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Problem 1 (Aluffi III. 7 Problem 11). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow M_{1} \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow M_{2} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

be an exact sequence of $R$-modules. Suppose there is any $R$-module homomorphism $\varphi: N \longrightarrow M_{1} \oplus M_{2}$ such that the diagram

commute, where the bottom sequence is the standard sequence of a direct sum. Prove that $(*)$ splits.
Solution. By the five lemma, $\varphi$ must be an isomorphism. This is the definition of 'split' in the Aluffi-sense, which is equivalent to the definition of split in the other sense.

Problem 2 (Aluffi VI.I Problem 13). Let $A$ be and abelian group such that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(A)$ is a field of characteristic zero. Prove that $A \cong \mathbb{Q}$.

Solution. Note that, for a field $k$, every $k$-module (i.e. a $k$-vector space) is free (see Proposition VI.1.7 in Aluffi). That is, an abelian group $A$ is a $k$-vector space if and only if $A \cong k^{S}$ for some set $S$. Furthermore, for two $k$-vector spaces $A \cong k^{S}$ and $B \cong k^{T}$, we have $A \cong B$ if and only if $|S|=|T|$. This comes from the fact that $k$ is an integral domain, and Exercise VI.1.8 (Corollary VI.1.11) in Aluffi, which we prove here.

Lemma 1 (Corollary VI.1.11). Let $R$ be an integral domain and let $S$ and $T$ be sets. Then

$$
\operatorname{Free}_{R-\operatorname{Mod}}(S) \cong \operatorname{Free}_{R-\operatorname{Mod}}(T) \quad \text { if and only if } \quad S \cong T .
$$

Proof. If $S \cong T$, then clearly $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Mod}}(S) \cong \operatorname{Free}_{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Mod}}(T)$. Indeed, given a bijection $f: S \longrightarrow T$, we have the induced isomorphism $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Mod}}(S) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Free}_{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Mod}}(T)$ given by

$$
\sum_{a \in A} r_{a} a \longmapsto \sum_{a \in A} r_{a} f(a)=\sum_{b \in B} r_{b} b .
$$

So suppose there is an isomorphism $\varphi: \operatorname{Free}_{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Mod}}(S) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Free}_{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Mod}}(T)$. Define the set $T^{\prime}=\left\{\varphi\left(1_{R} s\right) \mid s \in S\right\}$. Since $\left\{1_{R} s \mid s \in S\right\}$ is a linearly independent set in $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Mod}}(S), T^{\prime} \mathrm{mst}$ be a linearly independent set in $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Mod}}(T)$ since $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Mod}}(S) \cong \operatorname{Free}_{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Mod}}(T)$. But $T$ is a maximal linearly independent set in Free $_{\text {R-Mod }}(T)$, so $\left|T^{\prime}\right| \leq|T|$ by Proposition VI.1.7 in Aluffi. But $|S|=\left|T^{\prime}\right|$ and thus $|S| \leq|T|$. Analogously, define the set $S^{\prime}=\left\{\varphi^{-1}\left(1_{R} t\right) \mid t \in T\right\}$, and we have $|T|=\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq|S|$. Hence $|S|=|T|$, and thus $S \cong T$.

Lemma 2. Let $A$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space.

1. If $A \not \approx \mathbb{Q}$, then $\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(A)$ is not a field.
2. If $A \cong \mathbb{Q}$, then $\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(A) \cong \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. All vector spaces over $\mathbb{Q}$ are of the form $A \cong \mathbb{Q}^{S}$ for some set $S$. Then $A$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $S$ has exactly one element.

1. If $S$ is empty, then $A=\{0\}$ and $\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(A)=\{0\}$ which is not a field. So suppose that $A \cong \mathbb{Q}^{S}$ and $S$ is a set with more than one element. Let $s_{0} \in S$ and define a map $\pi_{s_{0}}: \mathbb{Q}^{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{S}$

$$
\pi_{s_{0}}: \sum_{s \in S} r_{s} s \longmapsto r_{s_{0}} s_{0} .
$$

This is a nonzero endomorphism on $\mathbb{Q}^{S}$ as an abelian group that is clearly not surjective and thus has no inverse in the ring $\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{S}\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}\left(\mathbb{Q}^{S}\right) \cong \operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(A)$ is not a field.
2. Consider $\mathbb{Q}$ as an additive group. For each $f \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(\mathbb{Q})$, we have

$$
f(n a)=f(a+\cdots+a)=f(a)+\cdots+f(a)=n f(a)
$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, for each nonzero $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
f\left(\frac{1}{m} a\right)=\frac{1}{m} m f\left(\frac{1}{m} a\right)=\frac{1}{m} f\left(m \frac{1}{m} a\right)=\frac{1}{m} f(a)
$$

Hence $f\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)=\frac{p}{q} f(1)$ for each $f \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Consider the isomorphism $\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ given by

$$
f \longmapsto f(1) .
$$

Indeed, this is injective, since $f(1)=0$ implies $f(a)=0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ and thus $f=0$. This is also surjective, since for each $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ there is a group endomorphism defined by $f(a)=\frac{p}{q} a$.

Proposition 3. If $A$ is an abelian group such that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(A)$ is a field of characteristic zero, then $A \cong \mathbb{Q}$.
Proof. Note that $A$ as an abelian group has a $\mathbb{Z}$-action given by the multiplication maps $\mu_{n} \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(A)$ with $\mu_{n}(a)=n a$. Since $\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(A)$ is a field with characte1ristic zero, for each $n \neq 0$ there is an $a \in A$ such that $\mu_{n}(a) \neq 0$. Otherwise there is an $n$ such that $n \mu_{1}=\mu_{n}=0$ and thus $\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(A)$ would have characteristic at most $n$. Hence, for each $n \neq 0$, the multiplication map $\mu_{n}$ is not the zero map in the field $\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(A)$, and thus each $\mu_{n}$ with $n \neq 0$ has an inverse $\left(\mu_{n}\right)^{-1} \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(A)$. Define a $\mathbb{Q}$-action by extending the multiplication maps to $\mu_{\frac{p}{q}}$ for $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$
\mu_{\frac{p}{q}}:=\mu_{p} \circ\left(\mu_{q}\right)^{-1}
$$

Since $A$ is an abelian group with a $\mathbb{Q}$-action, it is a $\mathbb{Q}$-module and thus a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space.
By Lemma 2 above, we see that, for a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $A$, the endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(A)$ is a field if and only if $A \cong \mathbb{Q}$. Since $\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{Ab}}(A) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ is a field of characteristic zero, we are done.

Problem 3 (Aluffi VI.I Problem 16). Let $M$ be a module over a ring $R$. A finite composition series for $M$ (if it exists) is a decreasing sequence of submodules

$$
M=M_{0} \supsetneq M_{1} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_{m}=\langle 0\rangle
$$

in which all quotients $M_{i} / M_{i+1}$ are simple $R$-modules. The length of a series is the number of strict inclusions. The composition factors are the quotients $M_{i} / M_{i+1}$.

Prove a Jordan-Hölder theorem for modules: any two finite composition series of a module have the same length and the same composition factors.

Solution. We first show some important facts about submodules. (These were never actually shown in class, so I figured they should be proved here since I use them.)

Lemma 4 (Second Isomorphism Theorem' for Modules). Let $R$ be a ring, $M$ an $R$-module and $N_{1}, N_{2} \subset M$ submodules. Then

1. $N_{1} \cap N_{2}$ is a submodule of $M 1$;
2. $N_{1}+N_{2}$ is a submodule of $M$;
3. $\frac{N_{1}}{N_{1} \cap N_{2}} \cong \frac{N_{1}+N_{2}}{N_{2}}$.

Proof. .

1. Let $a, b \in N_{1} \cap N_{2}$. Then $a+b \in N_{1}$ and $a+b \in N_{2}$ since $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are modules, and thus $a+b \in N_{1} \cap N_{2}$. Similarly, for each $r \in R$ we have $r m \in N_{1}$ and $r m \in N_{2}$, and thus $r m \in N_{1} \cap N_{2}$. So $N_{1} \cap N_{2} \subset M$ is a submodule.
2. Each element of $N_{1}+N_{2}$ is of the form $a+b$ for some $a \in N_{1} \subset M$ and $b \in N_{2} \subset M$, so $a+b \in M$ and $r(a+b) \in M$ for each $r \in R$. For $(a+b),\left(a^{\prime}+b^{\prime}\right) \in N_{1}+N_{2}$ and $r \in R$,

$$
(a+b)+\left(a^{\prime}+b^{\prime}\right)=\left(a+a^{\prime}\right)+\left(b+b^{\prime}\right) \in N_{1}+N_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad r(a+b)=r a+r b \in N_{1}+N_{2}
$$

since $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are submodules.
3. Let $\varphi: N_{1} \longrightarrow M / N_{2}$ be the $R$-module homomorphism defined by $m \longmapsto x+T$. Then $\operatorname{ker} \varphi=N_{1} \cap N_{2}$ and $\operatorname{im} \varphi=\frac{N_{1}+N_{2}}{N_{2}}$. By the first isomorphism theorem, $\operatorname{im} \varphi \cong N_{1} / \operatorname{ker} \varphi$, and thus

$$
\frac{N_{1}+N_{2}}{N_{2}} \cong \frac{N_{1}}{N_{1} \cap N_{2}}
$$

as deisred.

Theorem 5 (Jordan-Hölder). Let $R$ be a ring and $M$ an $R$-module. Then any two finite composition series of $M$ have the same length and the same composition factors.

Proof (following the proof of Theorem IV.3.2 in Aluffi). We argue by induction. Let

$$
M=M_{0} \supsetneq M_{1} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_{m}=\langle 0\rangle
$$

be a composition series for $M$. If $m=0$, then $M$ is the trivial module and we are done. Assume $m>0$ and suppose that the theorem holds for all submodules $M_{k}$ of the series with $k \neq 0$. Let

$$
M=M_{0}^{\prime} \supsetneq M_{1}^{\prime} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_{n}^{\prime}=\langle 0\rangle
$$

be another composition series for $M$. If $M_{1}^{\prime} \cong M_{1}$, then the result follows from the induction hypothesis.

So we may suppose that $M_{1}^{\prime} \not \not M_{1}$, in which case we have $M_{1}+M_{1}^{\prime}=M$. Indeed, $M_{1}+M_{1}^{\prime}$ is a submodule of $M$, so we have $M_{1} \subsetneq M_{1}+M_{1}^{\prime} \subset M$, but there are no proper submodules of $M$ between $M_{1}$ and $M$ since $M / M_{1}$ is simple. Note that $K=M_{1} \cap M_{1}^{\prime} \subset M$ is another submodule of $M$, and let

$$
K=K_{0} \supsetneq K_{1} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq K_{r}=\langle 0\rangle
$$

be a composition series for $K$. By the second isomorphism theorem, we have

$$
\frac{M_{1}}{K}=\frac{M_{1}}{M_{1} \cap M_{1}^{\prime}} \cong \frac{M_{1}+M_{1}^{\prime}}{M_{1}^{\prime}}=\frac{M}{M_{1}^{\prime}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{M_{1}^{\prime}}{K}=\frac{M_{1}^{\prime}}{M_{1} \cap M_{1}^{\prime}} \cong \frac{M_{1}+M_{1}^{\prime}}{M_{1}}=\frac{M}{M_{1}},
$$

and thus $M_{1} / K$ and $M_{2} / K$ are both simple modules. Therefore, we have two new composition series for $M$

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccccccc}
M & \supsetneq & M_{1} & \supsetneq & K & \supsetneq & K_{1} & \supsetneq & \cdots & \supsetneq & K_{r} & = & \langle 0\rangle \\
\| & & & & \| & & \| & & & & \| & & \\
M & \supsetneq & M_{1}^{\prime} & \supsetneq & K & \supsetneq & K_{1} & \supsetneq & \cdots & \supsetneq & K_{r} & = & \langle 0\rangle
\end{array}
$$

which only differ at the first step. These two series clearly have the same length and the same quotients. (In particular, the first two quotients get switched from one series to the other.)

By the induction hypothesis, the composition series

$$
M_{1} \supsetneq M_{2} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_{m}=\langle 0\rangle
$$

is equivalent to the composition series

$$
M_{1} \supsetneq K \supsetneq K_{1} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq K_{r}=\langle 0\rangle .
$$

That is, they have the same length and quotients. In particular, the length is equal to $m-1=r+1$. Similarly, the composition series

$$
M_{1}^{\prime} \supsetneq M_{2}^{\prime} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_{n}^{\prime}=\langle 0\rangle
$$

is equivalent to the composition series

$$
M_{1}^{\prime} \supsetneq K \supsetneq K_{1} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq K_{r}=\langle 0\rangle .
$$

So we have $n-1=r+1$, and thus $n=m$, and so the composition series ( $\dagger$ ) and ( $\dagger \dagger$ ) have the same length and the same quotients.

