(AR)

Last time: . A quotient module WV is simple  $\iff$  V is a maximal submodule of U.

- The simple modules of heirs/<fr. f = letri]. are precisely the modules letri/ch; where h is an ivr. poly. durating f.

the action letx)/f7 (2 letx)/ch> (3+cf>). (g'+ch>) = gg'+ch>.

"reason": S is a simple module of  $\frac{|e^{i\pi}|}{e^{i\pi}} = A \iff S = A/M$ , M maximal in A  $S = \frac{|e^{i\pi}|}{e^{i\pi}} = \frac{|e^{i\pi}|}{e^{i\pi}} \iff A$   $S = \frac{|e^{i\pi}|}{e^{i\pi}} = \frac{|e^{i\pi}|}{e^{i\pi}} \implies A$ 

Today: Prop 3.23. (1) . Why different "his give non isomorphic simples

· Simple modules of path algobras

1. A presention of-scalar-action argument

eq. ((x)/xx+1) ((x)/<x-i), ((x)/<x+i)

Prop: (= Prop. 3.13.ci) Let  $A = \text{Petro}/< f_7$  for some poly  $f \in \text{kin}$  of positive degree. Write  $f = f_1^{a_1} \cdots f_r^{a_r}$  as a product of irreducible polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  that are pairwise coprime. Then A how precisely r nonisomorphic simple modules, namely the modules  $S_i := \frac{\text{kin}}{< f_i > .}$ 

Pf: It remains to show that  $S_i \neq S_j$  for distinct i.j., If  $i \neq j$ , then  $f_i + \langle f \rangle$  acts as 0 on  $S_i = \frac{1}{2} \langle f_i \rangle \langle f_i \rangle$  because  $(f_i + \langle f_i \rangle) \langle g_i + \langle f_i \rangle \rangle = f_i g_i + \langle f_i \rangle$ 

but  $f_{i+cf} > d_{i}e_{j}$  not act as 0 on  $S_{j}$ , because, for example, for g=1,  $(f_{i}+cf_{j})(g+cf_{j}>)=f_{i}g+cf_{j}>=f_{i}+ccf_{j}>+0$  because  $f_{j}+f_{i}$ .  $D_{i}=f_{i}+ccf_{j}>+0$ 

Summary: We now have complete, irredundant classifications of simple modules of

kix)/cf7. ~ heix)/cfi>,

Note: Read that domk (60%) = deg (g) & g & (eta)

- · If k=6 or other algebraically closed field, every  $f \in [kin]$  factors into linear (= deg. 1) irreducibles of the form (x-d), so all simples of h(x)/g, will be of the form  $|e^{tin}/c_{x}d>$  and thus have dimension 1.
- . If k = iR, then (fact:) every irreducible in k = iR) have degree or 2. So any simple module of an algebra of the form k = iR) must have dimension or 2.

2. Simple modules of path algebras

no oriented cycle We study the simple modules of the path algebras of acyclic quivers G = (OO, OI).

Note: Let A = RQ. For all i & Qo,

the space Aei is a left rdeal / submodule of (the regular module) A.

and equals the span of all pashs on a starting at ā.

the space Aei; = Span (all pashs of positive length starting at i). We'll unite Ji=Aei.

(submodule + Aei)

. Let  $S_i := \frac{Ae_i}{Ae_i^{2}} = Span(e_i + Ae_i^{2}) = Span(e_i + J_i)$ .

Then since dim (Si) = 1, Si is a simple module of A.

· ej. Si: The only possibly nonzero et (up to scalar) in ej Si is eg (ei+Ji) = (ejei)+Ji =  $\begin{cases} 0+Ji = 0 & \text{if } i\neq j \\ \text{only nonzero elt} & \text{if } i=j \end{cases}$ in Si up to scalar  $\begin{cases} s_i \\ s_i \end{cases}$ 

So, ejacts as the scalar o on Si if itj and as the scalar I on Si if itj and as the scalar I on Si if itj. so if itj then S: #Sj by the "preservation-of-scaling" principle.

We can now conclude,

Prop: The modules S: (i  $\in$  G0) are pairwise nonisomorphic snople meanles of A = [eQ].

Thm. (Thm 3.26.) Let a be an acyclic cycle. Let A= kQ.

Then every simple A-module is isomorphic to Si for some if Qo.

( So  $\{S_i: i \in Q_0\}$  is a complete and irredundant but of simples of A up to i(o. )

Pf of the theorem:

We fire prove a lemma:

Lemna (Lemna 3.77): (a) fieldo, we have lifel = spenlei), and hence dum(e:Ae)=1.

(b) The only maximal submodule of Ae; is  $J_i = Ae_i^2$ , and  $e_i J_i = 0$ .

Pf: (a) This follows from the fact that liAli = Span (all path from i to i) and the fact the only path from i to i is li since Q is acyclic.

(b). Ji is a submodule of Ae; and has dim. dm(Ji) = dim(Aei)-1, sr Ji is a maximal submodule of Aei.

· To prove Ji is the only maxinal submodule of Ae:, we prove that any proper submodule U of Ae: is contained in Ji. If not, then U contains some et I not in J. Thus, we can write V=cei+W for some we Ji and CE & \{o}.

But then  $Sinie U = Cei + w \in U$  and  $ei \in A$ ,  $ei U = ei (cei + w) = Cei^2 + \underbrace{ei w}_{ei Ji} \in U$ Note that  $ei J_i = ei (Ae_i^{2l}) = Span (path of pos. length from i to i) = Span(b) = 0.$   $So ei w = 0. H follows that <math>ei U = Cei^2 = Cei \in U$ .  $But then ei = \frac{1}{c} (cei) \in U$ , so  $Ae_i \in V$ . a contradiztion (to  $U \subseteq Ae_i$ ).

It follows that US Ji.

~

Pf of the theven: next time.