneous objects appearing on combat scenes are the possible paraphernalia or symbols of religious ritual which would allow us to impart cult significance to a scene. A structure which may be an altar and an animal standing beside it are depicted on the human-lion combat scene

NAM 302. The closest Mycenaean parallel to such
a scene is the Mycenae ring NAM 119, where a
quadruped stands in the vicinity of an altar.
The structure on cur lion combat scene differs from
the tree-topped construction on NAM 119(see Figure 30),
but it seems to fit Nilsson's minimal requirements for an altar, namely:
"...a quadrangular construction of some
6
height upon which nothing has been superimposed..."
Its shape has no exact parallel on Mycenaean seals,
but it is tiered like the structures on NAM 127,
NAM 191 and Z. 4(Minoan). It most closely resembles
the construction on NAM 123, which appears to be
a platform upon which a pair of heraldic goats rest their limbs. Nilsson says that this 'platform':
"....with two steps and horizontal lines... must be one of the kind associated with sacred trees. The animals may be called either sacrificial animals or holy animals." 7

If we then assume the structure on NAM 302 to be an altar, the animal beside it may be a sacred attendant or a sacrificial victim. Furthermore, the leontomachy itself might take on some ritual significance. These corollaries depend on the meaning that
the altar had in Mycenaean religion.

According to Mrs. Vermeule, the evidence for public shrines themselves in Greece is meagre:
"The Greek situation is in stark contrast to Crete, where every major palace, villa, farmhouse, mountain top, and cave bears testimony to public cult. In Greece there are no proven centers of cave or mountain worship, no independent rustic shrines have been found, and even within the palaces there are no rooms particularly constructed as sanctuaries... the hearth itself (of the megaron) was the center of sacrificial ritual..." 8

Nilsson, however, mentions the cave cailed Lychnospelaio at Parnes, where Mycenaean pottery was found, and house shrines at Asine and Berbati. Then, although it is possible that shrines were found on the Greek mainland and that the altar on NAM 302 may have significance in Mycenaean religious worship, this feature seems to be divorced from the confrontation between man and lion (which is repeated on so many other seals without altarst. Without the altar, the theme depicted on NAN 302 is one of the oldest in the Mediterranean, uhat of 10
a herdsman protecting his flocks from the lion.
A cult symbol has been seen in the piant-
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like design on NAM 137. The interpretation of this human-bull scene as a religious act depends on two assumptions, that the design is an 'impaled triangle' and furthermore, that whenever such a sign appears, a cult scene is intended. The design itself does not take the canonical form of a pierced triangle. As compared with designs on other Mycenaean seals of the same period (especially NAM 90, see Figure 31), this sign may also be interpreted as a spray of vegetation, quite in order with the outdoor setting of a human-bull confrontation. However, even if this design were meant to be an 'impaled triangie', the generalization that the sign denotes cult activity on the basis of a few instances where it does appear in ritual 12
scenes would seem to be disproved by the bucclic nature of BM 38 and more so of NAM 106. Hence, it would be as a result of a shaky chain of argumentis that one could assign the Mycenae seal as a cult activity on the basis of the triangle-like design.

> A second element which suggests a religious
meening for representations is the subject matter itself, namely any activity which involves men with bulls, the animals which many consider to 13 have been the sacred beast of Crete. On the basis of the possible cult symbois as bucrania, horns of consecration, and bull sacrifice scenes on gems and paintings, scholars often claim that bull sports had a deep religious significance and that bull capiure was either for providing bulls for the sacral sport or for sacrifice. The animal could not simply be the victim of the act of the hunt. Persson goes so far as to claim the god's epiphany in taurine form:
"...that the god represented in the figure of a bull is a universal god of fertility and Heaven and that the bull games can be regarded as the great official spring festival." 14

Malten supports a religious intexpretation of these 1.5
activities. On the other hand Nilsson, in denying the sacred nature of the bull in Crete, states:
"It is often assumed that Minoan bull-fighting was a sacral performance, but there is nothing in the Minoan monuments to prove that it was more than a very popular secular sport." 16

Many representations of bulls may fall conveniently
either into the category of sacral sport or sacrifice. The most violent of 'sacrifices: takes place on a Minoan sealing, Z. 104, where a Minoan Genius attacks the bull over a pile of rocks (or cairn). According to Miss Gill, the performance of sacrifice was one of the duties oi the Genius. ${ }^{17}$ The interpretation, however, of scenes where a bull is pictured with a shaft wounding it, for example: Crete -- NAM 492, G 226, AM 301, AM 312, Z. 160, Greek mainland -- NAM 35, NAM 55, NAM 147?, B 20 , NAM 17, NAM 148 and possibly NAM 35, as sacrifices is more uncertain. There is no close contact of assailant and beaat on these scenes and no cult paraphernalia as the cairn to merit such interpretation. Rather, the bull in these instances seems to have been the victim of the violent secular human hunt. It is then possible that our scenes of humans with bulls, which contain no cult signs, are also instances of secular rather than sacred activity.
acicut We must further recognize that even if manbull scenes had a religious significance in Crete,
they might not have had such meaning in Greece. The bull does not seem to have been particularly revered on the mainland. We see few horns which may have symbolized its cult in Crete. Furtherrrore, the animals on many of our scenes are not even bulls but hybrids, and no particular care was taken in depicting the species of animal. Konna goes so far as to say that the Mycenaeans were simply mistakanly copying Minoan acrobatic scenes. It may be,however, that the Mycenaeans adapted poses from Cretan games (Group I) to suit the secular sport of bull hunting (Group II, in accordance with Group IV). The subject matter of human versus bull seems to have no particular sacred significance in Mycenaean Greece.
divino The third element invoked in the assignment of religious content to Mycenaean human-animal combat scenes is their composition. One syntactical scheme which, by analogy to Near Eastern and Cretan religion, has been thought to portray cult scenes is the antithatic placing of figures, generally two flanking a central vertical axis (often a third
figure). The origin of this belief stems from the assumptions that the adoption of the syniax from the Near East (which is not absolutely true as seen in Chapter Three) was accompanied by the ddoption of jts Oriental significance, where a god was usually flanked by his attendents or victims.

Evans attempted to explicate the sacred character of the symmetry which this syntax involved. He claimed that ancentral tree or column (central vertical axis of scene) had religious significance, by analogy with the Orientri Tree of Life and from the evidence of the Knossos Pillar Basement, respectively. He extended this assumption to state that a human figure standing as the central vertical axis and taking the place of the column or tree, must be divine as well, that is, a god. Such a view is supported by the Mycenae seals NAM 144, 145, where the central lady wears 'snake frames'. On these 'sacred scenes', the goddess has her hands on the headdress and away from the upright animals.

The arguments for religious significance of
all three figure antithetic poses rest then on the assumptions that all such poses are religious in the Near East and can be proven to be in Minoan religion, and that such ideas were carried to Greece as witnessed in NAM 144. We must first point out that many Oriental scenes of this syntax, especially where there is incomplete symmetry, have been given other heroic interpretations. Furthermore, the adoption of content does not necessarily follow the adoption of motif as Webster states:
"...literary borrowing and artistic borrowing are quite likely to be independent of each other." 19 In addition, the scenes which concern us are either not strictly symmetrical or the humans and animals seem to be in closer interaction than that of worshipper and worshipped. The antithetic scenes then are not necessarily religious.

Another syntactical scheme which has been considered to portray religious scenes is the inactive scene of human standing beside or behind an animal (here a lion). Hence, Marinatos considers the men on NAM 280 to be two gods, possibly by analogy with

Cretan scenes as T.R. 46, 47, H.T. 134, where others have seen gods and attendant beasts. For a discussion of the uncertain evidence for such interpretation, see Chapter One.

From the above survey, we may only conclude either that combat scenes were completely secular or that Mycenaean art was too general to include any specifically religious attributes or that Mycenaean religion itself was not at a level of organization or did not use the symbols which would make itself evident in art. The few questionable examples mentioned in this section may hint at religious connotation but not to the extent where we can generalize about combat scenes as a whole or even groups of combat scenes.

Aside from attributing religious import to many Mycenaean human-animal combat scenes, many have interpreted various sealstones as illustrations of HEROIC MYTHS. Mythological scenes in later Greek art are generally recognized by distinguishing attributes, unique poses or situations and in later
times, by inscriptions. Mycenaean scenes, unfortunately, lack inscription. The recognition of heroes by attributes and unique poses is beset by many hazards, as Mrs. Vermeule points out:
"Wie should only be able to distingulsh heroes from common men by finding them in an otherworldy setting with divine or weird attributes, but these are normally interpreted as religious scenes, since men are simply present to worship and there is no suggestion of narrative. 21 In either case gods, men and monsters come through clearly, but heroes 22 who are marked by spirit rather than looks are lost." The evidence which has been used for imputing mythological content to Mycenaean combat scenes is derived from the subject matter itiself: of a human fighting a lion, boas, stag or bull, because later myths involve such combats, or of a human fighting a hybrid beast (eg. bull-goat) or a fantastic creature (griffin) because of the unreal nature of the beasts: from the use of defensive armour as shields and helmets which were worn by Homeric heroes; or from the composition: the confronting single combat of human and animal described in later mythology, and the antithetic three figure scheme, by analogy to the content of Near Eastern scenes of this syntax.

We shall first discuss the element of subject
matter. The fact that men on Mycenaean works of art contend with animals that were fought by Herakles has often led to the designation of such scenes as mythological. Schliemann sees in NAM 9 "Hercules killing the Nemean lion". He wonld similarly have considered the man on $A A N 22_{1}^{n}$ or $B 40$ to be Herakles against the Erymanthean boar and the man on NAM 342 to be Herakles contending with the Cretan bull. Schliemann's view may be supported by scholars who realize that Herakles' activities seem to occur in Mycenaean times and expect that his myths originated in this period as a native creation or 24
as an import from the East.

Banti only admits that these hunting scenes
"...are at most the stuff of which myths were made..."
She recognizes as well that:

> "Hunting scenes, suggesting Herakles' or

Theseus' labours - that is, a man fighting against a boar, or a bull, or a lion, or a stag -- are very rare in Minoan art." 26

Banti seems to derive the heroic character of the (Herakles type) man-fighter-hunter from the Inco. European nature of the Greeks in Mycenaean times:
"The Greeks were warlike people; they loved fighting and hunting; they had a high opinion of human dignity, so high an opinion that they imagined their gods exactly as they themselves were. In consequence, their myths are tales of fights and hunts, of violence and crimes of death, of extraordinary adventures and labors accomplished by heroes; men who were often related to the gods and were in consequence stronger, more powerful, more courageous than ordinary beings." 27

This Indo-European derivation proposed for heroes such as Herakles might support Schliemann's views. However, if according to Banti, heroes are simply glorified beings doing daily tasks, without special attributes, we shall not be able to distinguish them from ordinary beings in artistic representation and to designate any particular seal scene as heroic.

Other scholars have recognized a Heraklean figure in the mythology of the Near East, which would have reached Greece in Mycenaean times as a possible subject for seal representation. This thesis is most thoroughly examined by Levy and 28 by Frankfort. Herakles is recognized on Oriental objects by his distinguishing attributes and adversaries. On an Akkadian seal (c. 2500 BC ) from the 29
Southesk Collection , Herakles is identified by a
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lion skin, a club and a bow. On another Akkadian seal from Tell Asmar, a lanceman and his aide combat a nine-headed dragon-bodied monster (hydra?). On a Sumerian seal from Tell Asmar, a man opposes a serpent-bodied creature. 'Her'akles' holds the 'hydra's' heads in his henas.


Various figures in Oriental mythology might be represented in these scenes as the Eastern Herakles: Ninurta of Nippur, Marduk and Gilgamesh. Ninurta, a member of the Sumero-Akkadian pantheon, in his 'warrior aspect' was the subject of two epics, where:
"Gudea presented the War-god with the following symbols of battle..."The chariot subduer of the foreign land; bearing splendour, clothed in terror, and its young ass....with its coachman, the mace of seven heads, weapon of battle...the mi-ib weapon of hulalu stone, with head of a panther, which turns not back against the foreign land, the sword of nine emblems, arm of valiance, the bow which roars like an ash forest, the angry arrow of battle which darts like lightning, the quiver which puts out its tongue against the gnashing wild beasts and the serpent dragon" (Gudea Cyl. 137 12-9)" 30

This last mentioned serpent-dragon, according to Langdon, is one of the eleven dragons produced by Tiamat in the Babylonian Epic of Creation.

Ninurta's weapons are listed in a fragment of a hymn of praise. Aside from the bow, arrow and quiver, he speaks of:
"The divine'lion with fifty teeth' sj.ckle of my Anuship, I bear. My divine merciless lion shattering the mountain, I bear...My mace with seven heads, which like the mighty serpent with seven heads murder does I bear...! 31

According to legend, Ninurta slays a lion-like monster Labbu and a six-headed wild goat.

Of the 'Heraklean' traits noticed on the three Mesopotamian seals, Ninurta is endowed with the proper weaponry, and does battle with a seven-headed serpent, dragon and six-headed goat (which bear some resemblance to the monsters on the seals). Frankfort strengthens the argument for identifying Ninurta as the Griental 'Herakles! by stating that the Tell Asmar seal $32 / 738$ was found in the temple 32
of A-bu, a title of Ninurta. He also notices that the 'Herakles' figure on the Southesk seal has plants shooting out from his shoulders and is approached by a goat. These features are expl ined by the fact that:
"Like all gods who were 'sons', Ninurta was originally also Tamuz, son of the Earth-Mother and died each year with perishing vegetation. Abu was also a title for Tammuz. He is spoken of in one Sumerian epic: "On that hero, as on a bull, I place my confidence. My lord, merciful to his city, solicitous for his mother, scaled the mountain and scattered seed far and wide, and the plants with one accord named him as their king"•" 33

Frankfort takes this last phrase as further proof of the earthly nature of Ninurta. He states:
"In (Southesk)....we see a figure which precisely unites the attributes of the hunter and warrior with those of a god of fertility." 34

Another god associated with the slaying of a dragon (chaos) and hence possibly related to our seal scenes of 'Herakles' is Marduk in the Babylonian Creation Epic. In his laborr to kill the dragon, Marduk uses bow and arrow, mace and lightning. but Holl In order to associate the figure on the Oriental seals with the Greek Herakles, we must find traces of Herakles' myths and attributes in those concerning Ninurta and perhaps, to a lesser extent, Marduk. If the Herakles myth were transmitted west in the Bronze Age, then these episodes and attributes should be evident on Mycenaean combat scenes. However, the aspects of the 'Heraklean labors' as de-
picted on Helladic seals are unparalleled in Eastern art. The episodes drawn in the Orient (nf the fight with the hydra?) do not appear in Mycenaean art.

The case for the establishment of the body of Herakles myths is further weakened by the fact that representations in Mycenaean art contradict aspects of the later known episodes involving Herakles. For instance, the Nemean lion
"...was an especially formidable deast because it was invulnerable. Herakles therefore couj.d of course make no impression on it with his bow or other weapons..." 35

This is not the impression that one derives from the close combat of the lion with a dagger on Mycenaean seals. The Erymanthean boar "was to be caught alive" ${ }^{36}$, but Helladic spearmen try to kill it.

Thus, although Herakles may have ancestry in Mycenaean times possibly in the mythology of the Orient, yet he is not represented in any recognizable form in Mycenaean combat scenes.

The one other Oriental figure associated with Herakles, by his status as a semi-god and animal tamer
is Gilgamesh. However, the evidence used to identify Mycenaean figures as Gilgamesh is not subject matter but the compositional scheme of the three figure antithetic scene and the matter will be discussed below under the element of composition.

A second subject matter which has been suspect of mythological content is the contest between humans and hybrid beasts (goat-bull, boar-buil) or monsters (griffin). It would be unjustified to interpret the hyhrid animals as other than misunderstanding or fanciful representations of the bull. The griffin combat on NAM 324 is more intriguing and if not religious in content, might belong to a cycle of mythological tales. The humans are unarmed in this chase scene, unlike the man on the Cypriot mirror handle (LH IIIc) who has a helmet and shield. If some story about a man battling a griffin did exist, it was not well enough defined to be given a standard representation in Mycenaean art. It is also possible that the monster was added as a curiosity to the Mycenaean repertoire of humananimal confrontations.

Any attribution of mythological content to a scone because the hunters employ defensive armour, such as shields and helmets, which was described in Homeric epic, is based on circular reasoning. Homer described the actual weaponry used by all wariiors and hunters in Mycenaean times, which only later takes on heroic connotations. If anything, heroes would combat animals without the use of any defensive weapons at all. As both protected and unprotected hunters appear in similar scenes (for example, NAM 228 and NAM 290), the use of defensive weapons cannot be decisive in determining the content of the picture.

The element of composition is often invoked in the designation of a scene as mythological. The confronting syntax, where two figures of equal strength are engaged in single combat, has been thought to support Schliemann's thesis that the Heraklean labors are depicted on Mycenaean seals. However, one must recognize that the field for seal representation was small and scenes depicted may have been excerpted from pictures with many fi-
gures, as suggested on NAM 307, NAM 331 and B 7. On the Lion Hunt Dagger and the Tiryns Boar Hunt Fresco, the central figures are in confrontins syntax, although other figures appear, hence denying the evidence of 'single combat' for mythological content.

The composition of three figure antithesis with a human flanked by two animals, has often been associated with Oriental representations of Gilgamesh and hence have csen interpreted as mythological, involving Gilgamesh's Greek counterpart, Herakles. Gilgamesh was a warrior god who was also associated with Tammuz and hence a vegetation god. Lile Herakles, "He was two-thirds god and one third man". In his attack on the monster of the cedar forest Humbaba (in both Assyrian and Sumerian texts of the epic), he plans to cut the beast's head and uses a bow and quiver, sword and hatchet. Gilgamesh also slays a bull (Ishtar's epiphany as the bull of heaven) after Enkidu seizes it by the horns. Furthermore, Gilgamesh in his quest for the plant of 'never grow old' resembles Herakles in his final apo-
theosis (this may be a 7 th c. B.C. addition to the Herakles myth, however).

Then, if Gilgamesh can be identified with Herakles, then the syntax used in the Orient to illustrate Gilgamesh's exploits may represent Herakles in Greece. However, the assignment of a human flanked by animals as Gilgamesh is uncertain and contested by many orientalists. Furthermore, we must heed Webster's warning that artistic form and literary content may be imported independently of one another. Hence, even if we could equate Gilgamesh with Herakles (itself risky), the basic assumptions that Gilgamesh $=$ man in antithetic syntax, and that the transmission of this syntax to Greece would be accompanied by the transmission of its content, may be opposed. Therefore, we may not reach the conclusion with any certainty, that in Greece, Herakles $=$ the man in antithetic syntax.
There is then no conclusive evidence for
attributing mythological content to any of our sealscenes. It may be that our very difficulty in
recognizing mythological scenes may be symptomatic of the fact that the body of myths known in later times was not yet sufficiently widespread in Mycenaean times to present a codified picture of heroes and their exploits, known to all Greeks and hence proper for representation on works of art. According to Mrs. Vermeule, heroic myths may not have existed at all until there was a need for men to sing the praisies of Mycenaean heroes, after the 39
heroes had dicu. It is also possible that existing myths (as well as religious beliefs) portrayed on gems, are hidden from use because of the general nature of Mycenaean art itself, where individualizing features and location in space and time are disregarded. Only the combats between men and griffins may give us a glimpse of the tales which may have circulat.ed in Mycenaean times.

A final possible interpretation of Mycendean combats between humans and animals is to consider them as narrative of DAILY RUSTIC ACTIVITIES in the lives of ordinary men or at most nobles and kings (as seals were the property of the aristocrats).

Supporting this interpretation is the fact that the patterns of hunt are varied on representations. More than one man may attack the beast, as on B 7 and NAM 331. Dogs may aid as often in everyday hunts. The quarry appearing in some leontomachy scenes provide the oldest cause of human battle with lions, seen in earliest Egypt (Lion Palette), and the Near East (Early Dynastic seals), that of the protection of the herdsman's flock from the ravaging beast.

We conclude that the scenes of combats between humans and animals as a whole may most consistently be interpreted as narrative of daily activities of nobles and kings. As Mycenaean kings were possibly semi-divine in their own times and were later the subjects of heroic myths, their daily activities became the episodes for later Greek mythology. The few intrusive man-griffin and man-lion-altar scenes either take foreign motifs out of their native contexts and carry none of their original import, or faintily hintata religious or
mythological state of affairs or a level of artistic expression which was not advanced enough to distinguish everyday events from otherworldly activities or imaginary tales.
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## CATALOGUE

For Athens Museum seals:
NAM $9,15,79,89,112,133,137,163,165,199,227$, $228,280,290,294,302,307,314,324,331,340$, 342, 359, 378, 408
see Sakellariou, Corpus, with the following corrections:
NAM 79: man and hybrid bull-stag
NAM 228: black shiny matorial
NAM 378: man's legs kicking above a bull (Group II)
NAM 408: agate

NAM Inv. $9048 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$
Athens Museum
fragmentary: elliptical(?)

```
a: 1.5 < 1.5 cm. b: 1.3 x 0.7 cm.
```

sealings
two men, with backs to one another, battle two lions
Pylos -- excavations of 1960
Sakellariou, Nux $\quad$ vä̈x $\eta^{\prime}$ Epparısoyupía, pl. 11.

B 40
Berlin Museum Inv. S. 4517 , in Charlottenburg, W. Berlin amygdaloid

1. 2.0 cm . th. 1.5 cm .
cornelian
spearman attacks charging boar in outdoor setting said to be found in Peloponnese
originally in Rhousopolis collection
Furtwängler, Beschreibung der geschnittenen Steine in
Antiquarium no. 40.
B 7
Berlin Museum Inv. S. 4486, in Charlottenburg, W. Berlin lentoid
d. 2.0 cm .
sardonyx
two men, one with short sword, extend their arms toward an upright lion in centre; below the lion is a dog said to come from Syme (Dodecanese island near Rhodes) originally in Rhousopolis collection

Furtwängler, Beschreibung no. 7 .

M SL681
München Museum antiken Kleinkunst
cylinder

1. 2.2 cm . d. $0.4-6 \mathrm{~cm}$.
variegated grey and yellow agate
swordsman in centre attacking upright lion; Genius to left
found Kakovatos - 1909
given by finder to Mr. James Loeb, bequeathed 1933(4)
to München
PM IV p. 463 , fig. $387^{1}$

BN M6673
Bibliuthèque Nationale (Paris), Cabinet des Médailles
lentoid
d. $1.5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$.
red jasper
spearman wearing helmet attacks an upright lion
originally in possession of M. Hanbar and acquired by the museum in 1907

PM IV p. 575

BN M7271
Bibliothèque Nationale (Paris), Cabinet des Médailles lentoid
d. 1.9 cm .
hematite
man standing between two upright lions
originally in possession of M. Hanbar and acquired by museum in 1909

Annuario 1925-26, p. 197, fig. 244.

## Peronne

Musée de Peronne, France
ring with elliptical bezel
bezel: 1.3 .2 cm . w. 2.1 cm
gold
two men, one armed with sword or dagger, battle two lions said to come from Thessaloniki

Festschrift für F. Matz, p. 21.

## BM 1945 10-13, 133

British Museum
cylinder

1. 1.7 cm .
hematite
man holds two lions upside down; griffins attack stags
found in Golgoi, Cyprus
originally in Southesk collection

Southesk Catalogue vol. ii, Q dl4, pp. 123-24.

## MMNY 26.31 .294

Metropolitan Museum New York
lentoid
1.97-2.07 cra. diam.
serpentine (green jasper)
man prostrate beneath hybrid bull-boar
AJA 1964, pl. 2, 22.

AM 9P
Ashmolean Museum, Oxford Inv. 1938.1054
lentoid
2.1 cm . diam.
white banded agate
man stands between two upright lions
Cretan Seals, p. 149, pl. 18.

## Rhodes-Ialysos

Rhodes Museum, uncatalogued
lentoid
d. 2.2 cm .
variegated white and black sardonyx
man combats an upright ruminant which turns its head toward him; dog below
Ialysos Tomb XXI
Annuario 1923-24, p. 126, fig. 46
Thebes 211
Thebes Museum
flattened cylinder

1. 2.7 cm .
variegated brown and white agate (onyx)
man suspended upside down with knees bent to his body and arms reaching toward neck of bull galloping below Kadmeion --Antigone/Pindar Streets site, 1964 excavations ILN November 28,1964 , p. 860 , fig. 4.

## Thebes 175

Thebes Museum
cylinder

1. $1.8 \mathrm{~cm} . \quad$ d. 0.9 cm .
man holds two lions upside down; griffins attack stags
Kadmeion --Antigone/Pindar Streets site, 1964 excavations ILN November 28,1964 , p. 861, fig. 5.

## Pylos Museum

Pylos Museum, uncatalogued
lentoid
d. 1.5 cm .
green chalcedony
man horizontal above a hybrid joat-bull (Group II)
Akona Tholos 2 (Pylos)
Ergon 1963, p. 84, fig. 86.
Prosymna 581
missing from Athens Museum(?)
lentoid
d. 1.5 cm .
agate
man stands between one upright and one upside down lion Prosymna Tomb XXXIII

Prosymna vol.ii, fig. 581.
Nauplion 9875
Nauplion Museum
cylinder

1. 2.1 cm . Cd. 0.7 cm .
onyx
man with dagger at his belt stands above a lion which in turn is above a ruminant

## Jannopoulos

?
lentoid bezel of ring (modern setting) ?
?
man horizontal over a galloping bull (Group II);
triglyph and rosette pattern below
said to come from Larissa

Arch. Anz. 1959, p. 106, fig. 25b

## INDEX OF MATERIALS

agate (onyx)
NAM 133, NAM 199, NAM 408, AM 9P, M SL681, Thebes 211, Thebes 175 , Nauplion 9875, Prosymna 581(?)
amethyst
chalcedony
conglomerate cornelian
gold
green jasper(serpentine)
red jasper
hematite
rock crystal
sardonyx
black shiny material
sealings

NAM 290
NAM 227, Pylos (green)
NAM 294
NAM 79, NAM 137, NAM 280,
B 40
NAM 9, NAM 15, Peronne
MMNY 26.31.294,
NAM 89, BN M6673
BM 1945 10-13,133, BN M7271
NAM 112
B 7, Rhodes-Ialysos
NAM 228
NAM 163, NAM 165, NAM 302, NAM 307, NAM 314, NAM 324, NAM 331, NAM 340
NAM 342, NAM 359, NAM 378,
NAM Inv. $9048 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$

## INDEX OF SHAPES

amygdaloid
cylinder
flattened cylinder
elliptical bezel(ring)
fragmentary: elliptical
fragmentary
lentoid

NAM 79, NAM 112, NAM 137,
NAM 290, B 40
Thebes 175, BM 1945 10-13, 133,
Nauplion 9875, M SL681
NAM 9, NAM 199, Thebes 211
NAM 15, NAM 89, Peronne
NAM 302, NAM 307, NAM 314,
NAM 331, NAM Inv.9048a, b(?)
NAM 324, NAM 340, NAM 342,
NAM 359, NAM 378
NAM 133, NAM 163(?), NAM 165(?),
NAM 227, NAM. 228, NAM 280,
NAM 294, NAM 408, B 7, AM 9P,
BN M6673, BN M7271, Pylos,
MMNY 26.31.294, Prosymna 581,
Rhodes-Ialysos, Jannopoulos

## INDEX OF MUSEUMS

England - Ashmolean Museum, Oxford
AM 9P
England - British Museum, London
BM 1945 10-13, 133
France - Bibliothèque Nationale-Cabinet des Médailles, Paris
BN M6673, BN M7271
France - Musée de Peronne, Peronne
Peronne
Germany - Charlottenburg Museum, West Berlin
B 7, B 40
Germany - Museum antiken Klénkunst, München
M SL681
Greece - National Archaeological Museum, Athens
NAM 9, 15, 79, $89,112,133,137,163,165,199,227$,
$228,280,290,294,302,307,314,324,331,340$,
342, 359, 378, 408, Inv. 9048 a,b
missing: Prosymna 581
Greece - Nauplion Museum, Nauplion
Nauplion 9875
Greece - Pylos Museum, Pylos
Pylos (Akona), uncatalogued

Greece - Rhodes Museum, Rhodes
Rhodes-Ialysos, uncatalogued
Greece - Thebes Museum, Thebes
Thebes 175, Thebes 211
Greece - Jannopoulos Collection(?)
Jannopoulos
U.S.A. - Metropolitan Museum, New York

MMNY 26.31.294


B - Lecture
Page Acrobats and Bulls in the

Arenas of Minoan
Crete

C - Books
Mercklin Der Rennwagen im Griechenland 1909
Nilsson $\frac{\text { The Minoan and Mycenaean Religion }}{\frac{\text { and its Survival in Greek }}{\text { Religion }}} \quad 1950$
Rodenwaldt Tiryns II. Die Fresken des Palastes 1912
Schaeffer Ugaritica II 1949

| A - Periodicals |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Journal of Archaeology (AJA) |  |  |  |
| Blegen | The Palace of Nestor Excavations of 1938 | p. 557 | 1939 |
| Blegen | The Palace of Nestor Excavations of 1952 | p. 59 | 1953 |
| Blegen | The Palace of Nestor Excavations of 1953 | p. 27 | 1954 |
| Blegen | The Palace of Nestor Excavations of 1954 | p. 31 | 1955 |
| Mellink | Review of Biesantz | p. 337 | 1955 |
| Blegen | The Palace of Nestor Excavations of 1955 | p. 95 | 1956 |
| Blegen | The Palace of Nestor Excavations of 1956 | p. 129 | 1957 |
| Vanderpool | 01 Newsletter from Greece | p. 283 | 1957 |
| Blegen | The Palace of Nestor Excavations of $195 \%$ | p. 175 | 1958 |
| Blegen | The Palace of Nestor Excavations of 1958 | p. 121 | 1959 |
| Blegen | The Palace of Nestor Excavations of 1959 | p. 153 | 1960 |
| Blegen | The Palace of Nestor Excavations of 1960 | p. 153 | 1961 |
| Blegen | The Palace of Nestor Excavations of 1961 | p. 145 | 1962 |


| Blegen | The Palace of Nestor Excavations <br> of l962 | p. 155 | 1963 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vermeule The Fall of Knossos and the Pa- |  |  |  |
| lace Style |  |  |  | p. 1951963



| Coldstream Five Tombs at Knossos | p. 30 | 1963 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wace-French Pottery Groups from Mycenae | p. 44 | 1963 |
| Betts Notes on a Possible Minoan Forgery | p. 203 | 1965 |
| Gill The Knussos Sealings:Provenance and Identification | p. 58 | 1965 |
| Bosanquet, Dawkins The Unpublished Objects from the $\mathrm{Pa}-$ laikastro Excavations | Suppleme Paper I | $\begin{gathered} \text { ntary } \\ 1929 \end{gathered}$ |
| Annuario della R. Scuola Archeologica di Atene (Annuario) |  |  |
| Maiuri Jalisos | p. 83 | 1923-24 |
| Levi Le Cretuli di Hagia Triada e di Zakro | p. 71 | 1925-26 |
| Levì L'archivo di cretuli a Festos | p. 7 | 1957-58 |
| Antike Kunst |  |  |
| Erlenmeyer - Zai Borlin Von Minoischen | p. 9 | 1961 |
| Antiquity |  |  |
| Hood Tholos Tombs of the Aegean | p. 166 | 1960 |
| Archaeologia |  |  |
| Evans Prehistoric Tombs of Knossos |  | 1906 |
| Archäologischer Anzeiger (Arch. Anz.) |  |  |
| Biesantz Griechisch-Römische Altertumer in Larissa | p. 106 | 1959 |


Vermeule Review of Kenna
British Museum Quarterly
Forsdyke Mycenaean and Greek Gems ..... p. 48 ..... 1934
Bulletin de correspondance hellénique (BCH)
Hassoulier Catalog descriptiv des ..... Fo. 185 ..... 1878 objets découverts à Spata
Vollgraff Fouilles d'Argos p. 364 ..... 1904



## Gnomon

Vermeule Review of Desborough and
Hammond:The End
of Mycenaean
Civilization and
the Dark Age

Hesperia

| Blegen | An Early Tholos Tomb in Western Messenia | p. 158 | 1954 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Bonner | A Miscellany of Ingraved Stones | p. 138 | 1954 |
| Heath | Early Helladic Clay Seal- | p. 81 | 1958 |
|  | ings from the |  | 1958 |
|  | House of the Tiles |  |  |

Illustrated London News (ILN)

Marinatos Pylos 27-4
1957
Taylour, Papademetriou The Last Days 23-9 1961 of Mycenae
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { Platon, Touloupa } \begin{array}{c}\text { Oriental Seals from } \\ \text { the Palace of } \\ \text { Kadmos }\end{array} & 1964 \text {-11 }\end{array}$

Ivories and Linear | B from Thebes |
| :---: |$\quad 1964$

Sakellarakis A. khanes
26-3
1966

Jahrbuch des Kaiserlich deutschen Archåologischen
Institutes (JdI)

Müller | Studien zur kretisch-myke- |
| :---: |
| nische Kunst |$\quad$ p. 1927

Journal of Hellenic Studies (JHS)

| Evans | A Mycenaean Treasure from Aegina | p. 195 | 1893 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Evans | Primitive Pictographs | p. 270 | 1894 |
| Walters | On Some Antiquities of the Mycenaean Age | p. 63 | 1897 |
| Hogarth | The Zakro Sealings lod | p. 76 | 1902 |
| Hogarth | Some Bronze Age Vases from Zakro | p. 333 | 1902 |
| Dawkins | Pottery from Zakro | p. 248 | 1903 |
| Evans | The Ring of Nestor | p. 1 | 1925 |
| Wace | Mycenae 1950 | p. 254 | 1951 |
| Hood | Review of Biesantz | p. 360 | 1957 |
| Vermeule | Painted Mycenaean Larnakes | p. 123 | 1965 |

## Kadmos

Kenna Seals and Scripts p. 1 1962,3,4
Taloupa Bericht über die neuen Aus- po 251964
'H Kaधnusptvi
$\begin{array}{llll}\Pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \text { ยv } \boldsymbol{\Pi} \delta \eta \zeta & K \alpha \delta \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\iota} \alpha & 1964\end{array}$

| Hughes, Warren Two Sealstones from Mochlos | p. 352 | 1963 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kenna The Seals of Kalyvia Messara | p. 327 | 1963 |
| Mitteilungen des deutschen Archäologischen Instituts Athenische Abteilung (Ath. Nitt.) |  |  |
| Lolling, Walters Mitteilungen aus Thessalien | p. 97 | 884 |
| Lolling, Walters Das Kuppelgrab bei Dimini | p. 435 | 188 |
| Dümmler Archaische Gemmen von Melos | p. 170 | 188 |
| Lolling, Walters $\begin{gathered}\text { Das Kuppelgrab bei } \\ \text { Dimini } \\ \text { II }\end{gathered}$ | p. 136 | 1887 |
| Dörpfeld. Tiryns-0lympia-Pylos | p. | 1907 |
| Dörpfeld Alt-Pylos I | p. 295 | 1908 |
| Maller Ale-Pylos II | p. 269 | 1909 |
| Karo Stizungs-Protocolle | p. 178 | 1910 |
| Karo Schatz von Tiryns | p. 119 | 193 |
| Opuscula Archaeologica (Op. Arch.) |  |  |
| Furumark The Settlement at Ialysos and Aegean History 1550-1400 | p. 160 | 1950 |

La Parola del Passato
Levi Per una Nuova Classificazione p. $70 \quad 1960$

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  $\tau \circ$ र̃ K\& $\delta \mu$ о | $\text { 0. } 32$ | 1927 |
|  | 0. 129 | 1951 |
|  $\Pi \varepsilon \rho \alpha \tau \tilde{}$ | $\sigma .88$ | 1953 |
| / |  |  |
| Revue Archéologique (RA) |  |  |
| Gobineau Catalogue d'une collection $d^{\prime}$ intailles asiatiques | p. 238 | 1874 |
| Tsountas Trois Chatons des Bagues Mycéniennes | p. 7 | 1900 |
| Winklemannsprogramm- Marburger |  |  |
| Biesantz Minoische Bildnisgemmen | p. 9 | 1958 |
| B - Series, Presentation Volumes, Seminars, Lectures |  |  |
| Cambridge Ancient History (revised edition) (CAH) |  |  |
| Blegen Troy | no. 1 | 1961 |
| Matz Minoan Civilization:Maturity and Zenith | no. 12 | 1962 |
| Desborough, Hammond The End of Mycenaean Civilization and the Dark Ages | no. 13 | 1962 |
| Stubbings The Rise of Mycenaean Civilization | no. 18 | 1963 |
| Stubbings The Expansion of Mycenaean Civilization | no. 26 | 1964 |


| Stubbings The Recession of Mycenaean Civilization | no. 27 | 1965 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Etudes Cretoises |  |  |
| Xenaki-Sakellariou Les Cachets Minoens dans la Collection Giamalakis |  | 1958 |
| Festschrift für Fo Matz |  | 1962 |
| Kenna Some Eminent Cretan Gem Engravers | p. 4 |  |
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|  |  |  |
| Mélanges Glotz ( V .l) |  | 1932 |
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Table la


| DATE | OBJECT | PROVENANCE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POSITION } \\ & \text { body } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OF HUMAN } \\ & \text { arms } \end{aligned}$ | head | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POSITION OF } \\ & \text { body } \end{aligned}$ | ANIMAL head | WEAPON | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAND- } \\ & \text { SCAPE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Vhess | HAIR | MISC; | COMPOSITION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LHI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LionH. } \\ & \text { Dagger } \end{aligned}$ | My | Harm. 1. prostrate crouching | bent bow-pose | profile | up.profile gallop | profile <br> prof.turn. | spear h bow-arrow shields | none | flounced shorts | short | gazelles on reverse | confronting |
| LHI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NaupI. } \\ & 13575 \\ & \text { stele } \end{aligned}$ | My | stand prostrate | extended turned | profile | upright profile | profile | dagger? club? | w2z meander? | none |  | cow altar? | confronting \& three fig antithetic |
| LHI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NAM Inv } \\ & 1427 \\ & \text { stele } \end{aligned}$ | My | stand <br> (in char. | ) extended | profile | gallop | profile | chariot dagger? | none | ---- | ---- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gazelle } \\ & \text { horses } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | chase |




Table Id (2)

| DATE | OBJBCT | PROV. | POSITION O body | HUMAN head | POSITION OF A body | aL head | WEAFON | DRESS | MISC. | COMPOSITION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.18 dyn? | BM 53032 | ? | prostrate | profile | standing | profile | none | -- | circles | Group V(bull) |
| ? | BM. 36099 | ? | standing | profile? | couchant | profile | none | ---- | none | three ilgure antithetic |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thoth III } \\ & \text { Seti I } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 Cat. } \\ & 1117 \end{aligned}$ | ? | standing | profile | standing | profile | bow-arrow | tunic | none | confronting |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.18- \\ & 19 \mathrm{dyn} \end{aligned}$ | BM 46186 | ? | standing | ------ | couchant | profile | none | skirt | lizard | confronting |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.18- \\ & 19 \mathrm{dyn} \end{aligned}$ | BM 40518 | ? | standing | profile | couchant | frofile | con. cap | 3kirt | $\begin{aligned} & \text { goat } \\ & \text { hippo? } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.18- \\ & 19 \mathrm{dyn} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | BM 53042 | ? | kneeling | profile | couchant | $\begin{aligned} & \text { prorile } \\ & \text { turned } \end{aligned}$ | bow-arrow | --- |  | chase |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.18- \\ & 19 \mathrm{dyn} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | BM 48792 | ? | standing prostrate | profile | standing | profile | con. cap? nore | skivt? | $\frac{\text { none }}{\text { (gazello) }}$ goatt:querry | chase |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.18- \\ & 19 \mathrm{dyn} \end{aligned}$ | BM. 49910 | $?$ | standing | profile | standing | profile | none | skivt? | crocodile | onf ronting |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19 \mathrm{dyn} \\ & 19 \mathrm{dyn} \end{aligned}$ | BN. 57160 | ? | standing | profile | standing | profile | bow-arrow | ---- | quarry | chase |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.18 \\ & 19 \mathrm{dyn} \end{aligned}$ | BM. 39759 | ? | standing prostrate | ------ | standing | profile | bow-arrow | ---- | none | confronting |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.18- \\ & 19 \mathrm{dyn} \end{aligned}$ | BM. 17306 | ? | standing | - | standing | profile | none | skirt? | quarry | juxtaposed |
| $1.18-$ $19 \mathrm{dyn}$ | BM 49907 | ? | standing | ----- | standing | profile | bow-arrow | skirt? | none | confronting |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.18- \\ & 19 \mathrm{dyn} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | BM 3739 | ? | kneeling | profile | standing | profile | bow-arrow | ---- | none | confronting |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.18- \\ & 19 \mathrm{dyn} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | BV. 57158 | ? | standing | profile | couchant | profila | con. cap? bow-arrow | ---- | quarry | chase |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.18- \\ & 19 \mathrm{dyn} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | BN 52336 | ? | standing | profile | standing | prorile <br> turned | bow | ---- | none | chase |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19 \mathrm{dyn} \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | BV. 57154 | ? | standing | nort | standing | profile | none | skirt? | quarry | juxtaposed |
| $1.13-\mathrm{dyn}$ | BM. 46187 | ? | standing | profile | standing | profile | none | tunic | none | chase |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.18- \\ & 19 \mathrm{dyn} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | BM. 17329 | ? | prostrate | ----- | standing | profile | none | --- | quarry | Group V(bull) |
| $1.18-$ | BM 45689 | ? | standing | ---- | standing | profile | none | tunic | none | confronting? |
| dyn? | BM 17305 | ? | Harmodean? standing | profile | couchant | profile | short sword? | tunic | none | confronting |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { (or later) } \\ & 19 \text { dyn? } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | BM 39558 | $?$ | standing | profile | standing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { profile } \\ & \text { turned } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | none | ----- | none | chase |
| 19 dyn ? | $\begin{array}{r} \text { xiv } \\ \text { BSeal } 967 \end{array}$ | ? |  | ----- | standing foreleg raised | profile | none | ---- | none | Group V(bull) |
| Ramesside | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BSeal967 } \\ & \text { Smathos } \\ & \text { SCE } 258 \end{aligned}$ | Cyprus Ainathos | kneels | profile | standing | profile turned | none | -- | none | chase |
| ? Ramesside | EM 40750 | Auratios | standing kneeling | profile | foreleg rased couchant | profile | spear | -- | $\begin{aligned} & 110 \mathrm{n}- \\ & \text { griffin } \end{aligned}$ | confronting |







Table 3 b UETAN MAN - non-bovine RUMINANT' COMBAT' SCENES POSITION OF AMILAL weals and other objects



| Table 3d |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ORIENTAL MAN - non-bovine | RUMINANT COMBAT SCENES seals and other objects |  |  |  |
| DATE | OBJECT | provenance | POSITION OF HUMAN | WEAPON | DRESS | COMFOSITION |  |
| Kassite | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cs pI } 21 \mathrm{~F} \\ & \text { Deciorg } 263 \end{aligned}$ | $?$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gal-(Harm. } \\ & \text { lop arms) } \end{aligned}$ | dagger | ---- |  |  |
| Kassite | Theoes 199 | Boiotian Thebes | standing | none | nude | chase <br> three figure antithetic |  |
| Kassite | cs pl 301 86985 | ? | standing | bow-arrow chariot | 10as dress | chase |  |
| Kassite | $\frac{\text { Lowenjagd }}{260.19}$ |  | standing | bow-2rrow chariot | long tunic |  |  |
|  | Thebes 197 | Boiotian Thebes | standing | nono | --- | three rigure antithetic |  |
| 13th c Mid.Assyrian | $\text { CANES } 600$ | ? | standing | ${ }_{\text {spear }} \mathrm{n}$ | kilt | chase |  |
| 13th cestan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CANES } 599 \mathrm{E} \\ & \hline \text { CS P1 } 31 \mathrm{~L} \end{aligned}$ | ? | standing | ${ }_{2}^{\text {daggor }}$ | Kilt |  |  |
| Mid.Assyrian |  | ? |  |  |  | confronting |  |
| Assyrian | Deciora 311 | ? | kneeling | bow-arrow |  | confronting |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Muth } c \\ & \text { Mid. Msyrian } \end{aligned}$ | CS P1 332 Irag 19053 | ? | standing | none | kilt | three figure anti thetic |  |
|  | $\frac{\text { CANES } 597}{\text { CS P1 } 32 \mathrm{I}}$ | ? | standing | none | kilt,tasseled extension | three figure antithetic |  |
| 13-10th ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | kneeling | none | kilt? | three figure antithetic |  |
| Assyrian | 3M 89520 | ? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Syrian | CANES 983 | ? | standing | none | long dross | confronting |  |
| Dev Mitanni | Canes 1013E | ? |  | none | nude | confronting 3 fig antith. |  |
| ${ }_{\text {2nd }}$ Syrian | CANES 980E Louvra Da- | ? | standing standing | none | long dress |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Syrian }}{\text { 2nd Syrian }}$ |  |  | kneoling |  |  | $3 \mathrm{fig} \text { antith. }$ |  |
| Amurra | laporte 894 | ? | stunding one knee bent | none | long dress | confronting |  |
| Mitanni | $\frac{8 \mathrm{~N} .440 \text { (Cat) }}{\text { Syri2 } 1963}$ | ? |  | none | tassels | confronting |  |
|  | P1 21 4 | Antioch? | standing | bow-arrow | ---- | $\frac{\text { chase }}{\text { man bes.anim. }}$ |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { 2nd }}{ }$ |  |  | kneeling | bow-arrow | nude |  |  |
| Syd Syrian | CS pl 44a | ? | part-running |  | shorts | con ron <br> three figuro antithetic |  |
| Syrian | $\text { HV } 1334$ <br> Aloppo Musoum Cup | Ras Shamra Crete <br> Mavrospelio | standing | none |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | standing | none? | strapped chest tunic | chase? |  |
| Middle Syrian |  | Ras Shamra | standing | bow-arrow |  | chase |  |
| Enurta-Tukulti | tablet | Assur | standing | bow-arrow chariot | long dross | chase |  |
| for earlier objects see Mari moule |  |  | for later objects see Malatia relief |  | for Argos, Ferati cylinders | eo MAN-LION, GRIFFIN |  |



## Table 4a

MYCENAEAN MAN - BULL COMBAT SCENES
seals and other objects

| DATE | OBJECT | PROV. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOSITION } \\ & \text { body } \end{aligned}$ |  | head | POSITION OR body | ANIML head | VEAPON | LaNDS. | DRESS | HAIR | MISC. | COMPOSITION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LHIIb | NAM 79 | $1 / 2$ | horizont. | extend horns | $\begin{aligned} & \text { proilile } \\ & \text { up } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (striding) } \\ & \text { standing } \end{aligned}$ | poofile |  |  |  |  |  | Group II |
| LHIIb | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NY } 26 . \\ & 31.294 \end{aligned}$ | ? | prostrate | extend | $\begin{aligned} & \text { profile } \\ & \text { up } \end{aligned}$ | standing | propile <br> down | none | none | $\frac{\text { girt }}{\text { kilt? }}$ | short | none | Group II |
| LHIIIa-b | NAN. 408 | Dim | horizont. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extend } \\ & \mathrm{k} \text { ? } 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | profile | trot | profile up | none | none | nude? | short | none | Group V Group II |
| LHIIIa-b | NAM 137 | My | bent pt running | extend <br> h $r$ | profile | standing | profile | none | plant? | --- | short | none | Group III |
| LHIIIa-b | Th 211 | Th | upside down | $\frac{n}{\text { extend }}$ <br> n | profile | gallop | profile | none | tree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nude } \\ & \text { girt } \end{aligned}$ | short | none: | Group IV |
| LHIIIa-b | Pylos | Py | horizont. legs:whiz | $\begin{gathered} \text { extend } \\ \text { n k } \end{gathered}$ | profile | trot | profile | none | plants | ilounced shorts | short | none | Group II |
| IHIIIb | NAM 314 | Py | prostrate | extend | profile up | gallop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { profile } \\ & \text { turned } \end{aligned}$ | none | none | nude | short? | none | Group V |
| LHIIIb | NAM 342 | Py | seated | $\begin{aligned} & \text { extend } \\ & \mathrm{rh} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | profile | collapses | frontal | none | none | flounced shorts | short | none | Group III |
| LHIIIb | NAM 378 | Py | horizont. |  | --- |  | --- | --- | --- | ---nude? | --- | --- | Group II |
| LHI | Stele Naul3576 | My | stand bent knee | extend | profile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gallop? } \\ & \text { charges } \end{aligned}$ | profile | club? h | --- | flounced shorts | short? | Iion | Confronting |
| LHIIIb | Fresco | Tyr | horizont. | exterid horn | profile | gallop | profile | none? | none | loincloth gaiters | short? | mone | Group II |
| LHIIIb | CVAp16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kıizv. } \\ & \text { Cyp } \end{aligned}$ | standing | bent | profile | standing - | profile turned | none | none | nude | beard short | dogs | confronting |



| DATE | OBJEGT | PROV. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOSITION } \\ & \text { body } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OF HUNAN } \\ & \text { arm } \end{aligned}$ | head | FOSITION body | ANINAL head | WEAPON | LaNDS. | URESS | HAIR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MVIII- |  |  |  |  | profile | upright | profile |  |  | loincloth |  | nisc. | COMPOSITION |
| IMI | M 202 | Fri | suspended | to horn | up | profile | down | none | cistern? | shoes | long | none | Group IV |
| LMIb | AN 247 | Pelop. | standing. | extend | profile | trot stiand | $\begin{aligned} & \text { prof.Eirn } \\ & \text { profile } \end{aligned}$ | net? | lines | nude <br> zirt | short | none | chase |
| IMI | HT 108 | H.T. | suspended | axtend | profile | $\begin{aligned} & \text { part } \\ & \text { gallop } \end{aligned}$ | profile | none | none | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nude } \\ & \text { girt } \end{aligned}$ | short? | none | Group IV |
| IVI | HT 109 | H.T. | suspended | extend | profile | contorted | profile | none | none | nude? | --- | none | Group IV |
| IMIb | NAM. 274 | Ru | suspended | to horn | profile | contorted | profile turned | net | flora | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nude } \\ & \text { firt } \end{aligned}$ | long | none | Group IV |
| IVII- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Archive } \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | Kn | suspended | to horn | profile | --- | profile | --- | , | 碞 | long | none | Group IV |
| WII- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Archive } \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | Kn | suspended | to horn | profile | contorted | profile turned | none | none | $\begin{aligned} & \text { girt } \\ & \text { loin } \end{aligned}$ | short | none | Group IV |
| $\text { IMII- }_{\text {III }}$ | AM 52S | Kn | suspended | to horn n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { profile } \\ & \text { turned } \end{aligned}$ | contorted | profile turned | none | none | loincloth gaiters | short | none | Group IV |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { WIII- } \\ & \text { IIIa } \end{aligned}$ | NAM, 517 | Cr | suspended | nr horn | $\begin{aligned} & \text { profile } \\ & \text { turned } \end{aligned}$ |  | profile up | none | --- | - | short | none | Group IV ? |
| MII- | $\frac{A G}{49} 28$ | Wy | running | bent horn | profile <br> turned | contorted trot | profile turned | none | plant? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nude } \\ & \text { girt } \end{aligned}$ | short | none | Group III |
| $\text { MII- }_{\text {III }}^{\text {IIIa }}$ | NAN 95 | Ny | standing | extend horn $n$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { profile } \\ & \text { turned } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | contorted | prorile turned | none | none | nude | $\begin{aligned} & \text { short } \\ & \text { cap } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | none | Group III |
| MII- | HM 185 | Prai | horizont. | to horns | profile | couchant | profile | none | lines | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kilt? } \\ & \text { shorts? } \end{aligned}$ | short | none | Group II |
| $\mathrm{NIII}_{\mathrm{II}}$ | AM 249 | ? | prostrate horizont. | to horns n | profile | gallop | profile | none | none | girt | short | none | Groups II, V |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { MII- } \\ \text { IIIa } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | AM 248 | ? | horizont. | h | profile | trot | profile up | none | plant | $\begin{aligned} & \text { girt } \\ & \text { shorts? } \end{aligned}$ | short | none | Group II |
| MII- | M1180 | ? | horizont. | to horns | profile | trot | profile | none | plant | --- | short | none | Group II |

## Table 4b (2

| DATE | OBJECT | FROV. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POBITION } \\ & \text { body } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OF HUNAN } \\ & \text { arm } \end{aligned}$ | head | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOSITION } \\ & \text { body } \end{aligned}$ | ANIMAL hoad | WEAPON | LANDS. | LRESS | HaIR | VISC. | COMPOSITION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IMII- | AN 341 | ? | horizont. |  | profile |  | frontal |  |  | nuce <br> sirt |  |  |  |
| DMII- |  |  |  |  | prorle |  |  | nono |  | nude | short |  | Group II |
| IIIa | HM 1232 | ! | horizont. | to horns | profile | trot | frontal | none | none | girt | short | none | Group II |
| MII- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NY } 26 \cdot 3 \\ & 291 \end{aligned}$ | ? | horizont. | n $k$ | profile | standing | profile <br> down | none | none | nude? | short | none | Group II |
| IVII- |  |  | standing |  |  |  |  |  |  | nude |  |  |  |
| IIIz | E473 | ? | horizont. | horns, n | profile | standing | profile | none | lines | girt | short | none | Group II |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { WIII- } \\ \text { III } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | AM 300 | ? | standing bent | bent, | profile | standing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { profile } \\ & \text { prof. tu } \end{aligned}$ | none | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ground } \\ & \text { line } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { flounc } \\ & \text { kilt } \end{aligned}$ | short | none | chase |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { INII- } \\ \text { III2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EM. 38 | Cr | standing pushed | bent, horn? | profile | standing | profile | none | line | loinc | short |  | chase |
| IMII- | BM 79 | Or | standing | bent | profile | standing | profile | rope ho | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ground } \\ & \text { line } \end{aligned}$ | girt | short | none | $\begin{aligned} & \text { man besice } \\ & \text { animal } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { INII- } \\ \text { III }_{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | G 190 b | Vass. Anog. | standing | bent to horn n | profile | standing | profile | none | none | girt? | short | none | man bes.animal antith.repeat |
| LMIII2 | H2 169 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Knog. } \\ & \hline \text { K2lys } \\ & \text { Mess } \end{aligned}$ | kneel | to horns | profile | standing contorte | proille | none | none | kilt | short | none | Group III |
| EVIII | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Koum } \\ & 4126 \end{aligned}$ | Kou | suspended | to horns | profile | standing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (statue) } \\ & \text { profile } \end{aligned}$ | none | -.-- | -- | -- | none | Group IV |
| MSI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fort } 1 \\ & 5052 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Porti | suspended | to horns | profile | standing | (statue) profile | none | --- | --- |  | none | Group IV |
| IMIb | Pyxis | Kats | suspended running | to horns |  | gallop? | profile | spear | rocky | nude girt | short | none | Group IV |
| 1MIb | Pyxis | Kats | falling |  | profile | gailop? | profile | net | flora | girt | long | none | Group IV |
| LMI b | Cup A | Va | suspendad | to horns | turned | guliop $=$ | turned | net | flora | $\frac{\text { gaiter }}{\text { girt }}$ | long | none | $\frac{\text { Group IV }}{\operatorname{man} \operatorname{beside}}$ |
| LMIb | Cup B | Va | standing | bent | profile | standing | profile | rope | flora | guiter | long | none | $\begin{aligned} & \text { man besice } \\ & \text { animal (chase) } \end{aligned}$ |
| IMI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Boxer } \\ & \text { Vase } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | H.T. | suspended | on horns | profile | gallop | profile | none | none | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nude } \\ & \text { girt } \end{aligned}$ | short | none | Group IV? |
| LMI? | Plaque | Kn | --- | --- | --- | gallop | profile | rope | --- | --- | long | --- | Group IV? |
| LMIb | z 104 | Z . | Harmod. | bent | profil | upright | profile | spear | cairn | --- | - | Genius not man | confronting |


|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Table 4d

ORIENTAL AND CYPRIOT MAN - bULL CONEAT SCENES
seals and other objects

| DATE | OBJECT | PROVENANCE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N OF HUMAN } \\ & \text { body } \end{aligned}$ | WEAPON | MISCELLANEOUS OBJ. | COMPOSITION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 th c on | $\frac{\text { Syria }}{\text { P1 } 21} 1963$ | ? | suspended | spear | lions, goat winged gonius | confronting, chase, Group IV |
| 15 th c on | $\frac{\text { Syria }}{\mathrm{p} 142}{ }^{1955}$ | bought Aleppo | horizontal | none | also man-lion | Group II |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd } \\ & \text { Syrian } \end{aligned}$ | CS pl 421 | ? | $\begin{aligned} & \text { one foot up } \\ & \text { standing } \end{aligned}$ | none | winged disc | confronting? |
| Mitanni | CS pl 43a | ? | one loot up standing | none | lion | confronting? |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13th c } \\ & \text { Vid. Assyrian } \end{aligned}$ | CANES 596E | ? | kneeling | none | dragon | chase |
| 14 th c Mid.Assyrian | Aleppo Museum Cup | Ras Shamra | standing | bow-arrow chariot | goats | chase |
| LC III | Idalion 1323 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cyprus } \\ & \text { Idalion } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | strides | con.helmet bow-arrow | none | $\begin{aligned} & \text { on two sides } \\ & \text { confronting? } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\frac{\text { Enkoni }}{\text { pI vi }}$ | Enkomi | standing | bow-arrow | none | confronting |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{j d}{p 1} 1938 \\ & 10 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | Kourion | standing | bow-arrow | biped above? | confronting |
| Cypriot? Hittite? | Newell 348 | ? | standing | none | none | confronting |
|  | Gaming Board | Enkomi | standing <br> leans forward | bow-arrow chariot | $\begin{aligned} & \text { goats, dogs } \\ & \text { bird, iion } \end{aligned}$ | chase |
| LCIII | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Miss.Chypree } \\ & \text { ing } 34 \text { relifef } \end{aligned}$ | Enkomi | bent knee standing | none | none | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chase/con- } \\ & \text { fronting } \end{aligned}$ |




| Alalakh. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Woolley, Alalakh |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Amarna. | Petrie, Tell el Amarna |
| BMI Ca | .Hall, Catalogue of Egyptian Scarabs in the British Museum |
| Butt. Seals | ..Petrie, Button and Design Scarabs |
| Cai | .if unnumbered: Cairo Museum 1e numbered: Newberry, Catalogue génerale des antiquites Egyptiens du Musee du Caire 36001-37521. Scarabs |
| Cesn | .Myers, Handbook of the Cesnola Collection of Antiquities from Cyprus |
| H.I. | . Petrie, Hyksos and Israelite Cities |
|  | . Knossos Little Palace, number according to PM IV |
| Lowen | . Wresczinski, Ifdwenjagd im alten Agypten |
| Miss. Chyp................Schaeffer, Missions en Chypre |  |
| Newb. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .Newberry, Scarabs |  |
| Newel | .Osten, Ancient Oriental Seals in the Collection of Mr. E.T. Newell |
| Porada | .."The Cylinder Seals of the Late Cypriot Bronze Age" AJA 1948 |
| Prak. | - Praktika |
| Rowe | - Catalogue of Egyotian Scarabs in the Palestine Archaeological Minseum |



Op. Ath............................ Opuscula Atheniensia
PM...............................Evans, Palace of Minos at Knossos
 Archaiologikis Etaireias

SCEg..................................Swedish Cyprus Expedition


[^0]:    'perspective' where one figure overlaps the other and hides part of his body and the hatched rockwork.

    Other elements of the seal are:
    SHAPE:
    The shape as of NAM 9 is a flattened cylinder. It is pierced longitudinally and a wire remains.

    DIMENSIONS: The size seems average: $1.65 \times 1.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. MATERIAL: The seal is red jasper. TECHNIQUE: The stone is in very good condition. Technique 1 is employed for bodies and 2 for heads.

[^1]:    Uther features of the New York gem are:

[^2]:    The ofomparison of who Poifuter coappising

[^3]:    Egyptian influences are evident from the earliest Mycenaean period although they seem to disappear in the final era of Mycenaean glyptics at Pylos. They are seen on combats between men and lions, ruminants and bulls in the elements of human pose, composition and possibly miscellaneous objects and dress. The use of the dog in lion hunts

