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## Location and Access

The Eastern Townships Property is located in southern Quebec and comprises both contiguous and separated special exploration permits between Thetford Mines and Asbestos (NTS 21E/13 and 14, 21L/3 and 4, (figure 1.)

## Claim Information

The property consists of 399 special exploration permits covering 18,522 ha. Rental fees are $\$ 1.20$ per hectare for the first two years and for each subsequent two year period. Assessment work valued at $\$ 15$ is due for the first two years and rises by $\$ 20$ for each subsequent two year renewal. A $5 \% \mathrm{NPI}$ is payable to the former holder of the mineral rights or to the Quebec Government on production.

## Summary of Work

1983: 1700 line kilometres of helicopter-borne EM, VLF and magnetic surveying. From 3,451 anomaly intercepts, 761 conductor systems were inferred. From the many anomalies detected, 53 were initially identified for ground follow-up.

1984: For logistical reasons it was decided that the initial work would be concentrated in the northeast sector of the project area. It was also decided that the anomalies followed up during this initial phase of work, should be situated in order to obtain as much information as possible on the geology of the region from the detailed mapping carried out on the gridded areas. As a result, nine grids were established which covered 33 Dighem conductor systems.

A total of 167 km of cut lines were established on these grids and ground geophysics comprising HLEM and magnetic surveys served to delineate a total of 103 conductors.

Based on the interpreted physical characteristics of the conductors and the geology interpreted from the grid mapping, eleven targets were recommended for drilling on a first priority basis.

In November-December, 1984, additional gridding in the southwestern part of the property was undertaken in the Lac au Canard-Lac Coulombe area. This totalled about 192 km of gridding and magnetic surveying and 172 km of Max-Min II surveying.

It was decided to drill test two anomalies located under Lac au Canard in the spring of 1985 on the frozen lake surface. These were both found to be caused by graphitic slate intercalated with mafic volcanics. The remaining eleven drill targets were left untested until further mapping was undertaken through these areas.

Mapping was conducted throughout the property at $1: 20,000$ scale to provide a base from which the work to date could be evaluated and to identify additional areas underlain by the volcanic part of the succession. Detailed mapping was conducted through the winter grids in the Lac au Canard-Lac Coulombe-Grid 24 area. In addition, ground truthing of the previously selected drill targets served to downgrade some of these and to upgrade others based on their geological setting with regard to proximity to volcanic portions of the ophiolite. It was found in may Instances that airborne and ground EM conductors were located in areas underlain by graphitic black slate of the St. David Fm.

On completion of the reconnaissance mapping it was discovered
that very few AEM conductors were located within the volcanic pile and it was also discovered that an area of previously known and partially explored mineralization in the Lac Coulombe area had only been partially covered by our grids in that area. The grids in the Lac au Canard-Lac Coulombe area were oriented with regard to a previously unknown series of slate units which occur through the middle of the volcanic pile and form long stratigraphic conductors, easily traceable for the airborne data.

Considering that the Lac Coulombe mineralization had no strong AEM response, and that a large area of the volcanic pile remained unexplored, it was decided to grid the remaining parts of the volcanic succession in the fall of 1985 and in the spring of 1986. In addition, it was decided to conduct a geophysical test program over the Lac Coulombe mineralized zone. This involved detailed IP, VLF, HLEM, gravity, and magnetic surveys on 50 meter grid line. The mineralized zones were readily detected by the VLF, IP. and HLEM surveys.

The fall 1985 drill program tested five geophysical anomalies on the 1984 grids, eight geophysical/geological targets on the Lac Coulombe test grid and one geophysical anomaly on the Lac au Canard grid for a total of 1,637 meters. The holes on the northwestern grids and at Lac au Canard returned only graphitic slate.

In the Lac Coulombe test area, holes LC85-1 and LC85-2 tested coincident VLF, HLEM, and IP responses (conductor E-6) and obtained a stratigraphic profile across the full width of the geophysical responses of L22E. Hole LC85-3 tested a coincident VLF and weak HLEM anomaly (conductor E-25) approximately 600 meters along strike from LC85-1 and 2. The results of this program are contained in a report by Lutes (1985).

The 1986 exploration program included completion of the gridding of the remaining portions of the volcanic pile in the Lac au Canard - Lac Coulombe area and at Lac Nicolet and Asbestos to the southwest ( 222 km ). This was followed by 201 km of HLEM surveys and 222 km of magnetic surveys. All grids and grid extensions were mapped through the summer of 1986 .

A geochemical test survey was conducted in soils from the Lingwick Deposit in the Weedon Belt and along several lines over the sulfide lenses in the Lac Coulombe test area and anomalies were detected in both cases, however, continued surveys in the Lac au Canard area - Lac Coulombe area were not undertaken as mapping had served to accurately locate and define the felsic horizons in these areas. Several interesting HLEM anomalies had been identified associated with those felsic horizons west of, and along strike with the Lac Coulombe sulfide zone. It was decided to survey this area with IP to further define drill targets here.

The fall drill program tested one geological target on the asbestos grid; a weak Max-Min II and VLF target on the Lac la fruite Grid; a geological target on the Lac au Canard - west grid and six geophysical targets on the Lac Coulombe - East and Lac Coulombe west area.

A series of 20 outcrop samples of mafic and felsic volcanic rocks were collected in the fall of 1986 and analysed for major and selected trace elements to enable correlation with the type section at Lac de L'Est and to determine parental magma type.

## REGIONAL GEOLOGICAL SETTING (Figures 2 and 3)

Discussions of the geology and tectonics of the Thetford Mines Ophiolite and adjacent areas in southern Quebec abound in the published literature and the salient features have been summarized by Williams and St. Julien (1982).

The Baie Verte-Brompton Line (St. Julien and Hubert, 1975) describes the narrow zone of ophiolitic complexes which occur along the western margin of the Appalachian Orogen from Southern Quebec to Newfoundland, The Thetford Mines ophiolite Complex is part of the Baie Vert-Brompton Line and comprises the Black Lake, Mont Adstock and Mont Ham Massifs (Figures 2 and 3). Polydeformed and metamorphosed quartzites and phyllites of the Cambrian Caldwell Group lie to the northwest of the ophiolite complex and pass into the Cambro-Ordovician higher grade equivalent, the Sutton-Bennett Schists (Allochtons of the internal Domain) and thence into Cambro. Ordovician Allochtons of the External Domain separated by Logans Line from the Ordovician Foreland thrust belt and the Cambro-Ordovician St. Lawrence platform which overlie Precambrian Grenville Basement.

The Ophiolite Complex is bound to the southeast by Lower Ordovician olistostromes of the St. Daniel Formation which are succeeded by a Middle Ordovician turbidite sequence (Magog Group) occupying the St. Victor Synclinoruim. The Siluro-Devonian Connecticut Valley-Gaspe Synclinorium lies to the southeast of the Magog Group and is in fault contact with the Weedon Formation of Lower to Middle Ordovician age which is thrust northwest over the Siluro-Devonian rocks.

## GENERAL GEOLOGY

The stratigraphic succession in the various segments of the Thetford, Mont Ham and Asbestos ophiolites is similar and is described in Lutes (1985). A basal cumulate sequence comprising pyroxenite and gabbro generally overlies a serpentinized hartzburgite (peridotite tectonite) which is host to the asbestos deposits of the Thetford Mines area. The top of the gabbroic unit is often occupied by erratically distributed trondhjemite bodies. A sheeted dyke swarm of variable thickness overlies the gabbroic rocks and passes into a sequence of pillowed basalts. These basalts are locally intercalated with pillow breccia, red argillite, chert, felsic volcanics and black slate. A distinction between a Lower Volcanic Sequence and an Upper Volcanic

Sequence is recognized by Hebert (1983) in the Lac de l'Est area of the Thetford ophiolite but does not appear to be valid elsewhere at either Ham Sud or in Asbestos.

GEOLOGICAL MAPPING 1986 (Fig. 4 and 5)
Detailed mapping of the new grids and grid extensions ( 222 km ) was conducted in the Lac Coulombe-east Grid (map 1), Lac Coulombe-West Grid (map 2), Lac au Canard-East Grid (map 3), Lac au Canard-West Grid(map 4), Lac Nicolet large Grid (map 5), Lac Nicolet Small Grid (map 6), Asbestos Large Grid (map 7) and Asbestos-Small Grid (map 8). Mapping was conducted with the assistance of J. Bernard.

LAC COULOMBE-EAST GRID (Map 1)
The Lac Coulombe-East Grid area is generally poorly exposed but appears to be largely underlain by pillowed basalts and pillow breccia. Medium-grained, isotropic gabbros are well exposed along the northern margin of the grid and toward the interpreted fault contact with volcanics, contain frequent dykes and veins of both diabase and felsite (aphanitic trondhjemite). These trend consistently to the northeast parallel to the inferred contact. Fairly thick sections of diabase dyke complex are preserved in the eastern portion of the grid, overlying the isotropic gabbros.

The mafic volcanics are best exposed from L48-55 and contain several zones of chlorite-carbonate alteration and weak pyritic mineralization but no felsic horizons appear to exist in this area. Magnetite-bearing flows are relatively common and easily traceable from the magnetic survey.

The southeast half of the grid was mapped in 1985 and contains two parallel bands of black graphitic slate marked by a moderate to strong EM response. The upper contact of the volcanic pile is in fault contact with grey to black graphitic slates and greywackes of the St. Daniel Formation.

## LAC COULOMBE-WEST GRID

The original grid in this area covered the central part of the volcanic complex, including the Lac Coulombe Mineralized Zone. Grid extensions to the NW covered the lower contact of mafic volcanics and gabbro extensions to the $S E$ covered the upper contact of the mafic volcanic sequence against St. Daniel Formation. The SW extension of the Lac Coulombe mineralized zone was also covered by the grid extensions and was found to continue along strike into the Lac au Canard-East Grid.

Exposures at the southern margin of the volcanic pile in the immediate vicinity of Lac Coulombe show a transitional sequence of lithologies from basalt to overlying slate (St. Daniel) of about 20-30 meters thickness. The lowermost beds are comprised of locally derived coarse angular volcanic debris which appear to be poorly sorted and directly overlie pillowed mafic volcanics. These are rapidly succeeded by progressively finer mafic volcaniclastic beds with interbedded slate. Slatey interbeds predominate toward the top of the section and where recognizable volcaniclastic beds are absent, one can confidently recognize the rock type as typical St. Daniel Fm. This transition zone appears to be no more than $20-30$ meters thick at most but shows that thrust faults are not present at all contacts between the ophiolite and the St. Daniel Fm. The transitional lithologies have been traced for about 2 km along strike but are structurally removed on both the Lac Coulombe-East Grid and the Lac Au Canard-East Grid.

Mapping at the south-west extension of the Lac Coulombe Sulfide Zone showed a continuation of rhyolitic horizons to at least line 2 E in outcrop. These typically have associated minor pyritic mineralization and are assumed to persist SW into the Lac au Canard-East Grid through an unexposed area.

Mapping along lines cut north of the Lac Coulombe sulfide zone has shown relatively numerous chaotic sulfide-bearing boulders identical to the
typical altered and mineralized rocks from this zone. The position of these boulders quite clearly indicates a latest glacial episode of northward ice advance with transport of erratic boulders up to about 2 km from the sulfide deposits.

Mapping at the northern part of the grid defined the lower contact of the volcanic sequence at about 17 N and striking about NE parallel to the baseline. The intrusive underlying the volcanic complex here consists of microgabbro and diabase in dyke swarms. Rocks furthest north at the edge of the grid are generally fine to medium grained and often massive in outcrop although glacially polished exposures clearly show the dyke-like contacts locally. These rocks become increasingly fine-grained and hyaline toward the volcanic contact and are locally autobrecciated. The volcanics are also very hyaline near this contact but show vesiculated pillows or pillow breccias. A reddish Jasperoid chert (unit OIF) occurs in a single rubbly outcrop on line 8+00E at about 100 meters above the dyke complex. It is not known if this is a vein or stratiform feature. The "Disraeli Copper" vein containing a variety of base metals, occurs at about $9+50 \mathrm{E}$ at 20 N . This epithermal vein has received much attention as an exploration target in the past but appears to be a late epithermal vein unrelated to the origin of the ophiolitic rocks and has no viable exploration potential. It lies within rocks of the dyke swarm about 300 meters below the volcanic contact.

Two horizons of oxidized pillow breccia occur along the road on line $3+00 E$. One at $16+00 \mathrm{~N}$ is at approximately the same stratigraphic level as the jasperoid chert on line $8+00 \mathrm{E}$.

Undeformed upper and lower contacts of the volcanic sequence are apparent on the Lac Coulombe-West Grid approximately between lines $1 E$ and 12 E in the north and along the entire contact against St. Daniel Fm. in the south. This apparent thickness is about 2.9 km and assuming a subvertical dip this equates to a true thickness for the volcanic sequence.

The original Lac au Canard- East Grid covered only a narrow section in the central part of the volcanic sequence and it was found that the grid extensions adequately covered both the upper and lower contacts of the volcanic succession. Results of grid mapping in the area in 1985 were reported in Lutes (1985).

The dominant type of volcanic is vesicular pillow basalt (unit 6a). Pillows are generally small and poorly formed and tops are difficult to determine. A relatively continuous zone of oxidized basalt and pillow breccia near the sheeted dyke swarm can be traced from about L58E to the edge of the grid at L68E and is also located on the Lac au Canard Grid. Interspersed throughout the mafic volcanics are a variety of massive and/or quartz and feldspar phyric rhyolite and felsic pyroclastic rocks (unit 6C, 6Cr) which appear to occur at virtually all stratigraphic levels. These vary widely in thickness but usually contain disseminated or stringer pyrite. Pyrite is most common in several of the smaller units at lower stratigraphic levels (L49-L54E Lac au Canard 5 to 7N; L53E-54E Lac au Canard 2N; L66E-67E Lac au Canard 1N).

A relatively thick section ( $\pm 300 \mathrm{~m}$ ) of mixed coarse to fine felsic pyroclastic, and volcaniclastics grey slate (unit 6dg), reddish hematitic slate (unit 6d) and chert (unit 6ch) occurs on the east side of Lac au Canard at about lS-3S. These rock types are interbedded and appear to be intimately associated in this section. Despite considerable alteration of the pyroclastic/volcanic rock types in the section, at least two distinct compositional varieties occur together, each locally included as brecciated fragments in the other, suggesting probably a very local provenance or eruptive center. A faulted extension of this section strikes NE across the grid at about lS-2S(unit 6bxr). This unit is comprised of a mixed mafic felsic component fragmental (dominantly mafic agglomerate containing felsic clasts) and is interpreted as perhaps a distal equivalent to the pyroclastic breccias near the Lake.

Two additional thick sections of felsic rock occur higher in the volcanic sequence. The first strikes NE across the grid from about TL5S at the lake to about $10 S$ at the $N E$ end of the grid and is probably stratigraphically equivalent to the felsic rocks in the Lac Coulombe Sulfide Zone and its southwestward extension. This unit is thickest at the lake ( $\pm 300 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and is composed of dense buff to grey massive aphanitic to quartz and feldspar phyric rhyolite (crystals $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ in size) with generally less than $1 \%$ disseminated pyrite. Although easily defined in outcrop near the lake, the unit is poorly exposed at the $N E$ end of the grid and is only partially defined at lines 61 and 62E. Abundant float in this area suggests its continuation to the NE. The thickness of the unit decreases to about 100 meters in this area

The uppermost felsic unit is in fault contact with St. Daniel Fm. from lines 43 E to 59 E and here obtains a maximum thickness of $\pm 400 \mathrm{~m}$. It appears to continue $N E$ across the grid to L68E at 15 S and is reasonably well defined through this area although stratigraphic thickness is much reduced at about $\pm 75$ meters. The unit is composed of a buff to grey, dense quartz and feldspar phyric rhyolite. Quartz crystals are predominant and unusually large (up to about 8 mm ). Pyrite is least common in this unit.

Mafic volcanic rocks lying between these uppermost two felsic units are locally intensely carbonatized ankeritic and locally contain up to $5-10 \%$ coarse euhedral cubes of pyrite. The most intense alteration occurs between about L56E and L6lE, coincidentally stradding an $E-W$ trending fault. It is thought that channelling of seawater through such a structural zone might account for the "footwall alteration" under the uppermost felsic unit. Unfortunately no associated base metal mineralization is apparent.

Several features of the felsic volcanic/pyroclastic rocks seem to vary consistently according to stratigraphic position in the volcanic
sequence. Those units at a lower stratigraphic level are generally aphanitic with crystals less than 1 mm in size and usually contain disseminated or stringer pyrite up to $3-5 \%$. Felsic units at a higher stratigraphic level have succeedingly larger and more abundant crystals and generally contain less pyrite (L1\%). Thickness of the felsic units seems to increase with increasing stratigraphic level and also with proximity to the lake suggesting a provenance or eruptive center in this direction.

Various units of grey and black graphitic slate (unit 6dg) occur across the volcanic sequence and although these are rare in outcrop, they are generally easily traced as long stratigraphic conductors. These are probably more or less stratigraphically continuous with those in the Lac Coloumbe area.

A long continuous unit of red hematitic slate (unit 6d) is readily traced along between the five uppermost felsic units and appears to be displaced about 200 meters along an E-W trending fault between lines 57 E and 58 E . This distinctive slate unit makes a convenient marker horizon on both sides of this fault.

The intrusive rock series comprises a medium-to coarse-grained gabbro in the northwestern extremities of the grid which become increasingly fine-grained toward the volcanic edifice. A section of up to 1 km of diabase dykes, microgabbro and associated hyaline hypabysal intrusive breccias occur below the volcanics and the contact is readily defined in most outcropping areas as at the Lac Coulombe-West Grid.

A structurally repeated section of the volcanic sequence occurs in the NW corner of the grid, bound by two thrust faults which converge as scissor faults at about L47E. The section appears to be about 600 meters thick on this grid and strikes off onto the Lac au Canard-West Grid where it is better represented in outcrop. The rocks contained in the section comprise part of the sheeted dyke swarm, overlain by pillowed basalts, a zone of oxidized pillow breccia and an aphanitic rhyolitic unit. These lithologies are fairly typical of the lower
section of the volcanic sequence elsewhere on the grid and it is presumed that this section was emplaced as an imbricate thrust during obduction of the ophiolite.

A large trondhjemite intrusion occurs on the west side of Lac au Canard and appears to intrude both the sheeted dyke swarm and the lower part of the volcanic sequence. The intrusion consists of a homogeneous fine-to medium grained equigranular granitiod rock which generally contains $1-2 \%$ pyrite. Petrographic examination of a smaller trondhjemite body on the Lac au Canard-West Grid shows about 15-20\% quartz and $75 \%$ plagioclase (cornposition An40) comprising the rock with about $2-3 \%$ clinopyroxene and $2-3 \%$ secondary chlorite.

Age relationships for four intersecting faults on the Lac au Canard-East Grid can be interpreted. The oldest fault indicated is the east-west trending cross fault between about L45E/8N and L59E/15S (Fl). This is cut off by the major thrust fault at the top of the volcanic pile against St. Daniel Fm (F2). This in turn is interpreted to be offset by a second crossfault down the length of Lac au Canard (F3). Apparently the youngest of these intersecting faults is the thrust fault at the top of the repeated volcanic section in the NW part of the grid (F4). I would suggest therefore that $F$, and F3 are original structures related to seafloor processes whereas $F 2$ and $F 4$ were initiated by later obduction processes. It is possible that later movements on F3 are responsible for the interpreted offsets of F 2 .

## LAC AD CANARD-WEST GRID (map 4)

This area is characterized by abundant outcrop throughout the grid. The hilly upland areas along the northwestern margin of the grid are typically underlain by gabbro and sheeted dyke complex with minor trondhjemitic intrusions and local felsite dykes.

The volcanic sequence overlying the intrusive complex is comprised largely of sheared and deformed pillow breccia through the central portions of the grid and probably indicates layer-parallel shear
associated with thrust faulting. Relatively undeformed pillow basalt is best exposed in the northern part of the grid in the vicinity of L27E/14N and is fairly common in the southern part of the grid at or below the baseline.

A mineralized felsic horizon was discovered at a stratigraphic level of about 100-150 meters above the sheeted dyke complex in the eastern, central and western portions of the grid. It is best mineralized from L22E to about L24E, containing up to $10-20 \%$ pyrite and local visible disseminated chacopyrite. Adjacent pillowed basalts locally contain magnetite iron formation in crevices between pillows. These magnetite-rich deposits commonly contain disseminated chalcopyrite. A good example of this is at $L 25 E / 14+25 N$. Felsic rocks have also been identified at $L 25 E / 7 \mathrm{~N}$ and $L 0+00 / 9 \mathrm{~N}$ and it is suspected that strike continuation of the latter may be the mineralized provenance for a chalcopyrite-bearing float at L4E/BL.

A jasper vein (bed?) occurs within mafic volcanics on LO+00. This horizon is located about 100 meters above the sheeted dyke complex and appears to be stratifrom although it cannot be followed along strike. It should be noted that the only other known occurrence of a jasperoid rock was located on the Lac Coulombe-West Grid and also at about 100 meters above the intrusive complex. This latter jasperoid appears to strike into a stratiform zone of oxidized pillow breccia and indeed in the present case, oxidized pillow breccia occurs just 100-200 meters along strike southwest of the grid, outcropping on the Gosford Road. A variety of depositional and tectonic structures were noted here from the 1985 reconnaissance mapping, including rare clasts of jasper. This combined evidence suggests that the jasperoid cherts are strata bound sedimentary rocks.

Several mappable zones of hematitic alteration (oxidized zones) and chlorite $\pm$ pyrite alteration occur on the mafic volcanics. These can generally be followed across several lines but are often irregular in outline and trend. No chalcopyrite or sphalerite is associated with these zones and they do not appear to be of economic significance.
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A unit of grey and black graphitic slate up to $400-500$ meters thick occurs south of the baseline and can be traced both in outcrop and by a marked conductive response though this area. It is succeeded by a 300 m thick succession of mafic volcanics which contain a carbonate-rich alteration zone similar to that described on the Lac au Canard-East Grid. This is likewise overlain by a quartz phyric pyroclastic unit which correlates along strike with those rocks described for the East Grid. No mineralization occurs associated with these rocks on the West Grid.

A fault bound block of gabbro-dkye complex occurs at the eastern margin of the grid along L34E. This has been described on the East Grid. The curved form of the bounding fault which straddles these two map sheets strongly suggests overthrusting from the east.

Several isolated gabbroic bodies have been mapped through the central portion of the grid. These appear to be high level intrusions rather than fault slivers and show that magmatism in the rocks of this complex must have been unusually long-lived as these have not been distinguished elsewhere. Several trondhjemitic intrusions also occur within the volcanic sequence. These appear to have intruded to even higher levels than the gabbros.

The major bounding fault between the volcanics and the St. Daniel Fm. truncates much of the ophiolitic sequence and the apparent thickness of the volcanic succession is reduced from about 2.6 km at the NE margin of the grid to about 0.6 km at the SW margin. A cross fault disrupts this major fault between lines 8 E and 9 E although it cannot be traced through the volcanics.

## LAC NICOLET-LARGE GRID (map 5)

Outcrop on the property is generally sparse, the best exposures being generally in the center portion from lines L15 to 24 E (approx.). Strongly deformed rocks of the Caldwell Group outcrop at the northern
margin of the grid on Lines 18-20. An outcrop at about $5+25 \mathrm{~N}$ on L 20 E comprises a melange of sedimentary and minor volcanic rock-types which is considered to mark the fault contact between Caldwell Group and the ophiolite rock-types. Both rock series are extremely deformed and altered along this contact, but the Caldwell rocks comprise largely greywacke and phyllitic schists. The trend of the fault contact appears to coincide with conductor E-13 which extends from L25E/4+50N to L36E/4+50N and is probably caused by a graphitic component in the sediments along the fault.

Gabbro (unit 4c) occurs in E-W trending belts both in the north and south portions of the grid and in both areas appears to be in proper stratigraphic context to the adjacent mafic volcanic rocks, implying a folded sequence with younger rocks cored in a syncline through the center of the grid (this is borne out by the symmetry of unit 6bx). Ankerite spotting and minor pyritic mineralization (coarse euhedral variety) are common in both the gabbro and the mafic volcanics in the northern part of the grid adjacent to the faulted contact against the Caldwe 11 Group. At the northeastern corner of the grid, extensive gabbroic rocks are little deformed, but many cross-faults complicate the stratigraphic succession into the volcanic sequence. The gabbro along the southern margin of the grid is likewise little deformed and there is sufficient outcrop across the grid to confirm the presence of gabbro over a large area (LI5E-L43E) and to reasonably delineate the gabbro-volcanic contact. This contact is well exposed from L20E-L28E and appears to have a generally E-W trend. Cleavage ( $S_{1}$ ) throughout this contact zone is at $30-40^{\circ}$ variance to the mapped trend of the contact. This variance is even greater in the NE corner of the grid and suggests that folding is pre-cleavage and that the two events are the product of different deformational episodes.

The mafic volcanics (unit 6a) comprise both pillowed mafic volcanics and pillow breccia. Two parallel belts of hematitic pillow breccia (unit 6 bx ) are well exposed in the central grid area. This breccia locally
contains clasts of jasper and rhyolite and distinct layers of hematitic slate can be distinguished. Local boulders of rusty rhyolite through this area seem to indicate that mineralized rhyolites may be present although unexposed. Several outcrops of hematitic pillow breccia and hematitic slate occur at $L 35 E / 4 S$ and are correlated with the former occurrences. Conductor $E-12$ is on strike with this unit and may indicate some graphitic bands in the slate unit.

A peculiar mafic breccia with rhyolite clasts occurs in the NE corner of the grid near a small outcrop of pyritic and silicified felsic tuff at L4lE/l+OON. The apparent short strike length of this pyritic unit and the lack of associate conductive response suggest little mineral potential in this area.

A single outcrop of an ankerite-magnetite rock occurs at L8+00E/2+50S and has no easily defined geologic context. The lithology appears to be an altered form of ultramafic rock. Its magnetic signature suggests that it strikes $N E$ across the area and appears to cross the Caldwell Group Fault boundary. It might be an altered ultramafic dyke rock.

## LAC NICOLET-SMALL GRID (map 6)

This grid was cut to cover an AEM conductor (E-l). Mafic volcanics are relatively well exposed throughout much of the grid and are relatively unaltered. Cleavage in the volcanics is vertical and strikes NE across the area. The volcanics are separated by a fault from graphitic slate of the St. Daniel Formation in the northwest part of the grid. Conductor E-l coincides with this contact.

## ASBESTOS-LARGE GRID (map 7)

This grid contains a reasonably well exposed section of mafic volcanics containing several horizons of aphanitic to locally quartz phyric felsic volcanics. The volcanic sequence is about 400-600 meters thick, overlies a diabase dyke complex to the northwest and is in fault contact with greywacke, quartzwacke and slate of the St. Daniel Fm. to the southeast.

The Gabbro-volcanic contact is reasonably well defined in outcrop from about L6E-L1OE but is elsewhere interpreted from the magnetic signature of the diabases.

The felsic horizons appear to number about six. These are all lithologically similar and usually vary from about $1-15$ meters in thickness. Minor associated pyrite is common to all but is usually less than $2-3 \%$. Chalcopyrite was recognized only at LIIE/2S and is not of economic importance. Some associated footwall and hanging wall chloritic-pyritic alteration can be recognized in most outcropping areas of surrounding mafic volcanics. The thickest section of felsic rocks is on L7E which is about 75-100 meters thick.

The best exposed section of the volcanics is along Ll4E where three successive felsic horizons are exposed across strike. A grey to reddish hematitic slate occurs here about 50 meters above the uppermost felsic horizon. This felsic horizon can be traced discontinuously from L8E to L25E and appears to be the most persistent of the felsic units. Hematitic slate occurs only on lines $11 \mathrm{E}, 14 \mathrm{E}, 26 \mathrm{E}$ and 27 E and appears to be at increasing distances above the felsic unit from west to east. It is probable that the slatey rocks represent a single lithologic unit across the area.

The volcanics trend consistently NE and do not appear to be folded. A cleavage is generally present in these rocks with a consistent NE strike and a steep SE dip. The intensity of deformation increases rapidly toward the fault contact with St. Daniel Fm. and extreme shearing and carbonate alteration is characteristic of mafic volcanic rocks near this boundary. The fault contact is nowhere exposed on the grid but is easily discerned from the EM data. The river valley is occupied by extensive thicknesses (40-50M) of compact clay-rich glacial till with local overlying sand and gravel outwash.

## ASBESTOS-SMALL GRID (map 8)

This grid was emplaced in order to cover an AEM conductor in an unknown geological context. The northern portion of the grid is underlain by mafic volcanics in fault contact with graphitic slates of the St. Daniel Fm. to the south. Ground conductors El, E2 and E3 are located along the southern margin of the grid and coincide with the mapped distribution of the St. Daniel Fm. slates and greywackes which strike about $E-W$ across this area. A narrow zone of weak chloritic alteration with a trace of pyrite was located on $L 2 E$ but no other evidence of mineralization was found.

## DIAMOND DRILLING (1986)

The fall drill program tested one geological target on the asbestos grid, a weak max-min II and VLF target on the Lac la Truite grid, a geological target in the Lac au Canard-West Grid and six geophysical targets on the Lac au Canard-East and Lac Coulombe grids along strike west of the Lac Coulombe test area. A total of nine "BQ" diamond drill holes ( 726 meters) were drilled from October 3 to Oct 21 , 1986 by St Lambert Drilling Co. Ltd. of Valleyfield, Quebec. Drill logs and assay reports are contained in appendix $I$.

## ET86-1 (fig. 6, 7, 8)

This hole tested a geologically interesting section of felsic volcanics on the Asbestos-Large Grid which contain disseminated and stringer pyrite with a trace of chalopyrite.

Light grey-green felsic tuff (ash tuff) was intersected in the drill hole from the collar to 93 meters depth. Some variation in grain size was noted (e.g. the presence of locally interbedded crystal tuffs). Stringer and disseminated pyrite was present throughout the felsic unit with local traces of chalcopyrite but no improvement in alteration or mineralization was recognizable across the section relative to that observed at surface.

ET86-2 (fig. 9, 10, 11)
This hole tested a section of exposed felsic volcanics on the Lac au Canard-West Grid that locally contain heavy stringers of pyrite. Some chlorite-magnetite iron formation with associated chalcopyrite also occurs in the area surrounding selvages in pillow basalt.

The hole was collared near the southeastern contact of the felsic volcanic against pillow basalt. The upper part of the hole intersected a barren crystallithic tuff overlying a silicified rhyolite with pyrite stringers and disseminations. These felsic units appear to be only about 10-15 meters in combined thickness and are underlain by mafic volcanics down the hole.

The felsic units are only about 200-300 meters stratigraphically above the sheeted dyke complex. Two quartz-feldspar porphyry dykes occur in the lower part of the hole. These may be feeders to the felsic units and if so, may have a similar chemical composition.

Six sections of $0.6-1.0$ meters length were split and analysed for $\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}$ and Au . The best result was $2870 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Cu}+12 \mathrm{ppm}$ $\mathrm{Pb}+12,860 \mathrm{ppmZn}+2.1 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Ag}+59 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Au}$ from $15.1-15.7$ meters. The results show generally increasing $\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Zn}$ values from the uphole contact to the downhole contact of the rhyolitic unit (fig. 11).

ET86-3 (fig. 12, 13)
This hole tested a VLF and weak IP chargeability target on the Lac au Canard-East Grid. A mixed section of mottled felsic tuff with chloritic fragments and mafic volcanics was encountered. No noticeable conductive minerals were identified in the hole (pyrite is generally sparse) however, some graphite along fractures has been recognized in felsic volcanics intersected elsewhere on the grid and may also be present here.

## ET86-4 (Ag.14)

This hole tested a weak max-min II and VLF anomaly on the Lac au Canard-East grid. A mixed assemblage of rhyolite, felsic tuff and mottled chloritic tuff were encountered in the hole but with little sulfide content. A section of rhyolite at $15-20$ meters depth was found to contain abundant fractures infilled with graphite. This section is distinctive and is undoubtedly the conductive source.

ET86-5 (fig. 15)
This hole tested a weak max-min II/VLF conductor on the Lac Coloumbe Grid. A section of rhyolite breccia and felsic tuff was encountered with variable abundance of stringer and disseminated pyrite-chalcopyrite throughout. A semi-massive pyrite unit was intersected from 39.3-39.9 and may be the conductive source.

Twenty samples of core were split from $24.0-43.6$ meters and all returned relatively high values for Cu . These can be averaged over various intervals as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
24-27 m & =.26 \% \mathrm{Cu} / 3 \mathrm{~m} \\
28-34 \mathrm{~m} & =.29 \% \mathrm{Cu} / 6 \mathrm{~m} \\
24-34 \mathrm{~m} & =.27 \% \mathrm{Cu} / 10 \mathrm{~m} \\
38-42 \mathrm{~m} & =.46 \% \mathrm{Cu} / 4 \mathrm{~m} \\
24-42 m & =.26 \% \mathrm{Cu} / 18 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Stringers and disseminated pyrite and chalcopyrite persist to the bottom of the hole and suggest that mineralization here, although very low grade, is very widespread.

ET86-6 (fig. 16)
This hole was collared to test a weak max-min II anomaly on the Lac Coulombe-West Grid. A variety of felsic volcanics and tuffs were encountered throughout the hole containing disseminated and stringer pyrite and locally chalcopyrite. Two thin, semi-massive bedded pyritic
tuffs occur at the bottom of the hole (67.0-67.2 and 72.1-72.3), but do not contain chalcopyrite. These are probably the conductive source. Metasomatic carbonate blasts are present through the lower half of the hole (siderite?) marking the presence of a footwall alteration zone. Twelve sections of core were split and analysed for $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}$ and Au . The samples returned consistently high background values of Zn throughout the hole in contrast to the high Cu encountered in hole ET86-5.

ET86-7 (fig. 17)
This hole tested a weak max-min II and VLF anomaly on the Lac Coulombe Grid. Intercalated rhyolites and felsic tuffs were encountered through the hole to 70.5 meters depth, succeeded by silicified mafic volcanics. Disseminated and stringer pyrite are most common in the upper part of the hole and are probably the conductive source. Twenty two core samples were split and analysed for $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Zn}$, Ag and Au . Slightly more elevated values for Cu and Zn occur in the lower parts of the hole.

## ET86-8 (fig. 18, 19)

This hole tested a weak max-min II and VLF anomaly on the Lac Nicolet Grid. A unit of felsic volcanics is followed by volcaniclastic siltstones and graphitic, calcareous conglomerate typical of the St. Daniel Fm. Graded silty units in the sedimentary rocks suggests tops down-the-hole (south facing). As outcrops of a north facing sequence of gabbro and mafic volcanics occurs at surface, it must be presumed that the succession drilled lies within a tectonic (thrust) slice. It is quite probable that this is the tectonic style across much of the Lac La Truite Grid. The graphite rich slates and conglomerates in the lower part of the hole are undoubtedly the conductive source in this area.

## ET86-9 (fig 20)

This hole tested an IP target on the Lac au Canard-East Grid. A light grey-green quartz crystal tuff was encountered throughout with loca11y
abundant fractures infilled with a slightly conductive dark coloured assemblage of possibly $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{Fe}$ oxides and locally graphite. Only sparse scattered cubes of pyrite were present.

## LITHOGEOCHEMISTRY

The geochemistry and petrogenesis of the ophiolitic volcanic rocks from the Lac de $1^{\prime}$ Est section near Coleraine has recently been interpreted by Oshin and Crocket (1986). This is a fairly well preserved section where the basaltic volcanics consist of a lower unit which includes both high and low Ti-basalts and an upper unit of low-Ti basalt. The upper and lower units are separated by a 50 meter layer of cherty, argillaceous sediment. The upper unit contains a variety of rock types varying from basalt, andesite and pyroclastic agglomerates to felsic tuffs. The total section at Lac de'Est is about 350 meters thick (fig. 21).

The geochemistry of the volcanics and the argillaceous sediments and the absence of a sheeted dyke facies led the authors to conclude that the Lac de 1 'Est volcanics and the ophiolites of the Thetford Mines Complex in general were formed in a back-arc or marginal basin environment.

As FinNeth has a vested interest in the Economic Geology of the Thetford Mines Ophiolite, a series of 14 samples of mafic volcanic rocks and six samples of felsic volcanic rocks were collected in three traverses across the better exposed sections of the Ham-Sud ophiolite in the Lac au Canard-Lac Coulombe area. These were analysed for major elements $+\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Zr}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Nb . The results are compared to the geochemistry of the mafic volcanic rocks from Lac de L'Est and to mafic volcanic rocks from known modern tectonic settings.

There is quite a significant loss on ignition for all the mafic rocks and these have all been recalculated to $100 \%$ before plotting (table l).

## CHEMICAL VARIATION DIAGRAMS:

$\mathrm{Na}_{2}-\mathrm{CaO} / \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}-100\right) \div \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cr}$ (fig. 22)

The rectangular and oval fields on the $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CaO}$ diagram contain the mean values of several suites of unaltered mafic and felsic rocks. The field of normal igneous compositions is taken from Hughes (1973) and the line on the Ti-Cr diagram was employed by Pearce (1982) to discriminate between mid-ocean ridge tholeiites (upper field) and island arc tholeiites (lower field). These variation diagrams are from Stephens (1984).

All mafic rocks from the Ham-Sud area plot outside of the field of unaltered mafic rocks on the $\mathrm{Na}_{2} 0$ - CaOdiagram which is not surprising considering the relatively common secondary chlorite and calcium carbonate which affects all mafic rocks on the property. It would appear from the spread of values that Ca is generally quite mobile in these rocks being either depleted or enriched relative to the mean unaltered composition and Na values appear to be generally enriched relative to this field.

On the $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ vs $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}-100\right) / \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ diagram, many rocks fall in the spilitic field, but these are generally spread across the field of normal igneous composition as well.

On the Ti-Cr diagram most points fall within the field of island arc tholeiites except for five points at high Cr - contents.

The felsic rocks are generally $C a-$ poor relative to mean felsic rock compositions and generally somewhat richer in Na as were the mafic rocks.
$\mathrm{SlO}_{2}-\mathrm{FeO}^{+} / \mathrm{MgO}$ (fig 23)

All mafic compositions are plotted on this diagram from Miyashiro (1973). All but one rock composition falls in a calc-alkaline field. It is probable that spilitization would decrease the $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Mg}$ ratio, but even so, the indication here is that these are probably calc-alkaline rocks.

Ti-Zr (fig 24)

If we use the relatively imobile trace elements Ti and Zr in a Pearce and Cann (1973) diagram, all but two points fall neatly within the field of low-K tholeiites and these compositions are a long way from those of ocean floor basalts.

T1/100-Zr-T*3 (fig 25)

On this diagram (also after Pearce and Cann) we see a lot more spread in the rock compositions but the center of gravity here is still in the field of Lo-K tholeiites.
$\mathrm{TiO}_{2}-\mathrm{MnO}^{\circ} 10-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot 10$ (fig 26)

This diagram is from Mullen (1983) and was created from 507 analyses from well-defined environments using Ti-Mn-P. All of the mafic volcanic composition from the Mont Ham ophiolite plot in the field of calc-alkali basalts.

## COMPARISON OF TRACE ELEMENT DATA - VARIOUS ENVIRONMENTS

A summary of the analyses used by Pearce and Cann (1973) is presented in table 2. Averages for the trace elements we analysed from the Mont Ham mafic volcanics and also those from Oshin and Crockett (1986) are included at the bottom of the table for comparison.

Cr and Ni values are available only from Oshin and Crockett (1986) for comparison with our data. There is quite a marked variation in these elements of the Lac de l'Est area between each of the three compositional groups (an order of magnitude difference approximately). In comparing this data with the average analyses from Mont Ham it is readily apparent that the lower type II volcanics are compositionally very similar.

Ti, Zr and Y values are very similar between the Mont Ham rocks and both of the lower type II and upper units of the Lac de l'Est area. Taken together with the Cr and Ni averages it would seem that the very close compositional similarities between the Mont Ham rocks and the lower type II rocks from Lac de $I^{\prime}$ Est suggest a common parental magma.

It was the conclusion of Oshin and Crockett (1986) that the upper unit lo-Ti basalts were similar in chemical composition to the lo-Ti basalts of the lower unit and that those compositional differences that distinguish the two rock types would be best explained if "the lower unit - low Ti volcanics were subject to minor premeruptive olivine and spinel fractionation followed by eruptive fractional crystallization of small amounts of clinopyroxene and plagioclose".

As apparently no high-Ti volcanics of the lower type $I$ or low Ti-volcanics of the upper unit occur in the Ham-Sud ophiolite, it may be suggested that the magmatic source for these mafic volcanics is the same as the source of the lower unit type II-low-Ti volcanics and is volumetrically more significant in the Ham-Sud area.

In comparing these low-Ti volcanics to well known environments in
table 2 , it is apparent that the very low Ti values are only typical of some low-K tholeiites or perhaps calc-alkali basalts in volcanic arc environments. The same conclusion can be drawn by inspection of the Zr , Y , and Nb values as well.

## DISCUSSION

Considering that felsic volcanic and pyroclastic rocks are volumetrically significant in the Ham-Sud ophiolite, this section of ophiolite is not typical of ocean floor basalts formed at modern spreading ridges. The trace element geochemistry reflects this bimodal volcanism and alludes to a volcanic arc environment. Oshin and Crockett (1986) describe three petrochemically distinct magma types from the Lac de l'Est $^{\prime}$ area of the Thetford Mines Ophiolite and infer that the parental magmas of the high-Ti0 ${ }_{2}$ lower unit basalts were partial melts of undepleted mantle whereas the $l_{\text {low }} \mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ volcanics were partial melts of residual, depleted mantle. It was their conclusion that the close spatial association of chemically diverse magma types was best accounted for by generation in a back-arc or marginal basin environment. They drew their support for this conclusion from the geochemistry of the argillaceous sediments in the Lac de I'Est section and from the perceived absence of a sheeted dyke complex in that area. They found that the Lac de $l^{\prime}$ Est sediments were metal-poor relative to those found at modern spreading ridges and had high $A L$ contents, suggesting a "significant input from an AL-rich source such as a continent or an island-arc or marginal basin". Our work provides some additional criteria for discriminating the tectonic environment. A well developed sheeted dyke complex underlies the Ham-Sud ophiolite and it is therefore probable that a similar complex underlies the Lac de l'Est section. The failure to recognize such a complex at Lac de l'Est $^{\prime}$ is probably due to structural removal as the pile does rest in fault contact on the underlying plutonic plate as noted by Oshin and Crockett (1986). This indicates that these ophiolites were formed in a rift environment. Accepting that the Ham-Sud ophiolite consists primarily (if not entirely) of a magma type
which is petrochemically similar to one of three types found at Lac de 1'Est, then it can be concluded that magmatism is of at least two types (partial melts of undepleted mantle; partial melts of residual, depleted mantle) occurs along the rift axis. As the volcanics in the Ham-Sud ophiolite were apparently derived from partial melts of residual, depleted mantle and are far more voluminous than those to the NE at Lac de l'Est, $^{\prime}$ it might be suggested that two separate magmatic-volcanic centers existed - one in the Ham-Sud area, and another in the Thetford Mines area. Considering that the earliest melts generated would be expected to be derived from undepleted mantle (Hi-Ti volcanics of the lower-type $I$ at Lac de $I^{\prime} E s t$ ) and these only occur at Lac de $l^{\prime} E s t$, then the volcanics at Ham-Sud might be considered to be generally younger than those at Lac de 1 'Est. In a dynamic model of rift propagation it might be suggested that the Ham-Sud is a more mature segment of a NE propagating ridge axis.

The presence of significant quantities of felsic volcanics not only in the Ham-Sud ophiolite but throughout the Ham-Sud-Thetford segments of ophiolite is indicated from both our detailed and reconnaissance surveys in 1985 and 1986. This suggests that a propagating rift axis has interacted with sialic crust and could indicate that the ophiolites obducted westward onto the continental margin represent relics of earliest formed oceanic crust (basin margin) or perhaps interaction of a propagating rift with an island arc terrane (Ascot-Weedon belt?). It is worth noting that the associated graphitic siltstones throughout the ophiolite belt (St. Daniel Fm.) is very similar to graphitic siltstones intercalated with the mafic and felsic volcanics of the Weedon Belt. As Oshin and Crockett (1986) noted that the sediments at Lac de $1^{\prime} E s t$ contained a significant continent or island arc component, it might be that these sediments were derived from the Weedon terrane to the east rather than the continent to the west.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

A series of 144 samples of surface outcrop and drill core were collected by R. Beeson of Billiton Research largely from the Lac Coloumbe area but also from the Asbestos and Ives/Huntingdon areas. These will be analysed for selected trace elements as part of an orientation rock geochemistry project which will compare the geochemistry of the separate ophiolite segments and attempt to reconstruct their original tectonic setting by using immobile element diagrams. It is also hoped that by completing a geochemical traverse of the Lac Coulombe section (mineralized zone) any geochemical changes that might exist within the mafic volcanics might be related to known mineralization. An assessment of the geochemical variations of alteration in the mafic volcanics will be made and this will be related to the known mineralization and its metal associations. The felsic volcanics associated with the Lac Coulombe-Sulfide Zone have also been sampled to determine whether these can be contrasted with non-mineralized felsic rocks.

The work to date has concentrated on the Lac Coulombe-Sulfide Zone and its SW extension onto Lac au Canard Grid. Drilling has concentrated on geophysical targets through this area (both EM and IP) and has successfully tested the known sulfide lenses at Lac Coulombe in 1985 and all geophysical targets on the SW extension in 1986. It has been shown from the drill resutłs to date, that all of the geophysical anomalies are due to either graphite sediment or disseminated and massive sulfides through these areas. It would seem reasonable to conclude from the character of the mineralization discovered to date that the geophysical methods used are effective and have accurately located the mineralization which exists on the property. There is no evidence to suggest that mineralization might be present which would be blind to the methods used. Assuming that economic mineralization is present within the Lac au Canard-Lac Coulombe Zone it must be located at some depth beyond the detection limits of the EM-IP methods used to date, probably $\pm 200$ meters.

A review of the assessment work in the Lac Coulombe area shows extensive drilling in the vicinity of the sulfide lenses at lines $21 E$ to 24E (Lutes, 1985). The deepest recorded hole in this area reached a vertical depth of about 300 meters. This hole (G-17) intersected several long sections of low grade $C u$ mineralization which might be interpreted as a stringer zone material ( $0.27 \% \mathrm{Cu} / 35^{\prime}$ and $0.26 \% \mathrm{Cu} .79^{\prime}$ respectively) underlying the two massive sulfide lenses which were confirmed by our own drill holes in this area (LC85-1, LC85-2). It is reasonable to conclude that this zone has been well tested to depth and warrants no further investigation.

One other massive sulfide lense occurs in the Lac Coulombe area approximately between lines 16 E and $17+50 \mathrm{E}$ at $1+50 \mathrm{~S}$ and was discovered by ddh LC85-3. As no previous work has apparently been done on this zone, some deeper drilling may be warranted in this area.

In the $S W$ extension, the best results were returned from hole ET86-5 (fig 27) which contained an average of $0.26 \% \mathrm{Cu}$ over 18 meters split from the upper part of the hole. Py-Cp stringers are persistent throughout the hole and similar averages could be expected over even longer sections. Despite the improbability of any near surface massive sulfide lenses (no appropriate geophysical expression present in this area) the long section of $P y-C p$ stringers is very similar in $C u-c o n t e n t$ to those noted in hole G-17 in the Lac Coulombe area and the latter are confirmed to be in association with massive sulfide mineralization. Room for additional drill testing of this zone should be bracketed somewhere between ET86-4 (barren) and ET86-6 (weakly mineralized) as these holes appear to cut off the possible extensions of mineralization 400 meters both to the $S W$ and NE of hole 86-5.

As work to date has discovered only uneconomic concentrations of base metals through the Lac Coulombe-Lac au Canard sulfide zone and all ground geophysical anomalies have been tested, it is recommended that two zones characterized by the greatest tenor of metal values and the least amount of drill testing be subjected to further drilling to a
depth exceeding the limits of ground geophysical penetration in an effort to detect indications of economic mineralization. As such drilling would be extremely fortuitous in directly detecting economic sulfide mineralization it is proposed that down-the-hole geophysics be employed to thoroughly test each of these two zones for nearby anomalies which might require additional drill testing.

It is therefore recommended that one hole of length $\pm 400$ meters be drilled in the vicinity of ET86-5 and that one hole of length $\pm 400$ meters be drilled in the vicinity of LC85-3 to be followed up by down-the-hole geophysics.

Work to date on all other portions of the Eastern Townships Property has failed to locate any additional mineralized zones of merit. It is therefore recommended that only the Lac Coulombe-Lac au Canard area be retained upon renewal of claims in 1987. This area is outlined in Figure 28 and a list of these special exploration permits is contained in table III. The total area covered by these exploration permits is 1742 ha. The work commitments necessary to maintain these in good standing on renewal in February, 1988 is $1742 x \$ 35=\$ 60,970$ which would be covered by work done in 1986 plus additional work recommended for 1987.

## WORK PLAN AND BUDGET - 1987 EASTERN TOWNSHIPS


#### Abstract

In addition to two deep ( $\pm 400 \mathrm{~m}$ ) drill holes in the areas specified in the Lac au Canard area, a compilation map should be erected at about 1:2000 scale on which all geological - geophysical - lithogeochemical data can be plotted for the sulfide zone. This will require some remapping for the area to distinguish separate felsic units which have been shown to be closely associated with the mineralization discovered to date. Accurately locating these with respect to the present drill-hole data is necessary for stratigraphic control and interpretation of the lithogeochemical results presently being undertaken by $R$. Beeson. The area to be mapped in detail is attached. This mapping will take about one week and should be completed prior to diamond drilling. The recommended budget is attached. The lithogeochemical interpretations should be complete by spring; the detailed mapping will be conducted through June and drilling should be completed prior to the fall of 1987.
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Figure 2. The Baie Verte-Brompton Line and major rock units of the Quebec Eastern Townships: 1) Grenville basement ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{\epsilon}}$ ); 2) St. Lawrence platform ( $\epsilon-0$ ); 3) Foreland thrust belt ( 0 ): 4) Allochthones of the extermal domain ( $\epsilon-0$ ); 5) Allochthones of the internal domain (mainly Sutton-Bennett schists) ( $\epsilon-0$ ); 6 ) Caldwell Gr . and Mansonville Fm. ( $\epsilon$ ); 7 ophiolites ( $\epsilon$ ): 8) St. Daniel and Brompton Fms. (Mélange) (L.0); 9) St. Vietor synciinorium (Magog Gr.) (M.0); 10) Ascot-Weedon Fms ( L O-M.0); 11) Connecticut Valley-Gaspé synclinorium (S-D); 12) Frontenac Fm. (0?); 13) Chain Lakes Massif (Helikian): 14) Ordovician granites; 15) Devonian granites; 16) Mesozoic alkaline intrusive rocks.
(ofter Williarns \& st. Julien, 1982)

geology of thetford mines area

## LEGEND

SILURO DEVONIAN
ST-FRANCIS GROUP
LIMESTONE, DOLOMITE, SANOSTONE, SILTSTONE AND SLATE
MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN
MAGOG GROUP
TURBIDITE SEOUENCE.(ST-VICTOR FM.)
GRAPHITIC SLATE, TUFFACEOUS SANOSTONE ANO
SILTSTONE,FELSIC TUFF, CHERT (BEAUCEVILLE FM)

## LOWER ORDOVIAN

ST-DANIEL FORMATION
OLISTOSTROMAL PEBBLY MUDSTONE, GREY ANO
grem slate.
CAMBRIAN AND LOWER ORDOVICIAN THE TFORD MINES OPHIOLITE COMPLEX AND SERPENTINITE BODIES
pillowed volcanic rocks and pyroclastic rocks
GABBRO AND PYROXENITE
DUNITE, PERIDOTE, SERPENTINITE
CALDWELL GROUP

区奴
FELOSPATIC SANDSTONE, sLATE AND basic
VOLCANIC ROCKS
RIJSAIRE GROUP

4
RoSa
PRECAMBRIAN
Z27
CHAIN LAKE MASSIF(?)


Figure 3 Relationships between rock groups and structures at the Baie Verte-Brompton Line, Thetford Mines, Quebec.
(after Williams \& St. Uulien, 1982)


FinNeth Explorotion Inc.
EASTERN TOWNSHIPS 1984, 1985 \& 1986 GRIDS


Fig. 4.


FinNeth Exploration Inc.
EASTERN TOWNSHIPS 1986 GRIDS

$k m 1$| 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



FinNeth Exploration Inc.
EASTERN TOWNSHIPS
LOCATION OF DRILL HOLES

Fig. 6.




FinNeth Exploration Inc.
EASTERN TOWNSHIPS PROPERTY ASBESTOS GRID
DRILL HOLE ET 86-/ Line $7+00 E / 0+505$


Fig. 8


7 LOWER ORDOVICIAM (1)
Greywiacke, quartzwacke, graphitic slate
cambrain and lower ordoyician
ophlolite complex - valcanic sequence
6dg Grey and black graphitic slate
Slliceous volcaniclastic tuff, tuff breccia and siltstone;
6cr - aphanitic and phyric rhyolite and related fragmentais; MIF - magnetite fron formation

Mafic hyaloclastite
Plllow brecela, heavily oxidized and hematitic
pillow basalt and plliow breceti
intrustive complex
Dyke (4dt - tronhjemite-felsite; icd - diabase)
Trondhjemite (commonly pyritic)
Olabase dykes, hyaline microgabbro and associated intrusive breccias
M.G. to C.G. Gabbro

## SMMBOLS

$\because$ A Area of outcrop, mineralized float /... Goological contact (defined, approximate, assumed)
$\sim \sim$ Fault (defined, approximate, assumed)
cleavage (incilned, vertical)
© Pillow basalt, tops kriown
trench
diamond drill hole
alteration zone with pyrite
9 hematitic alteration in mafic valcanics
Py,Cp pyrite, chalcopyrite
Fig. 10.

## Eastern Townships Property Lac au Canard - West Sheet Locafion of DDH ET86-2 <br> $50 \quad 0 \quad 100 \quad 200$ metres Oct. 1986.




FinNeth Exploration Inc.
EASTERN TOWNSHIPS PROPERTY
$\angle A C$ AU CANARD WEST
DDH ET 86-2
Line $23+67 E / 13+1 / N$
Fig. II




FinNeth Exploration Inc.
EASTERN TOWNSHIPS PROPERTY
$\angle A C$ AU CANARD - EAST
DDH ET 86-3
Line $64+50 E / 11+255$



## FinNeth Exploratión Inc.

## EASTERN TOWNSHIPS PROPERTY

$\angle A C$ AU CANARD-EAST
DDH ETB6-4
Line $64+00 E / 9+355$



FinNeth Exploration Inc.
EASTERN TOWNSHIPS PROPERTY
LAC COULOMBE-WEST
DDH ET 86-5
Line $1+00 \mathrm{~W} / 3+45 \mathrm{~s}$
Fig. 15.



Pyrific mineralization
Topping interpreted

FinNeth Exploration Inc.
EASTERN TOWNSHIPS PROPERTY
LAC COULOMBE WEST
DDH ET 86-6
Line $3+00 E / 2+80 s$






FinNeth Exploration Inc.

## EASTERN TOWNSHIPS PROPERTY

$\angle A C ~ \angle A ~ T R U I T E ~ G R I D ~$
DRILL HOLE ET 86-8 Line $26+005 / 4+855$


Fig. 19.


FinNeth Exploration Inc.

## EASTERN TOWNSHIPS PROPERTY

LAC AU CANARD -EAST



Fig. 2/-Geology of the Lac de l'Est area, showing sample locations and the inferred distribution of type 1 and II volcanics. Geology is after Hébert and Laurent (1979), with division of lower volcanic unit into type I and II volcanics according to the present study.
(after Oshin \& Crockett, 1986)


Fig. 22. Chemical variation diagrams $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CaO}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Ti-Cr; (offer Stephens et al, 1984)


Fig. 23. $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ rs $\mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{Ot} / \mathrm{MgO}$


Fig. 24. Ti vs Zr (after Pearce and cann-1973)
[
[
$[$


Fig. 25. Tilloo-zr-y.3 (after Pearce and Cann-1973)


MORB. Mid-acean ridge basalt
OIT. Ocean ssland tholelife
IAT - Island are tholesite
OIA - ocean Island alfalibosalt
CAB - Calc -altali bosalt
Fig. 26. $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} \times 10-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \times 10$
After E.Mullen EAPS (1985)


Fig. 27


Fig. 28. Eastern Townships Properties: RECOMMENDED PROPERTY RETENTION


FinNeth Explaration Inc.
EASTERN TOWNSHIPS 1987 DETAILED MAPPING


Fig. 29

## Table $I$

MAJOR ELEMENTS AND NORMATIVE MINERALOGY

|  |  |  | FV |  | MV |  | FV |  | MV |  | MV |  | FV |  | MV |  | MV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 906 |  |  | 065 |  | 066 | 906 |  | 906 |  | 906 |  |  | 70 | 907 |  | 907 |  |
|  | Recalculated to 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | 60.50 | 64.91 | 54.20 | 57.29 | 42.40 | 50.62 | 51.30 | 55.41 | 75.80 | 79.64 | 51.00 | 56.43 | 76.40 | 79.70 | 56.80 | 60.94 | 49.30 | 53.18 |
| $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.57 | 0.68 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.68 | 0.73 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 12.40 | 13.31 | 14.10 | 14.90 | 12.80 | 15.28 | 15.20 | 16.42 | 10.10 | 10.61 | 12.90 | 14.27 | 10.20 | 10.64 | 13.10 | 14.06 | 14.80 | 15.96 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 11.20 | 12.02 | 9.59 | 14.14 | 5.51 | 6.58 | 10.48 | 11.32 | 3.06 | 3.22 | 9.26 | 10.25 | 2.36 | 2.46 | 9.71 | 10.42 | 11.60 | 12.51 |
| Fe 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MgO | 3.35 | 3.59 | 7.37 | 7.79 | 1.72 | 2.05 | 8.12 | 8.77 | 2.54 | 2.69 | 9.08 | 10.05 | 1.39 | 1.45 | 6.53 | 7.00 | 7.59 | 8.19 |
| Mn0 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.87 | 1.04 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.13 | . 14 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.1 | 0.13 | 0.14 |
| CaO | 0.71 | 0.76 | 3.40 | 3.59 | 14.70 | 17.55 | 2.47 | 2.67 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 3.65 | 4.04 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 2.25 | 2.41 | 2.41 | 2.60 |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 3.96 | 4.25 | 4.89 | 5.17 | 1.87 | 2.23 | 2.72 | 2.94 | 3.68 | 4.07 | 3.64 | 3.80 | 4.12 | 4.42 | 4.12 | 4.42 | 4.03 | 4.35 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 3.13 | 3.74 | 1.73 | 1.87 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 1.32 | 1.38 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 1.96 | 2.11 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 0.03 | 0.03 | L0.01 | L0.01 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.23 |
| LOi | 4.70 |  | 2.45 |  | 13.80 |  | 4.65 |  | 2.50 |  | 7.00 |  | 1.35 |  | 4.45 |  | 5.20 |  |
| TOTAL | 97.90 |  | 97.06 |  | 97.57 |  | 97.24 |  | 97.68 |  | 97.38 |  | 97.21 |  | 97.65 |  | 97.91 |  |


| 0 | 35.08 | 15.95 | 7.41 | 23.38 | 59.47 | 19.67 | 51.03 | 27.90 | 12.29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Or | 35.06 | 3.30 | 26.36 | 11.93 | 2.54 | 2.17 | 8.49 | 0.75 | 13.48 |
| Ab | 38.57 | 46.22 | 22.55 | 26.85 | 26.43 | 38.12 | 33.52 | 40.13 | 39.67 |
| An | 2.41 | 16.82 | 24.65 | 12.99 | 0.56 | 20.96 | 0.19 | 11.40 | 10.36 |
| $c^{(\text {wo })}$ | 5.34 | 0 | 0 | 5.57 | 5.34 | 0.31 | 2.96 | 2.97 | 3.25 |
| Di | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Hy}{ }^{E n} \mathrm{Fs}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mt | 0 | 0 | 2.07 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 1.29 | 0.27 | 0.05 | 0.34 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 0.32 |
| Hem | 12.17 | 10.11 | 6.06 | 11.54 | 3.19 | 10.70 | 2.42 | 10.55 | 12.74 |
| Ru | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sp | 1.0 | 0.84 | 0 | 0.81 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 1.38 |
| Ap | 0.08 | 0 | 0.67 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.57 |



## MAJor elements and normative mineralogy



## Major elements and normative mineralogy

|  | MV |  | MV |  | MV |  | FV |  | MV |  | MV |  | MV |  | MV |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MV } \\ 9081 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9073 |  | 9074 |  | 9075 |  | 9076 |  | 9077 |  | 9078 |  | 9079 |  | 9080 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | 53.40 | 55.95 | 48.30 | 54.52 | 53.60 | 57.55 | 75.40 | 78.33 | 77.50 | 80.46 | 54.80 | 57.38 | 44.10 | 51.51 | 55.70 | 58.80 | 48.60 | 53.76 |
| $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.4 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.22 |
| $\mathrm{A1}_{2}{ }^{03}$ | 15.30 | 16.02 | 12.60 | 14.22 | 13.30 | 14.28 | 10.20 | 10.53 | 9.05 | 9.40 | 13.80 | 14.45 | 12.80 | 14.95 | 12.10 | 12.77 | 11.90 | 13.16 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 9.49 | 0.94 | 7.75 | 8.75 | 10.20 | 10.95 | 3.76 | 3.88 | 3.42 | 3.55 | 10.60 | 11.10 | 7.33 | 8.56 | 7.99 | 8.43 | 8.24 | 9.11 |
| Fe 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mg 0 | 4.98 | 5.22 | 6.34 | 7.16 | 9.59 | 10.29 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 5.21 | 5.46 | 5.84 | 6.82 | 9.34 | 9.86 | 6.80 | 7.52 |
| Mn0 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.19 |
| CaO | 45.53 | 4.74 | 8.58 | 9.68 | 1.77 | 1.90 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 4.59 | 4.80 | 10.60 | 12.38 | 5.75 | 5.88 | 9.32 | 10.31 |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2}{ }^{\text {O}}$ | 5.68 | 5.95 | 4.38 | 4.94 | 3.72 | 3.99 | 5.67 | 5.85 | 5.42 | 5.63 | 5.84 | 6.12 | 3.69 | 4.31 | 3.63 | 3.83 | 5.05 | 5.59 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 1.55 | 1.62 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.87 | 1.02 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.14 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 2.60 |  | 8.85 |  | 4.30 |  | 0.65 |  | 0.30 |  | 2.90 |  | 11.70 |  | 3.35 |  | 7.15 |  |
| total | 98,08 |  | 97.55 |  | 97.54 |  | 97.55 |  | 97.42 |  | 98.40 |  | 97.32 |  | 98.08 |  | 97.56 |  |


| 0 | 7.59 | 13.64 | 28.06 | 42.40 | 45.73 | 14.23 | 8.36 | 27.00 | 12.24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 r | 10.05 | 0.75 | 1.43 | 0.31 | 1.27 | 0.71 | 7.01 | 0.40 | 0.94 |
| $A b$ | 52.72 | 47.21 | 36.28 | 51.09 | 48.99 | 54.18 | 42.59 | 34.23 | 52.28 |
| An | 12.82 | 18.39 | 8.39 | 1.84 | 0 | 12.20 | 21.56 | 18.45 | 11.54 |
| $c^{(\text {wo })}$ | 0 | 0 | 4.94 | 0.20 | $A C=0.39$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Di | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Hy}^{\mathrm{En}} \mathrm{Es}_{s}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mt | 0 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.04 |
| IL | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.57 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.29 | 0.42 |
| Hem | 9.82 | 9.28 | 11.08 | 3.78 | 3.35 | 10.79 | 9.44 | 8.40 | 9.49 |
| Ru | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sp | 0.21 | 0 | 0 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.61 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0 |
| Ap | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.63 | 0 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.25 | 0 | 0 |


major elements and normative minerology

| MV | MV | MV | FV | FV | MV | MV | MV | MV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9073 | 9074 | 9075 | 9076 | 9077 | 9078 | 9079 | 9080 | 9081 |
| 43 | 72 | 83 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 55 | 9 | 55 |
| 354 | 326 | 1006 | 51 | 55 | 44 | 342 | 926 | 531 |
| 19 | 21 | 27 | 55 | 56 | 18 | 14 | 29 | 15 |
| 4 | 21 | 7 | 23 | 25 | 11 | 7 | 10 | 5 |
| L1 | L1 | L1 | L1 | L1 | 2 | 11 | 2 | L1 |

MAJOR ELEMENTS AND NORMATIVE MINEROLOGY

|  | MV |  | MV |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9082 |  | 9083 |  |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | 54.70 | 57.75 | 45.30 | 51.48 |
| $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.18 | 0.21 |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 11.80 | 12.46 | 12.20 | 13.86 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 8.13 | 8.58 | 6.93 | 7.88 |
| Fe 0 |  |  |  |  |
| MgO | 7.98 | 8.42 | 6.06 | 6.89 |
| $M_{n} 0$ | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.16 |
| CaO | 6.83 | 7.21 | 13.40 | 15.23 |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4.50 | 4.75 | 2.56 | 2.91 |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.16 | 0.17 | 1.07 | 1.22 |
| $P_{2} 0_{5}$ | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.18 |
| LOi | 2.70 |  | 8.60 |  |
| total | 97.42 |  | 97.40 |  |
| Q |  | 22.18 |  | 16.57 |
| Or |  | 1.05 |  | 8.17 |
| Ab |  | 42.44 |  | 27.97 |
| An |  | 12.86 |  | 24.08 |
| $c^{\text {(wo) }}$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Di |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Hy}^{\mathrm{En}} \mathrm{Fs}_{\mathrm{s}}$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Mt |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| 11 |  | 0.36 |  | 0.39 |
| Hem |  | 8.55 |  | 8.45 |
| Ru |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Sp |  | 0.55 |  | 0 |
| Ap |  | 0.21 |  | 0.48 |



| type | Location | No. of analyses | Ti | $\mathbf{Z r}$ | Y | Nb | Sr |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wimen ridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deen floor | Alula-Fartak trench, Gulf of Aden | 24 | 8300 | 77 | 27 | 1.5 | 123 |  |
| Smadts | Carlsberg Ridge $5 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | 7 | 10150 | 117 | 35 | 4.0 | 140 |  |
|  | Paimer Ridge | 8 | 6500 | 70 | 24 | 7.0 | 121 |  |
| ¢ | Gulf of Aden - median valley | 7 | 6150 | 64 | 22 | 6.5 | 119 |  |
| ; | Mid Atlantic Ridge $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. | 7 | 8050 | 93 | 23 | 15 | 188 |  |
| \% | Mid Atlantic Ridge $24^{\circ}$ and $30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | 12 | 8850 | 129 | 45 | - | 108 |  |
| * | Juan de Fuca Ridge | 4 | 11950 | 122 | 47 | 5.0 | 125 |  |
| j | Marianas small ocean basin | 3 | 8100 | 101 | 25 | 6.0 | 197 |  |
| \% | Ocean floor basalt - mean | 72 | 8350 | 92 | 30 | 5.0 | 13,1 |  |
| Folcanic arc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low-K | Izu arc (Oshima) | 14 | 6700 | 44 | 21 | 1.0 | 184 |  |
| moleites | Tonga arc (Falcon Is.) | 7 | 4550 | 33 | 17 | 1.5 | 179 |  |
| \% | Marianas arc (Guam) | 8 | 3900 | 52 | 16 | 2.5 | 218 |  |
|  | Fijı (Viti Levu) | 5 | 4900 | 68 | 22 | - | 344 |  |
| 3 | South Sandwich Is. | 12 | 4850 | 44 | 18 | 2.0 | 127 |  |
| . | Low-K tholeïte - mean | 46 | 5150 | 52 | 19 | 1.5 | 207 |  |
| Calc-akali | Java arc | 53 | 5300 | 107 | 24 | 3.5 | 384 |  |
| bualts | Lesser Antilles (St. Lucia) | 5 | 5850 | 90 | 23 | 3.0 | 239 |  |
| \% | Japan | 2 | 5750 | 64 | 15 | 2.0 | 420 |  |
| \% | Calc-alkali basalt - mean | 60 | 5400 | 106 | 23 | 2.5 | 375 |  |
| Shoshonites | Fiji (Viti Levu) | 9 | 3700 | S2 | 16 | - | 1193 |  |
| Ocean islund |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ocean island basalt | Jebel at Tair (Red Sea) | 3 | 11800 | 137 | 28 | 11 | 240 |  |
|  | Hawaii | 20 | 14850 | 164 | 25 | 14 | 338 |  |
|  | Galapagos | 7 | 17800 | 251 | 39 | 24 | 329 |  |
|  | Reunion | 15 | 19850 | 178 | 28 | - | 522 |  |
|  | Zubair (Red Sea) | 11 | 15350 | 250 | 33 | 35 | 373 |  |
|  | Hanish Zukur (Red Sea) | 10 | 19350 | 297 | 33 | 46 | 578 |  |
|  | Madeira | 3 | 16350 | 251 | 27 | 64 | 776 |  |
|  | Flores, Azores | 9 | 19150 | 262 | 28 | 90 | 889 |  |
|  | Ocean island basalts - mean | 78 | 16250 | 215 | 29 | 32 | 438 |  |
| Continental |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continental mant | Deccan traps | 9 | 11850 | 132 | 28 | 10 | 187 | Cr Ni |
|  | Tuli Syncline, Rhodesia | 12 | 16800 | 328 | - | 27 | 683 |  |
|  | Paka, Gregory Rift | 3 | 15550 | 132 | 31 | 24 | 495 |  |
|  | Afar, Ethiopia | 11 | 15900 | 177 | 29 | 21 | 431 |  |
|  | Continental basalts - mean | 35 | 15150 | 215 | 29 | 20 | 460 |  |
| Mt. Ham Mafic volcanics |  | 14 | 3500 | 23 | 10 | $<1$ | 42652 |  |
| Thetford- | d- Lower type I | 12 | 11450 | 58 | 31 |  |  | $30<5$ |
| Thetford- Lower type II |  | 8 | 2500 | 26 | 9 |  |  | 34277 |
| Thetford- Upper |  | 8 | 2200 | 21 | 7 |  |  | 2442296 |

Table 2. Average trace element compositions of various volcanic environments Pearce and Cann (1973) with additional data from the Mont Ham Ophiolite (his report) and the Thetford Ophiolite (Oshin and Crockett, 1986)

| Special Exploration Permit Number | Range | Lot | Hectares | Expiry Date* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P00764 | III | $\begin{gathered} 024 \\ +\quad \text { the lake } \end{gathered}$ | 80.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00765 | III | 025 | 80.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00766 | III | $\begin{gathered} 026 \\ +\quad \text { the lake } \end{gathered}$ | 80.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00767 | III | $\begin{gathered} 027 \\ +\quad \text { the lake } \end{gathered}$ | 80.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00768 | III | $\begin{gathered} 028 \\ +\quad \text { the lake } \end{gathered}$ | 80.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00776 | IV | $\begin{gathered} 023 \\ +\quad \text { the lake } \end{gathered}$ | 131.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00777 | IV | $\begin{gathered} 024 \\ +\quad \text { the lake } \end{gathered}$ | 136.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00778 | IV | 025 | 140.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00779 | IV | 026 | 144.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00780 | IV | 027 | 148.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00781 | IV | 028 | 149.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00631 | I-S | 015 | 21.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00632 | I-S | 016 | 21.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00633 | I-S | 017 | 20.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00634 | I-S | 018 | 20.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00635 | I-S | 019 | 20.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00636 | I-S | 020 | 20.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00637 | I-S | 021 | 20.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00638 | I-S | $\begin{gathered} 022 \\ +\quad \text { the lake } \end{gathered}$ | 20.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00639 | [-S | 023 <br> + the lake | 20.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00640 | I-S | 024 | 20.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00641 | I-S | 025 | 20.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00642 | I-S | 026 | 20.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00643 | I-S | 027 | 20.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00644 | [1-S | 015 | 19.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00645 | II-S | 016 | 20.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00646 | II-S | 017 | 20.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00647 | II-S | 018 | 21.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00648 | II-S | 019 | 22.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00649 , | II-S | 020 | 22.00 | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00650 | $\mathrm{II}-\mathrm{S}$ | 021 | $22.00$ | Feb. 21/86 |
| P00651. | II-S | $\begin{gathered} 022 \\ + \text { the lake } \end{gathered}$ | 23.00 | Fec. 21/86 |



* all special exploration permits renewed to 1988

FINNETH EXPLORATION INC.
DIAMOND DRILL RECORD


FINNETH EXPLORATION INC.

DIAMOND DRILL RECORD


| Hole No. ET86-2 |  | Page 2 of 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FROM | T0 | DESCRIPTİON |
| 42.7 | 62.0 | Medium green chloritic mafic volcanic: |
|  |  | identical to 15.6-38.6 |
|  |  | 45: Ss developed @ $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{A}$. |
|  |  | 51-62m: rock becomes light coloured, increasingly hyaline and brecciated. |
| 62.0 | 62.5 | Light-medium grey silicic quartz-feldspar |
|  |  | porphry dyke: |
|  |  | -identical to 38.6-42.7 |
|  |  | -upper and lower contacts sharp at about $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{A}$. |
|  |  | 64.5: 20 cm rose quartz vein = fault? |
| 62.5 | 75.0 | Mafic Volcanic Fragmentals and Tuffs?: |
|  |  | -section from 69-72 has well developed cleavage at $10-20^{\circ}$ C.A. and contains some pyritic laminations (rock looks like reworked mafic volcanic). |
|  | 75.0 | END OF HOLE |

FINNETH EXPLORATION INC.

DIAMOND DRILL RECORD

| Location: $64+50 / 11+25 S$ | Direction: $140^{\circ}$ Dip: $\underline{-45^{\circ}}$ Hole No: ET86-3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Logged By: Glenn Lutes | Casing: $17^{\prime}$ Sheet No.: 1/2 |
| Started: Oct. 17, 1986 | Core Size: BQ Corrected Tests: |
| Finished: Oct. 18, 1986 | $75 \mathrm{~m}=-40^{\circ}$ |
| Property: Lac au Canard | East Grid - Eastern Twps. Property 941 |
| FROM TO (metres) | DECRIPTION |
| 06 | Overburden |
| $6 \quad 27.6$ | Light to medium green, felsic tuff with mottled chloritic fragments up to 0.5 m : <br> - very similar to mottled tuff in ET86-4 <br> - generally sericitic and locally siliceous <br> - carbonate generally absent <br> - very little veining or alteration <br> - only local disseminated pyrite <br> - possibly mixed mafic-felsic components? (volcaniclastic sediments?) |
| 27.6 30.5 | Medium to dark green, dense mafic volcanic with amygdules: <br> - rock is generally fine grained and only slightly chloritic. <br> - uphole contact is hyaline from 27.6 to 27.8 and suggests chilling at contact. |
| $30.5 \quad 59.8$ | Mottled green tuff as at 6-27.6: <br> - uphole contact sharp with some inclusions in mafic volcanic unit suggests tops uphole? <br> - carbonate content generally high and increases downhole <br> 52.5: some chert fragments <br> 56.6-57.3= quartz carbonate vein (fault?) |
| $59.8 \quad 75.0$ | Dense Medium green mafic volcanic <br> - uphole contact shows disrupted contact over $\curvearrowleft 1 \mathrm{~m}$ with apparently fragments of uphole unit in downhole unit? |

```
Hole No. ET86-3
Page 2 of 2
```

FROM TO DESCRIPTION
59.8-64.0 (approx.): rock is aphanitic and possibly autobrecciated and is certainly hyaline (hyaloclastite)
64-75: rock becomes darker green and amygdules present

- fine disseminations pyrrhotite throughout ( $<1 \%$ ) .

END OF HOLE
(No conductive minerals on structures in section, but some pyrite disseminations and veins in bottom unit may be responsible for I.P.)

DIAMOND DRILL RECORD


| Hole No. ET86-4 |  | Page 2 of 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\text { FROM }}$ | T0 | DESCRIPTION |
| 33.2 | 38.8 | Light grey silicic tuff and tuff breccia |
|  |  | -uphole contact gradational. <br> -downole contact sharp and possibly faulted @ $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{A}$. |
| 38.8 | 47.5 | 37-37.5: brecciated felsic fault. <br> Light, medium and dark green tuffs from <br> fine to coarse ash size and <br> -1ocally mixed mafic-felsic lapilli tuffs as per uphole unit and local tuff-breccia. |
| 47.5 | 75.0 | Lapilli tuff with mixed mafic-felsic <br> component <br> -identical to unit from 5.5 - 11.2 <br> -size grading not apparent across unit but is somewhat variable from $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ to about 6-7 mm locally. <br> -some secondary $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ in matrix and veinlets locally. |
|  | 75.0 | END OF HOLE |


$42.0 \quad 75.0$
75.0

Light toned grey-green ash tuff: generally containing finer grained pyrite and lesser Cp . Rock is slightly coarser grained and more sericitic than the adjacent uphole unit of rhyolite breccia but is lithologically and texturally similar.

- brecciation is less common in this unit and grain size appears to increase downhole.
53.9-54.0 ( 10 cm ) = stringer of py-cp in $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ rich gangue. $67.0^{3}$ : Ss developed @ $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{A}$.
- grain size relatively coarse ( $\simeq$ / mm) at bottom of hole and tuff appears non-welded with local quartz spicules.

END OF HOLE.






| $\overline{\text { FROM }}$ | T0 | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41.8 | 44.5 | Quartz Vein with open space growth indicating dilational movement. |
|  |  | 39: Ss @ $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{A}$. |
| 44.5 | 72 | Light green volcaniclastic siltstone with |
|  |  | frequent graphitic laminae. These graphitic laminae are slip surfaces for the shearing deformation prevalent through the section. |
|  |  | 45: Ss @ 65 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | -uphole contact sharp where dislocated against quartz vein. <br> -Numerous pencil-1ine width slip planes through section (slip surface graphitic). <br> -Rock generally coarser silt uphole and finer silt size downhole and increasing frequency of graphitic-slatey interbeds (slip planes) downhole. <br> -Tops therefore suggested downhole. |
|  |  | -Relationship to uphole adjacent unit of light-grey green felsic tuffs is interpreted as gradational overlying and dislocated by later quartz vein (dilatent fracture). |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 55: & \text { Ss @ } 58^{\circ} \mathrm{C.A.A.} \\ 60: & \text { Ss } \\ 50^{\circ} & \mathrm{C.A.} \end{array}$ |
|  |  | 58: graded ( 1 cm ) sand-silt laminae |
| Sugreats |  | tops up the hole (to north) |
|  |  | tops up the hole (to north) 69: Ss © $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{A}$. |
| 72 | 75 | St. Daniel Fm(?): Conglomerate with black |
|  |  | slatey matrix, rounded elongate clasts up to $\pm 10 \mathrm{~cm}$. recognizable. |
|  |  | -Uphole contact gradational over $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$. -many clasts are carbonate-rich. |
|  | 75 | END OF HOLE |

DIAMOND DRILL RECORD

Location: 55+50E/13+00S
Logged By: G. Lutes
Started: Oct.18/86

Direction: $145^{\circ}$ Dip: $-45^{\circ}$ Hole No.: ET86-9
Casing: $12^{\prime}$
Sheet No.: $1 / 1$
Core Size: BQ Corrected Tests:
Finished: Oct. 21/86 $\quad 75 \mathrm{~m}=-40^{\circ}$

Property: Lac au Canard- East - Eastern Twps. Property 941
$\overline{\text { FROM TO (metres) DECRIPTION }}$

0

4
75

75

Overburden

Light grey-green, quartz crystal tuff:

- quartz crystals to l-2 mm in aphanitic ground mass
- abundant fractures throughout section with blueish black secondary minerals. ( $\pm$ graphite?) as infilling (possibly some manganese stain?) and where pervasive = breccia
- local white feldspar crysts to $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$.
- disseminated cubes of pyrite to $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ throughout but $\lll 1 \%$.

END OF HOLE
No assays.

## ASSAY REPORT

| Hole \# ET 86-2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Samples | Cu <br> ppm | Pb <br> ppm | Zn <br> ppm | Ag <br> ppm | Au <br> ppb |
| 9104 | 132 | 11 | 160 | 0.4 | 90 |
| 9105 | 646 | 15 | 96 | 1.4 | 11 |
| 9106 | 137 | 16 | 529 | 1.2 | 51 |
| 9107 | 588 | 16 | 732 | 1.8 | 34 |
| 9108 | 609 | 15 | 841 | 1.5 | 56 |
| 9109 | 2870 | 12 | 12860 | 2.1 | 59 |

## ASSAY REPORT

Hole 非 ET 86-5

| Samples | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Cu} \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Pb} \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Zn} \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Ag} \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Au} \\ \mathrm{ppb} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9144 | 3568 | 17 | 342 | 1.8 | 40 |
| 9145 | 2247 | 9 | 169 | 1.0 | 15 |
| 9146 | 2042 | 7 | 110 | 0.9 | 9 |
| 9147 | 780 | 10 | 176 | 1.1 | 9 |
| 9148 | 1849 | 11 | 200 | 1.7 | 8 |
| 9149 | 4915 | 8 | 130 | 2.5 | 9 |
| 9150 | 5110 | 11 | 174 | 3.6 | 46 |
| 9151 | 1510 | 11 | 201 | 1.0 | 12 |
| 9152 | 1097 | 14 | 75 | 0.8 | 11 |
| 9153 | 2672 | 10 | 63 | 0.8 | 9 |
| 9154 | 488 | 7 | 62 | 0.5 | 9 |
| 9155 | 389 | 6 | 55 | 0.6 | 8 |
| 9156 | 540 | 6 | 604 | 0.6 | 8 |
| 9157 | 853 | 14 | 363 | 0.7 | 23 |
| 9158 | 3742 | 13 | 134 | 1.4 | 25 |
| 9159 | 3453 | 24 | 119 | 1.8 | 11 |
| 9160 | 8470 | 20 | 150 | 2.8 | 60 |
| 9161 | 2833 | 15 | 611 | 1.3 | 19 |
| 9162 | 526 | 20 | 72 | 0.9 | 38 |
| 9163 | 599 | 21 | 105 | 1.3 | 21 |

g1/44
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## ASSAY REPORT

Hole \# ET 86-6

| Samples | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Cu} \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Pb} \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Zn} \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Ag} \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Au} \\ \mathrm{ppb} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9110 | 47 | 35 | 428 | 1.0 | 10 |
| 9111 | 27 | 24 | 1002 | 1.0 | 9 |
| 9112 | 374 | 16 | 3385 | 1.1 | 9 |
| 9113 | 411 | 22 | 4570 | 1.4 | 11 |
| 9114 | 227 | 21 | 2800 | 1.0 | 12 |
| 9115 | 292 | 17 | 1345 | 1.0 | 10 |
| 9116 | 567 | 52 | 5590 | 2.3 | 60 |
| 9117 | 119 | 44 | 672 | 2.0 | 36 |
| 9118 | 50 | 38 | 649 | 1.9 | 36 |
| 9119 | 41 | 38 | 242 | 1.0 | 12 |
| 9120 (Semi-Mass. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Py) | 133 | 21 | 361. | 1.3 | 15 |
| 9121 " " | 70 | 24 | 586 | 1.6 | 24 |

g1/42

## ASSAY REPORT

| Samples | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Cu} \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Pb} \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Zn} \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Ag} \\ \mathrm{ppm} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Au} \\ \mathrm{ppb} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9122 | 95 | 12 | 75 | 0.7 | 8 |
| 9123 | 1211 | 11 | 77 | 1.4 | 8 |
| 9124 | 75 | 13 | 119 | 1.0 | 7 |
| 9125 | 320 | 14 | 164 | 1.1 | 7 |
| 9126 | 93 | 18 | 261 | 1.6 | 6 |
| 9127 | 75 | 5 | 44 | 0.4 | 6 |
| 9128 | 198 | 8 | 34 | 0.7 | 7 |
| 9129 | 98 | 7 | 77 | 0.4 | 7 |
| 9130 | 55 | 7 | 22 | 0.5 | 9 |
| 9131 | 77 | 12 | 58 | 0.6 | 9 |
| 9132 | 168 | 10 | 24 | 0.6 | 12 |
| 9133 | 218 | 16 | 52 | 0.8 | 14 |
| 9134 | 1230 | 10 | 35 | 1.0 | 9 |
| 9135 | 361 | 12 | 23 | 0.7 | 9 |
| 9136 | 584 | 10 | 654 | 0.9 | 10 |
| 9137 | 2041 | 10 | 67 | 2.1 | 11 |
| 9138 | 1294 | 11 | 504 | 1.6 | 8 |
| 9139 | 555 | 11 | 557 | 1.4 | 8 |
| 9140 | 64 | 9 | 186 | 0.7 | 7 |
| 9141 | 815 | 14 | 481 | 1.5 | 13 |
| 9142 | 2516 | 12 | 380 | 2.4 | 12 |
| 9143 | 3132 | 14 | 375 | 3.2 | 10 |
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# Geochemical Orientation Studies Over 

## the Lingwick and Lac Coulombe

Base Metal Occurrences
by
C.F. Gleeson PhD, P.Eng.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

On June 26 th and 27 th, 1986 the writer accompanied by G. Lutes and J. Bertrand of FinNeth Exploration Inc. carried out two geochemical pilot studies over the Lingwick and Lac Coulombe base metal occurrences. The object of the work was to test the applicability of near surface soil geochemical methods to the search for base metal deposits in this region. The two sites selected are considered typical of much of the terrain in this part of the Eastern Townships.

## 2. LOCATION

The Lingwick property is located about 50 km northeast of Sherbrooke, (Figure 2.1) in Lingwick Township Quebec. The Lac Coulombe property is located 60 km northeast of Sherbrooke in Garthby Township, Quebec.

## 3. SETTING

The Lingwick deposit is a $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Ag}$ deposit within Ordovician felsic meta volcanic rocks (Weedon Schist).

The deposit was found as a result of following up a Cu- $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Pb}$ stream sediment anomaly found by Soquem in 1966. Subsequent geological mapping and prospecting uncovered two old pits in a silicified massive pyrite zone in sericite schist (felsic tuffi). Soil (B horizon) geochemistry showed weak. Cu-Zn anomalies over this zone (Figure 3.1) but stronger ones down

slope to the northeast and south. These occurred in boggy areas and in part they are interpreted to represent hydromorphic dispersion by ground waters from this deposit and others in the area. Magnetic and horizontal loop E-M surveys showed no positive responses over the massive sulphide zone. However an IP survey carried out in 1968 gave an anomaly. Subsequent drilling showed that the deposit is lens shaped and blind. It appears comformable to the enclosing schists which strike northeast and dip $55-60^{\circ} \mathrm{SE}$. The $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Cu}$ zone appears to be in the footwall of the pyrite body (Figure 3.2). The deposit reportedly contains 350,000 tons of grading $6 \% \mathrm{Zn}, 0.6 \% \mathrm{Cu}$ and $0.5 \mathrm{oz} /$ ton Ag .

The Lac Coulombe copper occurrence is in an east-west trending,steeply dipping pyroclastic zone in basaltic and andesitic volcanic rock of the Ordovician ophiolite complex.

Overburden in the vicinity of both occurrences is relatively thin, generally less than 5 m of sandy till. The last regional glacial flow during Wisconsin was from the northwest. The Lingwick deposit occurs at a height of land with the ground sloping to the northeast and south. The Lac Coulombe occurrence is situated in relatively flat, low and in places wet ground.

## 4. METHODS

At each site a well decomposed humus sample ("A" horizon), a "B" horizon soil sample and a near surface till ("C" horizon) sample was taken. On the Lingwick grid, samples were taken at 15m intervals on lines spaned 200 m apart. At Lac Coulombe the sample interval was 25 m on $\mathrm{L} 21+50 \mathrm{E}$.


Samples were sent to Bondar-Clegg's laboratory in Ottawa where they were dried and sieved. The humus was sieved to minus 80 mesh ( 177 microns) while the soil samples were screened to minus 80 mesh ( 177 microns) and minus 250 mesh ( 63 microns). All samples were analyzed for $C u, P b, \mathrm{Zn}$ and Ag by atomic absorption spectometry after digestion with hot aqua regia. Hg was determined with a cold-vapor atomic absorption method after extraction with $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{SO} 4$, HCL and KMnO 4 . Thirty six sites were sampled over the Lingwick grid and seven (L21+50E) over the Lac Coulombe grid. The results have been plotted on Figures 5.1 .1 to 5.1 .25 and on Figures 5.2 .1 to 5.2 .5 respectively.

## 5. RESULTS

### 5.1 Lingwick

The area of the $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Cu}$ occurrence is well drained and moderately covered with mature mixed hardwoods. The soils are sandy podzolic. A typical sample profile would consist of the following: A horizon (L, F and H), $0-8 \mathrm{~cm}$, made up of forest litter and humus, sample taken from lower part; $A_{e}$ horizon, $8-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ grey sandy leached horizon; $B$ horizon, $10-30 \mathrm{~cm}$, medium brown to reddish brown sandy soil, sample taken in upper portion ( $B_{f}$ ); and "C" horizon, +30 cm light to medium brown sandy, stoney till.

The sulphide zones shown in Figures 5.1 .1 etc are surface projections from Soquem's diamond drill profiles. A sample of silicified tuff from the pit northeast of $L 0$ contained 5 to 20 percent fine grained pyrite and 134 ppm Cu , 102 ppm Zn ,
$1.4 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Ag}, 58 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Pb}$ and 360 ppb Hg .
The results from the humus samples (Figures 5.1.1 - 5.1.5) show a weak ( $20-38 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) $C u$ anomaly more or less coincident with the main sulphide zone. However there is little correlation between the sulphide zone and the distribution of zn , $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Ag}$ and Hg in humus.

The -80 mesh fraction of "B" horizon soils (Figures 5.1.6-5.1.10) have coincident but relatively weak anomalies over the sulphide zones (eg 16-76ppm Cu, 106-144ppm Zn ). These results are not too different from those obtained by Soquem in 1967 (Figure 3.1).

The distribution patterns for $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}$ and Hg in the -250 mesh fraction of the " $B$ " horizon soils (Figures 5.1.11-5.1.15) are similar to those in the -80 mesh fraction of the " $B$ " horizon soils. Again $\mathrm{Cu}-2 \mathrm{n}$ are the best indicators of the sulphide zone.

The results from the till samples ("C" horizon) are shown in Figures 5.1.16 to 5.1.25. Anomalous values for all the metals are slightly higher in the -250 mesh fraction than in the -80 mesh fraction. The main sulphide zone on $L 0$ and L0+60E is well defined by $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Hg}$ in both fractions. However the anomaly contrast is better with the -250 mesh fraction. Also there appears to be a slight displacement of the anomaly down ice on LO.

### 5.2 Lac Coulombe

The results from all fractions are shown in


## LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT STUDY

"A" HUMUS HORIZON ( -80 mesh)
$\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}$ ( Hg in ppb , other metals in ppm )
Lingwick sulphide zone
FIGURE 5.1.1
Copper contoured




LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT SṪUDY
"A" HUMUS HORIZON ' -80 mesh)
$\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{Hg}$ in ppb , other metals in ppm$)$
Lingwick sulphide zone
FIGURE 5.1.3
Lead contoured
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LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT STUDY
"A" HUMUS HORIZON ( -80 mesh)
$\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{Hg}$ in Ppb , other metals in ppm$)$
Lingwick sulphide zone
FIGURE 5.1.5

## Mercury contoured




## LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT STUDY

$$
\text { "B" HORIZON ( }-8^{\circ} 0 \text { mesh) }
$$

$\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{Hg}$ in ppb , other metals in ppm$)$
Lingwick sulphide zone
FIGURE 5.1.6
Copper contoured


LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT STUDY
"B." HORIZON ( $-8^{\prime \prime} 0$ mesh!
$\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{Hg}$ in ppb , other metals in ppm$)$
Lingwick sulphide zone

FIGURE 5.1.7
Lead contoured


## LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT STUDY

"B" HORIZON ( -8 "0 mesh)
$\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}$ ( Hg in ppb , other metals in ppm )
Lingwick sulphide zone

FIGURE 5.1.8
zinc contoured



## LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT STUDY

"B" HORIZON ( -8 '0 mesh)
$\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{Hg}$ in ppb , other metals in ppm$)$
Lingwick sulphide zone
FIGURE 5.1.9
Silver contoured
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## LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT STUDY

"B" HORIZON (-250 mesh)
$\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{Hg}$ in ppb , other metals in ppm$)$
Lingwick sulphide zone
FIGURE 5.1.11
Copper contoured




LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT STUDY
"B" HORIZON (-250 mesh)
$\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{Hg}$ in ppb , other metals in ppm )
Lingwick sulphide zone

## zinc contoured





LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT STUDY
"C" HORIZON TILL (-80 mesh)

- Cu, $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{Hg}$ in ppb , other metals in ppm )

Lingwick sulphide zone
FIGURE 5.1.16
Copper contoured

40




LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT STUDY
"C" HORIZON TILL (-80 mesh)
$\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{Hg}$ in ppb , other metals in ppm$)$
Lingwick sulphide zone
FIGURE 5.1.19

Silver contoured



## LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT STUDY

> "C" HORIZON TILL (-250 mesh)
f $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{Hg}$ in ppb, other metals in ppm)
Lingwick sulphide zone
FIGURE 5.1.21
Copper contoured



## LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT STUDY

"C" HORIZON TILL (-250 mesh)
$\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}$ ( Hg in ppb , other metals in ppm)
Lingwick sulphide zone
FIGURE 5.1.22
Lead 'contoured


## LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT STUDY

## "C" HORIZON TILL (-250 mesh)

$\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{Hg}$ in ppb , other metals in ppm)
Lingwick sulphide zone
FIGURE 5.1. 23



LINGWICK GEOCHEMICAL PILOT STUDY
"C" HORIZON TILL (-250 mesh)
f Cu, $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Hg}$ ( Hg in ppb , other metals in ppm)
Lingwick sulphide zone
FIGURE 5.1.25

## Mercury contoured

profile on Figures 5.2.1 to 5.2.5.
The section sampled is somewhat imperfectly drained with low ground (semi-bog) occurring along the south and north sectors of the line. The soils are sandy and covered with $5-15 \mathrm{~cm}$ humus. At the better drained points on the line podzols are found and gleisols occupy the swampy portions of the line.

The sulphide zones are marked by high values for $\mathrm{Cu}(76 \mathrm{ppm})$, $\mathrm{Ag}(1.7$ and 3.6 ppm$)$ and $\mathrm{Hg}(389 \mathrm{ppb})$ in the humus layer. The $B$ horizon soils show high Hg over the more northerly sulphide lens and increases in Cu (87-200ppm and 84-240ppm in -80 m and -250 m fractions respectively) over and 25 m down ice from the south sulphide zone. Zn increases to 71 and 74 ppm here also. The till samples are anomalous at the same points but the anomaly contrast is greater than in the "B" soils. Cu values at $2+50 \mathrm{~s}$ and $2+75 \mathrm{~s}$ are 98 and 600 pm respectively in the -80 mesh fraction of the till and 275ppm Zn at $2+75 \mathrm{~S}$.

These results compare favorably with those obtained last year by G. Lutes on adjoining lines to the east (Anomaly -A).

The Cu and Zn values in the $\mathbf{- 2 5 0}$ mesh fraction of the till increase to 690 and 320 ppm respectively at $2+75 \mathrm{~s}$.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Both tests show that best anomaly definition and anomaly contrast is obtained from Cu and Zn in the -250 ( 63 micron) mesh fraction of the till. The results confirm that near surface sampling of the till is effective in defining base metal targets
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FIGURE 5.2.5 in the area. However at Lingwick where the deposit is blind, close spaced samples ( $60 \mathrm{~m} \times 15 \mathrm{~m}$ ) are required to define the zone of interest. However at Lac Coulombe where the deposit subcrops sampling at 25 m intervals on lines 100 m apart appears to be sufficient. sufficient. C.F. Gleason PhD, PEng.


