

# Why certain integrals are “impossible”.

Pete Goetz

Department of Mathematics  
Sonoma State University

March 11, 2009

# Outline

- 1 Introduction.
- 2 Elementary fields and functions.
- 3 Liouville's Theorem.
- 4 An example.

# Probability

- Central Limit Theorem

- $$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-u^2/2} du$$

- For probability applications, we need  $\Phi(\infty) = 1$ .
- This is not proved by finding a formula for  $\Phi(x)$  (by finding an explicit antiderivative of  $e^{-u^2/2}$ ) and taking the limit as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

# Number Theory

- Prime Number Theorem
- $\pi(x) = \#\{n \leq x \mid n \text{ is prime}\}$
- $Li(x) = \int_2^x \frac{1}{\ln(t)} dt$
- $\pi(x) \sim Li(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$
- This is not proved by finding an explicit antiderivative of  $\frac{1}{\ln(t)}$ .
- If  $u = \ln(t)$ , then  $\int \frac{1}{\ln(t)} dt = \int \frac{e^u}{u} du$ .

# Elementary formulas

- The indefinite integrals  $\int e^{-u^2} du$  and  $\int \frac{e^u}{u} du$  do not have elementary formulas.
- How does one prove such claims?
- First have to give a precise definition of “elementary formula”.
- After all  $\int e^{-u^2} du = \int_a^u e^{-x^2} dx + C$  for any constants  $a$  and  $C$  by FTC.

# History

- Newton was perfectly happy to solve an integral by a power series.
- Leibniz preferred integration in "finite terms" and allowed transcendental functions like logarithms.

# Elementary function

- An **elementary function** (roughly) should be a function of one variable built out of polynomials, exponentials, logarithms, trigonometric functions, and inverse trigonometric functions, by using the operations of addition, multiplication, division, root extraction, and composition.

- **Example:** 
$$\frac{\sin^{-1}(x^3 - 1)}{\sqrt{\ln x + \cos(x/x^2 + 1)}}$$

# A simplification

- We will use  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions of the **real** variable  $x$ , i.e., our constants will be complex numbers.
- All trigonometric functions and inverse-trigonometric functions can be written in terms of complex exponentials and logarithms.

- $\sin(x) = \frac{e^{ix} - e^{-ix}}{2i}$ ,  $\cos(x) = \frac{e^{ix} + e^{-ix}}{2}$

- $\tan^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2i}(\ln(\frac{x-i}{x+i}) - i\pi)$

- $\sin^{-1}(x) = \tan^{-1}(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}})$ ,  $\cos^{-1}(x) = \tan^{-1}(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{x})$



# Meromorphic functions

- A **meromorphic function** is a function defined on an open interval  $I$  of the real numbers whose values are complex numbers or  $\infty$  with the property that sufficiently close to any  $x_0$  in  $I$  the function is given by a convergent Laurent series in  $x - x_0$ .
- Rational functions are meromorphic on  $\mathbf{R}$ .
- Given a meromorphic function  $f$ , both  $e^f$  and  $\ln f$  are meromorphic (one may have to restrict the domain of  $f$ ).

# Fields of meromorphic functions

- Let  $\mathbb{C}(x)$  denote the field of rational functions. Notice that this field is closed under differentiation.
  
- Any elementary function (under our rough definition) should be in some “extension” of  $\mathbb{C}(x)$ .

# Fields of meromorphic functions

- If  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are meromorphic functions, let  $\mathbb{C}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  denote the set of all meromorphic functions  $h$  of the form

$$h = \frac{p(f_1, \dots, f_n)}{q(f_1, \dots, f_n)}$$

for some  $n$ -variable polynomials  $p, q \neq 0$  and  $q(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is not identically zero.

- This definition captures the operations of addition, multiplication, and division.
- It is not hard to show that the set  $\mathbb{C}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is a field and that this field is closed under differentiation.
- **Example:**  $K = \mathbb{C}(x, \sin x, \cos x) = \mathbb{C}(x, e^{ix})$ .

# Elementary fields

- A field  $K$  is an **elementary field** if  $K = \mathbb{C}(x, f_1, \dots, f_n)$  and each  $f_j$  is
  - an exponential or logarithm of an element of  $K_{j-1} = \mathbb{C}(x, f_1, \dots, f_{j-1})$
  - or  $f_j$  is **algebraic** over  $K_{j-1}$ , that is  $f_j$  is a solution to an equation  $g_l t^l + \dots + g_1 t + g_0 = 0$  where  $g_0, g_1, \dots, g_l \in K_{j-1}$
- An elementary field is built from the the field of rational functions in finitely many steps by adjoining an exponential, a logarithm, or a solution to a polynomial.
- Composition is captured by adjoining exponentials or logarithms. Root extraction is captured by the adjunction of algebraic solutions.
- Elementary fields are closed under differentiation.

# Elementary functions

- A meromorphic function  $f$  is an **elementary function** if it lies in some elementary field.

- **Example:**  $f(x) = \sqrt[3]{\ln x + \cos\left(\frac{x}{x^2+i}\right)}$  is an elementary function

$$\mathbb{C}(x) \subset \mathbb{C}(x, \ln x) \subset \mathbb{C}(x, \ln x, e^{i\left(\frac{x}{x^2+i}\right)}) \subset \mathbb{C}(x, \ln x, e^{i\left(\frac{x}{x^2+i}\right)}, f)$$

# Elementary integration

- A meromorphic function  $f$  can be **integrated in elementary terms** if  $f = g'$  for some elementary function  $g$ .
- Recall an elementary field is closed under differentiation so if  $f$  can be integrated in elementary terms, then necessarily  $f$  is also elementary.

# Differential Galois theory

- We can rephrase our problem: Given an elementary function  $f$ , when does the differential equation  $\frac{dy}{dx} - f = 0$  have an elementary solution?
- The answer is in the affirmative precisely when we can find a tower of fields with special properties.
- Consider the analogy with ordinary Galois theory.

# Liouville's Theorem

- **Theorem (Liouville, 1835):** Let  $f$  be an elementary function and let  $K$  be any elementary field containing  $f$ . If  $f$  can be integrated in elementary terms then there exist nonzero  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , nonzero  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in K$ , and an element  $h \in K$  such that

$$f = \sum c_j \frac{g_j'}{g_j} + h'.$$

- If  $f = \sum c_j \frac{g_j'}{g_j} + h'$ , then  $g = \sum c_j \ln(g_j) + h$  is an elementary antiderivative of  $f$ .
- The theorem is proved by induction on the length of a tower of fields constructing  $K(g)$  where  $g$  is an antiderivative of  $f$ .



# An important corollary

- **Corollary:** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be in  $\mathbb{C}(x)$  with  $f \neq 0$  and  $g$  nonconstant. If  $f(x)e^{g(x)}$  can be integrated in elementary terms then there is a function  $R(x)$  in  $\mathbb{C}(x)$  such that  $R'(x) + g'(x)R(x) = f(x)$ .
- If  $R(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  satisfies  $R'(x) + g'(x)R(x) = f(x)$ , then  $R(x)e^{g(x)}$  is an antiderivative of  $f(x)e^{g(x)}$ .
- We can apply this corollary to show that  $e^{-x^2}$  and  $e^x/x$  have no elementary antiderivatives.

# Proof for $e^{-x^2}$

- Taking  $f = 1$  and  $g = -x^2$  in the Corollary, we must show the differential equation

$$R'(x) - 2xR(x) = 1 \quad (*)$$

has no solution for  $R(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x)$ .

- ODE's shows the general solution of (\*) is  $R(x) = e^{x^2}(\int e^{-x^2} dx + c)$  for any  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  ... but this doesn't help!

# Proof for $e^{-x^2}$

- Suppose that  $R(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  is a solution to (\*).
- $R$  cannot be a constant or a polynomial in  $x$  (by degree considerations).
- Write  $R(x) = \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$  for some nonzero relatively prime polynomials  $p(x), q(x)$  with  $q(x)$  nonconstant.
- Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  be a root of  $q(x)$  of multiplicity  $\mu \geq 1$ . Then  $p(z_0) \neq 0$  and  $p(x)/q(x) = h(x)/(x - z_0)^\mu$  with  $h(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x)$  having numerator and denominator that are non-vanishing at  $z_0$ .

# Proof for $e^{-x^2}$

- The quotient rule yields

$$\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right)' = \frac{-h(x)}{\mu(x - z_0)^{\mu+1}} + \frac{h'(x)}{(x - z_0)^\mu}$$

- As  $z \rightarrow z_0$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  the absolute value of  $(p(x))/q(x)|'_{x=z}$  blows up like  $A/|z - z_0|^{\mu+1}$  with  $A = |h(z_0)/\mu| \neq 0$ .
- $|-2z \cdot (p(z)/q(z))|$  has growth bounded by a constant multiple of  $1/|z - z_0|^\mu$  as  $z \rightarrow z_0$ .
- Therefore

$$\left| \left( \left( \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right)' - 2x \cdot \left( \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \right) \right) \Big|_{x=z} \right| \sim \frac{A}{|z - z_0|^{\mu+1}}$$

as  $z \rightarrow z_0$ .

- This contradicts the identity  $R'(x) - 2xR(x) = 1$ .

# References

- 1 B. Conrad, Impossibility theorems for elementary integration, <http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/finalint.pdf>.
- 2 T. Kasper, Integration in Finite Terms: The Liouville Theory, *Mathematics Magazine*, Vol. 53, No. 4 (Sep., 1980), pp. 195-201.
- 3 E. A. Marchisotto and G. Zakeri, An Invitation to Integration in Finite Terms, *The College Mathematics Journal*, Vol. 25, No. 4 (Sep., 1994), pp. 295-308.
- 4 M. Rosenlicht, Integration in Finite Terms, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 79, No. 9 (Nov., 1972), pp. 963-972.