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## ABSTRACT

In the late summer of 1970, an Ad Hoc Committee at
the Ohio State University was appointed (1) to review the status of all women at the University; and (2) to submit a report of the findings and recommendations. The committee consisted of 3 undergraduate students, 1 graduate student, 2 administrative personnel, and 3 faculty members, one of whom served as chairman. The Committee was then broken down into 4 task forces: (1) the subcommittee to review undergraduate admissions policies, selection criteria, recruitment, financial assistance, counseling, and continuing education opportunities for women; (2) the subcommittee to review graduate admissions policies, selection criteria, recruitment, counseling, and financial assistance; (3) the subcomittee on employment to review hiring and compensation policies, fringe benefits, policies and guidelines for administrative and professional employees, tenure and promotional opportunities, and services, facilities and special programs available to women; and (4) the subcommittee on university committee assignments to review how students, faculty and staff are selected to serve on various committees. The findings and recommendations are presented in this document. (HS)
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## Part I

INTRODUCTION
Educational institutions such as The Ohio State University must constantly address themselves to the problems of policies, customs and attitudes which might serve as barriers to the development and utilization of human resources. Unfortunately, our society has historically wasted or under-utilized the human resources of women. In order to correct any inequities which might prevent the full reaiization of the potential development of women in this University, Dr. Fidward Q. Moulton, Yice President for Administrative Operations and Secretary of the Board of Trustees, appointed an Ad Hoc Committee on August 26, 1970 to assess the situation. In his original letter of appointment to the committee members ${ }^{1}$ he stated, "This report should contain a comprehensive survey of the existing situation at this University as well as recomendations which, hopefully, will result at an early date in a fully equivalent status for women that men enjoy at this University,"

Purpinse
The specific charge to the committee was two-fold:
1.) to review the status of all women at The Ohio State University, and
2.) to submit a report of the findings and recommendations.

The date established for completion of the comprehensive report was March 1, 1971.

[^0]
## Conmittee

The Committee consisted of three undergraduate students, one graduate student, two administrative personnel, and three faculty members, one of whom served as Chairman. (See Appendix A) Task forces and consulting personnel were added later. (See Appendix A)

## Limitations

Since each committee number was fully obligated in other responsibilities, it was often jumpossible to study specific areas other than in a superficial way. Many of the members also lacked expertise in research investigations. Often it was not feasible to redesign the approach when certain probes were demanding extensive time cormitments or did not appear to be yielding fruitful results. Thus the report was not as comprehensive in scope as was originally hoped. Yet as an initial or pilot study, certain trends were noted and indications for further resoarch becan evident.

## Methodology

Only four of the committee members were on coumpus to respond to the first meeting called in September. Therefore, it was not until October, after the beginning of Autunn Quarter, 1970, that the group was able to define areas of study.

It was decided to explore the general areas suggested in a earlier proposal subaitted to university administration requesting a study of the feasibility of establishing a Conmission on Wonen's Affairs. Each area to be reviewed was to have committee representation with additional people to be selected when necessary for the task forces. To expedite communication, Ad Hoc Committee members served as liaison for the primary committee and their specific task forces
in submitting progress reports. Only occasionally did any task force persomnel meet with the Ad Hoc Comittee. Copies of all comittee mimutes were sent to Dr. Moulton.

Task Forres and their assignments were as follows:
Task Force I - Sub-Ecmmittee to review undergraduate admissions policies, selection criteria, recruitment, financial assistance, counseling, and continuing education opportunities for women.

Task Force 2 Sub-comittee to review graduate admissions policies, selection criteria, recruitment, counseling, and financial assistance.

Task Force 3 Sub-comittee on Enployment to review hiring ana compensation policies, fringe benefit.s, policies and guidelines for administrative and professional employees, temure and promotional opportunities, and services and facilities and special programs available to women.
Task Force 4 Sub-committee on university conmittee assigrments ts review how students, faculty, and staff are selected to serve on various comittees.

The Chairman coordinated activities, compiled and 'dited the final report.

After Task Force 1 begin their review, it was decided to include in their report a section on campus honorary societies. (Appendix B) and statements from the Student Handbook (Appendix C).

Data collected for the Equal Employment Opportunity and the Affirmative Action Program were coordinated with the report of Task Force 3.

Each Task Force was to establish its omethod for collecting data and to submit to the Conmittee periodic progress reports. The Conmittee also served as an advisory group to assist the task forces in making decisions. The services of the Office of Administrative Research were also made available to assist in the review.

## Schedule

Written task force reports were to be submitted to the Chairman by February 5 so that a combined report might be prepared and reviewed by the Comittee before the March 1 deadline. Three of the task forces met the deadline, but because of factors beyorid the control of the Ad Hoc Committee, the remaining task force was unabie to obtain critical information until March 8. With this unexpected crisis, the committee decided to nrepare the final report in two phases. The Phase I report consisted of the Introduction, Task Force Reports 1 and 2 (which reviewed undergraduate and graduate areas) and Recommendations. The Phase II report discussed the areas reviewed by Task Forces 3 and 4 (faculty, staff, and committee appointments) and included recommendations. This second portion of the total report also summarized the conclusions and recommendations of all task forces.

Dr. Moulton agreed to this plan of action with the total report consisting of two phases scheduled for submission April 1, 1971.

Methodology and interpretation for each sub-comittee were discussed in the separate reports which were reviewed and approved by the Ad Hoc Committee.

PAFT II
UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT REPORT
TASK JORCE 1

## Introciuction

Task Force 1 was composed of Ad Hoc Conmittee members Pamela Conrad, Lee Walker, and Dr. Rachel Hubbard. Subsequently this group was aided in one plase of its stady by six senior students in the Colleges of the Arts and Sciences with consultation from Meredith Gonyea, Assistant Director of the Office of Administrative Research.

Task Force 1 reviewed the status of undergraduate women and the areas of investigation were:

1. General university and college admissions policies, and the criteria employed by the various academic departments for the selection of undergraduate students into their programs.
2. Recruitment policies and activities of the various academic departments.
3. Policies and practices of the university concerning the administering of financial assistance, and the present situation with respect to undergraduate women receiving support.
4. Counseling and advising practices as applied in behalf of women undergraduates. 2

2 Modification of the object of study in area 4 (counseling and advising) was necessitated after investigation began. The background for this decision to modify 4 is detailed in the report which follows.
5. Continouing education opportunities offered by the university, as women are affected by them.

These five areas were colsidered because they were perceived to be the most important points of formal contact between the university and the undergraduate student. These contact points affect encouragement toward entrance into the university itself and into particular programs and fields of study; the granting of financial assistance; and planning for a future occupation or study. Differences of attitude toward men and women in the administering of any of these activities may carry critical implications for an undergraduate woman and/or man.

In attempting to document as comprehersively as possible the existing situation in all five areas, the Task Force experienced the following limitations: lack of time, money, experience, and quantitative data. The final recommendations are based on a sample of qualitative data and what quantitative information was available. Scientific analysis was not considered to be a goal, but rather to document, as well as possible, those areas of need where changes might be made.

In those areas where the data suggested recommendations for change but were not significant enough to indicate immediate implementation of recommendations, the Task Force urges a professional, detailed, and analytical study.

## Admissions Policies

University: The following policy statement on the admission of resident and non-resident undergraduate students which will appear in the 1971-72 catalog series was furnished by Edward E. Rhine, Acting Director of Admissions:

A graduate of an acciedited high school who is a resident of Ohio and who has never at:tended college may be accepted for admission as a regular freshman student. The University, however, may determine the quarter of initial enrollment of freshmen in accordance with available resources. Out-of-state students who have demonstrated the acaciemic ability to succeed at Ohio State will be considered for admission as freshmen. Academic performance in high school, test scores, and principal ur counselor recomnendations will be evaluated to determine eligibility for admission.

A person who has attended another college or university is welcome to apply for admission to an undergraduate college of The Chio State University as an undergraduate transfer applicant. The eligibility and acceptance of such an applicant are determined through careful consideration of his previous academic record and his proposed program. In general, an applicant for admission must submit a record of good standing with at least a C (2.00) average in all course work attempted at all other colleges attended. Nonresident transfer applicants must present above average academic credentials. (A cumulative point-hour ratio of 2.25 or above is required for admission to the undergraduate professional teacher education program in the College of Education).

Colleges: To determine if there are specific admissions requirements within any of the Undergraduate Colleges, the admissions statement within each College catalogue was reviewed. The Undergraduate Colleges specify course requirements to be met before transfer from University College to the specific College.

Only the College of Education and the undergraduate professional programs within the School of Allied Medical Professions required a cumulative point hour higher than that required by the University. The College of Education requires a cumulative point hour ratio of 2.25 for admission to teacher education programs; a 2.0 is required for those
students not in teacher education.
The various prograns within the School of Allied Medical Professions require pre-professional preparation and have selective admission. Admission is on the basis of grades, personal interview, and health evaluation. The Medical Dietetics program requires a cumulative point hour ratio of 2.2. The number selected for each of the prograns is determined by the educational and clinical facilities dvailable.

The College of Pharmacy requires one year of pre-pharmacy work with a point hour ratio of 2.0 . This was the only catalogue with a statement 'open on equal terms to bcth men and women" included. On the other hand, the College of Dentistry catalogue requirements for adnission to Dental Hygiene state "open only to women" even though the Dentistry curriculum is open to both men and women.

This review would indicate that college admissions policies, in general, are very similar if not identical to the university requirements. Since freshmen are now admitted through University College, specific requirements have been spelled out for admission to the specific colleges. These differ only in specifics, not in principle.

## Reconmendations

1. As the University moves toward selective admissions, every precaution should be taken to prevent any discriminatory practices from being unintentionally included in the statement of admissions policy.
2. The College of Dentistry is urged to reconsider the 'women only' requirement for admission to the Dental llygiene program.

## Recruitment

To determine departmental anci division recruitment policies, a questionnaire (see Appendix D) was designed and distributed with Vice President Moulton's cover letter o:: explanation (see Appendix D) through the campus mail system. A questiomnaire was sent to those departments and divisions identified as having a separate teaching faculty and granting an undergraduate degree. Seventy-five questionnaires were mailed. Fifty-one of the questionnaires were completed and returned; twenty-four were not returned. (See Appendix D)

Data collected from departnents and divisions which responded are sumnarized in Appendix C. Of the total respondents 57 percent said they had no difficulty in attracting women into their area and 26 percent responded they had great difficulty attracting woren. Comparison of data suggested four trends. When areas traditionally attractive to women (e.g., home economics, nursing, medical technology) were removed from this comparison, the figures became more significant with $50 \%$ percent having no difficulty and $50 \%$ percent having difficulty.

Those departments that had difficulty attracting women were examined to determine the type of recruitment activities used. Fifty percent of the departments stating they had difficulty attracting women used formal contact while 13 percent used an informal means of contact.

A third comparison was between the effect of the literature used by a department or division in recruiting and their level of difficulty in attracting women into their area. Twenty-six departments and/or divisions had some kind of literature to distribute to prospective students. Eleven, or 42 percent, of those departments using brochures
reported no difficulty in attracting women. Twelve, or 46 percent, reported having from sone to great difficulty in attracting women. 'Three departments with brochures did not answer the question concerning difficulty in attracting women. In view of this apparent trend, a review was made of each department's or division's brochures to make a further comparison. In an attempt to quantitatively measure the appeal of the literature to both men and women, the number of men and women figures in illustrations of students and/or staff were counted for all twenty-two departments or divisions that used brochures and reported either difficulty or no difficulty in attracting women. An average was then taken to get a percentage of the total figures illustrated which were of men versus those that were figures of women. The result for those areas with difficulty attracting women was that 93 percent of the pictures were of men and 7 percent were of women. Of those departments with no difficulty attracting women, 45 percent of the pictures were men and 55 percent were women. Although these results must be viewed in light of traditional societal perceptions of such occupations as Nursing and Welding Engineering, the results still seem significant and worth further investigation.

Task Force 1 reported two incidental examples, one of "encouraging" literature and one of "discouraging" literature as it relates to women entering two fields. The Department of Agricultural Engineering includes in its literature an article reprinted from a national magazine: 'Wanted: More Women in Agricultural Engineering," written by an O.S.U. professor. The Department of Agronomy, on the other hand, has a quote in one of its brochures, "Although some aspects of Agronomy hold limited prospects for women, teaching is a wide-open field."

## Recommendations

All departments are urged to review their recruitment procedures and literature in an attempt to at tract qualified women and encourage high school women. This is particularly important for those departments handicapped by societal perceptious.

## Financial Assistance

## Studont Pinancial Aids Program

The follcwing information was furnished by Rodney J. Harrison, Director, Student Financial Aids, concerning the three major federal student aid programs administered by his office. (See Appendix E)

The percentage distribution of the federal aid programs was 52 percent to men and 48 percent to women. For Autumn Quarter of 1968-69, the total university enrollment was approximately 62 percent men and 35 percent women (taken from the Annual Report of the Registrar for 1968-1969).

The formula used in determining need for the educational opportunity grants assumes that savings from summer earnings is $\$ 100$ less for females than males; this gives females an advantage for this assistance.

There are additional schclarship programs available but most have some restrictions attached as to college of enroliment, major, residence, etc. Information on recipients of these various scholarships is not readily available. These are primarily handied through the Developnent Fund and are consistent with University policy.

Selection of recipients for financial aid is handled by a committee composed of: six faculty, one is Chairman; five students, three undergraduate, one graduate, and one professional; and four administrators, Vice President Ted Robinson, Dean Bader, Mr. Rhine from Admissions, and Richard Mall from Alumi Office. Mr. Harrison serves as Secretary without vote. This committee sets policy and selection procedures. The basic criteria are need, academic ability, and limited resources. (See Appendix E)

The Student Financial Aids Office also handles the Student IImployment Program. A brochure and minimum wage scale for these prograns are attached. (See Appendix E)

There is no differentiation in wages between male and female. However, this does not neressarily mean that there is not sane feeling about available jobs as to their heing for one or the other.

Recomuendations

1. The practice of avoiding built-in discrimination in scholarships received from private donors should be continued.
2. The present non-discriminatory practices of awarding financial aid should be encouraged.

## Sounseling

Initially, Task Force 1 desired to conduct this phase of the study in two areas:

1. With reference to professional counseling activities of the University Counseling Center and the counselors in the college offices.
2. With reference to faculty advisers within each department who direct undergraduate majors.

Although a comprehensive survey of these two areas would have yielded an ideal overview of the functioning of counseling activities with respect to women undergraduates, it was inpossible, unfortunately, to allocate sufficient time to both areas.

Therefore, the decision was made to concentrate on the second area, that of faculty advising of undergraduate majors. This was believed to be the more important area of the two for the following reasons: counseling on the college level, as opposed to the departmental level, is more general and procedural, with greater emphasis on helping the student meet university requirements and miscellaneous college business. . It was assumed that, in most cases, a student with an inquiry regarding a specific field of study or occupation is referred to faculty within the specific department. Thus, a student with a specific goal (e.g., a woman desiring to major in finance) would receive strong positive or strong negative reinforcement of the goal only on the level of the faculty adviser. Thus, the decision was made to explore counseling on the level of the individual departments.

It was hoped that hard data might be compiled, by department, that
would indicate the number of women and men who entered the major each year, and the numbers who subsequeritly graduated from the area. Comparison would have shed light on the differences (if any) in the rates at which women and men entered and subsequently left a field without graduating. In the areas in which the two rates varied greatly, subsequent study might have explored the reasons. For example, if women tended to enter and then drop out of a particular field at a much higher rate than men, was it because of academic unsuitability, interference of other factors (marriage, job, etc.), or was it because they were actively or passively discouraged, for whatever reason, by students or faculty members of the department?

At the heart of this question, and on a larger scale, is the issue that is being debated not only at the Ohio State University, but on a national level: do women actually drop out of college, for whatever reason, at a higher rate than men, as has been presumed for some time? Needless to say, discovering how women at O.S.U. fare on this score would be enlightening. And, indeed, it would have been possible for the Comnittee to obtain some gross statistics, i.e., total number of men and women in the university's freshman class for a given year, and total number, men and women graduating four years later, etc. However, several untabulated events that occur within such a given four-yearperiod at a university as dynamic as this one would render such gross statistics totally meaningless. The significance of an uncalculated number of intervening variables would have been lost; for instance, transfers in and out of the university, curricula that occupy more or less than four years of study, students who take time off in the middle of their four years, and a multitude of individual reasons why
a person would not appear in the statistics for so simple a count as "number entering--number graduating." Although such an extended study certainly was not impossible, it was completely beyond the time and resources of this Task Force and this Committee, however desirable the information might be. Moreover, it was felt that to make any use whatever of just the gross statistics available, and thus to include in this report, in a prominent position, such a specious remark as "There were more (less) women $t$ an men in the entering class in 1966, and more (less) women them men in the graduating class in 1970,"would be misleading and wholly irresponsible.

This approach was determined to be unfeasible due to the lack of readily available quantitative data categorizing men and women within departments or major. The only exceptions were those departments or colleges which happened to retain such figures for their own use.

To obtain some kind of information on the attitudes toward women within departments, it was decided to pursue a qualitative determination of conditions, keeping in mind the distinct limitations and disadvantages of such an approach. Subsequently, the study was developed along these lines.

## General Observations

Most undergraduate women on canpus seemed to be majoring in a limited number of academic disciplines (examples: education, home economics, dental hygiene), and concurrently, there seemed to be disciplines in which almost no women students could be found (examples: engineering, physics, accounting). These assumptions tended to be corroborated by the observation that the faculty within these two sets of disciplines also tended to demonstrate a similar imbalance between the sexes.

The more desirable procedure for determining if this assumption were correct would have been to gather data to (1) determine whether the phenomenon occurred because of a lack (excess) of women applicants to these majors, or (2) determine whether women (men) in greater proportions actually entered these fields but subsequently failed to complete them.

Investigation into both possible causes was precluded, as indicated previously, by absence of data. Neither figures on number of new majors, nor figures on number of those who completed a degree in the original major were available. Therefore, the problem could not be approached by the procedure employing a quantitative comparison of department data. Thus, the following:

Problem Formulation
It was postulated that in fields such as engineering, agriculture, architecture, etc., the small percentage of women would probably be a direct function of socialization of roles and societal perceptions of 'appropriate' models of behavior, a traditional force operating to guide women away from such fields.

Therefore, investigation merely to determine the number of applicants and graduates of the various departments would yield the predictable information that few women applied for and received engineering degrees but that many women applied for and received education degrees.

The more fruitful approach seemed to be that of investigating the particular academic community such as the Colleges of the Arts and

Sciences that would be presumed to acquire little or no pre-college societal stigma as to whether i.t were male- or female-dominated. Theoretically, women would have little hesitation in entering this college as compared to engineering, for example. By eliminating the colleges where women were automatically discouraged by societal forces, it might be possible to more clearly focus on the effects of faculty advising practices for undergraduate women.

Since the intent was merely to do preliminary and qualitative exploration of whether or not discouragement of women by faculty members exjsted in some departments within the Colleges of the Arts and Sciences, there were no formal research hypotheses.

## Methodology

$\Lambda$ telephone survey was used to examine faculty attitudes. It was selected for two reasons: it was the best way to cover a large number of faculty in a limited amount of time, and it would probably arouse less bias than a face-to-face interview. The survey consisted of a standard introduction read by the interviewers and five questions. (See Appendix E) The introduction and each question were on separate pages allowing plenty of work space for the inteiviewers to record the faculty members' corments.

The introduction explained that the survey was exploring 'the type of undergraduate student in your (each) department." The interviewers did not volunteer the information that the survey was being conducted by the Committee to Review the Status of Women, but if asked by the faculty member, the interviewer did give the name of the committee. It was felt that avoiding the necessity of saying the name of the committee would alleviate the possibility of introducing an important source of
bias.
All five questions were open-ended. Prompting was discouraged, but, if absolutely necessary, the interviewer could prompt with the suggestions listed below each question. The first three questions were designed to reflect some general faculty attitudes and beliefs regarding students and opportunities. The f:ourth and fifth questions dealt specifically with the faculty's perception of women in their respective fields.

The Interviewers--Six Students
Six students (see Appendix F) were chosen on the following criteria:

1. All six were seniors in the Colleges of the Arts and Sciences, and had been students in that college for the greater part of their undergraduate study.
2. They were equally distributed by men and women.
3. All six had participated extensively in extra-curricular activities, and were felt to have had extensive experience working with faculty in their college.
4. None of them had ever worked on a project of this nature; neither had any of them worked together before on college projects.
5. They represented a broad range of majors in the college.

## Selection of Departments

The six students were allowed to discuss among themselves which departments in the college they perceived to be discriminatory against women, and which departments they perceived as non-discriminatory. Following this, the students were asked to choose the four departments
they perceived at each extreme (total: 8). The criteria for selecting these eight (8) departments were entirely of their own formulation; they were given only a list of all the departments in the college from which they were to choose.

They unanimously agreed that the four departments perceived to be non-discriminatory were:

Dance
Music
Romance Languages
Speech
The four departments perceived to be discriminatory were:
Chemistry
Economics
Mathematics
Physics
The six interviewers then began a comparative study using a telephone survey by questionnaire of faculty members within these eight departments.

## Selection of Faculty

A stratified random sample of 60 percent of the faculty in each of the eight departments (see Table l) was obtained by applying a random number table to lists in the back of the Faculty and Staff Directory. (Stratification was by faculty classification, i.e., Professor, Associate Professor, etc.). Not all those department members listed in the Directory were considered to be eligible for inclusion in the survey. Unacceptable factors would have been introduced by the inclusion of (1) Teaching and Research Assistants and Associates (2) those with 'adjunct'

Table 1
STATUS OF WOMEN UNDEKGRADUATE SURVEY SUMMARY STA.TISTICS

|  | Faculty' |  | Usable | Usable |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty | $60 \%$ | Usable | $\%$ of | $\%$ Per |
| Number | Sample | Responses | Sample | Department |
| Nantact |  |  |  |  |

lepartments Perceived as Discriminatory

| Chemistry | 57 | 34 | 17 | $50 \%$ | $30 \%$ | 17 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F:conomics | 41 | 24 | 9 | $38 \%$ | $22 \%$ | 15 |
| Mathematics | 76 | 45 | 21 | $47 \%$ | $28 \%$ | 24 |
| Physics | . | 56 | 35 | 17 | $49 \%$ | $29 \%$ |

Departments Perceived
as Non-Discriminatory

| Dance | 12 | 8 | 3 | $38 \%$ | $25 \%$ | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Music | 64 | 38 | 19 | $50 \%$ | $30 \%$ | 19 |
| Romance <br> Languages | 32 | 19 | 3 | $16 \%$ | $9 \%$ | 16 |
| Speech | 38 | 24 | 10 | $42 \%$ | $26 \%$ | 14 |
| Total | 378 | 227 | 99 | $44 \%$ | $26 \%$ | 128 |

titles (3) those with "emeritus" titles (4) those with "visiting" titles and (5) those who were stated to be teaching at branch campuses. People in these categories were judged ineligible, because only the opinions of faculty who advised undergraduates were relevant and it was generally felt that these people probably were not involved in this manner.

Thus, only faculty with the following titles were included: (1) Professor (2) Associate Professor (3) Assistant Professor and (4) Instructor ('Fellow", in the case of the Chemistry Department, which had only one person with the rank of "Instructor" listed.)

One potential source of bias was eliminated by careful assignment of specific faculty members to students who were not known personally by the respondents.

All interviewers were instructed to make three calls to reach each faculty member; the first call was made directly to the faculty member's office, the second call was to the departmental office to check the professor's office hours, and the third call was to the faculty member at his office. If the third attempt was unsuccessful, the interviewer was to continue calling the rest of the names on his individual list. Then, for each failure to make contact, the interviewer was to obtain another name from the original pool of selected names, if time permitted.

## Data

Using these methods, the total number of faculty successfully contacted and questioned was ninety-nine (99) (see Table 1). This number represented 26 percent of the total faculty in the eight departments, and was considered, for the purposes of this survey, to be a large enough representation to assess major attitude trends. Also, each of the eight departments was fairly represented in stratification
of faculty rank and total number.
The reasons for no contact of 128 faculty were as follows:

1. No telephone response 74
2. Unavailable $9 \%$
3. Uncooperative $129 \%$
4. Unqualified 6 5\%
5. No attempt to contact $\underline{27} \underline{21 \%}$ Total 128 100\%

The major difficulty was in being able to contact faculty members. Although calls were made at varying times during the day and during scheduled office hours, the faculty could not be reached. Those faculty that were unavailable were mostly out of town. The majority of uncooperative faculty indicated they were too busy. A few faculty disqualified thenselves as dealing with graduate students only, or being "research only".

In the No Telephone Response category, 47 of the 74 attempts, or 64 percent, involved two or three calls. The amount of the limited survey time spent in this follow-up activity increased the number of sample faculty for which no contact attempt was made.

## Findings

The findings follow the form of the questionnaire. (See Appendix F )

1. 'What are the characteristics of the ideal undergraduate student on completion of your program?''

Responses to this question fell into three main categories, high academic achievement, degree of self-motivation and self-sufficiency, and talent. The first two responses were anticipated replies but the
third category was the result of faculty statements. (See Table 2)
One of the noticeable trends was that those departments perceived by the six students as being discriminatory used the most easily objectifiable criteria such as academic accomplishment, or grades for measuring success in the subject.

At the same time, another strong trend noticed in those departments perceived to be discriminatory was that of a high value placement on the quality of "self-sufficiency and self-motivation" in their ideal undergraduates. This is significant, because of the very subjective determination required by the faculty member in attributing or not attributing such a quality to a student.

Chemistry, Fconomics, Mathematics and Physics are extremely research-oriented fields, and the possession of a high degree of self-sufficiency and self-motivation is indeed a prerequisite to success. Noedless to say, a faculty member must exercise discretion in differentiating between those scudents who would be successful and those who would not. The implications for a woman are critical. A woman who had achieved the measurable criteria (grades) would still be required to exert an extra effort to prove a self-motivation equal to a man's since socicty has generally labeled her as being motivated toward other goals.

Those departments perceived as being non-discriminatory valued qualities which were less quantifiable and more subjective, such as talent or 'a feel for the subject'". However, it is also true that the four areas (Dance, Music, Romance Languages and Speech) likewise employed qualitative judgments in differentiating good students from mediocre ones. So in reality, even though these are not perceived as discriminatory departments, their criteria for differentiation could

Table 2

## QUESTIOH 1

What are the characteristics of th: ideal undergraduate student on completion of your progrin?

| Departments Perceived as Discriminatory | D) Well Acajemically | Have <br> Talent | Be <br> Self-Sufficient <br> § Self-Motivated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | High | Low | High |
| Economics | High | Low | Low |
| Mathematics | High | Low | High |
| Physics | High | Low | High |
| Departments Perceived as Non-Discriminatory |  |  |  |
| Dance | Low | High | Low |
| Music | Low | High | High |
| Romance Languages* | Low | High | Low |
| Speech | High | High | Low |

Question 1 related to characteristics of an ideal student.

* Only a 9 percent sample of the department was returned so results are not as significant as the other 7 departments.

HIGH indicates a large percentage of this faculty (above 50 percent)
of those sampled mentioned these characteristics.
just as easily lend itself to discrimination.
2. 'What opportunities are available for students who receive a baccalaureate degree in your department?"

Kesponses to Question 2 fell into the following main categories: graduate and professional school, education (teaching), business and industry, and governnent and research. (See Table 3)

In the departments perceived as discriminatory, the opportunities for graduates were highest in those areas which are highly productoriented where pressure is great, such as graduate and professional school and business and industry. While in those departments perceived as non-discriminatory, educational opportunities were most frequently available. This would help explain why academic achievement and self-motivation were so frequently mentioned by faculty in Economics, Mathematics, Physics, and Chemistry. These qualities would be necessary for continuation in the fields, given the opportunities listed.
3. 'Ilow available are these opportunities at this time?"

Question 3 was a fairly neutral question, the responses to which were not of critical importance to the rest of the survey. The only obscrvation was the obvious one, that the quantity and quality of positions available depend on the job-market conditions at that time. (See Table 4)
4. 'Of these opportunities, are there some more suitable for women graduates than others?"

Generally, responses to this question were 'no' meaning that the opportunities were equally suitable. However, few responses were given without the faculty member also qualifying the "yes" or "no". The additional comments which appeared the most frequently were often

Table 3
QUSTION 2
What opportunities are availahle tor students who receive a baccalaureate degree in your depal:tment?

| Departments Perceived as Discriminatory | Graduate: \& Professional School $\qquad$ | Education | Busine!s <br> and <br> Industry | Covernment and Research |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | High | Low | High | High |
| Economics | High | Moderste | High | Low |
| Mathematics | High | High | High | Low |
| Physics | High | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate |
| Departments Perceived as Non-Discriminatory |  |  |  |  |
| nance | Low | High | High | Low |
| Nusic | Moderate | High | Low | Low |
| Komance Languages | L.ow | Low | High* | High |
| Speech | High | High | Moderate | Low |

Question 2 relates to areas students could enter with undergraduate degrees.

IIIGI indicates a large percentage of faculty (above 50 percent) of those sampled mentioned these possibilities. MODERATE--slightly below 50 percent.

* In International Businesses.


## Table 4

QUESTIQN 3

How available are these opportunities at this time?

| Dept's Perceived <br> as Discriminatory | giobs | Craduate and <br> Professional Schools |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | .Cood | Very Good |
| Fconomics | Not: Goor' | No Information |
| Mathematics | Good | Poor |
| Physics | Poor | Fair |
| Dept's Perceived as <br> Non-discriminatory |  |  |
| Dance | Good | Cood |
| Music | Very Cood | Very Good |
| Romance Languages | Not Good | No Informetion |
| Speech | Good | No Information |

Question 3 relates to job availability and accessible spaces in Graduate School.

1. VERY GOOD was defined as: many positions available.
2. GOOD was defined as: some positions available.
3. NOT GOOD was defined as: area crowdec, lack of opportunities except in a limited number of fields.
4. POOR was defined as: field is very crowded with B.A.'s, acut' lack of opportunities, few channels after B.A. open.
similar and merit some attention here. They are summarized below. It
should be noted that these comments cannot be seen as representative of any specific department or group of departments, but only of individuals within these faculties.

Comments among the departments perceived to be discriminatory:
a. Less women finish graduatie school.
b. Women have psychological hang-ups about taking men's jobs.
c. Teaching is a good place for women.
d. Women tend not to finish their education because they get married.
e. Certain types of businesses (banks, auto industry, etc.) select larger numbers of women, proportionately.
f. Women are being actively sought for the department.
g. Is impossible to answer question number 4 because there are too few women in the profession at the present to judge.
h. About one-third of the people hired by IBM are women.

Conments among the departments perceived to be non-discriminatory:
a. There is discrimination against women in instrumental music and conducting.
b. More men than women go to graduate school, into PR work, or into administration from Speech, and more women in the field go into teaching.
c. In Romance Languages, one of the best opportumities for women can be found in airline services.
d. In Dance, there is a great need for more men. The department has a much simpler time placing men graduates than women graduates.
c. More women than men major in Romance Languages at the undergraduate level, but more men than women go on to graduate school.

It was difficult to dete:mine whether or not the respondents were practicing personal discrimination or if they were merely reflecting what they considered to be general and realistic attitudes.
5. 'Would you recommend that a female enter your field of study?' If so, why; if not, why not?

Question 5 produced a different type of response than the task force anticipated. The anticipated response was "I would reconmend a woman enter my field because she is well suited for the field," or 'because women are needed in the field." Instead the collected responses were "I would recomnend a woman enter my field if she were highly motivated and dedicated," or "I would not recommend women to enter my field (instrumental music) because of the bias in this fieid against :somen." The data fell into two main areas: talent, self-motivation and self-sufficiency. (See Table 5)

The data from Question 5 correlated with the data from Question 2 (which asked the faculty to list the characteristics of an ideal student) on the self-motivation and self-sufficiency criteria, but differed completely in the talent area. The Economics, Mathematics and Physics departments listed talent in their fields as a quality they would look for in a woman before she enter their areas, but did not list talent as a characteristic of an ideal student in their fields.

If talent, self-motivation and self-sufficiency are the qualities the faculty in both groups use as a measure to distinguish between those women whom they feel are qualified and those who are not, these are very

Table 5
QUESTION 5

Departments Perceived as Discriminatory

Self-Sufficiency $\xi_{1}$
Talent

| Chemistry | High |  | High |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Economics | High** | $\cdot$ | Low |

Mathematics
Physics
Departments Perceived as Non-Discriminatory

| Dance* | Low |  | Low |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Music |  | High |  | Low |
| Pomance languages |  | High | $\cdot$ |  |
| Speech |  | Low |  | Low |
|  |  |  |  | High |

Question 5 relates to whether the faculty member would reconmend a womanenter his field and if so, why; if not, why not?

In response to why they would recommend women enter their field, the above trends were noted.

* Dance had no clear-cut trend of qualifications for a woman to enter llance.
** HIGH indicates a large percentage of the sampled faculty (above 50 nercent) mentioned these items.
subjective impressions, and very difficult to quantify. $\Lambda$ though selections between students who are "qualified" to enter a field and those who are not must be made, when selection is based on subjective criteria, choices could easil) reflect discrimination.


## Reconmendations

1. Of critical importance for research in this area, and for formulation and review of all policies and practices in general, adequate data shoaldbe kept within the colleges by departments. Specifically, needed data would be:
a. Data on the number of persons requesting admission (where applicable) to the department's programs.
b. Data on the number of persons accepted (where applicable) or entering into the major or program.
c. Data on the number of persons finishing (graduating) in the department.

All this data should be separated by sex, collected each quarter, and should be kept longitudinally, if necessary.
2. The findings of perceived discrimination by Task Force 1 are very preliminary and need to be followed by an in-depth study by qualified full-time personnel.

## Continuing Education

A study of the services offered by the Division of Continuing Education of the Office of Educational Services was undertaken and included in this survey for a particular reason. Although the women who were affected by these services were primarily private citizens and only incidentally students, their welfare and the status of women as a whole was nonetheless improved by their taking advantage of any program to further their educational goals.

About the Division itself there were no real areas of doubt or concern on the part of the Task Force. The only desire was to obtain a general overview of the programs offered by the Division and to seek opinions on whether women were availing themselves of these opportunities.

Task Force 1 met with Dr. Robert W. McCormick, Assistant Vice President for Continuing Education, and asked the following questions:

1. What are the goals of the Continuing Education Division, and what activities are offered?
2. How many people become students in the Division, and what is the breakdown between men and women?
3. From what walks of life do these people come, and in what ways do they learn of the opportunities available?
4. Are there selection criteria or other admission policies?
5. Do you, as the Division, have a problem contacting and/or encouraging women to enter the program?

To the first question, all of the objectives of the Division were extracted verbatim from the annual report (1969-70) of the Division and placed at the end of this report in Appendix G. The primary objective stated was 'to provide university-level contimuing education prograns tailored to the economic, social and cultural needs of a society consistent with the overall objectives, resources, and unique capabilities of the University." For the Task Force's purposes, the significant item was "economic, social and cultural needs of a society", and the Division attempts to serve these needs by offering credit non-degree programs, general education non-credit courses, conferences,
institutes and workshops, technical and business services and the Urban Lixtension Program for Central Ohio and Metropolitan Decision Making Seminars.

In answer to the second question, Dr. McCormick provided the following figures: In the academic year 1969-70 a total of 4,137 people, 2,272 men and 1,865 women were enrolled in the Credit Non-Degree Progran. Approximately 55,000 people, for which there was no breakdown between men and women, were enrolled in the Non-credit General Education programs.

Addressing question 3, Dr. McCormick stated that most oif the women who participated in the programs tended to be mature women with some past college experience who wanted to further their education after having been away from college. Not many women from the lower socio-economic groups tended to participate. Publicity for the CED programs (of all types) is handled primarily in these ways:

1. The Division maintains a mailing list of about 5,000 to whom brochures and information about new programs are automatically sent.
2. Free public service announcements over the radio and television stations are utilized.
3. Paid advertising is placed in the Lantern, the two city dailies and the community weeklies.
4. Volunteer organizations that cooperate in the program planning itself also distribute information among their members (e.g., League of Women Voters, Y.W.C.A., etc.).
5. Three field agents make contacts in connection with the Urban Extension Program and other community agencies.

For examples of the literature distributed, see Appendix $G$.
For admission to the Credit Non-Degree programs of Continuing Education, generally only a high school diploma or its equivalent was necessary. No other criteria were amployed, other than what would be required by the level of the courst:. For the Ceneral Education Non-credit courses, only a fee of approximately $\$ 35.00$ per course was required. For programs sponsored by CED in cooperation with a business or professional organization, participation was determined by that cooperating organization.

In order to encourage more active participation by women, Dr. McCormick stated that certain procedures were followed, in addition to routine staff work, to determine interest in a particular course. Interest surveys were initiated at regular periods, and feedback from former participants was seen as a strong determinant in formulation of new courses.

In fact, Task Force 1 took advantage of the McCormick interview to relate to him coments which happened to have been received from several interested women on a certain aspect of C.E.D. programming. The concern expressed was that perhaps more classes (non-credit) could be scheduled during the noon hour, so that more women staff might avail themselves of the opportumity during their normal lunch hour. Ur. McCormick indicated that this suggestion was a feasible one that would receive his inmediate attention.

In all, the Division attempted to encourage all women to seek the satisfaction of participating in classroom work that supplemented their daily routine.

## Recommendations

It is recommended that work be done to expand the already fine programs in existence. Special attention should be given to increasing the number of times during the day that non-credit courses be offered, e.g., during the lunch hours.

PART III
GRADUATE STUDENT REPORT
TASK PORCE 2
Introduction

Task Force 2 consisted of Ad Iloc Conmittee memhers
Karen Mason and Robbic Nash. Subsequently two members were added to assist in interviewing and special consultation was obtained from Meredith Gonyea, Assistant Director of the Office of Administrative Research.

The areas of review for Task Force 2 were:

1. Graduate adnission policies
2. Selection criteria
3. Recruitment
4. Financial assistant

Athough counseling was suggested as an area of study, this was only reviewed incidentally and is not reported here.

Originally Task Force 2 intended to include a comparative study of the salaries of graduate assistants and associates tabulated by sex. However, the data of salary comparisons were not made available.

These areas of study were selected because of the critical implications for the graduate student. It was also considered important to compare undergraduate and graduate reports submitted by Ad lloc Committee menbers in order to gain a more comprehensive view of the status of women at The Ohio State University.

Task Force 2 suffered from some of the same limitations described by Task Force 1: namely, lack of time, money, experience, and quantitative data.

## Adnissions

## Applications

A review of Admissions procedures indicated that the Admissions Office processes all applications to the Graduate School and directs them to the Graduate Conmittee of each department of the University. The Graduate Carmittee then acts on each annlication and sends its decision to the Admissions Office which notifies the student of his acceptance or rejection. If a department wishes to admit a student whose grade point average is below 2.7 the Graduate School informs the student of the requirement that he must complete the Graduate Record Examination and have his scores submitted to the Graduate School before he can be admitted. Only in the cases of applicants with an average below 2.7 does the Graduate School play a role in decisions on admissions to graduate work at The Ohio State University. The Professional Schocis also operate independently in deciding upon admissions.

Description of enrollment of men and women in the Graduate and Professional Schools for the Autumn Quarter 1969-70, was obtained from the published Annual Report of the Registrar (1969-70). (See Table 6).

Information as to the number of applicants and the number accepted in cormarison with the number actually enrolled was not available except in the records of the Professional Schools. (See Table 7)

Table 6
The Ohio State University Enrollments - Central Campus Autumn Quarter 1969-70

| Adinnistrative Science | 2,288 | 132 | 2,420 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture | 1,474 | 108 | 1,582 | 7 |
| Architecture | 271 | 10 | 281 | 4 |
| Arts | 351 | 438 | 789 | 56 |
| Arts \& Sciences | 6,079 | 2,669 | 8,748 | 31 |
| Continuing Education | 481 | 332 | 813 | 41 |
| Dental Hygiene | - | 160 | 160 | 100 |
| Education | 1,919 | 4,275 | 6,194 | 69 |
| Engineering | 2,081 | 18 | 2,099 | 1 |
| llome Economics | 26 | 744 | 770 | 97 |
| Social Work | 207 | 468 | 675 | 69 |
| University College | 5,748 | 4,236 | 9,984 | 42 |
| Nllied Medical Professions | 39 | 253 | 292 | 87 |
| Nursing | 4 | 454 | 458 | 99 |
| Total Indergraduate | 20,968 | 14,297 | 35,265 | 41 |
| Dentistry | 596 | 2 | 598 |  |
| Law | 418 | 28 | 446 | 6 |
| Pharmacy | 118 | 43 | 161 | 27 |
| Total Professional/Graduate | 1,132 | 73 | 1,205 | 6 |
| Medicine | 769 | 61 | 830 | 7 |
| Optometry | 180 | 5 | 185 | 3 |
| Veterinary Medicine | 307 | 24 | 331 | 7 |
| Total Medical | 1,256 | 90 | 1,346 | 7 |
| Masters | 2,688 | 1,655 | 4,343 | 38 |
| Post-Masters | 2,394 | 546 | 2,940 | 19 |
| Graduate School | 5,082 | 2,201 | 7,283 | 30 |
| Grand Total | 28,438 | 16,661 | 45,099 | 37 |

[^1]Table 7
The Professional Schools 1969-1970

|  | Applicants |  |  |  | Number in Class |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men | homen | Total | Wamen ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  |  |  | Women \% |
| Dentistry | 447 | 0 | 447 | 08 | 155 | 0 | 155 | $0 \%$ |
| Law | 820 | 30 | 850 | 48 | 212 | 16 | 218 | 7\% |
| Medicine | 996 | 55 | 1051 | $5 \%$ | 165 | 10 | 175 | 6\% |
| Nursing | 0 | 97 | 97 | 100\% | 0 | 50 | 50 | 1008 |
| Optometry | 149 | 2 | 151 | $1 \%$ | 48 | 2 | 50 | 4\% |
| Pharmacy | 57 | 14 | 71 | $20 \%$ | 30 | 17 | 47 | $36 \%$ |
| Veterinary Medicine | 440 | 51 | 491 | $10 \%$ | 86 | 10 | 96 | 10\% |
| Allied Medical Professions Undergraduate | 53 | 252 | 305 | $83 \%$ | 23 | 142 | 165 | 86\% |

Various individual departments interviewed were able to provide approximations of the comparative information mentioned above. In many departments this information was either not available or was difficult to find. The results of the study for the year 1969-70 gre shown in Table 8.

Survey results seened to :indicate that for the proportion of women who applied for graduate admissions an equal number were accepted. There were no data available to suggest the number of formal inquiries concerning admissions. In fact, for the number of departments involved, there appeared to be little information in the way of records.

## Recommendations

The Admissions Office should record and make available to the university commmity a breakdown by male and female of the number of applicants to all graduate departments, and the mumber accepted or rejected. Since formal inquiries and the resultant responses may be a source of prejudice, such a record by sex might more accurately reveal the status of women in graduate study. This information should be sent periodically to the various departments. The Registrar's Office should also inform the departments of the number of students enrolled in graduate study.
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## Policies and Attitudes

Tp detemine policies and attitudes toward women graduate students for admissions selection, for recruitment procedures, for fellowships, and teaching and research assistantships, Task Force 2 decided to conduct interviews in those departments offering graduate study at The Ohio State University. Time constraints and limited task force personnel made it impossible to interview all 125 departments offering graduate work. Therefore, departmental selection was necessary.

Mcthodology
The committee wanted to select from extreme ratios of men to women on the university faculty, but after examining various approaches decided to use existing data. Unfortumately, this data which consisted of percentages of faculty-staff men and women included non-academic personnel primarily composed of wanen. This biased the data to improve the status of women. Within the various colleges, departments showing a high percentage of women, departments showing. a low percentage of women, and those departments representing the average of 65 percent men and 35 percent women were identified. From a total of 125 departments, 47 were chosen to be interviewed. (See

Table 9). Of the 47 selected departments, only 2.6 were actually contacted. The remaining 21 were not included for various reasons ranging from no graduate program to inability to contact because the appropriate person t:o be interviewed was unavailable or because of time constraints on the interviewers. Contact was made with each department marked with an asterisk in Table 9. This contact provided statistics, an interview, or both.

The Task Force determined that the Graduate Committee Chairman would probably be the most appropriate persons to react to their inquiries. The chairmen of the selected departments were interviewed by telephone or in person by the four Task Force menbers during the month of January, 1971. All interviews related to statistics and policies for the academic year, 1969-70.

An interview instrument was prepared to record statistical information on the number of departmental graduate student applicants, enrollments, and number receiving financial assistance. (Appendix H ). This was broken down by men and women and type of assistance. Questions were included to inquire as to student selection criteria, student qualifications, and recruitment procedures.

## Selection Criteria

When Graduate Comnittee Chairmen were questioned as to their criteria for the selection of students for graduate work, the majority of chose surveyed listed in order of importance, the point hour ratio, the score on the Graduate Record Examination, if given, and the recommendations for the prospective student. Most departments mentioned some other interests in the application--the student's background,

Table 9
Selection of Graduate Departments
The Ohio State University
Faculty \& Staff 1969-70
Men \& Women

| College: |  | Department | Percent of Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arts | * | Art | Low |
|  |  | Dance | High |
|  |  | Music | Average |
| Biological Science |  |  |  |
|  |  | Genetics | Low |
|  | * | Botany | High |
|  |  | Microbiology | Average |
|  |  | Entomology | Low |
|  |  | Zoology | Low |

Ihmanities

| *hilosophy | Low |
| :--- | :--- |
| Classics | High |
| East Asian Lang. | Average |
| History | Low |

Math \& Physics

* Astronomy

Low
Geodetic Sci

* Chemistry

Average

* Mathematics

Low

* Physics

Low

Social \& Behavioral

* Speech

Geography

* Economics

Sociology
Low
High
Average
Low
High
Administrative Science

* Management Sci

Low

* Social Work
* Accounting High

Finance
Low
Low
Agriculture \& Home Economics
Plant Pathology Low

* Home Ec.
* Ag. Ěc.

High

* Horticulture

Low

Education

| Man's Phys Ed | Low |
| :--- | :--- |
| Women's Phy:s Ed | High |
| Education | Average |

Table 9 (continued)
The Ohio State University
Faculty \& Staff 1969-70

College:
Depar:tment
Percent
of
Women
Engineering

| * Eng. Mechanics | Low |
| :--- | :--- |
| Photography | Average |
| *ero Eng | Low |
| * Architecture | Low |
| * Computer Sci | Low |
| Metal Eng | Low |

Pharmacy Low
Dentistry
Dental Hygiene Low
Law
Low
Medicine

* Radiology

Low

- Nursing
- Opthamology
- Allied Medicine

High
Allied Medicine High
Psychiatry Low
Surgery
Low

* Departments actually contacted.
motivation or personal interview. In some of the Professional Schools a specific background determined qualification. (e.g., in Radiology one must have an M.D.)

In some departments the criteria differed for men and women students. The Department of Engineering Mechanics, in a effort tc increase their female enrollment, gave special consideration to women. The Dance department favored male aqplicants due to the low number of men enrolled. The Entamology department considered men with point hours lower than those of women because they believed that as undergraduates women applied themselves better.

When questimed as to the differences in the qualifications of the men and women applicants, most of the responding departments felt that there was little difference in their qualifications. In a few schools there was a large difference in the number of men applying cumpared to the number of women applicants. For example, the Nathenatics department had fewer female applicants than male, while Nursing had considerably fewer male applicants than female.

## Recruitment

When questioned concerning the recruitment policies, it was found that the majority of departments do some sort of recruitment. Exceptions were few and were generally those departments with a small graduate enrollment or those which already received more applicants than they could easily accommodate. About half of the departments surveyed regularly send brochures to appropriate colleges. Most of the departments relied on word of mouth at professional meetings and conventions. Many stated that they distributed information
concerning their graduate programs to those schools which had previously sent good students. All departments, of course, sent information to individual students on request. The Speech department mentioned special concern with underdeveloped arreas and inner-city programs. None of the departments questioned mailed brochures only to exclusively male or exclusively female colleges. The purpose of their recruitment programs was to get students of high quality and motivation who possess a good undergraduate preparation.

## Financial Assistance

A portion of the interview was devoted to the Graduate Comittee's procedure for awarding departmental fellowships. The term fellowships was used to describe any supported programs which allowed the student to participate in graduate study without being enployed. It was generally true that the same criteria used for admitting students were also used in considering students for fellowship awards. In many cases, particularly in the sciences, fellowships were awarded with reference to the individual's interest and background in relation to the special project with which that student would be working. This selection, often the decision of the individual professor with whom the student would be working, may or may not be compatible with the department's nondiscrimination policy.

The selection, by the department, of fellowships showed little difference in the criteria for male and female. The one exception was Social Work, which had a policy encouraging minority group men. Little difference was found in the qualifications of male and female applicants, with the exception that women seemed to have higher point hour ratios.

Fellowships which were paid and administered through the Graduate School were awarded by the University Fellowshi.p Comittee. These included grants from the National Defense Education Act, the National Science Foundation, and University fellowships. Dr. Elmer Baumer, Associate Dean of the Gradiate School, indicated that sex was not a deciding factor in the dexisions of the Fellowship Committee. For the year 1969-70, 350 men received university awarded fellowships and 169 or 33 percent women were recipients comprising a total of 519 feliowship holders.

Graduate Committee Chairmen were asked to discuss their selection of teaching assistants. The criteria for selection of teaching assistants and associateswere reported as identical to those mentioned for the selection of fellowships. In addition to those qualifications of acceptable point hour ratio, Graduate Record Examination score, if required, and recommendations, other criteria mentioned were need, and the ability to commanicate ard to teach. Criteria were different for men and women in a few departmerís. Engineering Mechanics favored women due to the low female enrollment and Dance favored men due to the low male enroliment. There was found to be no significant difference in the qualifications of those men and women applying for assistantships.

Teaching assistants were variously employed depending on the needs of the departments. Some taught independently, others assisted professors or were in laboratory service. The criteria and use of teaching associates were the same as for teaching assistants. The criteria used for selection of research assistants and associates were similar to those used in selection of teaching assistants
and associates. A strong background in the discipline area was stressed somewhat more, and the individual professor whom che graduate student would assist was more often consulted in the selection. In a few cases, such as Astronomy, the selection was left entirely to the individual professor given the grant.

The percentage of applicants eligible for financial assistance varied from 10 percent to 100 percent depending on the department.

Statistics for various fellowships, traineeships and assistantships awarded in each deparment are shown in Tables 10 and I1. Some departments wera unable to easily supply this information.

## Results

Much data were unavailable or the appropriate persons to supply the data could not be contacted. After three attempts to make contact, Task Force members were requested to discontinue their efforts because of time limitations. Therefore, the interview instrument could not be used as effectively as desired.

However, many of the contacted departments were able to furnish statistics on the number of graduate students enrolled in the 1969-70 academic year. Table 12 presents the survey data on enrollment and numbers of students in masters and doctoral programs. Certain statistical infomation was obtained from departmental records rather than from the Graduate Conmittee Chairmen.

The majority of women were enrolled at the masters level with a marked decline in posic masters enrollment. (See Table 12).

Survey results regarding selection criteria did not generally indicate an intention to discriminate against women in their policies. The exceptions were in those areas which favored either men or women

## Table 10

Survey Results, Tasli Force 2 The Ohio State University Fellowship 1969-70 Recoids in Selected nenartments

| 1 | Graduate | Fnrollment \& Women | Fell Total | ships <br> \% Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bio Science Botany | !5 | 35\% | 8 | $38 \%$ |
| Ihomanities Classics | 37 | 35\% | 7 | 57\% |
| Math $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{I}}$ Physics Astronomy Chemistry Physics | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \frac{3^{*}}{3} \\ & 174 \end{aligned}$ | $67 \%$ $9 \%$ 3\% 3\% | 19 | $50 \%$ $5 \%$ $14 \%$ |
| Social $\varepsilon_{1}$ Rehavioral Economics | 222 | 17\% | 19 | 11\% |
| Admin. Sci Social Work | 190 | 67\% | 50 | $60 \%$ |
| Agriculture Ag. Ficon. llorticulture | 22** | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \% \\ 11 \% \end{array}$ | 4 8 | 13\% |
| Iducation | 1093 | 43\% | 66 | 55\% |
| linginecring Computer Science | - 76* | 21\% | 9 | 33\% |
| Medicine Allied Medical | 23* | 52\% | 17 | 59\% |

*i'iw Inrollment

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Department } \\
& \hline \text { Bio Science } \\
& \text { Botany } \\
& \text { Entorology } \\
& \text { Idmanities } \\
& \text { Classics } \\
& \text { Math \& Physics } \\
& \text { Astronomy } \\
& \text { Chemistry } \\
& \text { Soc. \& Behav. } \\
& \text { Econanics } \\
& \text { Admin. Sci. } \\
& \text { Social Work } \\
& \text { Agriculture } \\
& \text { Agric. Econ. } \\
& \text { Horticulture } \\
& \text { Educaition. }
\end{aligned}
$$

* New Enrollment

\[

\]

$$
\text { Table } 12
$$


Craduate
Fonrollment
\% Women
nc.
$\underset{N}{N} \quad$ O

Denartment
Bio Science
Botany
Entomology
Humanities
Classics
Math \& Physics
Physics
Administrative Science
$\quad$ Social Wort:
Agriculture .
Horticulture
Fducation
Engineering
Met
duc to disparate sex ratio or in a few instances where women were expected to have higher point hour ratio for admission to the department because of higher undergraduate scholastic achievement. Recruitment procedures seemed to encourage a potential for bias, difficult to assess in their word-of-mouth activities. Recruitment policies continued t:o attract or seek the same sort of applicants each year. In general there did not seem to be an effort to broaden the scope of recruitment to include people not historically associzted with that particular field of study.

The Graduate Committees of the individual deparments which made decisions on admitting an applicant to graduate study, nominating him for a fellowship, or awarding him a posicion as a teaching or research assistant necessarily made qualitative judgments in measuring the applicant against the selection criteria. The determination of the skill, talent, quality, and interest of a prospective student, or even the evaluatiop of a recommendation involved a somewhat arbitrary decision by an individual. This was also true when an individual professor awarded research jobs. Judgments as to whether or not the student would or should terminate study after a master's degree seened to indicate a source for bias.

At these important decision-making points the arbitrary judgment of an individual faculty member might be required. Although the individual qualitative judgment by faculty is desirable, actual procedures could be investigated as possible sources of bias operating within a non-discriminatory departmental policy.
--survey of indivichual faculty
--survey of graduate and professional graduate student women
--determination of various faculty who have or have not directed women in graduate woris
--investigation into procedures for hiring faculty of the various departments

In the area of financial assistance, the ratio was favorable to the number of women graduate students at the masters level and especially at the doctoral lewit.

## Recommendations

1. Since Task Force 2 was unable to obtain salary data for graduate students, it is recommended that a comparative study of those titles used to employ graduate students (e.g., teaching and research associates and assistants, etc.) be conducted to review salaries by department and by sex. This information should then be released to each graduate department so it may review its status within the total University.
2. Numbers and sex of applicants, acceptances, and enrollment by department for graduate study should be recorded and this information. disseminated periodically by the appropriate offices.
3. The scope of recruitment for graduate study should be broadened to include groups not historically associated with a field of study (e.g., Dentistry and Optometry).
4. A study should be made of actual procedures which operate within the basically non-discriminatory policies of the graduate departments to determine individual faculty-student points of contact which may exist as points of bias.

Part IV
PIUASE I SUMMARI' RECOMMENDATIONS
UNDERGRADUATE AND GRADUATE REVIEW
TASK RORITS 1 AND 2

In several cases, the recommendations suggested by Task Force 1 and Task Force 2 were comparable a:ad complimentary. Insofar as the separate studies arrived at similar conclusions and problems along the way, these joint reconmeridations are further strengthened and bear reiteration. They also deserve the fullest attention of university officials by whom action to implement them is requisite. To this effect, the recomendations of Task Force 1 and Task Force 2 are re-arranged below to focus on an action-oriented approach.

Without substantively changing the character of the stated recommendations, they lend themselves to the following order: thoje that are best implemented by the individual departments and schools themselves, and those that can be most adequately directed from the level of central administration.

## Departmental Action

Recruitment. Task Forces 1 and 2 corroborated the fact that many areas were not being as vigorous as they might be in fulfilling their share of the task of stimulating youthful fer .ine interest in their respective careers. Task Force 1 found a significant percentage of undergraduate departments experiencing difficulty recruiting women, but also found a high correlatior between experiencing difficulty recruiting women and the particular types of recruitment techniques and materials utilized. Task Force 2 also reported that "recruitment policies continued to attract or seek the same sort of applicants each year" and that generally "there did not seem to be an effort to broaden
the scope of recruitment to include people not historically associated with that particular field of s.tudy. Thus, the recommendation that all departments are urged to review their recruitment procedures and literature in a more vigorous attempt to attract qualified women and encourage nigh school women. This is particularly important for those departments handicapped by societal perceptions.

Admissions Policies: The recommendation of Task Force 1 chat the Dental Itygiene Program be re-examined in light of its stated 'women only' admission policy, is reiterated. Attention should also be given to those areas such as Dentistry and Optcmetry where few, if any, women are enrolled. The various graduate conmittees should annually review data provided to them by the Admissions Office describing number of applicants, acceptances, and enroilments by sex to insure that they maintain their non-discriminatory admissions policies.

Counseling and Advising: Critical to evaluation and improvement in this realm is the need for adequate data, especially in the undergraduate departments, as emphasized by Task Force 1. Minimum data to facilitate further research should show by male and female and by department:
a. number of persons requesting to enter a particular department as a major
b. number of persons accepted to the department as a major
c. number of persons graduating from the department.

Continuing Education Division: It bears repeating here that Task Force 1 recomends expansion of the programs already in existence and an increase in the number of times during the day that programs are of fered.

## Central Administration Action

Admissions Policies. Applicable here is the Task Force 1 recommendation that "as the university moves toward selective admissions, every precaution should be taken to prevent ary discriminatory practices from being unintentionally included in the statement of admissions policy." Task Force 2's recommendation is of critical importance for future reference: 'The Adnissions office should record and make available . . . a breakdown by male and female of the number of applicants to all graduate departments, and the number accepted or rejected . . . (which) should be sent periodica!ly to the various departments. The Registrar's Office should also inform the departments of the number of students enrolled in graduate study."

It is also appropriate to note that stimulus and leadership for the task of data collection and accurate records by each department as recommended by both Task Forces 1 and 2 must come from central administration, regardless of whether the actual records are housed in the departmental or adninistrative offices.

## Unresolved Problem Situations

1. In several areas of review, it was documented that both Task Forces found individual subjective judgments by faculty to create extremely critical points of contact and entry of men and women students. Such points of "entry" are exemplified by, but not limited to, opportunities for further study in a field (faculty advising), fellowship grants, or acceptance as a teaching or research assistant. At these points faculty are unavoidably called upon to render highly qualitative evaluations, sometimes even arbitrary decisions, regarding an applicant's skill, talent, motivation, interest, or intent. This
extremely nebulous decision-making process has tremendous importance to the individual student, but it remains unstudied, uninvestigated, and the results of the process as they affect women are totally unsurveyed and uncalculated. Obviously, more research in greater depth than what this committer: was able to accomplish should be conducted.
2. Further study should also cover those areas in which the committee was unable to initiate any review, e.g., the effect on women of the professionai counseling activities of the University Counseling Center and the counselors in all of the college offices.
3. Reliable statistics on undergraduate enrollment and progress through university levels are currentiy lacking and are needed. (See Counseling.
4. Comparative data on graduate student salaries by men, women, and departments need to be collected and released to each graduate and professional department.
5. Since the reporic describes a student perception of existing discrimination in the university and since such a perception can be danaging to the attainment of university goals, a visible program should be initiated to research the umresolved problems listed above and to coordinate efforts to implement findings.

To assist in resolving the areas of need identified by the reviews of Task Forces 1 and 2, the establishment of an Office fer Women's Affairs is recommended. Details for this proposal are delineated in Appendix 1.

## Part V

EMPLOMMENT POLICIES, OPFORTUNITIES, PRACTICES
TASK FORC. 3

## Introduc:tion

Task Force 3 consisted of Ad Hoc Committee members Norma Bugoci and Madison Scott. They were assi:sted by Tina McCune and Cheryl Orebaugh from the Office of Administrative Research and Systems and University Budget.

This sub-comnittee reviewed the following areas:

1. Employment policies, opportunities and practices as they affect women at the Ohio State University who are:
a. faculty
b. administrative and professional personnel
c. civil service
2. Services, facilities, and special programs for women

The approach to this study was developed in conformance with policy objectives enumerated in the University's Policy on Affirmative Action. It is the stated policy of the University that it will not discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age. All University officials responsible for personnel administration have a performance obligation to support this policy. Yet, because in many cases the numerous policies regarding recruitnent, hiring, training, supervision, promotion, salary, and benefits of employees are vague and inconsistent, the University's policy of non-discrimination is often negated.

The functions of University personnel administration are performed
by several people and offices. The Personnel Department as presently constituted is primarily responsible for personnel appointed under civil service only. Personnel administration related to faculty and administrative and professional employees is the responsibility of various colleges, departments and divisions, with coordination from the Vice President for Academic Alfairs and the Executive Vice President for Administrative Operations.

The general policies and various criteria for selection and appointment to the University positions are different for each major job category which includes faculty, administrative and professional, civil service and students. Because of this decentralization of the personnel function, policies and practices relating to salaries, promotional opportunities and benefits are vague and somewhat inconsistent.

For budgetary purposes, the University classifies its employees into six categories. These are nine-month faculty, twelve-month faculty, administrative and professional employees, civil service staff, special contract employees, and wage employees. This Task Force reviewed conditions relating only to faculty, to administracive and professional employees and to civil service staff. Time and lack of available data did not permit a detailed study of wage employees and those on special contracts. The area of student employment is being reviewed by other groups appointed by the Academic Vice President and the Executive Vice President of this University.

## Methodology

Task Force 3 decided to approach their study in the following manner:

1. Review personnel policies and practices pertaining to
emplojment opportunities, and benefits.
2. Utilize categories of job classification established by the University, e.g., faculty, administrative and professional, and civil service.
3. Secure data collected through the Affirmative Action program as a resource.
4. Review and analyze the data.
5. Based on the review, if additional information were required, engage in further discussion with college and department personnel.
6. Prepare summary and recommendations.

## Faculty

This portion of the report relates to information applicable to personnel who are defined in Section I, Article IV of the By-laws of the Board of Trustees and by Rule 25.01 of the Rules for the University Faculty as follows:

> 'The University Faculty shall be constituted as follows: The President of the University, the members of the Fresident's Cabinet, the Deans, the Associate Deans, Assistant Deans, and Secretaries of the Colleges and of the Graduate School, all persons with the faculty rank of Professor, Associate Professor, Assistant Professor, and Instructor (providing that persons holding the rank of Instructor have served the University on a Regular Appointment in that rank :or at least six quarters); all persons with faculty rank of Professor Emeritus, Associate Professor Emeritus, and Assistant Professor Emeritus; the Director of Mershon Center for Education in National Security; the Director of Libraries; the Registrar; and the Director of Admissions."

This section of the report pertains only to those individuals holding the faculty ranks of instrictor through professor. Policies and procedures cited are current as of January 30, 1971. Recruitment:

Each college, department and division is responsible for the selection of applicants and for appointments to either vacant or new faculty positions. Data available for use from the Office of Affimative Action revealed that most departments use professional society affiliation or a word of mouth technique to fill vacancies. Purther examination of this data indicated that departments have individual qualifications they use for screening applicants.

Appointment, Promotion and Tenure:
The primary criteria to be used in appointing persons to facrilty rank are teaching ability and scholarship which includes personal attributes of integrity, industry, open-mindness, objectivity, capacity for leadership, cooperation, and research ability and its evidences, both published and unpublished. In pursuing the objectives of its policy of equality of cpportunity, the University hires solely on the basis of ability, experience, and qualifications, without discrimination as to race, color, creed, religion, national origin, age or sex.

In considering the selection of persons for appointment to Faculty ranks, rigid application of the criteria for each rank may not be practical. The duties and responsibilities of individuals vary greatly, and various criteria are of different importance in each case. The objective is to determine that a person with faculty potential can reach a level of performance in reasonable conformity with the requirements of a given rank as a prerequisite to appointment to that rank.

Appointment: Once a department has selected a prospective faculty member, the recommendation for appointment is submitted by the department chairman to the appropriate college dean, who transmits it to the Vice President for Academic Affairs, and to the President of the University. Final approval for rank and salary is made hy the Board of Trustees. If an initial appointment to faculty is also for membership to the Graduate Faculty, the approval of the Graduate School Dean is required prior to submission to the Academic Vice President
(3) Faculty, Appointment, Promotion and Tenure, The Ohio S ate University, July, 1962
(4) EEO Policy. OSU Operating Manual, December, 1970.
(5) Op. cit.

Individuals employed for faculty positions are given a "regular appointment" on a nine-month or twelve-month basis. A 'regular appointment' is issued to meet the continuing needs of a department and is renewed automatically at the beginning of each fiscal or academic year. Twelve-month appointments are effective for the fiscal year July 1 through June 30 and are paid in twelve monthly installments. Nine-month appointments are effective from October 1 through September 30 (academic year) with teaching service provided for three out of four quarters. Salaries of nine-month faculty are paid in twelve monthly installments (October 1 through September 30). Often faculty members are employed on a "Special" appointment to provide teaching service during the "off-duty quarter'. ("Special" appointments are given for a specific period of time to perform short-term service). Since various combinations of quarters of service are possible, i.e., Autumn, Winter, Spring or Autumn, Spring, Slumer, etc., the utilization of a faculty member's time for teaching is expected to be made by the department chairman in order that all classes offered by a given department are covered.

No clear guidelines are contained in Board Pules or the Faculty Handbook on policies relating to offering nine-month appointments versus twelve-month appointments to faculty. The data, however, show that most nine-month appointments are made in the undergraduate colleges, i.e., Arts, Humanities, Math \& Physical Sciences, Social $f_{f}$ Behavioral Sciences, and U:iversity College (including Branches at Lima, Mansfield, Marion and Newark) while twelve-month appointments are predaminate in the undergraduate and graduate professional colleges. Of the 1324 persons employed as nine-month faculty, 1133 (86\%) are men,
and 191 (148) are women. The twelve-month total faculty is 1680 , with 1346 (808) men, and 338 (208) women. Only 198 of the total faculty counct of 3004 are women.

In order to determine if discrimination exists in the faculty ranks between males and females, the qualifications of all individuals would have to be studied and compared. Most of the criteria for attaining rank are flexible, intangible, and individually applied, and because time did not permit this committee to collect and evaluate information on degree qualifications, an in-depth (comprehersive) study was impossible. The only analysiss possible was a comparison of head counts. The data revealed that $6 \%$ were women of a total of 857 individuals holding the rank of professor. Of the 656 holding the rank of associate professor, only $14 \%$ were women. Of the assistant professors, $20 \%$ women were found in the total of 751. 36\% of the 639 instructors were women. This compares with a 1966 report of the U.S. Office of Education which estimated that on college faculties, women comprised 32 percent of instructors, 20 percent of assistant professors, 15 percent of associate professors, and (6) 9 percent of full professors.

Promotion: In accordance with Faculty Pule 13.0503, each academic unit has filed with the Office of Academic Affairs its criteria for pramotion and tenure. These written criteria were reviewed in detail, and there were no indications of discriminatory practices in the promotion of male and female. No figures were

[^2]availatie to show the University's trend in the promotion of its males and females.

Tonure: Specific rules have been adopted relating to tenure and to the rights and responsibilities of faculty by the Board of (7)

Trustees.
The rules state that "attainment of tenure is not automatic." However, no central source currently exists with information on which faculty members have tenure or do not have tenure. Records were available on individuals who received tenure during the last five years, but little information was available prior to that time. Some of the Colleges had excellent records of their faculty whom they viewed as having temure. Other Colleges had no tenure records of any kind. The Office of Acedemic Affairs is currently attempting to compile a master list of faculty which will show those individuals who should have tenure, those who have tenure, and those to be reviewed for tenure based on the year in which they complete probationary status.

The general policies and criteria for tenure in each college (on file in Academic Affairs) was carefully reviewed and it was concluded that no visible form of discrimination existed.

## Salaries:

All Colleges operate within an established budget, and the salary offered to a prospective faculty member is determined by the monies available in each College. The Colleges may not exceed the number of dollars aliocated to them in any budget year. Salary studies for faculty by rank, by sex, and by college only have been done

[^3]centrally for many years. Gener:illy, college averages have bern distributed to college deans for use at their discretion. Infermation on faculty compensation based on AAUP (American Association of University Professors) findings have been mailed to all faculty for the past 'two years. Recently, the Office of University Budget developed a salary analysis of faculty sale ries by department, by rank, by sex, and by college. This information was distributed to the academic deans for use in budgeting for 1971-72. The Office of Inivessity Budget also prepared a salary study comparing OSU salaries to those paid at other selected institutions participating in the Cammittee on Institutional Cooperation within the Big Ten. These were distributed to college deans. However, salary data, for the most part, are classified as confidential information with exchange of data limited to central administration and college deans. The deans of the various colleges decide on how much information to give to department chaimen.

Because of the difficulty in obtaining salary data and preparation of the data for analysis, the Ad lloc Committee decided to submit this material as a Special Supplement noted as Appendices $J, K$, and $L$.

Benefits:
Each individual regular employee is provided certain fringe benefits by the University. Policies covering entitlement to the various program benefits are uniform in application and are administered without regard to race, creed, color, sex, or national origin, and are contained in University publications. (8)

State Teachers Retiremenî Program: Faculty members enroll in the State Teachers Retirement System. The faculty member contributes $7.8 \%$ of his monthly saiary (via payroll deduction) and the University contributes 12.98 of the monthly salary to the retirement fund.

Major Medical Groip Life Insurance: A premium to cover the costs of Major Medical Group Life Insurance is added to the salaries of all regular members of the faculty.

Blue Cross/Blue Shield: Optional University sponsored hospitalization and surgical insurance plans are available with coverage effective as of the date of employment.

Military Leave: Military leaves of absence are in accordance with federal and state laws.

Workmen's Compensation: Each faculty member is protected by the provisiors of the Law of the State of Ohio.

Cick Leave: Absence due to illness is considered on an individual basis.

Vacation: The policy of the University States that all faculty members shall be off duty fo: one month each! $T$.
(8) Faculty Handbook, The Ohio State University, August, 1969, See Operating Manual, The Ohio State University, Section 1-1, pgs. 9, 14, 15, 25, 33-37, February, 1971.

## Adminis ${ }^{+}$ra ive and Professional Pmployees

Task Force 3 discovered that no written statement of policy currently exists which defines an administrative and professional employee. The University states that administrative and professional employees are not classified as faculty or civil service. Examples of $A \mathcal{E}_{1} P$ personnel are the President, the Vice Presidents, the deans, associate and assistant deans, research associates, and assistants, college counselors, the payroll officer, assistant to deans and chairmen, administrative assistants and various other individuals.

Policies and procedures cited are current as of January 30, 1971. Recruitment

Each college, department or division is responsible for the selection of applicants and for appointments to either vacant or new positions. Most recruitment for $A F_{P} P$ is done by word of mouth, through "somebody who knows someone".

Appointment, Promotion and Tenure
The only knownrestrictions for appointing individuals to the $A \& P$ class are budgetary. If morey is available and the need to hire is established, individuals are employed. Job descriptions or position descriptions are not required for appointments to this class. However, each area is requested to submit a letter stating the qualifications of the individual and the proposed salary, with the appointment recomendation to the Director of University Budgets. Occasionally, a specific title is denied or a salary change is recomended. Data on the frequency of such changes are not available.

Individuals are sometimes changed from a civil service classification to the administrative and professional group. No guidelines for making such
changes were available. Changes in titles denoting a promotion within $A \& P$, were from an assistant dean to an associate dean or from a dean to a vice president. However, no clear cut definition existed on what constituted a promotion.

Data revealed that of a total head count of $2,237 \mathrm{~A} \& \mathrm{P}$ personnel, only $35 \%$ were female. Data currently are not available to show the kind of positions held by these women. Presently, there are no female vice presidents, no female deans, and,only a few females with either assistant or associate dean positions. This report reveals that faculty have rules relating to qualifications for tenure, civil service employees have laws protecting their status, but no guidelines or policies exist for the individual employed in the administrative and professional category.

## Salaries

No guidelines exist for structuring salaries in this area. Salaries of newly appointed adninistrative and professional personnel are usually based on what the individual is able to negotiate, with no apparent concern given as to how much the position is worth, or how the ccmpensation compares with other similar individuals and positions within the University. As indicated, this category of personnel covered some 2,237 employees ranging from the president to Technical assistants. Salary information only indicated gross differences between males and females in salary. The data did not reflect the type of positions compared.

## Benefits

Each regular A \& $P$ employee is provided some fringe benefits by the University. Stated policies covering these benefits are uniform
with no discrimination reflected due to race, creed, color, sex, or national origin. ${ }^{(9)}$

Retirement
If the $A \& P$ employee transfers from facuinty status to $A \& P$ or if the employee has been a member of State Teachers Retirement, the employee is enrolled in the State leachers Retirement System. The individual contributes $7.8 \%$ of each month's salary to the STRS, with the University contributing $12.9 \%$ of the monthly salary. A\&P employees who are not currently or have not previously contributed to STRS are enrolled in the Public Employees Retirement System. The employee contributes $7.7 \%$ of the monthly salary, and the University provides a contribution of $10 \%$.

## Worknen's Compensation

Each A \& P employee is protected by the provisions of the Law of the State of Ohio.

## Major Medical Group Life Insurance

A premium to cover the cost of the program is added to the salaries of all regular $A \& P$ employees. This portion of the salary is tax exempt, and coverage is effective on the date of employment.

## Blue Cross/Blue Shield

Optional University sponsored hospitalization and surgical insurance is available to each A \& P employee with coverage effective on the date of employment.

## Military Leave

Military leave policy is in accordance with Federal and State Law:.
(9) Operating Manual, The Ohio State University, Section 1-2, February, 1971

## Sick Leave

There is no definite, written A \& P sick leave policy. Different procedures exist. Some areas administer sick leave in accordance with civil service policies and othersareas deduct time from earned annual leave time.

## Vacation

There is no definite vacation policy. Each area administers its own policy. Some follow civil service guidelines while others allow one month, as specified for faculty. The "one month" is interpreted as anything from 20 working days to literally one month. Some employees benefit by taking a vacation during a 31 day month.

## Civil Service Employees

Policies and procedures cited are current as of January 30, 1971.
A civil service employee was described as one who is appointed and who serves under the provisions of Chapter 143 of the Ohio Revised Code. Appointments are made to claissified positions on specific salary schedules that have automatic step increases established by the State Legislature in the State Classification and Salary Plan as approved by the Ohio State University Personnel Director.

The basis for the establishment of an authorized position in the civil service is the Position Description Form 7552. A Position Description form is completed, in the case of a new position or a reclassification of an existing position, which describes the duties performed, and identifies the organizational unit to which the position is assigned.

Positions in the classified service are divided into two groups:

1. Those classifications for which assembled competitive civil service examinations have been prepared.
2. Those classifications for which no assembled competitive civil service examination has been prescribed. Employees in these classifications are graded in an unassembled examination on the basis of their education, training, and past work experience to qualify for the position to which they are appointed.

In pursuing the objectives of its stated policy of equality of opportunity, the University hires solely on the basis of ability, experience, and qualifications, without discrimination as to race, creed,
religion, national origin, age, or sex. ${ }^{10}$

## Recruitment

While the personnel function for faculty and administrative and professional employees is adninistered by each college and division, the Personnel Department is responsible for the employment function for personnel in the classified civil service. The Personnel Employment Office has prime responsibility for recruiting, testing, and referral of applicants to the various departments for employment interviews.

The University does not have a traditional source of applicant supply for positions open in the classified civil service. Essentially, recruiting for qualified professional, secretarial, clerical and service support positions is limited to a few 'help wanted" advertisements, field trips, employee referrals, and, as a last resort, employment agencies. Al though some improvements have been made by the University to provide information concerning its job opportunities and to develop new sources of applicants, it was evident that much remains to be done as the University lacks a well-planned and coordinated recruiting effort.

Individuals appointed in the classified civil service are processed through the Personnel Employment Office before final job offers are made. Appointments to positions in the classified civil service are made from lists of eligibles established from competitive civil service examination. An appointment in the classified service is not complete until a probationary period of not less than 60 days or more than 120 days is satisfactorily completed. If the service of a
probationary employee is unsatisfactory, the individual may be removed at any time during his probationary period after completing 60 days. Upon the attainment of permanent status, a classified civil service enployee can not be terminated withcut just cause.

Promotions
Ohio Civil Service Law provicies for promotion on the basis of competitive promotional civil service examination. However, this review revealed that the University does not have a promotional examination program or any campus-wide system of promotion for persons serving in the classified service. The usual procedures indicated that employees serving in the classified service could be considered for promotion when vacancies in their job classification are to be filled. Strictly speaking, however, promotions of persons in the classified service are made on the basis of decisions of the department head or supervisor, with the approval of the Personnel Director.

If an individual is fortunate enough to be originally employed in a department which believes in promotions from within, which is large enough, and which has enough turn-over with fairly regular higher level positions available, then his promotional opportunities are good. If, however, a person is employed in a smaller department where limited promotional opportunities exist, or where outside employees are consistently hired to fill departmental positions, promotional opportunities are poor. The best alternative for the latter individual is to seek a transfer to another area by using his own in-house knowledge and contacts to determine available positions.

The University's policy on transfers for classified service employees states:
"An employee may be transferred if such transfer is in the best interest of the University and the enployee concerned . . ." (for more detailed information see the booklet entitled "Policy and Rules Relating to Working Conditions of the Non-academic Employees of the Ohio State University").

Yet, in reality, the existing policy has not utilized in-house potential, and, therefore, it has not served the best interest of either the University or of the employee concerned.

## Salaries

Compensation for personnel appointed in the classified civil service at the University is fixed by the State Legislature. A specific pay range is assigned for each job classification, and there are no salary inequities based on sex.

## Benefits

Policies covering entitlement to the various program benefits are uniform in application and are administered without distinction as to sex. The Persomel Department is functionally responsible for the administration of the benefits program for all University employees. The benefit program encompasses a wide range of benefits that supplement salary prograns.

The following sections describe the major benefits provided by the University for its regular civil service employees.

Workmen's Compeasation: Each employee is protected by the provisions
of the Workmen's Compensation Law of Ohio. This law covers expenses for medical care, as well as certain benefits for loss of salary, which are paid through the Industrial Commission of Ohio for loss of salary, due to injuries or disabilities received during the regular performance of official duties.

Group Life and Major Medical Insurance: The University provides Group Life and Major Medical Insurance benefits at no cost to its regular civil service employees.

Blue Cross/Blue Shield: Group hospitalization and surgical benefits are available to all employees and their families. Costs: Participation in the group plan is not mandatory for employees whose rate of pay is more than $\$ 3.88$ per hour. Employees may enroll during the first 30 days of employment, or the annual enrollment period in nctober of each year. The cost of the plan is taken as a payroll deduction.

Employees who are in classifications assigned to a pay step of $\$ 3.88$ per hour or less receive individual or family Blue Cross and Blue Shield coverage at no cost.

Vacations: Civil Service employees earn leave at the rate of 80 hours of vacation per year (two weeks) for the first 10 years of service; 120 hours of vacation per year (threc weeks) for the second 10 years of service; and 160 hours of vacacion per year (four weeks) after 20 years of service.

Holidays: The following yearly paid holidays are observed by the University. In the event that one of the days occurs on a Saturday, the Friday immediately preceding is observed as the holiday, If the holiday falls on a Sunday, the Monday immediately succeeding is observed as the
holiday.
New Year's Day
President's Day
Memorial Day
Independence Day
Labor Day
Columbus Day
Veterans Day
Thanksgiving Day
Christmas Day

January 1
Third Monday in February
Last Monday in May
July 4
First Monday in September
Second Monday in October
Fourth Monday in October
Fourth Thursday in November
December 25

Sick Leave and Absences: Sick leave is earned at the rate of 4.6 hours per 80 hours of service and may be accumulated up to 960 hours. Sick leave may be used for personal illness and for illness or death in one' immediate family.

Military Leave: An employee who belongs to the Ohio National Guard, or to any other reserve component of the United States Armed Forces, is granted leave with full pay up to 31 days per calendar year if he is called for field training or active duty. This leave is in addition to the regular vacation time.

A permanent employee ordered involuntarily to extended active duty in the military service is granted leave with pay for any remaining part of the 31 days of the calendar year but without pay for the remainder of such service.

The permanent employee who applies for his former position at the University within 90 days after honorable discharge may be reinstated in that position.

Retirement: Civil Service employees are members of the Public Enployees Retirement System of Ohio. The employee makes a 7.78 contribution to the retirement fund, which is refundable 90 days after termination of state employment. The University's contribution to the Public Employees Retirement System is $10 \%$ of the total compensation earned. Employees with 35 years of service may retire at any age; those with 25 years, at age 55; and those with 5 years, at age 60 .

## Sumnary

A review of the jersonnel policies and priorities pertaining to employment opportunities, benefits, and working conditions at The Ohio State University revealed a number of inequities directly related to the problem under investigation.

An analysis of the University's work force at the faculty, administrative and professional, and civil service levels revealed that the University employed 8,304 workers, or a full-time equivalency of 7680.45. The total number of women was 3,147, or a full-time equivalency of 3004.15 .

Of this total, women comprised approximately 18\%, of the professorial ranks of instructor and above; and 35\%, administrative and professional; with $60 \%$ being classified as clerical and service workers. Appendix $J$ depicts the composition of the University's work force.

Data indicated that women at The Ohio State University lacked significant representation in most major areas throughout the University. While women comprised $38 \%$ of the total work force, they did not have equal status with men at all levels and in all realms of University activity. This problem was observed to be particulariy acute in such areas as top level administrative and professional posts and in the instructional and research staff. Evidence showed that women were under-represented in these areas and, in general, held positions with little power involving critical decision-making.

Rules sritten for faculty relating to promotion and tenure were broad in concept and allowed for much individual interpretation at the college or departmental level. Information was collected on faculty salaries and compared by rank and by sex, but the data was not compared
to qualifications nor to length of :ervice.

Although civil service perscinel received incremental changes in pay or changes in classification in the case of classified individuals, neither they nor administrative anci professional employees were given the opportunity for continued persomal development. To these individuals the University offered jobs, but it did not offer careers. There was no career system at the University which permitted persons coming into the University to be developed, assigned, and promoted as their activity and potential increased and the needs of the University changes. Thus, employees already at the University generally were relegated to a lifetime of work in one assignment rather than engaging in career development. Services and Facilities Available to Women

Task Force 3 reviewed current practices and opportunities available during Autumn Quarter, 1970, to the female population of the University (faculty, staff and students) for use of the recreational facilities on campus.

The facilities used for the recreational and teaching function of the University have established priorities of (1) teaching, (2) athletics with emphasis on varsity sports, (3) intramural activities, and (4) other recreational programs. The recreational facilities of the University were built in 1930, to accommodate 15,000 students. With a current basic University requirement for physical education involving about 11,000 males and 3,500 females using available facilities between the hours of 8 and 4, and a current student enrollment of 45,000 plus students with a full-time faculty/staff population of over 16,000 plus, space and facilities
are a problem.
The Ohio State University is currently in the lower third of the Big Ten in the availability of facilities for recreational functions, and yet these facilities are supporting programs of Physical Education, eighteen varsity sports in the Athletic Program, as well as other recreational programs aimed at the faculty/staff.

The intramural progran ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ making use of the available facilities is the largest program of its kind in the country. The intramural program is directed mainly toward football. From 5:00 P.M. on daily, the intramural/recreational programs must work around the 18 varsity sports sponsored by the Athletic Department. Pool space is one of the larger problems as the Physical Education prograns have first priority thereby inaking pool space available only on weekends. At the present time, mixed swims are held during the Fall, Spring, and Sunmer quarters on each Saturday, with an attempt being made to schedule some Sundays from mid-December. An attempt is also being made to schedule same Fridays. Students are constantly complaining about the pools being used by the Faculty and Staff during these mixed swims.

Programs available to the female population for intramural events ${ }^{1 \alpha}$ is administered by the Office of Student Affairs and is operated by Women's Physical Education. Women may participate in various activities within the Inter-Collegiate Club. These activities are kept at low key
(11) Handbook of University Recreation and Intramural Sports. The Ohio State University 1970-71. Published by University Recreation and Intramural Sports.
(12) Women's Recreation Association, 1970-71. The Ohio State University.
and spectator sports are discouraged or kept at low key. Some thirteen different sports are available to wonen. Females have been involved in the patrol used for canoeing as well as guards at the pool.

Summary
The greatest problem facing the University recreational program was the lack of facilities. Hopefully this could be corrected within the next five years as plans are being considered by Central Administration for more and better facilities. These, of course, must compete with other University priorities.

## Conclusions and Recommendations

The Task Force 3 study was a general one that attenpted to focus on the employment problems of women at The Ohio State University and to indicate the need for further in-depth study and planning with regard to these concerns. A university the size of Ohio State is a complex organization imposing unique problems of management and control on its operating officials. 1, wever, the vagueness and inconsistencies in practice and policy were some of the university's most pronounced inadequacies. With such inadequacies, it was difficult to identify the nature and extent of certain discriminatory practices.

Horever, the inadequacies noted in data pertaining to the status and role of women at The Ohio State University supported the findings of other universities, that women were paid less and underrepresented in upper acadenic and administrative levels. The Women's Bureau reported that in colleges and universities, women were much less likely than men to be associate or full professors. Rather, they usually hold such sex-oriented posts as Dean of Women or Director of Home Economics. ${ }^{13}$ This view was enhanced by the fact that at The Ohio State University as of February 1, 1971, there were no wamen serving in positions of Vice Presidents or Deans, or in any of the top administrative policy-making positions. The Women's Bureau report further noted that the earning wage between men and women varied considerably by occoupational groups, even when they were employed in equal positions. ${ }^{14}$

[^4]Findings at The Ohio State University supported this observation.
Written statements of policy in regard to certain categories of University employees were either non-existent or inadequate, particularly as they pertained to selection criteria, benefits, and compensation. Further, in the specific area of the administrative and professional class, there were no clear statements of what the University expected of this category of employee, or what this category of employee could expect from the University.

Information on the promotional opportunities for administrative and professional employee could only be found through the use of historical records maintained by the Payroll Department of The Ohio State University. These records contained payroll information relating to salary and titles but were not in any type of order to be analyzed. Departments or colleges had some data on faculty or civil service employees. With the exception of the civil service class where each position was coded with a job title and salary level, no specific guidelines were available on salary data to operating officials. Policies appeared to be the result of practice and tradition. Based on this fact alone, it was reasonable to assume that there were indeed inequities at The Ohio State University including some based on sex. Beyond this, it would appear that employment practices affecting women at Ohio State University were less the product of conscious direction than the very human tendency to avoid sticky decisions. Omission rather than commission appeared to be the problem. Although qualified women might be available, affirmative action liaison officer data seemed to indicate that the University had not taken positive steps to exploit all of the available opportunities. It was further noted, however, that in certain disciplines as engineering,
mathematics and physical sciences, medicine and veterinary medicine, the demand for wonen exceeded the supply of graduates available.

The factors which affected opportunities, policies, and conditions with respect to women at The Ohio State University were numerous. Further, the problems that the University faced in connection with recruiting, providing equal opportunity for all, budgetary concerns, and union demands, to name a few, suggested the depth and conplexity of the issue. This, coupled with the lack of clearly-defined personnel policies and delineation of responsibilities, affected the personnel operation in a way which could not be calculated.

In spite of the fact that this review was a general one, and the University a complex organization, meaningful information was acquired. The data revealed a definite need for reorganization and development of sound personnel policies. After re-establishment of policies, existing inadequacies should be eliminated in all personnel areas of the University. Based on this data collection and review of personnel policy, the following are recomended:

1. A complete study of employment recruitment policies should be conducted.
2. A stronger effort should be made in recruiting and employing more women in faculty and upper-level administrative positions.
3. Promotion procedures should be written for the administrative and professional class
4. All positions should be identified on the budget and all titles standardized. To insure equal pay for equal work, each position should be evaluated according to job content, degree
of difficulty, and level of responsibility.
5. Existing salary inadequar:ies should be corrected with emphasis on fair and equitable rares for all positions.
6. A data collection system to identify inadequacies within the University personnel system should be developed. The data system should include current statistical information, periodic reports, employment, recruiting, and other summaries. Although a system currently exists, the format is difficult to interpret and the depository of information not always available. The proposed management system would create a simple and uniform format.

From the preceding general recommendations a clear-cut personnel system to focus primarily on employment problems may be sculptured.
7. Finally, it is obvious that the University lacks sufficient recreational services and facilities. However, it is also obvious that women do not have equal access to such services and facilities. Priorities should be examined in order that more equitable opportunities may be available to women.

## Part VI <br> UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE ASSIGMENTS <br> TASK FORCE 4 <br> Introduction

Task Force 4 consisted of Ad Hoc Connittee member Imogene King who later appointed two faculty members and two students to assist in the interviews. Other Ad Hoc Committee members and consultants supplied supplemental information.

The purpose of this group was to collect information about selection criteria for University connittees, the number and percentage of women represented on these committees.

The limitations for Task Force 4 approximated those limitations ident:ified for Task Forces 1 and 2: namely, time constraints, limited persconnel, and lack of experienced full-time research members.

## Methodology

The Task Force decided to conduct a survey using the personal interview technique in an attempt to gather the desired information. The group agreed that individuals making appointments to university conmittees would probably be in administzative or leadership positions. These positions were identified as college deans, department chairmen, directors of schools, vice-presidents, the president, and leaders of the University student groups. Rather than contact all these administrative personnel, it was assuned that college deans and school directors could provide the requested information for department chairmen and therefore they would not be contacted. The Task Force also agreed that the Undergraduate Student Govermment and the Council.
of Graduate Students would be representative of student groups. The Schoois of Nursing and Hame Economics were not included in the survey hecause they consisted primarily of women. Vice-President Moulton was excluded since the Ad Hoc Comnittee review was initiated from his office. The personnel selected for interviews are noted in Table 13.

With the exception of President Fawcett, who was to be interviewed by the total Task Force, but was actually interviewed by only three (3) of the members, the thirty-two (32) other individuals to be interviewed were divided among the five (5) conmittee nembers to arrange interviews within a seven to ten day period. All task force members had conducted some interviews previdusly and therefore were not trained specifically for this survey.

Ten questions were prepared as an interview guide. (See Appendix $M$. The guide was to determine selection criteria for cormittee appointmenc. review of criteria, how committees were established, current conmittee members, and numbers of women on comnittees. It was decided rhat information from questions 8, 9, and 10 could be obtained from a secondary source. This procedure was followed to save interview time.

A pre-test of the interview guide was conducted in the School of Nursing. After revision, the interview could be conducted in less than fifteen minutes.

Interviewers scheduled appointments with individuals to be interviewed. The Task Force members were instructed to familiarize themselves with the questions but were to do no probing after asking the designated questions. They were permitted to take notes for later

Table 13
Task Force 4
Individuals to be Interviewed

## President

Vice President:
Academic Affairs
Business and Finance
Educational Services
Medical Affairs
Student Affairs
University Development
University College
Dean of Students
Graduate School
College:
Administrative Science
Agriculture and Home Economics Arts
Biological Sciences
Dentistry
Education
Engineering Humanities

## Law

Mathematics \& Physical Eiciences
Medicine
Optometry
Pharmacy
Social \& Behavioral Sciences
Veterinary Medicine

## School:

Allied Medical Professions
Architecture
Journalism
Music
Natural Resources

## Social Work

Student Government:

## Undergraduate

Graduate

Novice G. Fawcett

Lloyd R. Evans
Gordon B. Carson John T. Bonner Richard L. Meiling
Ted R. Robinson
Robert G. Smith
John T. Mount*
Kenneth L. Bader
Arliss L. Roaden

James R. McCoy
Roy M. Kotman
Lee Rigsby
Richard H. Bohning
John R. Wilson
Luvern L. Cunningham
Harold A. Bolz
Arthur E. Adams
James C. Kirby
Geoffrey Keller
John A. Prior
Frederick W. Hebbard
Lloyd M. Parks
James G. Martin
Clarence R. Cole

Robert J. Atwell
Lawrence C. Gerckens
William E. Hall
Harold Luce
Robert W. Teater
Richard R. Medhurst

Stephen P. K1ing*
Frank F. Matthews*
*Not interviewed
reference.
Individuals actually contactel for interviews are reflected in
Table 13. Repeated efforts were made to contact the two students but the Task Force interviewer was unable to arrange an appointment within the scheduled interview period.

## Findings

Respondents did not always react to each question.
Information from each interview was organized under each of the seven questions in the interview guide. A content analysis of data from question one by the Task Force resulted in the identification of four major categories stated by respondents as selection criteria primarily for faculty for conmittee appointments. The categories were interest, competence, balance, and knowledge.

Additional individual responses included the ability to get along with others, the length of time in a faculty position, the productivity of a faculty member, the activity load of individual faculty members, availahility of a faculty member to serve on a comnittee, and a "vehicle for contacts" (one who knows people).

Each interviewer stated that no dean or vice president had written criteria nor were these criteria cammon knowledge.

In response to item two, all interviewees except on dean stated that they believed the criteria they verbalized were adequate so provide for wide presentation of faculty and students. Another dean noted that following the interview he wanted to review criteria for broader conmittee representation.

When respondents were asked how recently criteria had been reviewed, eight indicated they had done so within the past year, eight stated they usually had an annual review, four discussed recent reviews, and two mentioned continual reviews as each new committee is formed.

The majority of deans indicated that although selection criteria may not be reviewed annually, they do review committee representation or structure each year.

When asked how many comittees they were responsible for appointing, one respondent stated he made no appointments, and another indicated he made no appointments but did offer suggestions. Two interviewees revealed that they only appoint ad hoc committees. The majority of deans noted that they do not appoint comnittees but rather approve them upon recommendations from departments or divisions. There was lack of clarity in the responses to item four.

Items five and six inquired as to how committees were formed. Again; it was difficult to adequately interpret responses because of diversity and lack of clarity. However, three interviewees confirmed their committees were formed by appointment only. one respondent stated conmittee structure was determined by the discipline or area of knowledge. A few conmittees were selected from reconmendations by department chairmen. Numerous replies indicated conmittees were primarily elected by peer groups, faculty by faculty, students by students. Information not specifically resuiting from this survey, but relating to this item may be obtained from the document "Conmittee Structure by College" prepared by the Faculty Council Conmittee on Student Representation for Spring Quarter, 1970.

All colleges and schools interviewed supplied lists of current committees with faculty representation in their areas. See Table 14. This table depicts number of committees, number of women representatives, and percentage of women on conmittees.

Only certain colleges and scrools included numbers of student representatives on committees.

Table 14

## Task Force 4 <br> College and School Committees

| College of |  | Number of Committees with Women | Percent of Committees with Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture \& Home Economics | 33 | 20 | 60\% |
| Arts | 9 | 9 | $100 \%$ |
| Biological Sciences | 11 | 4 | 368 |
| Dentistry | 17 | 3 | 18\% |
| Education | 5 | 5 | $100 \%$ |
| Engineering | 22 | 0 | 08 |
| Humanities | 7 | 7 | 100\% |
| Law | 15 | 5 | 33\% |
| Mathematics \& Physical Sciences | 11 | 4 | 36\% |
| Medicine | 18 | 9 | 50\% |
| Optometry | 23 | 0 | 0\% |
| Social \% Behavioral Sciences | 12 | 8 | $67 \%$ |
| Veterinary Medicine | 12 | 1 | $8 \%$ |
| School of |  |  |  |
| Allied Medical Professions | 21 | 16 | 76\% |
| Architecture | 9 | 0 | 08 |
| Journalism | 12 | 4 | 33\% |
| Music | 12 | 8 | 67\% |
| Natural Resources | 14 | 4 | 29\% |
| Nursing | 10 | 10 | 100\% |
| Graduate School | 7 | 2 | 29\% |

## Conclusions

No criteria for selection, appointment and recommendation of faculty, students and staff to university committees seem to exist except in the minds of the various administraters. Some of the categories verbalized by respondents as selection criteria might well serve as a base for establishing written criteria.

It was apparent that faculty women were underrepresented on university committees in relationship to the number of women available for comnittee appointments. A cursory examination also seemed to indicate an unequal distribution of available women in that some areas were seldom represented.

## Reconmendations

1. Basic criteria for selection, appointment, and recomendation of faculty, students, and staff to university cumnittees and to those comnittees in colleges and their administrative units should be fonmulated and written. This information should be communicated to all students, faculty, and staff for administrative use in conmittee appointments.
2. Consideration should be given to a program of active appointment of women faculty and students to committees in those colleges and units that have few or no women. If necessary, interdisciplinary lines should be


#### Abstract

crossed from rolated areas wherever feasible in order to utilize women until recruitment of wamen can be successfully achieved. (e.g., a woman from Mathematics might serve on the College of Engineering curriculum comaittee.)


## Supplement to Task Force 4 Interviens

Since the data from Task Force 4 interviews focused primarily on status of women at college and departmental levels of cormittee appointments, the Ad Hoc Conmittee decided to engage in a surplemental analysis involving university-wide counittees. This analysis was considered essential if the number of women on top level camnittees was to be determined. Therefore lists of university-wide committees were obtained from the Supplement to the Faculty and Staff Directory, 1970-71, and examined. Analysis of committee structures is found in Appendix M.

The totals at the end of the table of university committees highlight an important dichotomy. Although 7 percent of faculty membership and 27 percent of student membership is female, they each must represent a ronstituency that is composed of considerably higher proportions of females, that is, 18 percent of all faculty and 37 percent of all students are women. This situation is even further pronounced hy this phenomenon: the recurrence of a single woman's name on several different conmittees, lending credence to the propositions that perhaps even the 7 percent and 27 percent figures are unrealistically high. Quite obviously, the resources of the women on campus are being under-utilized and underrepresented on the campus committee work.

## Part VII

Phase II SUMMARY AND RECOMENDATIONS
EMPLOMMENT AND COMMITTEES
TASK FORCES 3 and 4

Task Forces 3 and 4 focused primarily on employment practices and comnittee appointments. Time did not permit a detailed study of all categories of university persomel. Therefore, a review of student concerns in these areas did not receive full attention because (1) Phase I of this report was devoted to student problems and (2) other University task forces have been assigned to investigate certain student needs and interests.

The Committee assumed that by reviewing policies and practices relating to employment and committee appointments that insights might be gained conceming the status of women at this University. The first insight that was gained was the lack of policy statements. Purther study revealed lack of consistent practices and trenendous problens in collecting data to ascertain whether or not discrimination did exist and, if so, to what extent. The lack of structure in policy and in management systems made it almost impossible to answer questions critical to the operation of a large institution.

Although Task Forces 3 and 4 were able to collect and interpret enough data to suggest certain definite trends, there was still a need for further research in these areas.

Consistent with the more detailed recommendations found at the end of the individual task force reports are the following general recommendations:

1. Policy statements should be written and clearly defined where
no written criteria or pclicies exist. Furthennore, these statements or criteria should be published and available. This relates to employmer.t practices and also comnittee appointments.
2. A conscious effort should be made to utilize qualified women more effectively in decision-making positions. This involves a commitment in appointing women to administrative positions, to various committees, and in offering promotional opportunities at all levels.
3. Positions, titles, responsibilities, and job levels should be reviewed and reimbursement made consistent with position demands. Where budgetary inequities exist, they should be corrected.
4. A data collection and processing system should be established which would collect data in the most efficient manner so that current information would be available and easily accessible. Until this is done, all personnel problems including those associated with women, cannot be adequately treated.
5. A unified personnel system should be established to develop and coordinate personnel management problems. This system would focus on problems related to employment opportunities, policies, and procedures.

## Part VIII

PHASFS I and II

## ADDITIONAL ORSI:RVATIONS AND REOMMENDATIONS

TASK FORCES 1; 2, 3, 4
"This report sinould contain a comprehensive survey of the existing situation at this miversity as well as recommendations which, hopefully, will result at an early date in a full equivalent Status for women that men enjoy at this University."

With these words from Dr. Edvard Q. Moulton, Vice President for Administrative Operations in August, 1970, the appointed Ad Hoc Committee to Review the Status of Women at The Ohio State University proceeded to formulate areas of review and assigned task forces to collect data. Briefly, these general areas of concem were designated as undergraduate and graduate, employment, and conmittee appointments. Each area contained elements to be studied.

Phase I consisted of an examination of undergraduate and graduate areas; Phase II, faculty, administrative and professional, and civil service personnel, and committee appointments. Descriptions of these areas, methodology, findings, recommendations, limitations, and need for further research were identified in greater detail in the separate task force reports. Summaries are found in Parts IV and VII.

While certain problems were unique to individual areas of review, it became apparent as the study progressed that barriers which prevented one task force from answering questions were the same barriers operating as blocks to progress for another task force. Likewise, trends which were noted in one area were often suggested in a second area. Thus the
total recommendations presented heie are those recommendations which were perceived by the Ad Hoc Commit:tee to be critical if the various inequities of women at this Univers;ity are to be resolved.

## Related Studies

In March, 1971, a national study regarding wonen in higher education was released. The scope of the study, "Report on Higher Education," and the description of identified barriers to women in higher education were so relevant that the Ad Hoc Conmittee voted to include information from that: study even though this report was in its final stage. Since the Chaimman of the cormittee which conducted the national study was Frank Newnan, it is oĩten called the Newman Report.

That barriers exist in numerous universities was supported by the Nenman Task Force which identified three major types of barriers which blocked full participation by women in higher education: overt discrimination by faculties, deans, and others acting in official capacities, practical institutional barriers, such as rigid admission and residence requirements, and ingrained assumptions and inhibitions on the part of both men and women which deny the talents and aspirations of the latter.

The unique role of higher education gives it extraordinary leverage to either help or hurt women's chances for equality of opportunity. When colleges and universities deny women the chance to gain skills and credentials, they increase the likelihood that women will not receive equal opportumities in all other social institutions for the rest of their lives.

Higher education exerts another kind of leverage as well. Colleges and universities take upon themselves the task of forming and sanctioning the attitudes and practices which educated people will thereafter consider reasonable. If it is fairness which they sanction, all women are helped; but if it is discrimination they sanction, all women are hurt, educated or not. ${ }^{15}$

When institutions view women primarily as wives and mothers and their education as preparation for these functions, they automatically practice discrimination.

Frank Newman, Ch. 'Report on Higher Education." Unpublished report, March 1971.

The Newnan Report also discussed the present extent of the inequality, by reviewing comparisons of the participation and attainments of men and women in higher education. These comparisons revealed a clearly unequal pattern.
-- Although, in high school, women earn better grades and higher test scores than men, ${ }^{16}$ fewer enter college, and they attain only 41.5 percent of the bachelor's and first professional degrees. ${ }^{17}$

16 Report of the Commission on Tests, College Entrance Examination Board, Vol. I, Righting the Balance, New York, 1970, passim. See also John A. Creager, et. al., National Noms for Entering College Freshnen, Fall 1969, American Council ai Education, Washington, 1969, p. 51.

17 Digest of Educational Statistics 1970 , U. S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education, National Center for Educational Statistics, Washington, 1970, p. 89. In the Project Talent national sample survey of 1960 high school graduates, 54 percent of the men and 37 percent of the women enrolled in postsecondary education at some time between 1960 and 1966. Computed from Project Talent data published in Joseph Froomkin, Aspirations, Enrollments and Resources, U. S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education, Office of Program Planning and Evaluation, Washington, 1970, p. 28.

Data on male vs. female rates of degree attainment through 1968 is given in Trends in Educational Attainment of Women, U. S. Department of Labor, 1969, p. 16. Slightly more women than men graduate from high school ( 50.48 and $49.6 \%$, respectively). But the percentage of women among college freshmen is approximately equivalent to the percentage earning bachelor's and first professional degrees (41.5\%), for rates of attition are about equal for both sexes (Lewis J. Perl and Martin T. Kutzman, Student Flows in California's System of Higher Education, Office of the Vice President, University of California, 1970, Chap. 3, tables 1-5; Robert G. Cope, 'Limitations of Attrition Rates', Journal of College Student Personnel, November, 1968, p. 386
-- Although women in college earn better undergraduate records than men, fewer enter graduate school ${ }^{18}$
-- Most of the degrees earned by women are in a few fields of study, such as education, the humanities, and the health professions. Thus, aggregate figures on attainment of women exaggerate their opportunities in higher education 19
-- Even within those fields considered acceptable, women are confined to subordinate functions. While virtually all the nursing graduates are wanen, they represent only eight percent of graduating physicians. 20

Unfortmately inequality for wonen is not declining nor merely residual. In some ways it is increasing. The proportion of 18- and 19-year-old males enrolled in higher education increased 20 percent between 1950 and 1966, but the participation of females increased only 11 percent. ${ }^{21}$ The percentage of master's degrees obtained by women reached its peak in 1930 at 40.4 percent and declined to 38 percent in 1968, while the percentage of doctor's degrees obtained by women reached its peak in 1930 at 15.4 percent, and was down to only
${ }^{18}$ Trends in Educational Attainment of Women, op. cit., p. 16.
191969 Handbook on Women Workers, U. S. Department of Labor, Women's Bureau Bulletin No. 294, pp. 193-94; Digest of Educational Statistics, op. cit., Tables 93 and 117.
${ }^{20}$ This figure was calculated from data in A Fact Book on Higher Education, American Council on Education, Washington, 1969.
${ }^{21} 1969$ Handbook on Women Workers, pp. cit., p. 181.
12.6 percent in 1908.22

The inequities based on instilutional barriers, and the traditional assumptions regarding women's aspirctions identified in a national report are much in evidence at this University.

As suggested by the Ad Hoc Ccumittee, it is not a case of existing opportunities which women decline $\mathrm{t} \mathbf{0}$ use, but rather a case of specific b arriers which block their progress and which will not disappear unless a conscious effort is made to remove them.

Discrimination is noted against women students, especially at the graduate level. "Although few admissions officers or members of graduate fellowship comnittees would confess to discrimination on the basis of race, many openly argue that women should be denied opportunities because they are women" 23 This same source even records an incident of an interview between a social science department chairman and a women candidate for graduate study of pronounced discrimination from an institution renowned for its commitment to civil liberties--the University of California, Berkeley.

In order to justify discrimination against women in higher education it is often argued that education for women is a poor investment of educational resources. The reasons given are (1) that women are much less likely to complete their education than men, and (2) that women who do complete their education are much less likely to use it because
${ }^{22}$ Trends in Educational Attaiment of Women, on. cit., pp. ${ }^{8-10}$ and 16; 1969 Handbook on Women Workers, op. cit., pp. 191-92
${ }^{23}$ Newman Report
they might marry, become housewives and abandon career aspirations.
Both reasons have much less basis in fact than is usually
supposed since it is often the artificial obstacles that unnecessarily stand in the way of women completing and using their education, rather than some innate disposition of women regarding their educational and career goals.

The facts tend to contradict the view that women are poorer risks than men in their commitment to cormplete educational programs. The percentage of entering undergraduate students who graduate in four years is about 15 percent higher for women than for men. 24 As for gradute students, the record for coimplerion in the fields of the humanities and social sciences (the fields most open to women) is so poor for male students that it is unreasonable to make comparisons unfavorable to wonen. Available data suggest that women compare favorably with men: women constituted 30 percent of graduate and professional students in 1967, but earned 35.8 percent of the Master's and first professional degrees award in $1968 .{ }^{25}$
${ }^{24}$ Aspirations, Enrollments, 3id Resources, op. cit., p. 123, and Aspublished data, U. S. Deparinent of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Educaction, Office of Program Planning and Evaluation.
25
1969 Handbook on Wamen Workers, op. cit., p. 190; and Trends in Educational Attainanent ci licmen, op. cit, p. 16. The fact that women earn a higher percentage of master's and first professional degrees than their percentage of graduate and professional enrollments is largely due to the fact that a master's degree is a major objective of many waten, particuilarly as a teaching credential, while men more commonly by-pass the master's degree. In general, the role of women in eiementary and secondary school teaching, and their pursuit of credentials in this one area, must always be corn in mind in interpreting aggregate data on the educational attaimant of wonen.

The Newnan Report advanced twio suggestions as to why deparment chairmen seem to have the inpression that wonen are less likely to complete their educational prograns. First, in our society, most women move where their husbands' edscational and career opportunities take them with the result that women must often transfer from one institution to another to complete their education. Thus, women are less likely to complete their trairing at the institution where they began. Secondly, they are even less likely to complete it if there is unwillingness on the part of accessible institutions to accept them as transfer students and give them the support which a nontransferring male student would receive as a matter of course. The request from Task Forces 1 and 2 to examine discrimination at critical points of entry would reveal the extent to which this problem exists here.

Other facts also refute the "poor investment" argument. In 1968, 42 percent of all women of working age were in the labor force. ${ }^{26}$ Women who complete their training do, in fact, tend to use it, and the more education they have, the higher are their rates of participation.

Fifty-four percent of the women who have bachelor's degrees are in the labor force, and 71 percent of those who have five or more years of higher education are working. 27 More than 90 percent of women who received doctorates in 1957-58 were employed in 1964, and 79 percent of them had not interrupted their careers in the intervening years. ${ }^{28}$

```
26
    1969 Handbook on Women Workers, op. cit., p. 3.
27
    Ibid., p. 205.
28
    Helen S. Astin, The Woman Doctorate in America, Russell Sage
    Foundation, New York, 1969, p. 57

Moreover, there is a strong correlation between the number of years of higher education and the likelihood that a woman will be working in her field of major study, i.e., the field where educational resources have been most intensively invested in her training. \({ }^{29}\) Nor do women Ph.D.'s let marriage interfere with their productivity for those who are employed full time publish slightly more than either men Ph.D.'s or unmarried women Ph.D.'s." \({ }^{30}\)

The Newnan Conmittee discovered that in one sense the "poor investment" argument was self-fulfilling in that normal incentives of prestige and money for active participation in professional fields were, to an important extent, withheld from women, especially married women. Data from Task Force 3 and 4 Reports corroborated the inequities of prestige and money incentives for wamen. In discussing why such pronounced differences existed in faculty rank, the national study concluded that a substantial part of the difirerences was due to the fact that women must wait longer for promotion. Women who do achieve the rank of full professor wait two to five years longer than men in the biological sciences, and as much as ten years longer in the social sciences. \({ }^{31}\) Moreover, married women must, overall, wait five to ten years longer than single women.

In acadenic, professional, and business life, there is similarly

1969 Handbook on Women Workers, Op. cit., p. 209. 30

Rita Simon et al., "The Woman Ph.D.: A Recent Profile", Social Problems, Vol. 15, No. 2, Fall 1967, pp. 221-35. 31

Careers of Ph.D.s, Academic vs. Nonacademic, A Second Report on Followups of Doctoral Cohorts, 1935-60, National Academy of Sciences, Washington, 1968
less economic reward as starting salaries tend to be lower. A survey conducted in November, 1969, regarding jobs and salaries expected to be offered by 206 companies to June 1970 college graduates, showed a differential in the salary offer to be made to men and women with the same college majors in a wide variety of fields. \({ }^{32}\)

This salary differential continues as women's careers progress. Median salary difference for men and women is more than \(\$ 3,000\) in chemistry, physics, mathematics, economics, and the biological sciences. Women similarly average lower salaries than men in each of the academic ranks. \({ }^{33}\) When women are denied the same income as a man for their investment, then a woman's education is indeed a poorer investment than a man's. However, 'compared to other wamen, their salaries are much better for the more years of higher education completed.
"A common myth is that opportunities for women in American society, though not equal, are opening up and that discrimination is steadily declining. When we see that the share of master's

32
Frank S. Endicott (Trends in Employment of College and University Graduates, Northwestern University, 1970, p. 5) provides the following data:

Expected Salaries for June 1970 College Graduates,

\section*{By Sex and Selected Field}
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
Field & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ Average Monthly Salary } \\
\cline { 3 - 3 } & Women & Men \\
& & \\
& \(\$ 746\) & \(\$ 832\) \\
Accounting & 765 & 806 \\
Clemistry & 700 & 718 \\
Economics, Finance & 844 & 872 \\
Engineering & 631 & 688 \\
Liberal Arts & 746 & 773 \\
Mathematics & &
\end{tabular}

33
Salaries in Higher Education 1965-66, National Education Association Research Report 1966 R-2, February, 1966, pp. 3-4.
and doctor's degrees earned by women was higher between 1920-1940 than it was during the decade of the 1960 ' s , \({ }^{34}\) and that wonen's modian salary income, as a percent of men's, decreased by 5.7 percent from 1955 to 1968 (from 63.98 to 58.28 ); 35 and that the plight of the woman in education and the job market has not improved, but worsened, and when we add to this the information that there are fewer wimen elected to public office at all levels today than during those same previous decades, we get an overall view that the American woman is not only failing to hold her own, but is losing ground., \({ }^{1: 36}\)

Often prevailing college and university structures present barriers that are particularly difficult for women. This is due, not only to a failure to analyze and understand the needs of women, but also to a lack of consensus that they should be removed.

When rigid policies and practices pressure women into making choices between marriage and children or advanced study and a career, woman may sacrifice permanently any chance for acadenic life. In national studies, residence requirements, the inability to transfer credits, insistence on full-time study, lack of child-care facilities, and inadequate health services are most frequently cited as problems that keep women from undertaking or completing their undergraduate and graduate studies. In addition, they are frequently discriminated against in terms of financial support. At least in terms of stated policy, no discrimination was identified at this institution regarding graduate fellowships or financial assistance for women students. However, the discrimination reported by the Newman Committee in the use of athletic

34
Trends in Educational Attainnent of Women, qp. cit, , p. 16;
Digest of Educational Statistics; Q. Cit., \(\mathrm{p}, 78\). 35
Fact Sheet on the Earnings Gap, U. S. Department of Labor, Women's Bureau, Washington, 1970, p. 1 . 36

Newman Report.
facilities was confirmed by this Ad Hoc Comnittee.
llow does this discrimination affect a waman's contribution to society?

One inevit:ble and damaging :esult of this combination of discrimination and lack of adequate facilities is that women students are encouraged to conclude that they should think of themselves only as potential wives and mothers, or, possibly as teachers or nurses. Several studies confirm that even very talented women students are affected by what Mary Bunting, the President of Radcliffe, has called the "climate of unexpectation" 37 for women, and that their aspirations decline as they go through college. \({ }^{38}\)

Assumptions of both men and women about the role of women in our society are internalized by individuals and eventually unintentionally incorporated into the structure of institutions. These assumptions about women's role then appear to be the natural outgrowth of what society believes to be women's proper responsibilities and as such create a barrier to full participation by :somen in higher education. This barrier is viewed by some as a perfectly natural difference in social roles. Others view it as gross injustice. However, there is no consensus that it should be removed. The Newman Committee suggested that part of the problem is the deep concern about the decline of family life in American society today. Thus, any discussion of

Quoted in Time, November 3, 1961, p. 68.
Ellen and Kenneth Kenniston, "An American Anachronism: The Image of Women and Work', American Scholar, Vol. 33, No. 3, Surmer 1969, pp. 355-75; Patricia Graham, Women in Academe", Science, Vol. 169, Sept. 25, 1970, pp. 1285-86.
equalizing career opportunities for women unleashes powerful feelings. Much of what society thinks about women's appropriate role has been based on ignorance and misunderstanding. Women, like men, are individuals with intellects which need stimulation, and egos which need satisfaction. When women's minds are awakened by an excellent education, they are not going to be completely fulfilled by merely being gracious shadow-figures. Husbands and children of able, intelligent women as well as the wonen themselves, are often affected detrimentally when wamen lack outside independent interests. As with minorities, the corrosive effect of repression and lack of opportunity for women goes far beyond the individual. 39

Coileges and universities have a unique contribution in transmitting values and preparing men and women for careers. If they do not take advantage of this responsibility, they will affect the status of women negatively.

The Newman Report recommended a national effort to broaden and diversify the participation of woren in higher education and to make higher education more responsive to women's needs by (1) ending all discrimination on grounds of sex, (2) undertaking reforms and innovations which would remove barriers built in the institutional structure of higher education, and (3) leading the effort to understand and rethink the role of women in Anerican society. "Achieving equality for women must involve not oniy specific institutional changes; it also

39
Alice S. Rossi, "Equality Between the Sexes", Daedalus, Spring 1964, p. 623.

\footnotetext{
involves subtle but fundmental changes in attitudes." With the leverage that colleges and universities exert on all of society, they have a greater responsibility than other institutions to assume a leadership role.
}

\section*{Feconmendations}

The Ad Hoc Committee responsible for this report not only endorses the recommendations proposed in the national study, Report on Higher Education, but adds the following recommendations specifically generated as a result of this comprehensive review. In doing so, the Committee recognizes that these recommendations may not be the only approaches to the problems of such a complex organization. However, in keeping with Dr. Moulton's charge to suggest possible steps to correct the situation, the Committee believes these steps will lead to improvement.

Two recommendations are of such dimensions that their implementation would require long-range efforts. Two recommendations are shortrange in that more immediate action could be undertaken even though the functions would be on-going.
1. The first long-range recommendation is for the establishment of a data collection and processing system which would offer a uniform management and information system. This does not suggest a new office, but rather a new way of doing things. As the system presentily exists there is a lack of consistent data with a fomat which is difficult to interpret. This is a total University problem and one which needs to be resolved. The proposed system should collect in the most efficient manner possible current data to furnish a depository of information which is available, easily accessible, and disseminated to faculty,
staff, and students. This might culminate in a sumonary report or fact hook of University information proctuced annually (e.g., December). This summarized fact book could be distributed to college and department levels and others deemed appropriate on a mailing list. Such as system would furnish the necessary data base to accurately respond to issues such as this Conmittee faced and to do so with a minimum amount of time and effort.
2. In conjunction with the above recomendation is a short-range recomendation which the Committee believes could receive inmediate attention. To coordinate the groups judged most appropriate to avail themselves of the data collection and processing system and to insure that no one person controls it, it is recommended that a Planning Cormittee be appsinted. This Committee should include administrative personnel described in recomendations three and four as well as other potential users of the system. Other members should be representatives from the University's Computer Coordinating Committee, and the professionals who can serve as iinks between computer personnel and users of data processing services. These professionals should be familiar with data research analysis. A broad representation of the areas of expertise mentioned is considered vital to decisions of what data to collect and when to collect it. Since this is a continual operation, such a Board should focus on systematic improvements.
3. The other long-range recomendation of the Committee is for the establishment of a unified personnel system to focus primarily on employment problems. As such, this system could address itself to the problem areas revealed by a review of employment opportunities, policies, and pr . zedures.
4. The fourth and final recommendation by the Committee is viewed as a possible vehicle to correct the known problems relating to women as revealed by this review to prevent possible future problems, and to research what appear to be problem areas. This recomendation requests that an Office of Women's Affairs with a Director be established to assist women faculty, staff, and students with non-employment problems which women face in the University community. This would not be a duplication of the functions of the Office of Student Affairs or Academic Affairs but would assist and coordinate existing programs which might enlarge horizons for women. (See Appendix I for further elaboration of proposal.) The Vice President for Administrative Operations should have jurisdiction of this proposed office since its operations exceed the scope of only student or academic affairs.

It is the hope of this Ad Hoc Committee that The Ohio State University will play a leadership role in assisting American women to be free to make whatever choice they desire in utilizing their human resources by offering them equal opportunities and positive social attitudes.
wroactes

APPENDIX A
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APPENDIX B

HONOKARIES

\section*{STRTUS OF HOMEN OMMISSION THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY}

\section*{Sex Discrimination in Honoraries}

The Ohio State University Status of Women Cormittee expressed an interest in finding out which, if any, of the academic honoraries at Ohio State had formal written rules discriminating against any students because of their sex. Honoraries at Ohio State can be placed into categories: professional fraternities, honor societies and recognition societies. (For definitious, see attachment, Baird's Mentual.) Table I contains a list of professional fraternities; Table II is a list of honor societies and recognition societies; Table III is a list of previously unclassified fraternities and societies as well as a list of service and activity honoraries.

Professional fratermities is a quite nebulous category. One of the fratemities, Alpha Zeta, listed as a professional fraternity in Baird's Manual of American College Fratemities is classified as a social fraternity at OSU and actually cannot be considered an honorary at all. Other of these professional fraternities are really social fraternities organized around a profession. Still others take on a "service-activity" flavor with tuitering, picnics, and outings. Nonetheless some of these groups. are definitely honoraries. For example, Pi Lamblda Theta requires a 3.25 CPHR and two faculty recomendatiors. The question of discrimination in professional fraternities, therefore, is a difficult one. If a professional fraternity is a misnamed social fraternity or a club, and not an honorary at all, even if it is sexually
discriminatory, it is of no interest to a group studying hororaries, Each individual fraternity must be examined to see whethe: its characteristics are primarily honorary or primarily social.

The issue is clearer in honor societies and recognition societies. Since they are honoraries for a particular academic field, the only criteria for membership should be expertise in that field. Still there are issues to consider. The freshman honoraries Phi Eta Sigma (men) and Alph Lambda Delta (women) were both started at illinois, the former in 1923, the latter in 1924. It seens here is a clear case of women setting up a complementary organization when kept out of an honorary simply because they were female. At OSU, both require a 3.5 CPHR for menbership. Since their requirements are the same, the question here is whether to try to force a merger into one non-discriminatory honorary or allow them to continue as two obviously separate but genuinely equal honoraries. Obviously today we would avoid setting up two honoraries differentiated only by sex. That is not the question though. The problem is how to treat two honoraries, each with 40 years of tradition.

Another issue to consider is the case of Sigma Phi Alpha, the dental hygiene honorary. Here we have an honorary that allows only female members. However, this is relatively unimportart when one considers that the dental hygiene program is only open to women.

In sumary, then, professional fraternities while generally social organizations, are sometimes honoraries. Therefore each organization must be examined individually. A good start for any investigation oí this type would be Dean Robert Brodie, Assistant Dean of Men. He has the constitutions of all student organizations
in his office, but will release them only upon receiving permission from the organization to do so. With honor societies and recognition societies the problem is much less muddled. While ramifications of any action taken against these groups must, of course, be taken into account, they should not be sexually discriminatory, and should have only academic qualifications for menbers. Aithough problems still exist, several previously ali male honoraries such as Tau Beta Pi and Chi Epsilon have now opened up their membership to all students. Perhaps even.some of the groups now listed as discriminatory have changed their menbership qualifications since the data that was published had been collected.

Statur of Women
Table I
Professional Fraternities

Date of Founding
National OSU
18681892

18891896
\(\begin{array}{ll}1817 & 1897 \\ 1892 & 1901 \\ 1888 & 1902 \\ 1902 & 1902 \\ & \\ 1879 & 1903\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{cc}1607 & 1007 \\ : 33 & 1908\end{array}\)
19091911
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1905 & 1911 \\
1889 & 1913 \\
1909 & 1913 \\
1914 & 1915 \\
1905 & 1915
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1009 & 1915 \\
1906 & 1916 \\
1920 & 1920 \\
1920 & 1920 \\
1207 & 1921 \\
1917 & 1921 \\
1919 & 1922 \\
1904 & 1924 \\
1879 & 1924 \\
1921 & 1926 \\
1908 & 1926 \\
1921 & 1926 \\
1909 & 1926 \\
1909 & 1928 \\
1922 & 1928 \\
1924 & 1928 \\
1919 & 1979 \\
& 1929 \\
1923 & 1930 \\
1898 & 1931 \\
1982 & 1935 \\
1519 & 1941 \\
1937 & 1948 \\
1948 \\
1913 & 1949 \\
1920 & 1949 \\
\(19 ? 1\) & 1953 \\
1947 & 1954 \\
1928 & 1954 \\
1944 & 1959
\end{tabular}

Phi Delta Phi
Xi Psi Phi (1933-55)
Alpha Rela
Psi Onega
Alpha Kappa Kappa
Keramos
(1943-46)
Theta Kappa Psie
Thi Beta Pi
Alpha Psi
Phi Delta Chi
Sigma Delta Chi (1942-46)
Omega Tau Signa
Phi Chi
Theta Sigma Phi
Alpha Rho Chi
Alpha Kappa Psi
(1937-47)
Phi Upsilon Omicron
Phi Delta Kappa
Epsilon Psi Epsilon
Tau Epsilon Rho
Phi Delta Epsilon
Delta Signa Pi
Pi Lambda Theta
Rho Pi Phi
Theta Tau
Sigma Alpha Sigma ?
Kappa Psi
Kappa Lpsilon
Kappa Beta Pi
Sigma Delta Epsilon
Alpha Omega
Delta Omicron
Kappa Phi Kappa
Phi Chi Theta
Reta Alpha Psi
Epsilon Pi Tau
Phi Delta Ganma
Phi Mu Alpha Sinfonia
Nu Sigma Nu
Onega Epsilon Phi
Alpha Kappa Gamma
Delta Pi Epsilon
Alpha Delta Sigma
Garma Alpha Chi
Alpha Tau Delta
Sigma Alpha Eta
Ganma Theta Upsilon
Alpha Delta Theta
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { law - men (1) } \\
& \text { dentistry - men (i) }
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Agriculture (1) (3) (3)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{dentistry- men (1)}} \\
\hline & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{medicine - men \({ }^{\text {ceramic engineering - men (2) }}\)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{medicine - men} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Medicine - men} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{vet. med. - men (1) (2)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{phann - men (1)} \\
\hline journalism - men & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{vet. med. - men (1)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{med. - men (1)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{journalism - women \({ }_{\text {architecture - men (1) }}\)}} \\
\hline & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{business commerce - men} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{home econ. - women} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{education - men} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{law - men (1)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{med. (1)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{commerce - men (1)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{edycation - women} \\
\hline pharni. - inen (1) & (1) listed in \\
\hline engineering - men (1) & Dates 8 Data \\
\hline & (2) founded \\
\hline pharm. - men (1) & at OSU \\
\hline pharm. women & (3) categorised \\
\hline law - women & as a Social \\
\hline math \& science - women dentistry - men (1) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Fraternity \\
at OSU
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
dentistry - men (1) \\
music - women
\end{tabular} & at OSU \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{education - men (1)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{business \& economics - women accounting - both} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Industrial arts} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{graduate - women Source:} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{music - men Baird: Manual of} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{medicine - men optometry - men} & American Collegn \\
\hline & Fraiernities \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Business educ. - both Editor} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{advertising - men George Banta,}} \\
\hline advertising - women Company Inc. & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{nursing - women Menasha, Wisc.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{speech correction - both 1968} \\
\hline geography - both & \\
\hline medi tech. - women & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Status of Women
Table II
Honor Societies

Date of Founding
National OSI
18861898

17761904
19041907
19061910
19021914
1905 191'/
1918
19141919 Mortar Board
18851921 Tau Beta Pi
19131922 Beta Garmma Sigma
19151926 Sigma Ganma Epsilon
19211927 Phi Alpha Theta
19231928 Phi Eta Sigma
19121928 Omicron Nu
19201929 Alpha Kappa Delta
1920 1929 Pi Sigma Alpha
19221932 Sigma Theta Tau
19021933 Alpha Omega Alpha
19221934 Rho Chi
19211936 Sigma Pi Sigma
29091939 Delta Phi Delta
19231939 Pi Omega Pi
19261940 Alpha Epsilon Delta
19241945 Alpha Lambda Delta
19151948 Pi Tau Signa
19221949 Chi: Epsilon
19491949 Alpha Pi Mu
19291951 Psi Chi
19221952 National Collegiate Players
19181957 Pi Kappa Lambda
19001963 Iota Sigma Pi.
```

scientific research - both
scholarship - both
electrical engineering - both
forensics - both
law - men
agriculture - men
s.:rvice not academica
mathematics - both
engineering - both
commerce - both
earth science - men
history - both
freshmen - men
home sconomics - both
sociology - both
political science - both
nursing both
medicine - both
pharmacy - both
physics - both
art - both
business education - both
pre medicine - both
freshman - women
mechanical engineering - both
civil engineering - both
industrial engineering - both
psychology - both
drama - both
music - both
chemistry - women

```

Recognition Societies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1899 & 1911 & Phi Lambda Upsilon & chemistry - men \\
\hline & 1915 & Scabbard and Blade
(1941-40) & military \\
\hline 1899 & 1922 & Ganma Alpha & graduate science - men \\
\hline 1919 & 1923 & Kappa Kappa Psi & band = men \\
\hline & 1925 & Pershing Rifles & military \\
\hline 1919 & 1926 & Chi Delta Phi & english \& literature - women \\
\hline 1923 & 1929 & Pi Alpha Xi & horticulture - both \\
\hline 1914 & 1934 & Eta Sigma Phi (1945-50) & classics - both \\
\hline 1941 & 1943 & Alpha Epsilon Rho & radio \& TV - both \\
\hline 1937 & 1950 & Tau Beta Sigma & bimad \\
\hline & 1951 & Arnold Air Society & military \\
\hline 1958 & 1958 & Sigma Phi Alpha Angel Flight & dental hygiene - women military \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source:
Baird's Manual of American College Fraternities
George S. Lasher, Editor
Menasha, Wisconsin 1968

Status of Women
Table 11 (a)

Dates folata
Professional Fratemities Alp;ha Kappa Kappa
Alpha Omega
Alpha Psi
Alpha Rho Chi
Alpha Tau Delta
Delta Sigma Delta
Delta Sigma Pi
Epsilon Psi Epsilon
Ganma Alpha
Kappa Phi Kappa
Kappa Psi
Nu Sigma Nu
Omega Tau Sigma
Phi Alpha Delta
Phi Chi
Phi Delta Chi
Phi Delta Epsilon
Phi Delta Phi
Psi Omega
tho Pi Phi
Tau Epsilon Rio
Theta Tau
Xi Psi Phi
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 299-9719 (med) & 466 King Ave. \\
\hline 291-8069(dent) & 345 W. 8th \\
\hline 294-3343(vet) & 237 E. 17th \\
\hline 291-9843(eng. arch.) & 229 E. 17th \\
\hline 293-6371(nur) & Lis Miller, pres. \\
\hline 291-3821(dent) & 1543 Nei 1 \\
\hline 299-6181 (comm) & 144 E. 13th \\
\hline 291-5154(opt) & 58 E .12 th \\
\hline 299-6691(eng) & 95 E. 12.th \\
\hline (educ) & Arps Hall \\
\hline (pharm) & 500 W. 12th \\
\hline 291-3173(med) & 1454 Nei1 \\
\hline 299-7821(vet) & 115 E .14 th \\
\hline 293-2631(1aw & New Law Bldg. \\
\hline 291-3823(med) & 1470 Neil \\
\hline 299-5210 (pharm) & 176 E. 15th \\
\hline (med) & 1499 W. Lane \\
\hline 293-2631(1aw) & 112 New Law Bldg. \\
\hline 291-3175(dent-pharm)
(pharm) & 85 E. 13th 500 W. 12th \\
\hline 486-0819 (1aw) & New Law B1dg. \\
\hline 291-1359(eng) & 1946 Indianola \\
\hline 291-2877(dent) & 1473 Neil \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Status of Wonken
Table 111
honoraries not included in Baird's manuals but at Uhio State Imiversity

Nat ' 1
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Alpha Epsilon - Agricultural Engineering & honor \\
Alpha Sigma Mu - Metallurgical Engineering & honor \\
Iota Lambda Sigma - Industrial Education - men & fraternity \\
Landacre - Medicine & honor \\
Men's Physical Education Honorary - men & honor \\
Phi Alpha Kappa - finance & fraternity \\
Phi Tau Signa - Food Science & honor \\
Sigma Gamma Tau - Aerospace Enginesring & honor \\
Sigma Iota Lambrda - pre-law & honor
\end{tabular}

Source;
Services \& Activities for You The Ohio State University 1970-71 Area of Student Affairs

\section*{Service Honoraries}

Alphecca - North Campus Romophos - Sophomore men Mirrors - sophomore women Bucket 8 Dipper - junior men Chimes - junior women Sphinx - senior men Mortar Board - Senior women (national organization) Delphi - engineexing Texnikoi .. engineering Towers - agriculture

Source:
Services \& Activities for You
The Ohio State University 1970-71
Area of Student Affairs


\section*{DEFINITIONS OF FRATERNITIES AND SOCIETIES}

ASICALLY there are two kinds of fralernul organizulinins on American college campuses: the fraternity and the suriet!: This surms to disreyard the fact that the two oldesi fraternitits. happa Alpha and Sipma lhi, have always called thernselves societies, a devignation usad very generully in the carly days of fraternity history; but it is mut likely that antonne will mistake their character or misinterpret their names.
The fraternity organizes the social life of ita memhers to promole their edurational objectives. The society is an assomsaliun ralher than an wreanization. and functions mainly to recognize achievenient ir imberest in some firld nf eduration.
The fraternity, whether for men or wouncol--ihe laller gelurally known as a morority-is considered a general fralernily if it seleerts its meniburs al large from the undeigraduate student body, and primarily frosn the ounprofenionul and non-vocational departinenis. In the "arly dajss whell the frittrmity was firat established, the collepe had but one rourse, irrespective of the student's ultimate goal; and this liberal arts ancestry of the general fraternity atheres in its traditions. This was fortified by the tendency tu repuire a getreral college training as a prerequisite for admission to the professiumal sehouls; and it will be further etrensthened by the present recognition of the need to train "the whole iman." For that has been the unconsrious olijective of the general fraternity from the first.

The professional fraternity differs from the peneral fraternity in only one respect, in that it s.lects all its memin.re from tudents pursuing the same pro. fessional or vucational courne, and organises thuir surial life in harmony with their specific and common educalional int \(\cdot r \cdot a\).

With comparatively few exceptions. lowh the general and the professional fraterniticos have been mutually; exclusive in their membership; and no fraternity
initiates any member of another fraternity in the same category.
With that principle came competition and rivalry. This is an important distinction which many recognition societies, and sume that call themselves honor societica, have failed to observe. The surial fraternities elent to membership by mutual choice; the societies confer membership upon the individual, regariless of membership in any other organization, and without solicilation of any kind to insure acceptance of election. Moreover. since the fraternity organizes the social life of its members, congenialit! is consential, and therefore personal qualifications of the candidate assume impurtance. The societies, win the wher hand, ordinarily do not attempt to organize their groups; they exist more to give recog. nition to interest or merit.

There has been general misapprehension of the significance of soriul as applied to the fraternities. There is no conunation of family prestige or of preferment among those who are prospective menihers. The social fraternities have stressed the individual's relations to and with his fellous and to the group as a whole. They have thus been pioneers int the insistruce that education be soceiulized, that is, directed with a proper consideration of the student's fulure respmanilibities in society.
 he appliard in this hooh are as foll:aw:
 which organizes the surial life of it memherre in colleges and minersities as a contributing factor (t) their eslurational propram and drans iss membership primarily from the undergratuate hodl of the institution. The name peneralls given to women's frafernitics is surarit!.

A Proffestonal. Fhaterniti is a ymbiaiied fraternity whilh coufines its membershif, to a sprecitic fueld of profewiomal or verationial polluration in colleges and universities and maintains muthalls.exclusive meminership in that professional field. hut may initiate memikers of the sucial collore fraternities. Some
 rority is the name frequently given twa professimal yroup which limils itself to women.

An Honor Socifty is an assuriation rotablished only in a fomr-year or more degree granting college or universits that is accrediled liv the appropriate regional accrediting apene y--eilher the. Daw Finpland Issorialion of Cillopes and Secondary Schools. the Middir Statis Assuriation of Coliepere imel Sicrondary Schools, the Niorlh C.entral Assuriation of Cinllepes and Secomdary Schuols. The Southern Association of Colleyes and Sorcondary Schools, or the Nurliwest Association of Serombars and Hipher Sichouls, or hy the apprupriate professione! accrediling aqenc!, anid which mert- the following minimal gimiliferatimes:
1. It receives into memitership inclivituals who achieve hish scholarship and who fulfill surlh addition:al reguirements of distinction in pronrral leadership,

2. It elects to memhnrslsip irren ution of membershif, in ur allifation with other organizations.
3. It confers memhership sulely on the basis of character and sperified eligilility.
4. It limits its selvertion tor these wilents whor rank amoure the highest 35 per cent in general selholarship. makinge whatever additional requirements it desires.
A Recocnition Society is one which confers memhership in renggnilinn of a student's interest and participalion in ?, whe firld of collegiate study or activity, with more liberal membership repuirments than are prescrilecil for honor societies.
The Assoriation of College Ilumor sincirimes classifies its member groups as follows:

General Honur Sowieties: Ortanizations which base im'unbrership elizihility primarily upon 111 the attainnent of a hiyh standard of srinolarship and alect




 quarter of the colldepe contios.




\section*{FILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY}
 semesters or five glaarlers of lineir coillow. antiri.

 nition society no matter what it mey rall itioll.
The Association of Cullege Honior Surcitios has adopied the following definition of "s broad field of education": A brual firth of piluration is unie ith which a systematic development of the mind and cultural values are acopuirel ilimugher instruction, training, exampte, and expuricure. Mruad fickds, of chucation are




 gineering, history, language, mathematirs. merthnical rngimering. phasirs. speech.

APPENDIX C
STUDENT HANDBOOK
RULES FOR WOMEN

Rules for Vomer f

Any report on the policies of the university as they effect women undergraduates would be incomplete without an analysis of the most explicit of these, the rules delineated in 'The Student Handbook' of rules and regulations published annually by The Office of the Vice President for Student Affairs. From the 1970-71 edition of the handbook have been excerpted those specific regulations that suggest differential treatment of women and men in various ways. They are listed in order of occurrence in the handbook:
1. (pages 4,5 ) A position as a member is specifically designated for a women, ie., the Women's Self Government Association, on the following student affairs policy-making bodies:

Council on Student Affairs
CSA Publications Board
CSA Social Board
CSA Student Judicial Board
2. (page 6) An organization directly authorized by faculty rule 53.0703 as "the official organization of women students concerned with their general welfare and all regulations unique to women students." This is the Women's Self Government Association.
3. (page 14) Separate student judicial commissions to hear violations of regulations by men and by women (hen's

Conmission and WSGA Women's Cormission).
4. (pages 26,27 ) Separate bodies of regulations covering visits to living units:
a. Women visitors to men's apartments

Within this section can be found the following statement: 'Women students visiting in apartments are expected to maintain the high standards of contucc expected of all university students." Included also is a reference to the hours during which a woman is eligible to be in an apartment ( r © item 7, C and d below).
b. Nem visitors to women's apartments

This section contains ne like reference to 'high standards of conduct', as is found in the section on women visitors.

Also, this restricts the hours during which men may make visits to apartments, essentially with reference to the hours restricted to women (see item 7 c and d below).
c. Women visitors to men's living units

Restricts women to specified times.
d. Men visitors to women's living units

Places no similar restrictions on men.
5. (page 27) Woman is expressly prohibited from being present in a Franklin County motel or hotel without permission from the director of her living unit.
6. (page 28) Group absences involving women must be reported prior to occurrence to the Office of the Dean of Students, and the group must be provided with "adequirite supervision."
7. (pages 31, 35) "Women's Rules and Informaticn"

This section contains, among other things, those regulations that have been traditionally known as "wonen's hours." The section is prefaced by a paragraph that includes these statements: "The purpose of the rules . . . is to provide wnan students with . . . the expectations the university has of them . . . and . . . the safety and security of women students and of the living units in which they reside." A condensation of the rules follows:
a. General Regulations

All women students are responsible for all rules in the Student Handbook.
b. Living Unit Procedures

No woman shall jeopardize the security of her living unit. Times of closing and opening of women's living units are: Closing Sunday - Thursday 12:00 midnight, Friday, Saturday, and holidays 2:00 A.M.; opening is at 6:30 A.M. Visiting hours in public areas coincide with these times. Once the living unit is closed, first-quarter freshman women may not leave the unit until opening the next morning.
c. First Quarter Freshmen Hours

Sunday - Thursday 12:00 midnight, Friday, Saturday, holidays 2:00 A.M. There is a policy of voluntary
sign-out.
Remaininf; out overnight - wer the weekend is regulated.

\section*{d. Self-Regulated Hours}

These apply to all women except first-quarte: freshmen. This system permits each woman to determine her own hour of departure and return to the living unit. There is a policy of voluntary sign-out. Remaining out overnight ur over the weekend is not regulated.

\section*{e. House Guests}

This section prescribes days when guests will be allowed to stay overnight in the house. It also fixes rights, and responsibilities for actions of the guests on the hostess only if the guest is not an OSU student; on both hostess and guest if the guest is an OSU student.

\section*{f. Special Permissions}

This section outlines procedures for the granting of permission to deviate from the previously-stated regulations.

There are no equivalent rules designated specifically for men. Men do not have "hours," nor do they have any like body of rules and regulations other than those found in the residential hall policy handbook that applies to both men's and women's housing and to coed housing.
8. (page 38) 'Female students who are ircluded in the Parietal Rule (requiring residence in a university facility to the Jmior year, age 21 , married, or living with relatives), but who have been excused by special petition, are required to live in Women's Registered Rooming Houses unless they have been excused to live with parents or close relatives."

There is no equivalent requirement of men. There are no "organized" rooming houses for men. This requirement is presently not strictly enforced.
9. (pages 39, 40) "Women's Housing (other than residence halls)"

Available to women are:
sorority housing
scholorship housing
organized rooming houses (must abide by WSGA and university rules)
apartments
city licensed rooming houses
private homes (arranged through Dean of Student's Office)
10. (pages 40, 41) "Men's housing (other than residence halls)" Available to men are:

Fraternity housing
scholarship housing
(independent) rooming houses
apartments
ci.ty licensed rooming houses
11. (page 47) This section contains a specific classification of recognized student organizatiors, i.e., "wives clubs'". There is no specification for "husbands clubs" or "spouses clubs". This section also designates that the advisor to such a 'wives club" may be a faculty or staff member or the wife of same. This person may not be, by exclusion, the husband of same.
12. (page 52) In this sectionare specified the eligibility rules that must be followed by a student to participate in men's intercollegiate athletics. The rules of eligibility stated are the rules of the NCAA, The Western Conference, and the Iniversity Athletic Council. There is no reference in a similar regard to women students participating in women's intercollegiate athletics.

The responsibility for the promulgation of these rules rests as follows:
1. Council on Student Affairs and Faculty Council
2. Faculty Council (originally), the Women's Self Govermment Association for maintaining their status, in connection with CSA
3. Comencil on Student Affairs, and Student Judicial Board, in conjunction with WSCAA
4. a. (The statement on 'high standards') Original promulgating body not definite. Possibly could presently be changed by CSA and WSCA, in conjunction with the Vice President for Student Affairs (apartment hours) determined by general women's hours regulations (see below).
b. Determined by general women's hours regulations.
c. Determined by general women's hours regulations This entire section on visits to apartments is under the jurisdiction of CSA.
5. The Council on Student Affairs and the Dean of Students and WSCA.
6. Faculty Pule 45.03
7. Women's 'hours" are detemined by recommendation to the Dean of Students and Vice President for Student Affairs by a Special Cormittee of residence hall system staff, Dean of Student Dffice, staff and students from WSG \(\beta\). Women's Conmission.
8. Student Housing Office, Office of Business and Finance and Board of Trustees
9. Student Housing Office.
10. Student Housing Office.
11. The Assistant Dean of Students for Student Organizations and CSA, in conjunction witin the Rules Committee of the Student Assembly.
12. Vice President for Student Affairs in conjunction with the appropriate athletic department officials.

An educated comment is required on the existence and origin of these various rules. Many of them have long histories of societal influence and are also changing as society itself changes its outlook on the roles and responsibilities of its mature women. In the past decade at OSU and other campuses, steadily progressing l.iberalization of women's hours, for instance, which has accelerated in ios pace in the last two to three years. This trend has been spurred by increasing awareness on the part of college women of their own individual responsibilities and, in turn, women students are assuming vastly increased share of personal rather than collective responsibility for their actions.

This trend is evidenced by an increase in the formulation of security procedures by individual living units rather than collectively by all women's residences. It is also evidenced hy a movement within the former primary rule-making body, WSGA, to shift emphasis to progranming for the education and service of women \(s\) udents and to phase out its function as a rule-making body. Moreover, several of the regulations pertaining to women that are presently found in The Student llandbook are being reconsidered (e.g., "6 listed in thizsappendix item, regarding groupabsences involving women) and probably will not appear in the book's next edition.

The Women's Canmission of WSGA is presently undertaking a complete review of women's hours policies. The additional regulations are being studied also, and reform of a wide scale is likely.

The body of this appendix has been prepared by the President of the Women's Self Govermment Association in consultation with Jacklyn Roberts, Assistant Dean of Students, and is being forwarded along with the comments to the various bodies mentioned as having responsibility for the promulgation of the rules.

\section*{APPENLIX D}

\section*{1. RECRUITMENT QUESTIONNAIRE}
2. RECRUITMENT MEMO FROM DR. MOULTON
3. DETAILED QUESTION ANALYSIS
4. NO RETIJRN LIST
ro: Directors, Department Chairmen and Deans
From: The Ohio State Iniversity ad hoc Committee on the status of women
RG: Pecruitment Policies and Procerure

One of the facets heina reviewed by the Committee on the Status of romen is nepartmental tneerqraduate recruitment nromrams. In this effort we request vour assistance in nroviring the follorina information.
1. Do vou have a recruitment nroaram for underquaiuate students?
2. If you do, vhat forms of recruitment do vou lise? e.g. Gareer nays on camnus, camnus tours for sturients, hiah school viaits, other. biho from your तepartment particinates (facialtv, students, men, women)?
3. Mat kind of sturients do you recruit e.f. ton scholars, students with snecific abilities, students from specific locale, any otiner?
4. Through whom do vou male contacts with students for recruitment e.r. hiah school counselors, teachers, noofessional associations, alumni, other?
5. In vour nast recruitment procrams, have hoth men and women indicated interest in your deparement?
6. Does vour denaritment encounter difficulty in attracine women students into the denartment?
7. If vou use priated material in recruitment, nlease inclucie copies.

8lBasa senf this information hy Monday, Decemher 11, 1970 to:
Dr. Rachel Huhbars
\(1767 \mathrm{Me} i l \mathrm{r}\) venue

\title{
THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY \\ 100 NORTH OVA. DRIVE COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210
}

December 1, 1970

MEMORANDUM

To:
Deans, Directors and Department Chairmen From: Edward Q. Moulton, Executive Vice President


In September I appointed an ad hoc committee for the purpose of reviewing the status of women at The Ohio State Unive.rsity. In fulfilling its responsibility this committee now needs your assistance. Would you please complete the enclosed questionnaire concerning your department's recruitment of undergraduate students. This information will be most helpful to the commitiee in presenting an accurate and complete report. Your cooperation in this matter will be most appreciated.

EQM/bjo
Enclosure

\section*{Undergraduate Recruitment Survey}

Response to Question
1. Do you have a recruitment program for undergraduate Students?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Formal & Informal & None & Cooperate with College Program \\
\hline Neronautical \(\ell_{\text {A }}\) Astro Eng & X & & & X \\
\hline Agr licon \& Rural Soc & X & & & X \\
\hline Agr Engineering & X & & & \(\chi\) \\
\hline Agronomy & X & & & X \\
\hline Animal Science & X & & & X \\
\hline Architecture & & & X & \\
\hline Astronomy & & & X & \\
\hline Biochemistry \& Molecular Biology & & & X & \\
\hline Botany & & X & & \\
\hline Business Admin & X & & & \\
\hline Ceramic Engineering & X & & & \\
\hline Classics & & X & & \\
\hline C.I.S. & & X & & X \\
\hline Dairy Tech & X & & & X \\
\hline Dairy Science & X & & & X \\
\hline Dental lygiene & & & X & \\
\hline Design & & & X & \\
\hline liast Asian Languages & & & X & \\
\hline Economics & & & X & \\
\hline Electrical Engineering & X & & & X \\
\hline Engineering Mechanics & & & X & \\
\hline English & & X & & \\
\hline Entomology & & & X & \\
\hline Forestry & X & & & \\
\hline German & & X & & \\
\hline llistory & & & X & \\
\hline Home Economics & X & & & \\
\hline Horticulture & X & & & \\
\hline Industrial Engineering & & X & & \\
\hline Journalism & & X & & \\
\hline Linguistics & & & X & \\
\hline Medical Technology & X & & & \\
\hline Metallurgical Engineering & X & & & \\
\hline Microbiology & X & & & \\
\hline Music & X & & & \\
\hline Nursing & X & & & \\
\hline Occupational Therapy & X & & & \\
\hline Pharmacy & X & & & \\
\hline Physical Therapy & X & & & \\
\hline Political Science & & & X & \\
\hline Poultry Science & X & & & X \\
\hline Psychology & & & X & \\
\hline Slavic Languages & & & X & \\
\hline Social Work & X & & & \\
\hline Sociology & & X & & \\
\hline Speech & & & X & \\
\hline Statistics & & & X & \\
\hline Welding Engineering & X & & & X \\
\hline \(48 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Count } \\ & \text { Percent }\end{aligned}\) & 24
50 & 8
17 & 16
33 & 11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Undergraduate Recruitment Survey
Response tc Question
2. If you do, what forms of recruitment do you use? e.g. Career Days on campus, campus tours for students, high school visits, other. Who from your depariment participates (faculty, students, men women)?


\section*{Question 2 continued}


Indergraduate Recruitment Survey
Response to Question
3. What kind of students do you recruit e.g. top scholars, students with specific abilities, students from specific locale, any other?
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
& Strong & Disad- & Interest & All \\
Top & in & vant.aged & in High & Inter- \\
Scho- & Physical & with & school & ested \(\&\) \\
lars & Sciences & Potential & Teaching & Qualified
\end{tabular}
\(\qquad\) Other \(\qquad\)
Aero \(\mathcal{G}\) Astro Engineering
Agr Econ \& Fural Soc

X
Agr Eng
X
X

Agronomy
Animal
Science
Botany \(\quad X\)

Business
Admin \(\quad \mathrm{X}\)

Ceramic Eng \(\quad X\)
Classics X
X women rather than men
Dairy
Technology
X
Dairy Sci. X
Electrical
Eng
X
Forestry X
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Home Econ & X \\
Horticulture & X
\end{tabular}

Medical
Technology
Metallurgical
Engineering
X
Nusic \(X\)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Nursing & \(X\) & \(X\) & males \\
Occupational & &
\end{tabular}

Occupational
Therapy
Pharmacy X
Physical
Therapy
Poultry Sci \(X\)
Welding Eng X
24 Count
recommendations, good
appearance, good health

Undergraduate Recruitment Survey
Response to Question
4. Through whom do you make contacts with students for recruitment e.g. high school counselors, teachers, professional associations, alumi, other?

County UVC
 school Alumn: \& ative Orien Teacher Alumi \& Coun- Organselors iaations
Agr Econ E Rural Soc \(\quad X\) Agr Eng \(\quad X \quad X\) Agronomy \(\quad X \quad X \quad X\) Animal Sci X Botany
Business
Admin \(\quad X\)

Ceramic Eng \(X\)
Classics . X
C.I.S.
\(\begin{array}{lr}\text { Dairy Tech } & \text { X } \\ \text { Dairy Sci } & X \\ \text { Dental Hygiene } & \\ \text { Electrical } & \\ \text { Engineering } & X\end{array}\)
Forestry \(X \quad X\)
Home Econ X
Horticultưre X X
Industrial
Engineering \(X\)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Journalism & X & x \\
Medical Tech & X & \\
Metallurgical & & \\
Engineering & X & x \\
Microbiology & X & \\
Music & X & x
\end{tabular}

Nursing \(\quad \mathrm{X}\)
Occupational
Therapy X X
Pharmacy X X
Physical
\(x\) x

X

X X
X Student Forestry Camps

Undergraduate Recruitment Survey
Response to Question
5. In your past recruitment programs, have both men and women indicated interest in your department?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & both & Mostly Men & \begin{tabular}{l}
Mostly \\
Womeri
\end{tabular} & Comments \\
\hline Aero \(\mathcal{E}_{1}\) Astro Engineering & & \(X\) & & \\
\hline Agr Eicon \& Rural Soc & & \(X\) & & \\
\hline Agricultural Eng & & X & & \\
\hline Agronomy & X & & & \\
\hline Animal Science & X & & & \\
\hline Botany & X & & & \\
\hline Business Admin & X & & & \\
\hline Ceramic Eng & X & & & \\
\hline Classics & X & & & \\
\hline C.I.S. & X & & & \\
\hline Dairy Tech & X & & & \\
\hline Dairy Science & X & & & \\
\hline İectrical Engineering & X & & & \\
\hline Intomology & & X & & \\
\hline Forestry & X & & & \\
\hline German & X & & & \\
\hline lome Economics & & & \(\chi\) & \\
\hline lorticulture & X & & & \\
\hline Industrial Engineering & & X & & \\
\hline , Journalism & X & & & \\
\hline Medical Technology & & & X & \\
\hline Metallurgical Eng & & X & & \\
\hline Microbiology & X & & & \\
\hline Music & X & & & Slightly more women \\
\hline Nursing & & & X & \\
\hline (ccupational Therapy & & & X & \\
\hline Marmacy & X & & & \\
\hline Physical Therapy & X & & & \\
\hline Poultry Science & & X & & \\
\hline Social Work & & & X & \\
\hline Sociology & X & & & \\
\hline Welding Engineering & & X & & \\
\hline 32 Count & 19 & 8 & 5 & \\
\hline Percent & 59 & 25 & 16 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Undergraduate Recruitment Survey
Response to Question
6. Does your department encounter difficulty in attracting women students into the department?

\author{
Great Some No \\ Difficulty Difficulty Difficulty
}

Comments

Aero \& Astro Engineering
Agr Econ \& Rural Soc
Agr Engineering
Agronomy
Animal Science
Astronomy
Botany
Business Admin.
Ceramic Engineering
Classics
C.I.S.

Dairy Technology
Dairy Science
Electrical Engr. X
Entomology X
Forestry
German
Home Economics
Horticulture
Industrial Engineering
Journalism
Medical Technology
Metallurgical Engineering
Microbiology
?usic
Nursing
Occupational Therapy
Pharmacy
Physical Therapy
Poultry Science X
Psychology
Social Work
Sociology
Speech
Welding Engr
35 Count
Percent

X
X
X

\section*{Undergraduate Recruitment Suivey}

Response to Question
7. If you use printed material in recruitment, please include copies.
```

Departments that included Brochures
Aeronautical \& Astronautical Engineering
Agricultural Engineering
Agronomy
Biochemistry \& Molecular Biology
Ceramic Engineering
Computer \& Information Scic ze
Dairy Technology
Dairy Science
Dental Hygiene
East Asian Languages
Electrical Engineering
Forestry
Home Economics
Horticulture --..-.-- will be available Spring 1971
Industrial Engineering
Medical Technology
Metallurgical Engineering
Microbiology
School of Music
Nursing
Occupational Therapy
Pharmacy
Physical Therapy
Poultry Science
Social Work
Welding Engineering
Count 26

```

Departments to Which Undergraduate Status of Women Questionnaires Were Sent


APPENDIX E

1 LETTERS FPOM RODNEY J. HARRISON
2 FINANCIAL AIDE FOR STUDENTS BROCHURE
3 STITENT EMPLOMMENT BROCHURES

\title{
THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY \\ aEBA OF student appalks \\ STUDENT PINANCIAL AIDS \\ 1s4 WEst 12 TH AVEMUE \\ COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210
}

Sthoint Employmant 422.6812

Whak situey Yaolann 422.736

Vothean's Centba 422.2940

Loans
422.3816
Rodney J. HakRison, Director Sehol.akshirs 422-1134

Chol.arstins
422.7034

Counszing

November 19, 1970

Mrs. Rachel Hubbard
265 Campbell Hall
1787 Neil Avenue
The Campus
Dear Mrs. Hubbard:
RE: Support of Women through the Student Financial Aids Program

In attempting to provide adequate research data relative to the number and percent of male versus female financial aid recipients, we chose to use the three major federal student aid programs which we sdminister because of their broad eligibility and because they are basically unrestricted by course of study. The programs are as follows:

Educational Opportunity Grants
These are available to needy undergraduate students in good academic standing from families with incomes of \(\$ 9,000\) or less.

National Defense Student Loans
These loans are available to atudents primarily from families with incomes of \(\$ 10,000\) or less and are available to undergraduates, graduates, and students in the College of Law.

Coll.ege Work-Studiy Program
This is subsidized stadent employment of needy students primarily from low-incone fanilies and available in all colleges of the University.

Listed below are the number of students in each program and the emount of money allocated as well as a total unduplicated count. This unduplicated count is zmportant because students may be involved in any one or all three of these programs.

TOTAL UNDUPLICATED COUNT 3,704
Educational Opportunity Grants National Defense Student Loans College Work-Study Program
\begin{tabular}{llr}
1,183 & \(\$\) & 595,561 \\
2,277 & \(\$ 1,310,144\) \\
1,814 & \(\$ 1,090,343\)
\end{tabular}

The number and percent of the malis/female distribution for these programs are as follows:


RJH.dkh

\section*{THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY}
area of student afpales STUDENTEINANCIALAIDS

154 WeSt 12 TH AVENUE
COLUMBUs.; OHIO 43210

Cothatimetirmint
122 CB I 2
Wi....N. Solar I'mimam 422.73, 3

Dianna s:xmita
412240
1.04Ns
422.3816

Kimisi.y J. IJarrison, Director 4.2-1134

Sulimanniti422.7034

Counsilinic
422.2940

January 20, 1971

Mrs. Rachel Hubbard
265 Campbell Hall
1787 Neil Avenue The Campus

Dear Mrs. Hub hy:d:
I am most happy to answer your recent inquiry concerning support available to women students through the financial aid program.

Our office does handle the Student Employment Program and the College Work-Study Program is a part of that function. Enclosed for your information and that of the committee is the most recent wage scale that is authorized for use by University employers. As you will notice there is no differentiation on this scale for men or women.

As far as the other scholarship programs are concerned, information could be made available but it would be necessary for us to do a hand count. We do not have the record as far as the student's sex on any of our machine tabulating cards simply because so few of the scholarships are designated that way. We were able to give you information on the federal programs because data was readily available.

As far as a statement on the method used in awarding financial aid, may be the enclosed brochure would be helpful.

If more details are requested I would be most happy to meet with your committee and discuss with them other information that they would want and how we might provide it for them.


RJH.dkh


\title{
Financial Aids for Students
}

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

\section*{The Ohio State University's Financial Aid Program}

Realistic financial planning is an essential element of the university experience. It is a function of the Student Financial Aids Office at The Ohio State University to assist students and their families in formulating plans for the financing of a college education.

The riaing cost of a college education has made it increasingly difficult for many 'families to provide the necessary funds for the higher education of their children. The state-assisted universities of Ohio were established to provide quality education that would be within the financial means of all families. Unfortunately, there remain many families that cannot afford to send their children to college. To assist these families, The Ohio State University created the Student Financial Aids Office.

The primary purpose of the financial aid program at The Ohio State University is to enable qualified students with limited financial resources to attend college. The University will make every effort within its means to help these students secure the needed funds. Thus, a student who has financial problems should seek assistance from the Student Financial Aids Office before altering any educational goals.

The following types of financial aids are available:
Scholarships are monetary gifts which do not involve repayment. Selection is based on the student's academic performance or potential and the amount ordinarily varies with the student's financial need. Grants are gifts of money made to stu-
dents in need of financial aid and capable of meeting normal academic requirements. These programs, funded primarily by the State and Federal Government, do not imply academic distinction.

Awards are monetary recognition given for achievement and are selected without application. These are usually given during Spring Quarter.

Employment opportunities are available for part-time work through the employment referral service of the Student Employment Office as well as through the College Work-Study Program. The latter program, funded primarily through Federal resources, is available to students with need from low income families.

Loans are financial aids which have the requirement that they be repaid at a specific time. Most loans administered by The Student Financial Aids Office also have a minimal interest charge.

Application procedures for each type of aid are described on the following pages.

The Student Financial Aids Office welcomes inquiries from students, parents, and friends of the University. Its staff is here to serve you.

Address:
Phones (Code 614):
Student Financial Aids Scholarships 422-7034 The Ohio State University Loans 422-3816 154 West 12th Avenue Employment 422-6812
Columbus, Ohio 43210 Work-Study 422-7363
Veterans 422-2940
Counseling 422-2940
Director 422-1134

\section*{gawaur expentise at The Ohis stete Unversity}
 yoili will ninil the lianle: axperman for y yourn
 Unlvarully. Thene expennen will vary with the Indlvidual student's type of housing, course of study, choice of food, recreation, transportation and other factors. Basic expenses, excluding all incidentals which average \(\$ 350\) per year, for an entering freshman, Ohio resident, would be:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Application Fee (Nonreturnable) & 10.00 \\
\hline Acceptance Fee (Nonreturnable) & 25.00 \\
\hline University Instructional Fee & 510.00 \\
\hline University General Fee & 120.00 \\
\hline Deposits to cover laboratory materials and breakage ... & 50.00 \\
\hline Deposit to cover military uniform & 40.00 \\
\hline Books and Supplies & 150.00 \\
\hline Room and Board (Residence Hall) & 1,104.00 \\
\hline Total Men & \$2,009.00 \\
\hline Women & 1,969.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A commuting student could, of course, subtract his room and board because he is living at home, but should add costs for transportation and noon lunches on the campus.

Because the University Instructional and General Fee will vary with each college or professional school, a student should check The Ohio State University Bulletin fo: more exact figures.

Because the University is a state-assisted institution, out-of-state residents must pay a larger share of their expenses. The University Instructional and General Fee for out-of-state residents is \(\$ 1,680\) yearly, but other costs would be the same.

\section*{Scholarships}

Scholarships are available to both entering and enrolled students. These scholarships are made available from various sources on the campus as well as outside foundations, industries, interested groups, and individuals. Approximately 4,500 scholarships are awarded yearly.
General University Scholarships Approximately 1,000 General University scholarships, carrying stipends of \(\$ 200\) to \(\$ 800\) per year, are awarded
anmually, irrespective of school or college in the Univernlty. These acholarahipa are renewable until seraduaiton.
(in!j)erative Mirusing Schularahips Cooperative houmbos seholurships for undergraduates are avallable in the Stadlum Scholarship Dormitory for men, and in the Alumnae Scholarshly. Housen for women. Because of the cooperative festure of this prosram, the cost to the student for room and board is approximately \(\$ 480\) yearly for women and \(\$ 510\) for men, as compared to \(\$ 1,104\) in the other University residence halls. Students should indicate their interest in this program on the scholarship application.
Awards in Specific Locations A number of scholarships are provided yearly in various sections of the state. These are made possible by The Ohio State University Mothers' Association and The Ohio State University Development Fund. In most cases, they are granted to freshmen and are not renewable.
Special College Scholarships Each undergraduate college has a number of special scholarships available only to applicants in that college or department. A detailed listing of these is given in the appropriate Ohio State University Bulletin. All applicants who submit the standard scholarship application blank are considered for all available aids.
College of Education Bland L. Stradley Memorial Scholarships of \(\$ 210\) per quarter will be granted to 100 students who plan to become elementary or secondary teachers. This scholarship is renewable.
School of Music A number of grants and scholarships are awarded to outstanding musicians who plan to major in music. Interested stcidents must contact the School of Music, 1899 North College Road, Columbus, Ohio 43210, prior to January 15 , to arrange for necessary auditions.


 lloll And. if loosi, It emmblem the Univernity to "wiril arumin in maindents whi, qualify under the sulilullises of the program. The primary selection

 linvituse fulinllina.
'Iher grante :"nin he made to any undergraduate staulent, but preferince is given to entering freshmen. A student's high school or college record must indicate his ability to maintain good standing in his academic work.
Application should be made on the regular scholarship application blank following the procedures and deadlines listed elsewhere in this section.
Ohio Instructional Grants This aid program has been established by The Ohio Board of Regents and provides non-repayable grants to Ohio residents. An applicant must be a full-time undergraduate student and awards are based on his family financial information. In most cases family incomes must be under \(\$ 10,000\).

To apply, the university scholarship application can be used. Special information and deadline dates are available at the Student Financial Aids Office.

\section*{Regional Campuses}

The University has four two-year undergraduate regional campuses located in various sections of the state. Scholarships and Grants are offered to students who plan to enroll at these campuses. Applicants must secure the required forms from the regional campuses, and must have the application and recommendation forms returned to the campus by April 15. The Parents' Confidential Statement of the College Scholarship Service must be filed by March 15.

For further information, students should contact the regional campus where they plan to enroll. Addresses are listed below.

The Ohio State University-Lima 4300 Campus Dr., Lima, Ohio 45804
The Ohio State University—Mansfield 2375 Springmill Rd., Mansfield, Ohio 44906
The Ohio State University-Marion 1465 Mount Vernon Ave., Marion, Onio 43302
The Ohio State University-Newark University Drive, Newark, Ohio 43055

\section*{Freshman Scholarship Procedures}

To'o upply for a acholurahlp, " ipualiman applicant must :
1. Send completed Parents' Confidential Statement to the College Scholarship Service before Ficebruary 1. Thene forms are available in the high schoole.
2. Send a completed Freshman Scholarship Application to the Student Financiai Aids Office before March 1.
3. Have the high school principal or counselor complete a Recommendation for Scholarship and forward it to the Student Financial Aids Office before March 1. This form is furnished with the application.

Each applicant must have taken at least one of the following standardized tests:
1. American College Test.
2. College Entrance Examination Board Scholastic Aptitude Test.
3. National Merit Scholarship Qualifying Test.

Since the scores received on these tests wiil be forwarded by the school official who :ompletes the Recommendation for Scholarship, it is suggested that applicants take the tests prior to the end of the first semester of their senior year.

Only those applicants whose files are complete and who have been admitted will be considered for awards. Applicants for the Columbus campus will be notified of the committee's decision by June 1.

\section*{Professional School Students}

Scholarships are available to students enrolled in the University's Professional Schools. General Univèrsity Scholarships are available to all professional students in varying amounts, and are renewable until graduation. Health Professions Scholarships are available in the Colleges of Medicine, Dentistry, Pharmacy, Optometry and Veterinary Medicine. Scholarship applications are available November 1, and must be submitted by March 15. Applicants will be notified of the committee's decision by August 15.

For further details see the appropriate Ohio State University Bulletin.

\section*{Graduate Students}

Information concerning graduate student fellowships and assiscantships should be obtained through the Graduate School or the student's aca-

Namic dogariment. (írniluate atudenta are ellgible In usinly thrinugh this aflice for part-time student "ilililuvinabil. allil losta.

\section*{Enrollod students}
'Thense aludenin mow in attarmanere at The Ohlo Slate lalverralty on the undergraduate level should request an "Enrolled Applicution" when applying for scholarships. The major scholarship awards made available to this group are the Ohio State University Scholarships. Other University-wide scholarships, including those for cooperative scholarship housing, are also availe.ble. In addition to these, a number of special scholarships are administered in cooperation with the various colleges of the University. These special scholarships for undergraduates are listed in the appropriate college catalogs. Information concerning these awards is available at the college or departmental offices as well as the Student Finaricial Aids Office.

Unless otherwise designated, the University's scholarship application form will be used for consideration for all aids for which an applicant may be eligible.

Scholarship applications are available November 1 and must be submitted by March 15. Applicants will be notified of the committee's decision by August 1 .

\section*{Undergraduate Transfer Students}

A limited number of scholarships are available each year for students transferring from other colleges and universities. Only applicants who have completed their admission to The Ohio State University are considered for scholarships, and they must have exceptionally good records to be selecteri. Scholarship applications are available November 1 and must be submitted by March 15. Applicants will be notified of the committee's decision by August 1.

\section*{Scholarship Renewal Requirements}

The maijority of scholarships granted are renewable until graduation. Stipends for these awards may vary upon renewal, based on the applicant's financial need. University scholarships may be renewed provided: (1) the student maintains a point-hour ratio based on each year's performance which places him in the upper third of his class; (2) a new application is filed each year before the deadline date of March 15 ; and (3) adequate funds are available.

\section*{Other Ald Programe}
ferleral Guarantee Bank Loan Program This Federal prouram, made possible through the Higher Edducation Act of 1965, is administered to Ohio rumidentn through the Ohio Student Loan Commismion (OSLC), 21 W. Broad, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Nonresident programs parallel the Ohio program through appropriate agencies in the student's home state. In Ohio, students may obtain funds from banks, savings and loans, and credit unions. Up to \(\$ 1,500\) per year is available for graduates a nd undergraduates attending accredited institutions. Students with an adjusted family income of \(\$ 1 / 5,000\) or less are eligible :"or Federal interest subsidy . . . whereby the government pays the current interest rate of \(61 / 2\) per cent while the situdent is enrolled. The st:dent pays the remaining \(1 / 2\) of 1 per cent simple interest during the inschool period. Repayment of the loan principal begins nine months after the borrower ceases to be a student. However, not all financial institutions are willing to apply for the Federal benefits and the student is encouraged to check with the bank on this matter. Clearly, it is to the student's benefit to obtain Federal subsidy whenever possible as this reduces the cost of the loan. Students must be in good standing to qualify and usually must be enrolled full-time.

Social Security Details concerning eligibility under this program may be secured from the district Social Security Administration Office. Benefits usually are available for eligible students until age 22.

Veterans Bencfits Veterans and veterans' dependents may secure information concerning various benefits under these programs from the OSU Vetcrans Center or their regional Veteran's Administration Office.

Law Enforcement Education Program This federally funded grant and loan program is available through Student Financial Aids to those currently employed by law enforcement agencies or those who are preparing to enter a career in law enforcement, correction or protection.
Cuban Loans Cuban Loans are federally funded long-term loans available through Student Financial Aids to Cuban and certain other Latin American refugees.

ROTC A number of different scholarship programs are available for students interested in military carcers. Information may be secured from the Army, Air Force, or Navy unit at The Ohio State University or your local recruiting office.


\section*{Student Employment}

Part-time employment contributes to the educative experience of students at The Ohio State University. Campus offices. Columbus residents, and businessmen cooperate to provide employment opportunities. Although much of the work is of a temporary mature. it frequently results in permanent part-time emply gment for those who give courteous, willing, and dependable service.

Students and student wives who can afford the time to work may apply at Room 210, Student Services Building, at the completion of registration. The office is open from 8:00 a.m. to \(5: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}\). Monday through Friday and from 8:00 a.m. until noon on Saturdays. Interviews are arranged between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.
It is highly desirable that entering students have adequate funds to finance at least their first guarter. Inasmuch as good health and scholastic achievement are essential to a satisfactory University career, part-time work sehedules should be arranged with care. \(R\) gular employment is discouraged for freshmen during their early adjustment to college life.
After the initial interview. the student's qualifications, work history. and free hours are studied, and referral is made if there are appropriate jobs available. Wage scales vary according to the nature of the work and the skill of the student. All students are encouraged to use their own initiative in discovering job opportunities as well as using the resources of this oflice.
A large number of students and student wives are employed on campus in a wide range of jobs. Students and wives with clerical and ofice experience are normally in demand both on and off campus. Upperclassmen and well qualified graduate students engage in part-time teaching and render technical and semi-professional assistance to industries and professions.

\section*{Summer Work}

 ploy:nent (ofller, upen the year round, nlan provides Information on varation work opportunitien.

A number of full-time nummer openinge are Ifatiol by resorta and nummer campa, induntrien, and Columbus businesкmen.

\section*{Room and Board Jobs}

Male students who come to The Ohio State University may find, at the Student Employment Office, opportunities to earn their room and/or board. Female students should apply for these opportunities at the Office of Student Housing, Pomerene Hall.

\section*{Work-Study Program}

The University participates in the Federal College Work-Study Program for full-time students from low-income families who could not attend without financial assistance. Qualified students work on campus for an average of 15 hours per week and earn approximately \(\$ 700\) during three quarters. One quarter of full-time work prior to enrollment is permitted. Applicants must rank in the upper two-thirds of their high school class or maintain good standing at the University to be eligible.

Applicants must file or have filed the parents' confidential statement as a basis for evaluation of need. Total earnings will be limited to evaluated need.

Full time students who have completed three quarters and are not enrolled the next quarter, but will return, may work during the off quarter for not over 40 h urs per week. During the summer quarter some full-time jobs may be available off campus.


\section*{Loans}

All loans are for current educational expenses only (room, board, hooks, and tuition), and are granted to students whose parent, guardian, or xponsu: in unalile to provide suflicient funds to "uathl: them us finunce their education.
students who are considered full time are eligible to apply. First quarter freshmen are considered for loans through the scholarship application procedure only. Other entering freshmen who wish to apply for loans may do so during their first quarter of enrollment and will be considered for the remainder of the academic year. Since loan funds are limited, first consideration will go to those students having the highest combination of ability and need.
University and Foundation Loans These loans are applied for quarterly with a usual maximum of \(\$ 300\) per loan, \(\$ 750\) per year, and \(\$ 1,500\) total while in the University. Interest rates average 3 per cent, and repayment schedules vary according to the year in college. Co-signers are required, and parent or guardian must co-sign in the case of minors.
National Defense Students Loans National Defense Student Loans are available to students who are capable of maintaining good standing and have a verified financial need. Students with the best records and highest financial need will be given first consideration.

Undergraduate students may borrow under the National Defense Loan Program a maximum of \(\$ 1,000\) per academic year to a total maximum of \(\$ 5,000\) while Graduate students may borrow a maximum of \(\$ 2,500\) per academic year to a total of \(\$ 10,000\). Repayments begin nine months after leaving the University with a minimum \(\$ 45\) quarterly repayment. No interest is charged while the student is attending the University or for nine months thereaiter. Following this grace period, 3 percent is charged. The debt is cancelled in case of death or permanent and total disability. If the borrower becomes a full-time teacher (elementary, secondary or college), 10 per cent of the loan can be cancelled for each year of teaching, not to exceed 50 per cent of the loan. However, if the teaching involves handicapped students or is in a predominantly low income area school, 15 percent annual cancellation is allowed to the full amount of the loan.

The total loan plus interest may be cancelled by \(121 / 2\) percent for each year of service in the Armed Forces of the United States performed after June 30, 1970. The total maximum military cancellation may not exceed 50 percent of the total loan plus interest.

Health Protessions Student Loans Students in medicine, veterinary medicine, dentistry, pharmacy or optometry can be considered for these loans.

The maximum that may be borrowed is \(\$ 2,500\) per academic year. Repayments begin one year after graduation and must be completed in ten years from that time. No interest accrues while the student is in school or for one year thereafter. The rate of interest is 3 per cent. Borrowers in medicine, dentistry or optometry who practice in an area having a shortage in their profession may anrually cancel 10 per cent of the loan up to a \(5 C\) percent maximum. If the practice occurs in a low-income district cancellation at 15 per cent annually is allowed up to 100 percent of the unpaid loan. Repayments may be deferred up to three years if the borrower becomes a member of the Armed Forces or Peace Corps. Repayments may be deferred up to five years if the borrower pursues advanced professional training, including internship and residencies. No interest accrues during deferment periods.
Nursing Student Loans Students enrolled in the School of Nursing may be considered for aid under this program. A maximum of \(\$ 1,500\) per academic year may be borrowed with an interest rate of 3 percent. Repayments and interest begin one year after graduation, and up to 50 percent of the loan may be cancelled if employed as a full-time professional nurse for a nonprofit institution or agency. Up to 100 per cent of the loan plus interest may be cancelled at the rate of 15 per cent per year for each complete year of service as a full-time nurse in a hospital which has been designated as having a substantial shortage of nurses.
Application Periods Applications for long term loans are available only during the periods listed below. During any application period, excepting summer quarter, federal loan applicants may apply for the next quarter as well as the remainder of the academic year. Scholarship applicants may also indicate their interest and be considered for loans by checking that section of the scholarship application.

Fall Quarter. . . . . . . . . . . July 15-August 15* Winter Quarter . . . October 15-November 15* Spring Quarter . . . . January 15-February 15* Summer Quarter. . . . . . . . . April 15-May 15
* Application periods for National Defense, Nursing or Health Professions Student Loans for the academic year.
Emergency Loans Emergency loans with a \(\$ 50\) maximum, 90 day repayment period, and no interest are also available at any time during the academic year.

\section*{HOURS}

Except on holidays, the Student Employment Office is open year round from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. Monday through Friday, and from 8 a.m. until noon Saturday. Interviews are held between 9 a.m. and 4 p.in., Monday through Friday.

\section*{WORK-STUDY PROGRAM}

The University participates in the federal College Work-Study Program. This program provides oncampus employment for needy students. A maximum of 15 hours work a week during the academic year and 40 hours a week between quarters is provided. Further information and applications are available from the Work-Study Office, Room 212, Student Services Building, 154 West Twelfth Avenue.

\section*{OTHER FINANCIAL AIDS}

The Student Employment Office is a division of the Student Financial Aids Office, which makes available additional aid through loans, grants, and scholarships.
Scholarships and grants are available to students with high ability and financial need. The yearly deadline for filing an application is March 1. Applications are available November 1.

Loans are available to enrolled students whose point-hour ratios are 2.00 or better. Application periods are approximately one month before the start of each quarter.
For further details write to:
The Ohio State University
Student Financial Aids Office
154 West Twelfth Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43210


\section*{TYPES OF JOBS}

An extremely broad range of job opportunities is available, especially for men. Employment varies from a single one- or two-hour job to a full-time position. Often short-term jobs develop into regular part-time employment as a result of courteous, willing, and dependable performance. Employers are encouraged to restrict part-time jobs to 20 hours per week. Some positions require the applicant to remain one year or more. Evening work is solicited for students who have classes all day long.

Because of the large variety of available jobs, it is impossible to describe them all. However, following are a few of the more common categories.

\author{
Office Work \\ bookkeepers \\ clerks \\ data processors machine operators typists stenographers
}

\section*{Libraries}
catalogers
file clerks monitors receptionists typists

\section*{Labor}
construction sites plants service shops truck terminals warehouses

\section*{Odd Jobs}
furniture movers gardeners home maintenance or repair men house cleaners

\section*{Sales} cashiers clerks delivery men inventory takers stockmen warehousemen

Food Service
bus boys dishwashers short order cooks waiters waitresses

Child Care
churches
day care centers homes recreation centers women's clubs

\section*{Recreation}
arts and crafts instructors dance instructors life guards music instructors sports leaders



\section*{WAGES}

Wage rates are not fully controlled by the Student Employment Office. They vary according to the skills and experience required, the responsibilities involved, and the hours worked. Rates usually increase gradually with length of service and satisfactory performance. Hourly rates are quoted by the employer either in terms of cash or in exchange for room and ior meals. (Federal minimum rates apply on-campus and where appropriate off-campus.)

\section*{RESTRICTIONS}

A first-quarter freshman is referred for employment only in an emergency and then for limited hours, mainly on weekends. This is to encourage full concentration on academic pursuits and adjustment to college life during the first few months.

A well-adjusted student in good standing may be referred for a job requiring not more than 20 hours a week if little transportation time is involved. Heavier time requirements must be approved by the student's adviser. A student with a low grade average which puts him in academic jeopardy will not be referred on daily jobs. The student will be advised to concentrate on study until his grades have improved.

Women living in dormitories or rooming houses may find late evening work impractical.

\section*{SUMMER EMPLOYMENT}

Regular arid summer-quarter students are eligible for assistance in job placement for the summer months. Summer jobs on campus and in the Columbus area are handled by the Student Employment Office in the same manner as jobs during the academic year.

Information on camp and resort jobs as well as other summer opportunities outside the Columbus area is available for study and reference at the Student Employment Office. On-campus interview schedules are arranged, normally during the Winter and Spring Quarters, for out-oftown employers seeking summer help. Campus visits of these employers are publicized in advance so that students may sign up for appointments.

A student interested in direct or commission sales will find information on such opportunities on file in the Student Employment Office library. While some students are highly successful in this type of work, others are bitterly disappointed.

\section*{ELIGIBILITY AND SERVICES}

The services of the Student Employment Office are available to registered students and their spouses. A student's eligibility is established when he presents his fee card for the appropriate quarter and corrects his schedule information if it has become outdated. A student's wife who wants \(\checkmark\) a full- or part-time position shows her husband's fee card to verify eligibility.

The service informs students of job opportunities on and off campus, interviews and screens applicants, refers qualified applicants to employers, keeps individual student employment records, and counsels students regarding employment.
This office does not assist in career placement for graduating students. Each college of the University maintains a placement office for this purpose.

\section*{PROCEDURES}

Employers call or write the Student Employment Office, located in the Student Services Building, to report the need for student help. They summarize job descriptions, qualifications and hours required, duration of jobs, beginning dates, and wage rates. The essential facts except names of employers are then posted on the Employment Office bulletin board.


A student desiring help in finding employment files an application which indicates special skills, training, employment experience, course of study. class schedule, current academic grades, and need to work.

Interviewers screen job applicants on a first-come-first-served basis. After careful consideration of the job and the applicant, the interviewer refers the qualified student to the employer for interview and consideration. Student and employer report to the Student Employment Office the results of the interview for entry in the student's employment record. A student is individually notified of opportunities only when rar? qualifications are specified.
A performance rating requested from the employer is entered in the student's employment record. The student is encouraged to report any unfair employment practices or improper working conditions. If the report is verified and the situation is not corrected, jobs from that employer will thereafter be refused.
The student's wife takes precedence after reg. istered students in the filling of part-time jobs. She will find numerous full.time opportunities especially in the clerical and sales fields. Child care at home or away during the work-day hours is also often needed.


\section*{THE CIHO STATE UNOVERSTY}

E13:
Stanciardized Sivdeñ Job Classification
and
Minimum Wage Rátes
(Approved 11/69 By Cabinet)


Job Titlo

\section*{Manegrment} Trainea

Assistiant to Mranager Ofiles Supervisor

Cresw Chiat or Supervisor Racreation Loader

Program Director
Sports Offetal

Stano II
Steno III

Typist I
Typist II
Clerk I
Clark II
Statistical
Clerk I/Bookkooper
Statistical
Clerk II
Office
Machine Operator

\section*{ADMNISTRATIVE:}

\section*{Briof Job Dasoription}

Minninamin
Hously Rate
Perforriss management datios ander supervision; indo- 8.00 pendently responiiblo for specific duties or jodgments during out-oi-nours periods.
Purforms dotail fanctions undor supervision of a man- \(\$ 1.70\) agar.
Suporvisas office functions or personnel under general \$1.85 direction.
Supervises tho performance of teapm or crow in non\(\$ 275\) clorical situation.
Suparvises planned recreational program under nem- \(\$ 1.60\)

\section*{eral direction.}

Plans and sapervises social or recreational programs . \(\$ 1.85\) pith only general guhdance.
1.60

OMciates of muporfises intramaral aports.

\section*{\(\$ 1.85\)}

I'akes Diet. © 60 WPM; types @ 40 WPM; has had
2200
8 muiths experience or equivalent. 60 WPM; has had
Takes Diet. 80 WPM; types © 60 (3) one joar expariance or equivalent; composes simplo curreapcidecace; parforms as secretarial trainee. Types @ \(@ 0\) WPM (card fle and simple copy); alphs- \(\$ 1.65\) betises sind files.
Types @ 50 WPM with high accuracy; coples statistics and corraspondence; flles.
Prepares mailiaga; alphabetizes and files; performs ressenger and general office chores.
Doas light typing with reasomable accuracy; lceeps files withont supervision.
Derforms simple computations; does mannal and mochanical sorting; keeps simple records and accounts. Operetes Key Panch; wires worters; does Tab Lioting; operates tab equipment and comptometer without superrision.
Usel, Jarions duplicstors, comptometer, adding or other airsple oflice wachine; no typing.

Faculty Aide
Projectionist
Illustrator
Draftsmian
Editor or
Abstractor
Proof Reader Tutor
isionssistunt

HVSTRUCTIONAL
Grades papers; keeps records; arranges equipment and
\(\$ 1.80\)
\(\$ 1.80\)
Operatas iubs or arc still or movio equipment; repairs
Drus faciand art or technical illastrations; designs \(\$ 1.90\)
coverr Lur writien matorials.
ucos iiac charding and line tracing;
\(\$ 1.80\)
rises bice prints.
julits ivifiten matorial for technical accuracy, compo- \(\$ 1.90\)
sition i:cuc Gizanization or briefs written material.
Checks pritten material against approved copy.
\(\$ 1.65\)
Crccins incivisual in apecific subject mattor in private \(\$ 2.85\)
Easci.006.

\(\$ 1.65\)
vises egtiajucnt.
RESEARCK
Parisi- ti; metively in researeh under general saper- \(\$ 2.35\) viana. incicici involve evaluation and Independent judgmazi.
\({ }_{8170}^{81050} 1.60\)
\(\$ 1.70\)

Lab Assistant:
Lab Assistant il

Hriac or, ciculs on maintairs zeseare vaijechrou tionzision.

Job Tale
Lab Tocbnician 8tatistician

Tranniator
Ruwareh Subject

\section*{RESEARCH-Conthwed}

Brief Job Dacoription
Howily Rete
Dedrans, rizaira, tocta, or arranges lab equipment Decorde dapa;computes data or statistica; graphe or \(\$ 1.95\) eharts resulte of teets
Tranilates, abetracts or briafi written matarial from \(\$ 9.85\)
foroign language to Englith or vice versa.
Performe gmple tathe or todicaten netural reactions.
\(5+4-160\)

\section*{SECURTTY 8 SEMICE}
Watchmen

Makes rounds; inspests or guards areas of reaponai-
bilits and makes repo.ts.
Suparvises nonorganis od swimming; reacuea swimmers \(\$ 1.65\) or preventa dangerouli practices.
Collects tolls; supenvisee .parting; guards parting 1.60
areas.
Operatee passenger vehicle for carrying organized groups or over specifid rontes.
Lecalven or routes \(t\) tlephone calls; mupplies informa. tion.
Shows patrons to pioper meating or checks and safeguards clothing
Sells, punches or talises tickets at adminsion functions.
Attends information leak; answers phone; take mes-
eages; sorta mail.
Receives important viattors; supplies information:
keops records; directs visitors; determinee and intro
docas visitors to propar contact.
\(\$ 1.75\)


FOOD SERVICE
Checka, charges and collects for food selected or consumed.
Talose ordera for and cerves food and drunk to tables. Prepares and/or servea food and drink over the coun-

Carries diehes; supplien food; serves froen counter; cheaks moal carde; may collect cash; works in diahrcomb.
Acosists with food preparation; waches intchemware and equipmont.

LIBRARY
Fills requests for books; reshelves books; performs
\(+1.60 / 60\) routines requiring infrequent decisions.
Checks and verifies library ordort and recaipta; typea
forms and carde; makes frequent decisona.

\section*{SALES \& STOCK}

Collects cash or charge alips for merchandies; makee
change; accounts for cash.
Seils merchandise (other than propared food) over the counter; may charge or collect eanh.
Stocks ahalvee; receives, stores and may account for
bulk marchandiso.

\section*{MAINTENANCE}

Does simple or rough wrodworking, maintenance and
гераіг.
Does aimple inspection, maintenance and repair of \(\$ 1.95\)
lighting and power equipment
Does simplo inside and outaide painting and refnish\$1.95
ing.
Does general cleaning and maintenance of worting \(\$ 1.65\)

Doss heavy uiting and moving ois equipment and uup\(\$ 1.80\)
plices.
Does
Hest
Lifting of materials and equipment incloding \(\$ 1.65\)

Studeat Employment OAfeo
210 Stadent Servicee Daflims
Phoae 298-6812
Jan. 1, 1970

APPENDIX F
uniergraduate survey

\author{
The Ohio State Iniversity \\ Status of Women Committee Indergraduate Subcommittec Survey Autumn 1970
}

College \(\qquad\)
Department \(\qquad\)
Title \(\qquad\)

Name \(\qquad\)
Telephone Number \(\qquad\)

I am \(\qquad\) , calling in connection
with a survey of faculty being done under the auspices of Vice President Moulton's office. Faculty members of your department are among the many that are being contacted. We would like your opinion on the make up or type of an undergraduate student in your department. Do you have about ten minutes to give us your opinions on these questions now, or is there a more convenient time that I can call back? ( Time

Page One
1. What are the characteristii:s of the ideal undergraduate student on completion of your prog:am?
\(\qquad\) academic accomplishment high poinl:-hour overall high grades in major independent thinking and initiative strong background in other fields (a gocd liberal arts training) extra-curricular activities personality traits ability to cooperate with faculty peers
Ieadership qualities
\(\qquad\) outgoing, aggressive, extroverted self-sufficient self-motivated ability to verbalize ideas well
\(\qquad\) other:

\section*{Page Two}
2. What opportunities are available for students who receive a baccalaureate degree in your department?
\(\qquad\) graduate school
professional school
education
budiness
industry
govermment
private research
a related field
other:
3. Ilow available are these opportunities at this time?
\(\qquad\) excellent, an urgent need
\(\qquad\) very good, many positions available
\(\qquad\) good, some positions available
\(\qquad\) fair, positions available, but competition is a factor
\(\qquad\) not good, area crowded, lack of opportunities excent in a limited nunber of fields
poor, ficld is a very crowded with \(B A^{\prime} s\), acute lack of opportunities, few channels after BA open
4. Of these opportumities, are there some more suitable for women graduates than others?
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\) NO

\section*{Page Four}
5. Would you recommend that a female enter your field of study?
\(\qquad\)
Yes

Why?
(If Yes):
they're needed
good opportumities for employment women well-suited to field career that meshes well with a family gives her skills she can use even if she doesn't enter the profession other:
(If No):
\(\qquad\) no job opportunities women are not well-suited to the profession not accepted in the profession none yet qualified
_- women have a high drop-out rate
\(\qquad\) other:

APPENDIX G
FRON ANNUAL REPORT OF CONTINUING EDUCATION AT O.S.U.

\section*{B. Objectives}

The following objectives were set forth for continuing education prograns at The Onio State University and encompass both courses for credit for non-degree oriented adults as well as education programs without academic credit.
1. The primary objective is: To provide university-level continuing education progrems tailored to the economic, social and cultural needs of society consistent with the overall objectives, resources and unique capabilities of the University.
2. More specific objectives are:
a. To provide learning opportunities for which the University has special competence to those engaged in the various professional areas.
b. To provide educational assistance to public officials, industrial, business, labor ard other conmmity leaders to help them to deal effectively with conmmity related problems.
c. To provide university-level educational assistance to specialized organizations, agencies and groups to help them to achieve their educational goals.
d. To provide learning opportunities for adults who wish to further their personal development through university-level programs.
3. To achieve these objectives, the University strives:
a. To provide a climate and organizational framework which will facilitate the contribution of the faculty to the University continuing education program.
b. To provide a means through which The Ohio State University can cooperate with other State and private institutions of higher education within Ohio in continuing education programs.
c. To conduct research and development programs directed toward designing, testing and evaluating innovative approaches in the field of continuing education.
d. To serve as a laboratory and a mechanism for the identification of problems requiring research effort and to interpret these problems to appropriate personnel in the University.
e. To provide an opportunity for potential participants to contribute to the identification of continuing education program needs.
f. To develop an organizational structure which will provide for effective utilization of University continuing education resources and place the University in the posttion where it can attract funds for continuing education from both public and private sources in order to enhance the University's educational assistance to its various publics.

\section*{B. Continuing Education for Women}

This program area was marked by a continuation of non-credit courses designed to attract the mature woman. Several of these courses were presented in the moming, which is a popular time for participation by mature women.

The staff of the Division of Continuing Education continued to provide an orientation program for mature students in cooperation with the Student Affairs area. "Coffee hours" were sponsored each quarter.

A major effort of this program area included the volunteer training. A one-day 'Workshop for Volunteers' was held in February with over 200 women participating. Eighteen agencies cooperated in presenting this program.

The training program for volunteers working in elementary school libraries was held three times during the year. Over 100 volunteers participated in the training program and volunteered one-half day per week in an elementary school library. The evaluation of this progran indicates there is excellent response and continued cooperation with the Columbus Public Schbols is anticipated.

The seminar series designed to train volunteers to work with the Frarklin County Court of Donestic Relations called 'Friends in Action," involved three training programs conducted throughout the year.

APPENDIX H
QUESTIONNAIRE FOR GRADUATE SURVEY

OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY STATUS OF WOMEN COMMITTEE GRADUATE LEVEL SURVEY AJIUMN 1970
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline College & Interviewer \\
\hline Department & \\
\hline Office Telephone & Follow up \\
\hline Contact Person & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Chairman of Graduate Comnittee: Telephone \(\qquad\)
Name:

PROFILE DATA
For the Year 1969-70
Number of Applicants: Number Accepted: Number Enrolled: (nev)

Number of Graduate Students Total Enrolled Masters Level Dostoral Leve1
Part-Time
Full-Time

Number of Fellowship Holders
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Men & Women & Total \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & - & \\
\hline Men & Women & Total \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline Men & Women & Total \\
\hline & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular} Types of Fellowship and Number \(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Men & Women & Total \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Number of Teaching Assistants
Number of Teaching Associates}} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline & Men & Women & Total \\
\hline Number of Research Assistants & & & \\
\hline Number of Research Associates & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Notes:

\section*{APPLICANTS}

\section*{Number of Applicants: Number Accepted: Number Enrolled: (new)}
Men Wran \(=\) Total

What are your criteria for selecting a student for admission to graduate work?
\(\qquad\) Point Hour Ratio \(\qquad\) GRE Scores
\(\qquad\) Recommendations

Are your criteria different for men and women?
\(\qquad\) No
\(\qquad\) Yes - Please explain

Are there any differences in the qualifications of the men and women who apply?
\(\qquad\) Submis:t fewer applications
\(\qquad\) Have lower averages
\(\qquad\) Less prestigious or less complimentary recommendations
\(\qquad\) Come from less prestigious colleges

\section*{Page Three}

\section*{RECRUITMENT}

Do you recruit or advertise your department in any way?
\(\qquad\) No
\(\qquad\) Yes - For what purpose?

How?
Brochure mailed to various colleges
\(\qquad\) Brochure mailed to individual graduating seniors in field
\(\qquad\) Careers Day Visitation program in summer or weekend

To whom do you direct your advertisement?
\(\qquad\) All colleges in Ohio
\(\qquad\) Exclusively male colleges
\(\qquad\) Exclusively female colleges
\(\qquad\) Coeducational institutions

\section*{FELLOWSHIIPS}
Men Women

Number of Fellowship Holders Types of Fellowship and Number

What percentage of the applicants are eligible for financial assistance?
\(\qquad\) Total
\(\qquad\) q of women applicants eligible

What criteria do you use for awarding fellowships?
\(\qquad\) Point lour Ratio \(\qquad\) GRE Scores
\(\qquad\) Recommendations

Are your criteria different for men and wamen?
\(\qquad\) No
\(\qquad\) Yes - Please explain

Are there any differences in the qualificationa of men and women who apply?
\(\qquad\) Point Hour Ratio \(\qquad\) GRE Scores
\(\qquad\) Recommendations

\section*{TEACHING ASSISTANTS}

\section*{Number of Teaching Assistants}

Number of Teaching Associates
Men Women Total

What criteria do you use for awarding teaching assistantships?
\(\qquad\) Point Hour Ratio \(\qquad\) GRE Scores
\(\qquad\) Recommendations

Are the criteria for selection different for men and women?
\(\qquad\) No
\(\qquad\) Yes - Please explain

Are ther any differences in the qualifications of the men and women who apply?
\(\qquad\) Point Hour Ratio \(\qquad\) GRE Scores
\(\qquad\) Recommendations

I!ow do you employ your teaching:

Assistants
Men Women
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)

Associates
Men Women
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)

In laborator service

> Number of Research Assistanes Number of Research \(\overline{\text { Associates }}\)
\(\qquad\)

What criteria do you use for awarding research assistantships?
\(\qquad\) Point llour Ratio \(\qquad\) GRE Scores
\(\qquad\) Recommendations

Are the criteria for selection different for men and women?
\(\qquad\) No
\(\qquad\) Yes - Please explain

Are there any differences in the qualifications of the men and women who apply?
\(\qquad\) Point Hour Ratio \(\qquad\) GRE Scores
\(\qquad\) Recommendations

How do you employ your teaching:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Assistants} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Associates} \\
\hline Indacate Number of: & Men & Women & Men & Women \\
\hline In library research: & & & & \\
\hline In projects leading to students' own thesis or publication: & & & & \\
\hline lab. research for prof: & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

APPENDIX I
RECOMMENDATION FOR AN
O.S.U. OFFICE OF WOMEN'S AFFAIRS

\section*{Recommendation for an OSU Office of Women's Affairs}

\section*{Purpose of the Office of Wommen's Affairs}

The purpose of the Office of Women's Affairs is seen as being a visable means of achieving the following three goals:
1. Correction of the problems the individual woman faces at Ohio State University t.oday.
2. Prevention of the problems in general that wonen at OSU could face in the future.
3. Deveiopment of the individual capabilities of women as functioning members of the University community and as persons.

\section*{Functions}
1. Visibility

To maintain high visibility in the University commmity making sure all who can do avail themselves of the progrims.
2. Follow-up

To ccordinate efforts to enact the recommendations of the Commission on the status of women particularly those recommendations that are not delegated to existing university agencies.
3. Investigation and Research

To initiate and coordinate research and program projects with other agencies of the University and community, and to initiate university-wide polls of faculty, staff, and students in order to collect information on perceived discrimination.
4. Referral

To initially serve as an office of referral for appropriate
advising and counseling of groups and individuals, e.g., individual allegations of unequal treatment to the Office of Affirmative Action or University ombudsman.
5. Consultations

To advise and serve as counsel for University and nonuriversity individuals and agencies regarding problems of women.
6. Reference

To maintain contacts with similar programs in the country, to compile current information on govermental regulations and other educational materials concerning women, and to make these available.

\section*{Location in University Structure}

It is recommended that the Office of Women's Affairs fall under the jurisdiction of the Vice President for Administrative Operations. We feel it is necessary to place the Office of Women's Affairs under Administrative Operations, because the scope and function of the office shall, as the foregoing functiors suggest, exceed the specific areas of academic affairs or studenit affairs. Indeed, this office shall handle concerns of wonen staff, students, and faculty, that do not involve employnent-related issues.

\section*{Internal Staffing and Structure}

Staffing
The staff should include:
A DIRECTOR, female, hired on a full-time basis, who shall possess the necessary qualifications as indicated in the section above/below. This Director shall be responsible for the day-to-day and long-range
ofaration of the office, as well as the development, in consultation with others as appropriate, of directions for action and programming and all the various functions of the Office of Women's Affairs as delineated in the section on functions.

She shall also supervise the employment and activity of personnel staffing the office. This supporting staff shail consist of:

On full-time secretary, to supervise clerical functioning and personnel.
Two part-time students to assist the secretary in clerical affairs, and to assist the Director and her designees in data collection.

Two full-time research/executive assistants to the Director, who shall have had prior experience in campus affairs. These assistants shall have responsibility for planning and executing the functions of the Office, in consultation with the Advisory Council and the Director. (The Director shall have ultimate responsibility). These assistants shall also perform the actual writing of reports, collection of data, structuring of assignments and executing, as is feasible, instructions of the Director.

In all procedures and programing, the Director and her staff shall have the benefit of the opinion and guidance of an ADVISORY COUNCIL, whose membershi.p shall be determined by the Director. The Council should include representatives from WSGA, Panhallenic, Women's Liberation, Women's Dormitories, and also faculty, staff, counseling personrel, etc. The Chairman shall be the Director; the recording secretary shall be one of the staff members of the office. The two
assistants to the Director shall also sit on the Council as ex-officio members.

Budgets
The following are preliminary suggestions for funding and annual salaries:
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Director & \(\$ 14,000\) \\
Full-time secretary & 5,000 \\
Two part-time students \& \(\$ 2,500\) & 5,000 \\
Two full-time assistants \& \(\$ 7,500\) & 15,000 \\
Office stipplies for one year & 1,000 \\
Programing functions for one year & 2,000
\end{tabular}

\section*{Specification for a Selection Committee}

This comnittee should be comprised of representatives from the entire university conmumity, that is, it should include both men and women, from all areas, i.e., faculty, administrative and professional personnel, civil service personnel, and students, undergraduate and graduate.

Qual ifications for Director of the Office of Women's Affairs
1. The Director should be a woman who has been at the Ohin State University at least three years and has a good knowledge of the University structure and how it operates.
2. The Director should be a woman who can devote her full-time to the position.
3. Further qualifications concerning personal and educational characteristies necessary for the job will be determined by the selection committer.

\section*{Selection Procedures}
1. Nominations will be accepted from the University community.
2. Each nominee will be contacted and, if interested, asked to submit a written resumé.
3. The resumes will be reviewed by the selection conmittee and finalists will be selected on the basis of their resumés. The finalists will be interviewed by the Commission.
4. A list of three names will be presented to the President of the University who will make the fisal decision.
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\section*{ANALYSIS OF APPENDICES \(J, K, L\)}

Apperdices J, K, L, contain information which relate to the areas of investigation covered by Tajk Force 3. Tables included show the head count, F.T.E,, by rank and sex for nine and twelve month faculty appointments as well as da*a for administrative and professional staff, and civil service employees. These data are grouped by departments, and where applicable, within colleges. All data reflect average salaries by departments. Special contracts and wages are not included in this study. The salary data are based on that budgeted July 1970. The base data for these tables were obtained from the Office of University Budget.

Becsuse of the wide range of job categories included in the adninistrative and professional, and civil service classifications, meaningful anaiysis of this salary data was not attempted.

No attenpts were made to look at individual qualifications, degrees earned, length of service, or cther professional attributes. These were assumed to be fairly comparable for both men and women within ranks.

Average faculty salary differences for departments having both men and wonen were compared. Those average salayy differences greater than \(\$ 720\) by sex and within ranks were noted. This is twice the minimal dollar amount of \(\$ 360\) used for cumputing pay increment changes. Average salary differences within departments that were less thari \(\$ 720\) were considered equal.

For nine month faculty at the professor level, ten department differences were noted, Nine favored men, none favored women and one showed comparable salaries. Among faculty at the associate professor level, fcurteen department differences exceeding \(\$ 720\) were observed. Eight departmental average salary differences favored men, one favored
J, K, L-2
women and the remaining five were essentially equal. At the level of assistant professor, nineteen departments were compared. Men received higher average salaries in nine departments, women received higher salaries in one. Nine departments were judged to be comparable. of the thirteen depariments at the instructor level, five were partial to men and the remaining eight were comparabl.e. In all, fifiy-six department comparisons were made. Men were paid higher average salaries in thirty-one of them and women in two. Twenty-three conparisons were essentially equal. Three Colleges, the College of Arts, the Coilege of Humanities, and the College of Social and Behavioral Sciences, contributed most to these departmental salary discrepancies. University College reflected the most equitable salary distribution.

Among the twelve month faculty, the five average salary differences compared at the professor rank favored men. Seven of the nine departments at the associate professor level favored men, one favored women and one showed comparable figures. Of the eighteen comparisons at the assistant professor rank, eleven were in favor of men, four in favor of women and three were comparable. At the instructor level all six comparisons showed men were paid higher average salaries. Of the thirty-eight comparisons within rank for twelve month faculty, men received the salary advantage in twenty-nine and women received higher salaries in five. Of all average salary differences for both nine and twelve month faculty, \(64 \%\) were partial to men, \(7 \%\) favored women, and 298 were judged equal.

The data were also analyzed to identify those departments where an average salary difference in the amount of \(\$ 3,000\) or more existed. This figure has been reported in national stidies as a common salary difference for men and women in various fields. Among the nine month
\[
J, K, i-3
\]
faculty there were six departments, four at the professor rank and ane each at the assistant professor and instructor levels, where average salaries exceeded this amount. In each case this difference favored men. Among twelve month faculty, there were fourteen instances where salary differences between men and women were equal to or exceeded \(\$ 3,000\). Lide was at the professor level, five at the associate professor level, six at the assistant professor rank and two at the instructor level. All favored men. In summary, for twenty within departmental comparisons women were paid lower salary in every insiance. The professional schools contributed substantially to these discrepancies.

From this analysis, one might assume one or more of the following explanations for the above salary discrepancies: women do not negotiate for salaries as well as men; women are less qualified than men within each rank; women have not held faculty rank as long as men; or women are discriminated against at this University.
\[
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\]

In order to grasp an understinding of the tables presented in the appendices, two definitions are necessary:
1. F.T.E. - This acronym refers to a FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT employee. That is, the equivalent of one employee who has been engaged to work for what his classification of employment is considered to be a full-time schedule. This term can be used to account for split appointments as well as single appointments. For example, a person may be employed halt-time as a professor of Mechanical Engineering and ha:- ¿ime as an administrator in that department. He would be considered ais 0.5 F.T.E's in each position.
2. AVERAGE SALARIES - This term refers to "weighted" average salaries for a department, a college or the uni.versity. For example suppose chat we wish to find the average salary for a professor in the department of chemistry and we have the following data:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
F.T.E.'s & Salary \\
\hline 1.0 & \(\$ 15,000\) \\
0.5 & \(\$ 20,000\) \\
1.0 & \(\$ 21,000\) \\
0.75 & \(\$ 18,000\)
\end{tabular}

The calculation would be:
\(\begin{aligned} & \text { 'Weighted" } \\ & \text { Average Salary }=\frac{(1.0 \times 15000)+(0.5 \times 20000)+(1.0 \times 21000)+(0.75 \times 18000)}{1.0+0.5+1.0+0.75}\end{aligned}\)
Based on these definitions, department, college and university summaries of total F.T.E.'s and average salaries were compiled and presented in three tables. These tables were:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
1.) 9 Month Faculty - & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Professors, associate professors \\
assistant professors and instructors
\end{tabular} \\
2.) 12 Month Faculty - & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Professors, associate professors, \\
assistant professors and instructors
\end{tabular} \\
3.) OSU Personnel - \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l}
9 month faculty, 12 month faculty, \\
administrative and professional, \\
and civil service.
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

All of the information contained in these tables was calculated from base data which was supplied by Mrs. Judith Washburn and the staff of University Budget.

A sample sheet which follows gives an example of the tables with certain aspects noted. All three tables are similar and thus, only one sample sheet is included.
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\section*{NOTES ON SMPPLE SHEET:}

1 Total F.T.F.'s for a department. One category is for male employees and one for female employees.

4 Total college sumpary.
5 A college sumary of all departments having both men and women employees. Weighted average salaries for a department. The difference between the male and female average salaries. A college summary of all departments having men employees only. A college summary of all departments having women employees only. The number of departments in the college falling in that category.

APPENDIX J
INIVERSITY PERSONNEL COUNT
1. HEAD COUNTS FOR LNIVERSITY J-1
2. HEAD COUNTS FOR FACl!LTY J-2
3. F.T.E. COUNTS FOR INIVERSITY J-5
4. F.T.F. COUNTS FOR FACULTY J-6
J-1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
 \\
 \\

\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
 \\
 \\

\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
 \\
 \\

\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
 \\
 \\

\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
 \\
 \\

\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
00 \\
 \\
 \\

\end{tabular} &  \\
\hline  &  & \\
\hline 7i & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\

\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
head Coint ror facanty： 9 manth， 12 MANTH：TOTNL
BY COILEGE－BY RNW
BY SEX WITH EREREN
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline  & \[
\ddot{\sim}
\] &  &  & N゚\％ &  &  & Non
minn
min \\
\hline  & N్Nö & \begin{tabular}{l}
우웅 \\
－inm
\end{tabular} & Nّ0 &  &  &  &  \\
\hline  & perion
\[
\text { RNN }^{N}
\] & がずす
「ず， &  &  &  &  &  \\
\hline  & No
－ &  &  & ¢0\％
\％ &  & 뿡휴융
\[
n^{\prime} n
\] & \％in
\％ \\
\hline  & º̛o
\[
m^{-1}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
̈ํㅇ̈ㅇ \\
909
\end{tabular} & ががが
\[
n^{m} \vec{N}
\] & ̈̊ㅇ̈
\[
\text { Na }^{\circ}
\] & ズロロ゙ロ
\[
\pi_{0}^{n}
\] & ̈ㅜㅇ̈ㅇ̈ㅇ
\[
N^{\prime} N
\] &  \\
\hline 昆 & \begin{tabular}{l}
兌藏 \\
n \(\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{H}\)
\end{tabular} &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\underset{\sim}{\sim}{ }^{*} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim}\) \\
a＇a
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
꿍흄 \\
nno
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
吅号落 \\
n \(m \infty\)
\end{tabular} & がo & ¢¢ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline College
\[
\mathrm{No}^{\circ}
\] & College Name & \\
\hline 0240 & Arts & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9 \mathrm{~mol} \\
& 18 \text { mo. } \\
& \text { Total }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 03XX & Biological Sciences & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9 \text { mo. } \\
& 12 \text { mo. } \\
& \text { Total }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 05 \(2 \times\) & Hexanities & \[
\begin{gathered}
9 \mathrm{mog} \\
12 \mathrm{mog} \\
\text { Total }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 06xx & Mathematics and Physical Sciences & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9 \mathrm{mog} \\
& 12 \mathrm{mog} \\
& \text { Total }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 67xx & Social and Be－ havioral Sciences & \[
\begin{gathered}
9 \text { mo. } \\
12 \text { mo. } \\
\text { Total }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 09 XX & University College & \[
\begin{gathered}
9 \mathrm{mog} \\
12 \mathrm{~mol} \\
\text { Total }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \(10 \times X\) & Adninistrative Sciences & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9 \mathrm{mo} \\
& 12 \mathrm{mo} \\
& \text { Total. }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
12 MNTH，TMAL 9 MONTH，
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F.T.E. POR FACIITY, ADMNISTRATIVE \& PROFESSIONA STA:T, GTVIL SERVICE EMPLOTEES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
9 \\
\text { Total }
\end{array}
\] & th Faa & 12 Total & th & Total & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Tetal } \\
& i \text { Wamen }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rainins } \\
& \text { G Profe: }
\end{aligned}
\] Total &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Civil } \\
& \text { Total }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Service } \\
& \text { ingomen }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Grand } \\
& \text { Tetal }
\end{aligned}
\] & al \\
\hline & & & & & & & & 21.0 & \(72 \%\) & 14.0 & 1008 & 35.8 & 838 \\
\hline \({ }_{0}^{0102} \times\) & Arts \(\%\) Sciences
Arts & 127.80 & 218 & 4.0 & 08 & 131.8 & 208 & 13.0 & 548 & 23.0 & \({ }^{1008}\) & 165.8 & \begin{tabular}{l}
348 \\
268 \\
\hline 1
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 03x & Biological Sciences & 30.0 & 38 & 60.95 & 58 & 93.95
226.15 & 188 & 34.8
6.0 & 338 & 27.0 & 941 & 859.15 & 274 \\
\hline 05\%r & Humanities & 219.15 & 188 & 1.0 & 148 & 194.4 & 28 & 68.55 & 201 & 43.75 & 918 & 306.7 & 198 \\
\hline \(00 \times X\) & Math \& Phys. Sciences & 177.9 & 7 & 14.95 & O8 & 188.55 & 78 & 12.0 & 58 & 49.25 & 1008 & 249.8 & 28 \\
\hline \(07 \times X\) & Social \& Behav. Sciences & 188.6 & 78 & 14.95 & \({ }^{8}\) & 88.0 & 238 & 32.0 & 138 & 77.5 & 614 & 197.5 & 368 \\
\hline 09xX & University College & 87.0 & 238
88 & 14.3 & 168 & 101.1 & 108 & 31.5 & 448 & 59.35 & 1008 & 191.95 & 438 \\
\hline 10xX & Administrative Sciences & 76.8
17.3 & 1008 & 103.9 & 188 & 121.20 & 308 & 82.0 & 288 & 92.25 & 888 & 295.45 & 408 \\
\hline \(110 \times\) & Agriaulture \& Hone Ec. & 117.65 & 28\% & 21.0 & 108 & 178.65 & 268 & 47.5 & 368 & 101.5 & 958 & 327.65 & 498 \\
\hline 120x & Education & 134.75 & 18 & 86.85 & 08 & 221.60 & 08 & 98.35 & 208 & 131.15 & 608 & 451.1 & 228 \\
\hline \(14 \times 2\) & Engineering & \({ }_{0}\) & 08 & 24.95 & 118 & 24.95 & 118 & 3.25 & 88 & 10.5 & 678 & 38.7 & 268 \\
\hline \(21 \times 1\) & Dentistry & 27.95 & 148 & 47.7 & 48 & 75.65 & 88 & 15.5 & 488 & 66.0
21.0 & 958 & 5.57 .35 & 858
508 \\
\hline 23xX & Law & 20.0 & 58 & 266. \({ }^{2.35}\) & 298 & 371.70 & 308 & 185.65 & 518 & 143.25 & 958 & 341.90 & 498 \\
\hline 25xX & Medicine & 5.2 & 858 & 366.5
8.3 & 08 & 14.5 & 78 & 3.0 & 08 & 6.5 & \(100 \%\) & 24.0 & 318 \\
\hline \(27 \times X\) & Optoretry & 6.2 & 1008 & 56.35 & 48 & 57.55 & 58 & 51.35 & \(35 \%\) & 52.0 & 698 & 160.5 & 358 \\
\hline 29xX & Veterinary Medicine & 1.0 & 100 & & 4 & & & 15.75 & 56? & 15.75 & 878 & 31.5 & 713 \\
\hline \(30 \times 0\) & Graduate School & & & & & & & 29.25 & 318 & 2.0 & 1008 & 31.25 & 351 \\
\hline \(40 \times 0\) & Boand of Trustees & & & & & & & 7.0 & 578 & & & 7.0 & 571 \\
\hline \(410 \times\) & Office of the President & & & 0.1 & & 0.1 & & 482.5 & 398 & 138.85 & 82 & 121.45 & 498 \\
\hline \(42 \times 1\) & Div. of Academic Affairs & & & 0.1 & & & & 80.7 & 68 & 973.7 & 188 & 1054.4 & 177 \\
\hline 4431 & Div. of Educations Services & . 9 & 08 & 89.0 & \(64 \%\) & 89.9 & 638 & 113.5 & 178 & 191.0 & 918 & 394.4
307.25 & 648
764 \\
\hline \(45 \times 1\) & Div. of Student Affairs & & & & & & & 96.8 & 308 & 210.45 & 97 & 307.25 & 76 \\
\hline . 4210 & Off. of Research \& Sponsored Prog. & & & & & & & 21.0 & 488 & 7.0 & 1008 & 28.0 & 618 \\
\hline \(48 \times \mathrm{C}\) & University Developpent & & & & & & & 50.4 & 418 & 78.25 & 698 & 128.65 & 588 \\
\hline 50xX & Div. of Admin. Operations & & & & & & & 7.75 & 13 & 3.0
93 & \({ }_{308} 6\) & 147.0 & 288 \\
\hline 51xX & University Services & & & & & & & 54.0 & 55 & 131.0 & 489 & 177.5 & 508 \\
\hline 52XX & Residence \& Dining Halls & & & & & & & 3.0 & \(67 \%\) & 3.0 & 1008 & 6.0 & 838 \\
\hline \(530 \times\) & Other Living \& Dining Facilities & & & & & & & 83.7 & 138 & 101.0 & 518 & 186.45 & 348 - \\
\hline \(342 \times X\)
\(550 \times\) & Uther Auxiliary Enterprises & & 08 & 393.0 & 268 & 393.0 & 268 & 11.85 & 418 & 91.25 & 938 & 496.1 & 388 is \\
\hline 600X & University Hospital & & & & & & & 250.4 & \(51 \%\)
358 & & 328 & & 518
338 \\
\hline 911X & Olentangy River Dorins & & & & & & & 11.5 & 358 & 22.0 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total} & 1264.2 & 14.58 & 1329.65 & 22.58 & 2593.85 & 18.68 & 2080.85 & 35.28 & 3005.75 & 59.48 & 7680.\% & 39.18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 293
F.T.E. FACIITY: 9 MOMTH, 12 MANTH, TOTAL

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Total & & Wanen & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Professor \\
Total
\end{tabular}} & Women & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Associate } \\
\text { Professor } \\
\text { Total }
\end{gathered}
\]} & Wanen & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Ass } \\
\text { Pro } \\
\text { Total } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & ¢ & Women & Total & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { tor } \text { Haner } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Tol } \\
\text { Fan } \\
\hline \text { Totai } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] &  \\
\hline 5.0 & 208 & & 33.0 & 6 & & 34.0 & 24 & & 28.80 & 33 & & 27.0 & 228 & 127.80 & 218 \\
\hline 1.0 & 08 & & 1.0 & 0 & & . 0 & 0 & & 2.0 & 0 & & . 0 & 08 & 4.0 & 08 \\
\hline 6.0 & 178 & & 34.0 & 59 & & 34.0 & 24 & & 30.80 & 31 & & 27.0 & 228 & 131.80 & 204 \\
\hline . 0 & 0 & & 16.0 & 0 & & 6.0 & 0 & 8 & 7.0 & 14 & & 1.0 & 08 & 30.0 & 38 \\
\hline 6.0 & 0 & & 24.0 & 0 & & 15.75 & 0 & \% & 13.2 & 8 & & 2.0 & 100\% & 60.98 & 51 \\
\hline 6.0 & 0 & & 40.0 & 0 & & 21.75 & 0 & 8 & 20.2 & 10 & & 3.0 & 678 & 90.95 & 48 \\
\hline 9.0 & 229 & & 51.0 & 8 & & 47.5 & 16 & & 66.0 & 23 & & 45.65 & 268 & 219.15 & 181 \\
\hline . 0 & 08 & & 3.0 & 0 & & 1.0 & 0 & & 2.0 & 50 & & 1.0 & 04 & 7.0 & 148 \\
\hline 9.0 & 228 & & 54.0 & 7 & & 48.5 & 15 & & 68.0 & 24 & & 46.65 & 258 & 226.15 & 188 \\
\hline 5.0 & 08 & & 71.0 & 0 & & 60.9 & & 8 & 19.0 & 2 & 4 & 3.0 & 508 & 177.9 & 21 \\
\hline 1.0 & 0 & & 7.85 & 0 & & . 25 & & 8 & 5.4 & 0 & & 2.0 & 08 & 16.5 & 08 \\
\hline 6.0 & 09 & & 78.85 & 0 & & 51.15 & & 4 & 54.4 & & 8 & 4.0 & 258 & 194.4 & 28 \\
\hline 5.0 & 0 & & 60.0 & 7 & & 34.9 & 11 & & 62.7 & 5 & 5 & 9.9 & 223 & 173.6 & 7 \\
\hline 3.0 & 0 & & 4.75 & 0 & & 5.0 & 0 & 8 & 1.0 & 0 & \% & 1.0 & 08 & 14.95 & 21 \\
\hline 8.0 & 0 & & 64.75 & 6 & & 39.9 & 10 & & 63.7 & 5 & 8 & 10.0 & 208 & 188.55 & 7 \\
\hline . 0 & 0 & & 2.0 & 0 & & 5.0 & 0 & 8 & 29.0 & 21 & & 51.0 & 208 & 87.0 & 238 \\
\hline . 0 & 0 & & . 0 & 0 & & . 0 & & 8 & . 0 & & & 1.0 & 08 & 1.0 & 08 \\
\hline . 0 & 0 & & 2.0 & 0 & & 5.0 & & 8 & 29.0 & 21 & & 52.0 & 278 & 88.0 & 338 \\
\hline 1.0 & 0 & & 28.1 & 0 & & 33.7 & & 98 & 14.0 & 21 & & . 0 & 08 & 76.8 & 38 \\
\hline 4.0 & 0 & & 3.75 & 0 & & 5.15 & 39 & & 6.4 & 16 & & 5.0 & 208 & 24.3 & 108 \\
\hline 5.8 & 01 & \% & 31.85 & 0 & & 38.85 & 13 & & 20.4 & 20 & & 5.0 & 208 & 101.1 & 128 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline College No. & College Name & \\
\hline 02XX & Arts & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9 \mathrm{mo} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
12 \mathrm{mo} \\
\text { Total }
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 03xX & Biological Sciences &  \\
\hline 05XX & Hemanities & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9 \mathrm{mon} \\
& 12 \mathrm{mo} \\
& \text { Total. }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 0680 & Mathematics and Physical Sciences & \[
\begin{gathered}
9 \mathrm{~mol} \\
\begin{array}{c}
92 \mathrm{mog} \\
\text { Total }
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 0780 & Social and Bc. havioral Sciences & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9 \mathrm{~mol} . \\
& 12 \mathrm{mo} . \\
& \text { Total }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 09xx & University College & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9 \mathrm{mog} . \\
& \begin{array}{c}
12 \mathrm{mog} \\
\text { Total }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 10xX & Administrative Sciences & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline &  &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
285 \\
N58 \\
Mウス
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
荅丠感 \\
 N
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
※゙ \\
Nํ웅 \\
N年
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
～̈ 5 \\
oinn \\
NN
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
募ずす \\
ヘ～～ \\
ทigin
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
あった \\
NMin \(0 \infty\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline &  &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
苦荅茄 \\
웅우 \\
Mいか
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
5ipin \\
OMn
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
뀨웅 \\
onnu： oing
\end{tabular} & 흉흉
\[
000
\] & 후뭉 & \begin{tabular}{l}
芦荅莒 \\
mom minio
\end{tabular} \\
\hline &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
B \\
مٌo \(\stackrel{+}{\circ}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
ज \\
uno \\
ペ・•
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
然芦荿 \\
0ペ̣！
\end{tabular} & Nㅜㅇ웅
\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Tin } \\
\text { an } \\
\text { and }
\end{array}
\] &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
N（N） \\
м กñ \\
－igion
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
̈ㅇㅇㅎㅎㅇ \\
\(\stackrel{\sim}{\text { ベッ }}\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline  &  & － & \begin{tabular}{l}
翤芯 \\
no in
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
させ が \\
～－ \\
宛品宫
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
흉̈ㅇ̈ㅇ \\
\(0 \operatorname{MnN}_{\infty}^{\infty}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
융융 \\
mom \\
mino
\end{tabular} & 훙훙
\[
0 \operatorname{inn}_{i n}^{m}
\] &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
훙흉 \\
0.00 no
\end{tabular} \\
\hline  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
菅苐 \\
0 in nin
\end{tabular} &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
훙흉훙 \\
\(\mathfrak{n}\) \\
ヴN
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
̈ㅇ흉ㅎㅇ \\
－Nin \\
ペ～
\end{tabular} & が芦芦
\[
\underset{\sim}{n} \underset{\sim}{n} \underset{\sim}{n} \underset{\sim}{n}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
が은 \\
0.00 \\
\(\underset{\sim}{\infty}{ }^{\circ}\)
\end{tabular} &  &  \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { ù } \\
\underset{\sim}{i}
\end{gathered}
\] &  &  & 훙 &  & 흥흉
\[
000
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
훙̈ㅇ훙 \\
우우
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
튱ㅎㅇ \\
000
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
흥̈ㄴ \\
\(\stackrel{\substack{\infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty \\ \infty}}{\infty}\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
훙ㅎ̈ㅇ \\
000 －
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

（continued）
College
Name
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Agriculture and \\
Howe Ecoronics
\end{tabular}
Ecucation
Engineering
Finarnacy
Dentistry
Law
Medicine
Optometry



\section*{APPINDIX K}

INIVFRSITY PERSONI:I SALARIFS
PACE
1. SLMMARY of averatif SNARIES bY CMliect

K-1
2. SLMMARY of AVERACE SALARIES BY DFPAPTMENT WITHIN COLILFCF:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
& \(\mathrm{K}-4\) \\
Arts \\
Arts Sciences & \(\mathrm{K}-5\) \\
Biological Sciences & \(\mathrm{K}-6\)
\end{tabular}

Biological Sciences
K-6
Mumanities
K-8
Math and Physical Sciences K-10
Social and Rehavioral Sciences \(\quad \mathrm{K}-11\)
Iniversity College
K-12
Administrative Sciences K-13
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Agriculture and Home Fconomics } & \mathrm{K}-14 \\ & \mathrm{~K}-16\end{array}\)
Education
K-16
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { Fingineering } & \mathrm{K}-17 \\ \mathrm{~K}-19\end{array}\)
Pharmacy \(\quad\) K-19
Dentistry K-19
Law \(\quad\) K-20
Medicine \(\quad \mathrm{K}-22\)
Optometry Medicine K-25
Veterinary Medicine K-25
Board of Trustees \(\&\) Office of President K-26
Academic Affairs
K-27
Pusiness fifinance
K-28
Fducatimal Services
\(\mathrm{K}-3 \mathrm{n}\)
Student Affairs
K-32
University Development
K-34
Fxecutive Assistant to President K-35
Administrative Operations \(\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { K-36 } \\ & \mathrm{K}-37\end{aligned}\)
University Services
K-37
Residence ff lining Halls
K-38
Other Living \(\xi_{1}\) Rining Halls K-40
Other Auxiliary Fnterprises K-41
Cooperative Extension \(\quad \mathrm{K}-43\)
University lospital
K-44
Olentangy River normitories
K-45
University Totals
K-46
K-1


F.T.E. MONTH FACLITY
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summary of F.t.e. and average salary for o.s.u. perscanel
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{9 WONTH FACLETY} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12 MONTH FACULIT} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{administrative e pricessional} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{civil sefuice} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dospt. } \\
& \text { No. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Department Name & F. & T. \({ }_{\text {E. }}\) & Average Men & Salary s Wormen & Oift & \(\stackrel{\text { F. }}{\text { m. }}\) & \[
\text { T. } \underset{W}{\mathrm{E}} .
\] & Average Men & Solary Women & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { SDiff } \\
M * H
\end{gathered}
\] & & \[
\text { . } \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{w}}
\] & Average Men & Salary Women & \({ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {Sift }}\) & & T. E. \({ }_{\text {W. }}\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Average So } \\
\text { Men Wc }
\end{gathered}
\] & Salary So & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Siff } \\
& M \cdot W
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 210X & College of Dentistry & 24.0 & 4.0 & 14081 & 7434 & 6647 & 45.7 & 2.0 & 21323 & 16284 & 5039 & 6.0 & 9.5 & 19916 & 7744 & 12172 & 12.0 & 54.0 & 8092 & 5574 & 2518 \\
\hline 230x & College of Law & 19.0 & 1.0 & 22488 & 20928 & 1560 & 2.35 & 0.0 & 22746 & & & 4.0 & 5.0 & 24279 & 10704 & 13575 & 1.0 & 20.0 & 4243 & 5697( & (1454) \\
\hline 25xX & College of Medicine & 0.7 & 4.5 & 10397 & 10213 & 184 & 242.2 & 105.5 & 19428 & 12387 & 7041 & 91.5 & 94.15 & 12823 & 7618 & 5205 & 6.5 & 136.75 & 5712 & 5628 & 84 \\
\hline 27XX & College of Optanetry & 5.2 & 1.0 & 15741 & 9048 & 6693 & 7.3 & 0.0 & 15353 & & & 3.0 & 0.0 & 10728 & & & 0.0 & 6.5 & & 5446 & \\
\hline 2900 & College of Vete ary Medicine & \[
0.0
\] & 1.0 & & 9048 & & 51.6 & 2.0 & 18010 & 13962 & 4048 & 33.6 & 17.8 & 10108 & 6769 & 3339 & 16.0 & 36.0 & 6566 & 5707 & 859 \\
\hline 300x & Gracuate Sersoil & & & & & & & & & & & 7.0 & R.R & 14139 & 7331 & 6808 & 2.0 & 13.8 & 7425 & 5362 & 2063 \\
\hline 40n & Board of Truste & & & & & & & & & & & 1.25 & 1.0 & 23006 & 10368 & 12638 & 0.0 & 2.0 & & 5387 & \\
\hline 4100 & office of the President & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 420x & Division of Acadenic Affair & & & & & & 0.1 & & 15000 & & & 78.2 & 41.0 & 11843 & 7299 & 4544 & 25.0 & 113.85 & 8345 & 5584 & 2838 \\
\hline 438X & Division of Bus ness \& Finance & & & & & & & & & & & 76.2 & 4.5 & 14331 & 9538 & 4793 & 795.0 & 178.7 & 6460 & 5454 & 1006 \\
\hline 4480 & Division of Edu tional Services & & & & & & 31.0 & 57.0 & 11485 & 10115 & 51370 & 77.7 & 19.1 & 9093 & 6605 & 2488 & 16.3 & 138.4 & 5550 & 5580 & (30) \\
\hline 45xX & Division of Student Affairs & & & & & & & & & & & 67.8 & 29.1 & 13929 & 10162 & 3767 & 6.0 & 204.5 & 5772 & 5398 & 374 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
summary of f. T. E. and averace salary ror o.s.u. pepsinnel
9 MONTH FACUTT
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CILLECES OF ARIS \& SCIENGS
SUMART OF AVERAGE SALARY AND F.T.E. FOR O.S.U. PERSORAEL

COLLEGE OF THE ARTS
SURMARY OF AVERAGE SALARY AND F.T.E. FOR O.S.U. PERSONNEL


SLPASARY OF AVERALEGE SAIARY BIONOGICAL SCIENCE



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{21}{|l|}{SLAMAARY OF AVEPAGE SNLARY AND F.T.E. ROR O.S.U. PERSONEL} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{9 MONTH FACUTY} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12 MSONTH FACLETY} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{ADMINISTHATIVE \& MOTESSIONAL} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{CIVIL Service} \\
\hline Depp.
No. & Deparment Name & & & Average Man & Solary s Women & \[
\begin{gathered}
s \text { Diff } \\
M-W
\end{gathered}
\] & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
{ }_{M}^{\text {F.T.E. }}{ }_{\dot{W}}
\]} & Average man & Salary 5 Women & \[
\underset{\text { MW }}{\substack{\text { OiH }}}
\] & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\underset{M}{\text { F.T.E.E. }}
\]} & Average Men & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Salary s Diff Women M+H} & m.T. & Average Men & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solery: DitH } \\
& \text { Women MWW }
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline & Men and women & 157.0 & 38.15 & /9 13582 & 211203 & 32379 & 3.0 & 1.0 & 118395 & 10728 & 7668 & 1.0 & 2.0 & 110968 & 8532 & 2436 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline & Men Oniy & 15.0 & 0.0 & 15154 & & & 3.0 & & 1321848 & & & 3.0 & & 122048 & & & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline & Wamen Only & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.027 & /12 & 5934 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



CIVLL seivice


\(\begin{array}{lll}0.0 & 1.0 & 5241\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}
\hline 168.0 & 4.0 & 16335 & 11777 & 4558 & 15.5 & 0.0 & 18660 & & & 54.9 & 13.65 & 10139 & 7513 & 2626 & 4.0 & 39.75 & 7576 & 5638 & 1938 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{lllllllllll}49.9 & 13.65 / 6 & 10334 & 7513 & 2821 & 4.0 & 15.75 / 1 & 7576 & 5638 & 1938\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{ll}0.0 & 0.0 / 0 \\ 0.0 & 24.0 / 7\end{array}\)
sen
\(0.0 \quad 24.0 / 7\)
\(\begin{array}{lll}5.0 & 0.0 / 1 & 8193\end{array}\)
\(0.0 \quad 0.0 / 0\)
\[
\begin{array}{rrrrrrl}
55.5 & 4.0 / 1 & 16289 & 11777 & 4512 & 0.0 & 0.0 / 0 \\
113.4 & 0.0 / 6 & 16357 & & & 15.5 & 0.0 / 6 \\
0.0 & 0.0 / 0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 / 0
\end{array}
\]
No. Wenemtic
Physical
Sciences
Astranary
Astronomy
College of
Mathematics and
Physical
Sciences
Men and Wanen
Men Only
8
เT90
Ceodetic Sci
Geology
Methenatics
Physics
Statistics
Wanen Only

202
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CLLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND HCME ECONCOITCS
SUMMARY OF AVERAGE SALARY AND F.T.E. FOR O.S.U. PERSOREL



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{9 MONTH FACULT} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12 MONTH FACULTY} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{AOMINISTRATIVE \& PROFESSIONAL} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{CIVIL Senvice} \\
\hline Dopt
No. & Deparment Name & \(M_{\text {M }}{ }^{\text {F }}\) & & Average Men & Salary s Diff
Women M-W & & E. \({ }_{\text {w }}\) & Average Man & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solary } \\
& \text { wanen }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { SDift } \\
\text { n }
\end{gathered}
\] & & \(\omega\) & Average
Hen & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Salary } \\
& \text { Women }
\end{aligned}
\] & S & & \({ }^{W}\) & & Women & \({ }_{M+W}\) \\
\hline 1400 & Engineering Administration & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 10.5 & & 17276 & 10188 & 7088 & 0.0 & 8.85 & & 5784 & \\
\hline 1405 & Aeronautical and Astronsutical Engineering & 3.0 & 0.0 & 16836 & & 7.0 & 0.0 & 21921 & & & 3.0 & 0.0 & 14648 & & & 4.0 & 2.0 & 9162 & 5387 & 3775 \\
\hline 1410 & Architecture, School of & 10.5 & 0.0 & 14624 & & 4.0 & 0.0 & 19389 & & & 1.0 & 0.0 & 8088 & & & 0.0 & 3.0 & & 5255 & \\
\hline 1415 & Aviation & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 6.1 & 0.0 & 14088 & & & 2.0 & 1.75 & 58292 & 8372 & (80) & 0.0 & 5.0 & & 5932 & \\
\hline 1420 & Ceranic Engineering & 4.0 & 0.0 & 14739 & & 1.5 & 0.0 & 16191 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 1.0 & 1.0 & 8569 & 5740 & 2829 \\
\hline 1425 & Chemical Engineering & 10.0 & 0.0 & 17389 & & 2.0 & 0.0 & 15882 & & & 2.0 & & 10968 & & & 1.0 & 3.0 & 7737 & 5130 & 2607 \\
\hline 1430 & Civil Engineering & 7.5 & 0.0 & 15600 & & 10.0 & 0.0 & 18299 & & & 1.0 & 0.0 & 8328 & & & 3.0 & 2.0 & 8174 & 5262 & 2912 \\
\hline 1435 & Computer and Information Science & 2.0 & 0.0 & 15408 & & 9.0 & 0.0 & 16981 & & & 1.0 & & 15048 & & & 0.0 & 3.0 & & 55.18 & \\
\hline 14.0 & Kanpur IndoAnerican Program & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 2.0 & 0.0 & 20748 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 1445 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Electrical } \\
& \text { Engineering }
\end{aligned}
\] & 26.0 & 0.0 & 17737 & & 16.0 & 0.0 & 22360 & & & 4.5 & 1.5 & 517080 & 6928 & 10152 & 13.0 & 7.5 & 9099 & 5297 & 3802 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

K-18
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```
CLIEGES OF PHARMCY, DENTISTRY, LAN
SUMMRY OF AVERGG: SALARY NID FTE FOR OSU PERSONEI,
CIVIL SEETVICE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12 MONTH FACUTY} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{administrative e professional} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Civil senvice} \\
\hline Deps. & Depariment Name & & f.t.E. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Average } \\
& \text { Meen }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Salary \$ Diff } \\
& \text { Women } M-W
\end{aligned}
\] & M. & F.TE. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Average } \\
\text { Men }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solary } \\
& \text { Women }
\end{aligned}
\] & \({ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {Dift }}\) & \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & \[
\text { T.E. }{ }_{w .}
\] & Average S Men & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Salary \$ Diff } \\
& \text { Women M-W }
\end{aligned}
\] & m. & \[
\text { T.E. } \mathbf{w .}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Average } \\
& \text { Men }
\end{aligned}
\] & & \({ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {Oif }}\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{1800} & Pharmacy & . 0 & . 9 & & & 22.2 & 2.35 & 18261 & 14162 & 4099 & 3.0 & 0.25 & 22676 & 516017516 & 3.5 & 7.0 & 6379 & 5699 & 680 \\
\hline & Men and Morien & . 0 & .0/0 & & & 22.2 & 2.75/1 & 118261 & 14162 & 4099 & 3.0 & 0.25/1 & 122676 & 516017516 & 3.5 & 7.0/1 & 6379 & 5699 & 680 \\
\hline & Men only & . 0 & .a 0 & & & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & wanen only & . 0 & .0/0 & & & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{2100} & Dentistry & 23.95 & 4.0 & 14081 & 74346647 & 45.70 & 2.0 & 21323 & 16284 & 5039 & 6.0 & 9.5 & 19916 & 774412172 & 12.0 & 54.0 & 8092 & 5574 & 2518 \\
\hline & Men and voman & 23.95 & 4.0/1 & 14081 & 74346647 & 45.70 & 2.0/1 & 21323 & 16284 & 5039 & 6.0 & 9.5/1 & 19916 & 774412172 & 12.0 & 54.0/1 & 8092 & 5574 & 18 \\
\hline & Men only & . 0 & .0/0 & & & . 0 & . \(0 / 0\) & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & Women only & . 0 & .0/0 & & & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{2300} & Lav Administra tion & 19.0 & 1.0 & 22488 & 209281560 & 2.35 & . \(n\) & 22746 & & & 4.0 & 5.0 & 24279 & 1070413575 & 1.0 & 20.0 & 4243 & 5697 & (1454) \\
\hline & Men and Mosen & 19.0 & 1.0/1 & 22488 & 209281560 & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & 4.0 & 5.0/1 & 24279 & 1070413575 & 1.0 & 20.011 & 4243 & 5697 & (1454) \\
\hline & Men anly & . 0 & .0/0 & & & 2.35 & 5 .0/1 & 22746 & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & Women only & . 0 & .0/0 & & & . & .0/0 & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
COLIEGE OF MEDICINE
SLMMARY OF AVERAGE SALARY AND F. T. E. ROR O.s.U. PERSONNEL

K-21
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{9 MONTH FACULTY} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12 MONTH FACULTY} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{AOMINSTRATIVE \& PROFESSIONAL} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Civil service} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dept } \\
& \text { No }
\end{aligned}
\] & Department Name & & \[
\text { T. } \underset{W}{\mathrm{E}} .
\] & Average Men & Salary Women & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { s Diff } \\
& M \cdot W
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
F. \\
M.
\end{tabular} & T. E. & Average iten & Salary Women & \[
\begin{gathered}
5 \text { Diff } \\
M \rightarrow W
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { F. T. } \\
& \text { M }
\end{aligned}
\] & r. E.
\[
\mathbf{w .}
\] & Average Men & Salary Women & s Sift
M+W & & T. E.
\[
\boldsymbol{w} .
\] & Aversge Men & Salary Women & SDift
\[
\boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{H}
\] \\
\hline 2555 & Pediatrics & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 17.85 & 6.45 & 21212 & 17567 & 3645 & 1.0 & 5.0 & 13008 & 10800 & 2208 & 0.0 & 9.0 & & 5371 & \\
\hline 2560 & Pharmacology & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 7.45 & 0.0 & 17071 & & & 0.0 & 0.5 & & 6792 & & 0.5 & 3.0 & 5241 & 6045 & (804) \\
\hline 2565 & Physical Medicine & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 9.2 & 0.0 & 18964 & & & 1.8 & 5.5 & 12773 & 7053 & 5720 & 0.0 & 4.0 & & 5283 & \\
\hline 2570 & Physiological Chesistry & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 8.9 & 1.0 & 17562 & 11208 & 6354 & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 3.0 & & 5713 & \\
\hline \(2: 75\) & Physiology & 0.0 & 1.0 & & 11292 & & 17.85 & 2.0 & 17319 & 14928 & 2391 & 5.0 & 1.0 & 7024 & 4644 & 2380 & 0.0 & 4.0 & & 5512 & \\
\hline 2580 & Preventive Medicine & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 12.15 & 1.0 & 20168 & 20208 & (40) & 1.0 & 3.0 & 11688 & 7440 & 4248 & 0.0 & 9.75 & & 5824 & \\
\hline 2585 & Psychiatry & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 22.0 & 1.0 & 20675 & 18168 & 2507 & 2.3 & 1.0 & 9537 & 6696 & 2841 & 0.0 & 6.0 & & 5914 & \\
\hline 2590 & Radiology & 0.0 & 0:0 & & & & 18.0 & 0.0 & 18409 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 2595 & Sungery & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 17.9 & 1.45 & 20417 & 19924 & 493 & 10.85 & 6.2 & 8852 & 6087 & 2765 & 1.0 & 13.0 & 5990 & 5998 & (8) \\
\hline 25xX & College of Medicine & 0.7 & 4.5 & 10397 & 10213 & 184 & 242.21 & 105.5 & 19428 & 12387 & 7041 & 91.5 & 94.15 & 512823 & 7618 & 5205 & 6.5 & 136.75 & 5712 & 5628 & 84 \\
\hline & Men and Wemen & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 192.81 & 105.5/1 & 1519731 & 12387 & 7344 & 78.58 & 85.35/ & 121339 & 17725 & 5566 & 6.5 & \(28.0 / 4\) & 5712 & 5993 & (281) \\
\hline & Men Only & 0.7 & 0.0/1 & 10397 & & & 49.4 & 0.0/5 & 518248 & & & 13.0 & 0.0/3 & 39399 & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline & Wanen Only & 0.0 & 4.5/3 & & 10213 & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 8.8/4 & & 6574 & & 0.0 & 108.75/ & & 5534 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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COILBGE OF VETERINURY MEDICTNE
SLPAMARY OF AVERACE SNLARY ARD F.T.E. POR O.S.U. PERSCNNEI
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Dopt. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Deportment } \\
& \text { Nume }
\end{aligned}
\] & & & NTH FAC A veroge mon & \begin{tabular}{l}
Tr \\
Salary 5 Ditt \\
Women \(M\) W
\end{tabular} & \[
F_{\dot{M}}{ }^{T}
\] & \[
E_{w}^{12}
\] & \[
2 \text { MoNTH FA } \begin{gathered}
\text { Average } \\
\text { Han }
\end{gathered}
\] & aclety Selery Women & & \begin{tabular}{l}
acma \\
F. T. \\
m.
\end{tabular} &  & TVE \& PI Average men & ofessio Salary Worren & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mal } \\
& \text { \& Dift } \\
& m=1
\end{aligned}
\] & & \[
\mathrm{E}_{\dot{\mathbf{w}}}^{\mathbf{c I V}}
\] & Averace
Men & sury & \[
300+1
\] \\
\hline 2900 & Veterinary modicine Adinistration & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 12.0 & 2.01 & 14117 & 9108 & 5009 & 0.0 & 8.0 & & 5500 & \\
\hline 2910 & Veterinary Aratcsisy & 0.0 & 1.0 & & 9048 & 4.0 & 0.0 & 17468 & & & 0.0 & 1.0 & & 5736 & & 0.0 & 1.0 & & 5091 & \\
\hline 2925 & Veterinary Clinical Science & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 23.75 & 2.0 & 17761 & 13962 & 3799 & 12.6 & 7.0 & 8688 & 6586 & 2102 & 15.0 & 17.0 & 6518 & 5560 & 958 \\
\hline 2943 & Voterisary Microbiology and Paresitology & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 4.4 & 0.0 & 13570 & & & 1.0 & 0.0 & 4684 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 2954 & Veterinary Pathology & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 9.85 & 0.0 & 18376 & & & 8.0 & 6.0 & 7014 & 6368 & 646 & 1.0 & 6.0 & 7280 & 6479 & 801 \\
\hline 2965 & Veterinary Ptrysiology and Phamacology & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 4.75 & 0.0 & 23954 & & & 0.0 & 1.75 & & 6795 & & 0.0 & 3.0 & & 5588 & \\
\hline 2976 & Veterinary Preventive Medicine & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 4.8 & 0.0 & 17137 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 1.0 & & 5740 & \\
\hline 2987 & Veterinary Surgery and Radiology & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 2900 & College of Veterinary Medicine & 0.0 & 1.0 & & 9048 & 51.55 & 2.0 & 18010 & 13962 & 4048 & 33.6 & 17.75 & 10108 & 6769 & 3339 & 16.0 & 36.0 & 6566 & 5707 & 859 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
OLIEGE OF VETGRNAFY YEDICINE
SLMAARY OF AVERAGE SALARY AND F.T.E. POR O.S.U. PERSONEI
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{9 MONTH FACLTT} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12 month facluty} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{adunistmative a moressional} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{civil senvice} \\
\hline Dept. & Department Neme & & E. & Average & Snlary Women & \[
\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{MWH}}
\] & F. E & \[
\text { E. }{ }_{\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{w} .}
\] & Averape & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Salary } \\
& \text { Women }
\end{aligned}
\] & & & \[
\text { T. }{ }_{\mathbf{E}}^{\mathrm{w}} .
\] & Averspe
Mon & & & \(\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathrm{T}^{\text {T. }} \mathrm{E}_{\dot{\mathbf{w}} \text {. }}\) & & Nomen & \\
\hline & Men and Mamen & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 23.75 & 2.0/1 & 17761 & 13962 & 3799 & 32.6 & 15.0/3 & 10276 & 6835 & 3441 & 16.0 23.0/2 & 6566 & 5800 & 766 \\
\hline & Men Conly & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 27.80 & 0.0/5 & 18224 & & & 1.0 & 0.0/1 & 4644 & & & \(0.0 \quad 0.0 / 0\) & & & \\
\hline & Homen Only & 0.0 & 1.0/1 & & 9048 & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 2.75/2 & & 6410 & & \(0.013 .0 / 4\) & & 5512 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(0.0-1.0 / 1\)

GRADIATE SCHCOL
SIMMARY OF AVERAGE SALARY AND F. T. E. FOR O.S.U. PERSONREI
9 MONTH FACUTTY
F.T.E.
Average Sala
Wonter
innoiss3toys 8 3nilvalsiviwoy Mil

\(0.0 \quad 0.0\)
\(0.0 \quad 0.0\) 0.0
\(0.0 \quad 0.0\)
\(0.0 \quad 0.0\)
\(0.0 \quad 0.0 / 0\) \(0.0 \quad 0.0 \%\) \(0.0 \quad 0.0 / 0\)
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BOARD OF TRUSTEES \& OFFICE OF PRESIDENT
SIMMARY OF AVERAEE SALARY ND FIE FOR OSU PERSCNNEL

298

month facuin
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{F 9 MONTH FACLIT} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{12 MONTH FACULT} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{acministrative e mratesional} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{civil seivice} \\
\hline Dept & Depanment Name & & \[
\text { T. }{ }_{\mathrm{E} .}
\] & Average Men & Solary Women & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { SD.H } \\
M \cdot W
\end{gathered}
\] & & \[
{ }_{w}^{E_{w}}
\] & Average Men & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solary s Dift } \\
& \text { Women MW }
\end{aligned}
\] & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E} . \\
& \mathrm{w}
\end{aligned}
\] & Average Men & Solary Women & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SDiff } \\
& \text { M* }
\end{aligned}
\] & & \[
\text { T. }{ }_{W} E_{W}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Averape } \\
& \text { Mon }
\end{aligned}
\] & Solar & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SDiff } \\
& M \rightarrow W
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 4200 & Acadenic Affairs Adninistration & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 9.0 & 1.0 & 20305 & 10728 & 9577 & 0.0 & 12.0 & & 6063 & \\
\hline 4210 & Research and Sponsored Progreas Office of & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.1 & 0.0 & 15000 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 25.0 & 99.1 & 8345 & 5507 & 2838 \\
\hline 4220 & Instruction and Research Computer Center & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 63.65 & 34.9 & 10495 & 7167 & 3328 & 0.0 & 1.75 & & 6560 & \\
\hline 4230 & Institute of Polar Studies & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 5.5 & 0.0 & 13601 & & & 0.0 & 1.0 & & 5740 & \\
\hline 4265 & Mershon Comittee National Security & on & 0.0 & & & & O.C & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 5.0 & & 7540 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 42x \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & Division of Acedenic Affairs & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.1 & 0.0 & 15000 & & 78.15 & 40.9 & 11843 & 7299 & 4544 & 25.0 & 113.85 & 8345 & 5584 & 2838 \\
\hline & Men and Monen & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & 72.65 & 35.9 & /2 11710 & 7266 & 4411 & 25.0 & 99.1/1 & 8345 & 5507 & 2838 \\
\hline & Men Only & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.1 & 0.0/1 & 15000 & & 5.5 & 0.0 & 113601 & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline & Wanen Only & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & 0.0 & 5.0 & & 7540 & & 0.0 & 14.75/3 & & 6100 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
DIVISION OF RRSINESS \& FINANCE
SUMAARY OF AVERAGE SALARY AND FIE FOR OSU
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Depp. } \\
& \text { No. }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Deparmem
Nemer} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{9 MONTH FACLET} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{12 MONTH FACLETY} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{administrative \& mofesshomal} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{civil seivice} \\
\hline & &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Average } \\
& \text { Mene }
\end{aligned}
\] & Salary & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { S Diff } \\
& M W
\end{aligned}
\] & M. F.T.E. & Averape & Solary & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { S Dif } \\
M W
\end{gathered}
\] & & \[
\underset{\mathrm{w} .}{\text { F.T.E. }}
\] & Average Men & Salary Women & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \$ Diff } \\
& \text { M*W }
\end{aligned}
\] & & F.T.E. & Average Mon & Salery women & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { O Dith } \\
& w \rightarrow 4
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 4300 & Business and Finance Administration & & & & & & & & & 4.0 & 2.0 & 21285 & 8472 & 12813 & . 0 & 3.0 & & 5338 & \\
\hline 4310 & Assistant Vice President \(\&\) Assistent Treasurer & & & & & & & & & 4.0 & . 0 & 18018 & & & . 0 & 2.0 & & 6427 & \\
\hline 4311 & Accounting & & & & & & & & & 3.0 & 1.0 & 14328 & 12048 & 2280 & . 0 & 8.0 & & 6882 & \\
\hline 4312 & Auditing & & & & & & & & & 2.0 & . 0 & 12228 & & & . 0 & 15.0 & & 5693 & \\
\hline 4313 & Bursur & & & & & & & & & 2.0 & . 0 & 11328 & & & 4.0 & 7.0 & 6598 & 5648 & 950 \\
\hline 4314 & Internal Auditing & & & & & & & & & 5.0 & . 0 & \(123 i 2\) & & & . 0 & 1.0 & & 5241 & \\
\hline 4315 & Student Aid Accounting & & & & & & & & & 2.0 & . 0 & 10452 & & & . 0 & 3.0 & & 5075 & \\
\hline 4316 & Payroll & & & & & & & & & 4.0 & . 0 & 13578 & & & . 0 & 18.0 & & 5964 & \\
\hline 4330 & Personnel Director, Office of & & & & & & & & & 5.0 & . 0 & 15696 & & & 2.0 & 9.0 & 10285 & 6219 & 4066 \\
\hline 4340 & Business :lanager Office of & & & & & & & & & 2.0 & . 0 & 15708 & & & 1.0 & 2.7 & 6531 & 6343 & 188 \\
\hline 4341 & Poiice \& Watchmen & & & & & & & & & 1.0 & . 0 & 11688 & & & 4.70 & 6.0 & 7330 & 5726 & 1604 \\
\hline 4350 & Director of Physical P1ant & & & & & & & & & 10.5 & 1.0 & 16650 & 10128 & 6522 & 4.0 & 9.0 & 9963 & 5745 & 4218 \\
\hline 4351 & Custodial Service & & & & & & & & & 3.0 & . 0 & 10328 & & & 262.0 & 43.0 & 5195 & 4584 & 611 \\
\hline 4352 & Physical Plant Maintenance & & & & & & & & & 3.7 & . 0 & 14108 & & & 295.0 & 3.0 & 7358 & 6219 & 1139 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(51.0 \quad 0 \quad 7479\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { DIVISION OF EDUCATIONUL SERVICES } \\
& \text { SIMMARY OF AVERAGE SALARY AND F. T. E. FOR O.S.U. PERSONNEL }
\end{aligned}
\]
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{21}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
dITISION OF STUDENT AFFAIRS \\
sumpary of averari salary and fie for cst personvel
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{9 month faclert} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12 MONTH facuity} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{AdMinistrative e proressionul} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{CIVIL service} \\
\hline Dept. No. & Deolriment
Name & \[
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{F} . \mathrm{T} . \mathrm{E} .}^{\mathrm{w} .}
\] & Average men & Salary Women & s Dift & M. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { F.T.E. } \\
& \text { w. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Average & Salary & \[
{ }_{\substack{\text { Soff }}}
\] & & .T.E. & Average Men & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Salary } \\
& \text { Wommen }
\end{aligned}
\] & S \({ }_{\substack{\text { Diff } \\ M \rightarrow W}}\) & & \[
\text { F.T.E. }_{\mathrm{w}}
\] & Average Men & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Salory } \\
& \text { Women }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
s \text { Dith }
\] \\
\hline 4500 & Student Affairs Administration & & & & & & & & & & 3.0 & 2.0 & 22756 & 7392 & 15364 & . 0 & 3.0 & & 6177 & \\
\hline 4510 & Dean of Students & & & & & & & & & & 8.6 & 10.75 & 12946 & 9882 & 3064 & . 0 & 18.95 & & 5504 & \\
\hline 4520 & Student Housing & & & & & & & & & & 2.0 & 2.0 & 12240 & 8112 & 4128 & . 0 & 4.0 & & 5356 & \\
\hline 4530 & University Counseling Center & & & & & & & & & & 9.0 & 6.6 & 12288 & 10661 & 1627 & . 0 & 5.0 & & 5000 & \\
\hline 4540 & University Health Service & & & & & & & & & & 11.25 & 2.8 & 18638 & 18137 & 501 & 1.0 & 20.0 & 8964 & 6535 & 2429 \\
\hline 4560 & Recreation \& Intramural Sports & & & & & & & & & & 4.8 & 2.0 & 12975 & 7116 & 5859 & . 0 & 1.0 & & 6385 & \\
\hline 4570 & University Testing Center & & & & & & & & & & 2.0 & . 0 & 11448 & & & . 0 & 2.0 & & 5709 & \\
\hline 4580 & Student Financial Aids & & & & & & & & & & 5.0 & 1.0 & 12624 & 6576 & 6048 & 1.0 & 13.0 & 7113 & 5770 & 1403 \\
\hline 4590 & Student Statistical Services & & & & & & & & & & 2.0 & 1.0 & 16770 & 8808 & 7962 & . 0 & 1.0 & & 5241 & \\
\hline 4591 & Adnissions Office & & & & & & & & & & 8.0 & . 0 & 13188 & & & . 0 & 52.0 & & 5414 & \\
\hline 4592 & Registrar's Office & & & & & & & & & & 7.0 & 1.0 & 11437 & 8208 & 3229 & .n & 81.5 & & 5023 & \\
\hline 4594 & Mershon Auditorium & & & & & & & & & & 5.0 & . 0 & 10104 & & & 4.0 & 3.0 & 4638 & 5269 & (631) \\
\hline 45XX & Division of Student Affairs & & THIS DIV & ISION & HAS NO & dali & NG FACU & TY & & & 67.65 & 29.15 & 13929 & 10162 & 3767 & 6.0 & 204.45 & 5772 & 5398 & 374 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dept. } \\
& \text { No. }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Deparment
Mante} & \multicolumn{20}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
division of student affairs \\
SLMMARY OF AVERACE SAIARY AND FIE FOR OSU PERSTNNGE
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{9 BONTH FACLETV} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12 MONTH FACLUTY} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{adoministrative a professional} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{F.T.E.} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} & \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{m. \({ }_{\text {F.T.E. }}{ }_{\text {w }}\)}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Average } \\
& \text { Men }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Salary Women} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{sinH}} \mathrm{OH}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
m^{\text {F.T.E.E. }} \dot{W}_{\dot{W}}
\]}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Averape men} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solary s Dith } \\
& \text { Women }
\end{aligned}
\]}} & & & Averape & Solary \(s\) Women & & M & , & Average
Men & sulary & \({ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {Oif4 }}\) \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & 52.65 & 29.15 & 13939 & 10162 & 23767 & 6.0 & 204.45 & 5772 & 6132 & (360) \\
\hline & Men and howen & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & . 0 & .0/n & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & 15.0 & .0/3 & 11928 & & & . 0 & & & & \\
\hline & Men Only & . 0 & .0\% & & & & & & & & & 0 & & & & & . 0 & 168.45 & & 5243 & \\
\hline & Women Only & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & . 0 & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



DIVISION OF ADRINISTRITTVE OPFZRMTIONS
SLMMARY OF AVERAE SLARY AD FIE FOR OSN PERSANEL


SLMMARY OF AVERAGIVERSLALTY SERI FTEE FOR OSU PERSNNEL



RESIDENGE E DINING HULLS
SLMMARY OF AVERAGE SALAFY AND FTE FOR OSU PERSCNNEL

OTHER LIVING AND DNING FACILITTES
SMAMEY OF AVERCE SALARY ANO FTE POR OSU PERSONEL
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 0001. & Deporment & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & F.T.E.EM & PTH FACD
Avorege & \begin{tabular}{l}
Salary Diff \\
Women MW
\end{tabular} & & \[
\text { F.T.E.E. }{ }_{\text {win }}^{12}
\] & \[
2 \text { mown FA }
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FACuTr } \\
& \text { Sulory } \\
& \text { momen } \\
& \text { Mit }
\end{aligned}
\] & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { OqNE } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mrasesso } \\
& \text { Sultory } \\
& \text { wommen }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { KuL } \\
& \text { sif } \\
& \text { mit }
\end{aligned}
\] & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline 5325 & Biboe Scholarship House & & & & & & & & & . 0 & & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & \\
\hline 5350 & Davisson Hanley Scholarship House & & & & & & & & & . 1 & 1.0 & . 0 & & 2004 & & . 0 & 1.0 & 409 \\
\hline 5360 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Possarene } \\
& \text { Befectory }
\end{aligned}
\] & & & & & & & & & 1.0 & & 0 & 10128 & & & . 0 & 1.0 & 7721 \\
\hline 5375 & Mary Pomarione House Scholarchip & & & & & & & & & . 0 & 1. & . 0 & & 2004 & & . 0 & 1.0 & 5158 \\
\hline 53x & Other Living and Dining Focilities & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{This division has no teaching facity} & & & & 1.0 & 2.0 & & 10128 & 2004 & 8124 & . 0 & 3.0 & 4763 \\
\hline & Men and Mouen & . 0 & .0/0 & & & . 0 & .0/0 & & & . 0 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{.0/0} & & & . 0 & .0\%0 & \\
\hline & Men only & & .0/0 & & & . 0 & .0/0 & & & 1.0 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{.0/0 10128} & & & . 0 & .0/0 & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& N \\
& \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & mosen oniy & & .0/0 & & & . 0 & .0/0 & & & . 0 & & .0/2 & & 2004 & & . 0 & 3.0/3 & 4763 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

9 MONTH FACUTV


SLAMARY OF AVERACE SALARY AND F. T. E. FOR O.S.U. PERSCNNEL
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{21}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
OIFER NDCILIARY ENIERPRISES \\
slomary of ayerace salari and f. t. E. for o.s.u. personael
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
9 MONTH FACLLT \\
F.t.E. Avercoge Solary s Diff
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{F. 12 Month facuity} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{ADMINISTRATIVE \& PROFEESIONAL} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{CIVL seivice} \\
\hline Depl. No. & Deparimam
Name & m. \({ }^{\text {F.T }}\) & . & A varace & Solary \(s\) Dift & m. \({ }^{\text {F. }}\) & \[
.
\] & Average & Solary women & \[
{ }^{\text {DiH }}
\] & \[
\mathrm{m} .
\] & T.E.E. & Average Men & Salary Women & & M. & \begin{tabular}{l}
F.T.E. \\
w.
\end{tabular} & Average Men & Salary Elomen & Siff \\
\hline 5484 & University Housing & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 1.0 & 0.0 & 10128 & & & 1.0 & 0.0 & 9963 & & \\
\hline 54)X & Other Auxiliary Enterprisas & 1.0 & 0.0 & 11358 & & 0.75 & 0.0 & 14264 & & & 72.7 & 11.0 & \(13084{ }^{\circ}\) & 9399 & 3685 & 49.0 & 52.0 & 7378 & 5914 & 1464 \\
\hline & Men and Mosen & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0\% & & & & 53.65 & 11.0/5 & 13530 & 9399 & 4131 & 47.0 & 48.0/9 & 7368 & 5933 & 1435 \\
\hline & Men Only & 1.0 & 0.0/1 & 11358 & & 0.75 & 0.0/1 & & & & 19.05 & 0.0/6 & 9844 & & & 2.0 & 0.0/2 & 7602 & & \\
\hline & Mosen Only & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 4.0/1 & & 5673 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
COPPERATIVE EXTENSION
SURPARY OF AVERAEE SALARY AD FTE FOR OSI PERSCNNE.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{9 MONTH FACLET} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12 kown facluty} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{administrative a professional} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{CIVIL Service} \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Dept. } \\
\text { No. }
\end{gathered}
\] & Depariment - Nome & & E. & Average Men & Solary Women & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { S DiH } \\
& \text { M.W }
\end{aligned}
\] & & \[
\text { F.T.E. }_{W}
\] & Averape & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sulary } \\
& \text { women }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { soitt } \\
\text { MW }
\end{gathered}
\] & F & T.E. & Average & Salary Women & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { S Diff } \\
& M i w
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\underset{M}{\text { F.T }}
\] & \[
\text { T.E. }{ }_{\text {W. }}
\] & Averape & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solan } \\
& \text { Women }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { soiff } \\
& \text { W.W }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{5580} & Cooperative Extension & . 0 & . 0 & & & & 292.55 & 100.45 & 13275 & 10549 & 2726 & 6.0 & 4.85 & 10396 & 8195 & 2201 & 6.75 & 84.5 & 7045 & 5349 & 169 \\
\hline & Men and Wowen & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & 292.55 & 100.45/1 & 13275 & 10549 & 2726 & 6.0 & 4.85/2 & 10390 & 8195 & 2201 & 6.75 & 84.5/1 & 7045 & 5349 & 1696 \\
\hline & Men Only & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & . 0 & .0/0 & & & \\
\hline & Wosen Only & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & . 0 & .0/0 & & & & . 0 & .0/0 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
SINMARY OF AVERACE SNELSRY NO FTE FOR OSU PEBSTNNEL
先


12 MONTH FACUITY ADNNISTRATIVE \& PMOFESSHOULL

THIS DIVISION HAS ND TEACHING EACULT:
\begin{tabular}{llcccc}
.0 & \(.0 / 0\) & 122.55 & \(125.85 / 1\) & 10834 & 8839 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{llcccc}.0 & .0 / 0 & 122.55 & 125.85 / 1 & 10834 & 8839 \\ 1995 \\ .0 & .0 / 0 & .0 & .0 / 0 & \\ .0 & .0 / 0 & .0 & 2.0 / 1 & 8532\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{llcccc}.0 & .0 / 0 & 122.55 & 125.85 / 1 & 10834 & 8839 \\ 1995 \\ .0 & .0 / 0 & .0 & .0 / 0 & \\ .0 & .0 / 0 & .0 & 2.0 / 1 & 8532\end{array}\)
\(122.55127 .85 \quad 1083488312003\)
\(.0 \quad .0\)
\(122.55125 .851083488391995 \quad .0 \quad .0\)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Desp.
No. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Depertuent } \\
& \text { Nembe }
\end{aligned}
\] & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{F.te. 9 Month faculity} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{12 MONTH FACIATY} & &  & ative \(\frac{1}{}\) Averape mon & Psorm Selary Wonm & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 35toval } \\
& \text { s Dite }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
m+\omega
\] & m. F. &  & It men & \begin{tabular}{l}
VICE \\
Salay \\
nome
\end{tabular} & Diff \\
\hline & Totals & 1053.25180 .051 & 15091 & 11286 & 3805 & 973.35297 .75 & 16780 & 11520 & 5260 & 1109. & 579.6 & 12480 & 8300 & 4180 & 1214.25 & 1791.50 & 6584 & 5534 & 1050 \\
\hline & Men and Mamen Man Only & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 667.60133 .251 \\
& 385.65
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 14713 \\
& 15739
\end{aligned}
\] & & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 665.40279 .10 \\
& 307.95
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 16294 \\
& 17839
\end{aligned}
\] & & & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& 895.8: \\
& 213.9!
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & \[
5531.05
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 12628 \\
& 11849
\end{aligned}
\] & & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 993.25 \\
& 221.00
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
976.25
\] & 6537
6788 & 5519 & \\
\hline & momen Only & 46.80 & & 10803 & & 18.05 & & 14681 & & & 48.55 & & 7437 & & & 815.25 & & 5555 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

APPINDIX 1.
FACULTY AND GUIRAEN SAIARIFS

\section*{PACf:}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
12 Nonth & 9 Month \\
Faculty & Faculty \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
1. SLMMARY OF AVERACE FACIIITY SALARIFS BY CDLLFGF
1.-1
\(1 .-28\)
2. SIMMARY OF AVFRAGT: FACIIITY SNLARIES BY DFPARTMLNT WITHIN COIAEG::
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Arts \(\mathrm{f}_{\text {c }}\) Sciences & 1.-3 & 1. -30 \\
\hline Arts & L-4 & L-31 \\
\hline Biological Sciences & L.5 & L-32 \\
\hline Itumanities & 1.-7 & l. -34 \\
\hline Math \& Physical Sciences & \(1 .-9\) & 1. -36 \\
\hline Social \& Behavioral Sciences & 1.-10 & L.-37 \\
\hline University College & L-11 & 1.-38 \\
\hline Administrative Science & 1.-12 & L-39 \\
\hline Agriculture \(\mathrm{f}_{1}\) Hame Economics & 1.-13 & L. 40 \\
\hline Fducation & 1.-15 & L-42 \\
\hline Engineering & L.-16 & 1. 43 \\
\hline Marmacy & I.-18 & L. 45 \\
\hline Dentistry & L-18 & 1.-45 \\
\hline Law & 1.-18 & 1. 45 \\
\hline Medicine & 1.-19 & I. -46 \\
\hline Optametry & 1.-21 & I. -48 \\
\hline Veterinary Medicine & 1.-22 & 1.-49 \\
\hline Academic Affairs & 1.-2.3 & 1.-50 \\
\hline Fducational Scrvices & L-24 & l.-51 \\
\hline Other Nuxiliary Frterprises & 1.-25 & l. 52 \\
\hline Cooperative Extension & 1.-26 & I. 5.5 \\
\hline University Totals & 1.-27 & I. 54 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
3. SLAMARY OF AVERAGE CIAIPTEN SALAKIIS BY DEPARTMENT WITHIN COI.LFGE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{20}{|l|}{differentinl anlysis FACITY: 12 MDNTH FOR AVERAEE SALARY} \\
\hline Conloge
No. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { College } \\
& \text { Nume }
\end{aligned}
\] & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Men Woairman \$Diff} & Men & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Profersor} & Men & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Associate } \\
\text { Momen } \\
\text { SDiff }
\end{gathered}
\]} & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Assistant } \\
& \text { Wesen } \$ \text { Siff }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\[
\text { Men } \quad \text { Instrictor }
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{} \\
\hline & & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & -- & - & - & - & \\
\hline 02xx & Arts & 22488 & - & - & 20172 & - & - & - & - & - & 14568 & - & - & - & - & - & 16436 & - & \\
\hline 030x & Biological Seiences & 23703 & - & - & 19642 & - & - & 15753 & - & - & 14062 & 10008 & 4054 & - & 8508 & & 175: & 9208 & 7945 \\
\hline 0510 & Htramitioy & - & - & - & 26316 & - & - & 15288 & - & - & 13368 & 10728 & 2640 & 13128 & - & - & 20122 & 10728 & 9394 \\
\hline 0680 & Math \(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{E}}\) Physical Science & 26088 & - & - & 24004 & - & - & 17760 & - & - & 13782 & - & - & 10958 & - & - & 18660 & - & \\
\hline 0780 & Social \& Bahavioral science & 27396 & - & - & 22439 & - & - & 20112 & - & - & 14688 & - & - & 9048 & - & - & 19687 & - & \\
\hline 29xx & University College & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & \(\cdot\) & - & 10608 & - & - & 10608 & - & \\
\hline 10xx & Administrative Sciences & 21876 & - & - & 20851 & - & - & 18768 & 14688 & 3580 & 16955 & 13368 & 1587 & 12078 & 10128 & 1950 & 16245 & 13218 & 3027 \\
\hline \(\int^{112 x}\) & Agriculture \& Home Econcmics & 25664 & 25080 & 584 & 18200 & 18895 & (695) & 15516 & 16218 & (702) & 14244 & 13500 & 224 & 12512 & 9276 & 3236 & 16959 & 14779 & 2180 \\
\hline \[
\sigma^{1200}
\] & Education & - & - & - & 22761 & - & - & 19038 & 12888 & 6i50 & 16688 & 16728 & (40) & 13676 & - & - & 17388 & 14808 & 2580 \\
\hline \[
\mathcal{D}_{14 \mathrm{xx}}
\] & Engincoring & 26093 & - & - & 22991 & - & - & 17250 & - & - & 15459 & - & - & 10732 & - & - & 18526 & - & \\
\hline 18× & Pharmacy & - & - & - & 20829 & - & - & 18428 & - & - & 15109 & 14568 & 541 & 13507 & 13080 & 727 & 18261 & 14162 & 4099 \\
\hline \(21 \times 1\) & Dentistry & - & - & - & 24526 & 16284 & 3242 & 21646 & - & - & 18258 & - & - & 17940 & - & - & 21323 & 16284 & \({ }^{5039}\) \\
\hline 2310 & L®* & - & - & - & 23503 & - & - & 18394 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & 22746 & - & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline College No. & College Name & Men & Chairman
Woseen & \$ Dif & Men & Professo Nown & \$Diff & M \(=1\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Associat } \\
\text { Nowasin }
\end{gathered}
\] & \$Diff & Men & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Assistar } \\
& \text { Wharen }
\end{aligned}
\] & SDiff & Men & Instruct Nowen & or SDiff & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Total } \\
\mathrm{Mmn}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
12 \text { Mo. }
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Faculty } \\
& \text { SDiff }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 25xX & Medicine & 28111 & 25128 & 2983 & 22857 & 17740 & \(511 ?\) & 20086 & 14733 & 5353 & 17523 & 12021 & 4902 & 14399 & 9960 & 4439 & 19428 & 12387 & 7041 \\
\hline 27x & Optoretry & - & - & - & \(1-\) & - & - & 18648 & - & - & 15495 & - & - & 13048 & - & - & 15353 & 962 & 4048 \\
\hline 29XX & Veterinary Medicine & 26824 & - & - & 21304 & - & - & 17570 & 14328 & 3242 & 14936 & 13596 & 1340 & 12808 & - & - & 18010 & 13962 & 4048 \\
\hline 42xx & Acadeanic Affair & - & - & - &  & - & - & - & 1 & - & - & - & - & 15000 & - & - & 15000 & - & \({ }^{-}\) \\
\hline 44XX & Educational Services & - & - & - & 17082 & 14808 & 2274 & - & 13788 & \(\bullet\) & 11940 & 10877 & 1063 & 10658 & 8877 & 1781 & 11485 & 10115 & 1370 \\
\hline \(54 \times\) & Other Auxilliary & & & & & & & & & & 24264 & & & & & & 14264 & & \\
\hline 55XX & Cooperative Exrension & - & - & - & , 18583 & 18202 & 381 & 15784 & 14639 & 1145 & 13702 & 12345 & 1357 & 11352 & 9686 & 1666 & 13275 & 10549 & 2726 \\
\hline (2) & Total Uhiversity All Pends & 26142 & 25108 & 1034 & 21073 & 17693 & 3400 & 18254 & 14693 & 3561 & 15329 & 12257 & 3072 & 11987 & 9594 & 2393 & 16780 & 11520 & 5260 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


271
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{F.TE Proressor} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{associate rscressor} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{instuuctica} \\
\hline Depl. No. & Deppertment Natre & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\mathrm{m}^{\text {F.TE. }} \underset{W}{ }
\]} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Average } \\
& \text { Mean }
\end{aligned}
\] & Salary Women & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { B Dift } \\
& \text { MWW }
\end{aligned}
\] & M. & \[
\text { T.E. } w .
\] & Average man & Solary Women & \[
{ }^{5} \text { Dift }
\] & m. & \[
{ }^{\text {F.T.E. }}{ }_{W}
\] & Average Men & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Salary SLitf } \\
& \text { Wormen MWH }
\end{aligned}
\] & \({ }^{\text {F.t. }}\) & \({ }^{\mathrm{E}}\) W & Averape & Salary Wormer & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { s Diff } \\
& \text { W*W }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 0200 & Arts Adrinistration & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 0215 & Division of Art & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 0225 & Art Education & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 0230 & Design & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 0235 & History of Art & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 0241 & Dance & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 0262 & Music, School of & 1.0 & 0.0 & 20172 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 1.0 & 0.0 & 15048 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 0280 & Theatre & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 1.0 & 0.0 & 14088 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 02xx & College of The Arts & 1.0 & 0.0 & 20172 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 2.0 & 0.0 & 14568 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline & Men and Momen & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/ & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline & Men Only & 1.0 & 0.0/1 & 20172 & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 2.0 & 0.0/2 & 14568 & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline & Women Only & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
COPARISGN OF MEN COLLDGE OF BIOLOGICAL SEIENESS

il
 m．\({ }^{\text {F．t．E．}} \mathbf{w}\) ．。 0 \begin{tabular}{lllllll}
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 13128 \\
0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



 \(0.0 \quad 0.0\) \(0.0 \quad 0.0\) \(0.0 \quad 0.0\) \(0.0 \quad 0.0\) \(0.0 \quad 0.0\) \(0.0 \quad 0.0\)号 \(1.0 \quad 1.013368 \quad 107 \%\) 。 \(0.0 \quad 0.0\) \(0.0 \quad 0.0\) \(0.0 \quad 0.0\) \(0.0 \quad 0.0\)
 man wamen都
 0.0 0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0 \(\begin{array}{ll}0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0\end{array}\) \(0.0 \quad 0.0\) \(0.0 \quad 0.0\)
0.015288
00
\(\dot{0} 0\) 0.0
\[
0.0 \quad 0.0
\]

 05xx \(\begin{gathered}\text { College of theman－} \\ \text { ities }\end{gathered}\)

\section*{Decperment
Neame}

\section*{Hmanities Acmin－} 0502 Black Studies \(0505 \begin{aligned} & \text { Medieval Studies，} \\ & \text { Center for }\end{aligned}\)
\[
26808
\]
26532
25608 0.0 0502 Black Surdies 0509 Classics 0518 Comparative Enst Asian Lan－ 0527 East Asian Lan－
guage English Cerran 0566 Linguistics 0575 inilosophy 0584 Reanancé Languages 0593 Slavic Languages
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Denarment } \\
& \text { Name } \\
& \text { Men and Wcment } \\
& \text { Mon Mily } \\
& \text { Wrisn Only }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
{ }_{W}^{F T E}{ }_{W}^{A V} \\
0.0 & 0.0 / 0 \\
3.0 & 0.0 / 32 \\
0.0 & 0.0 / 0
\end{array}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { COLLEIE OF HMMNITTES } \\
& \text { COMPARISCN OF MEN AND WOEF: SALARIES FOR TMLEVE MONTH FACILTY, sY RATX }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Professor } \\
& \text { Averase Soliary \& D.ff } \\
& \text { Mon Women }
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 0.0 \\
& 1.0 \\
& 0.0
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
0.010
\]
\[
0.9 / 1
\]
\[
0.0 / 0
\]
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
0.0 & 0.0 / 0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 / 0
\end{array}
\]
\[
\stackrel{5}{3}^{2}
\]
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COMPARISON OF MEN AND WOMEN SALARIES FOR TWHY VE MONTH FACITTY, BY RANK
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{moressor} & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{} & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{mestaucto} \\
\hline Depp. & Oepertment
Name & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{M. \({ }^{\text {F.J.E }}\) W.} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Average } \\
& \text { Man }
\end{aligned}
\] & Salary Women & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8 \text { Dift } \\
& M \rightarrow W
\end{aligned}
\] & \(\cdots\) & & m. & Averape & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solary } \\
& \text { Women }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
{ }^{5} \text { Diff }
\] & & F.T.E. & . & Average Men & Salary women & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { s Diff } \\
& m \rightarrow \omega
\end{aligned}
\] & \(m\). & \({ }_{\text {W }}{ }^{\text {W }}\). & Avespe & Subyy & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 50 \mathrm{OH} \\
& \mathrm{ml}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 0900 & University Coliege Administration & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & & . 0 & & & & . 0 & & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 0928 & Lima Campus & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & & . 0 & & & & . 0 & & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 0942 & Mensfield Campus & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & & . 0 & & & & . 0 & & . 0 & & & & 1.0 & . 0 & 10608 & & \\
\hline 0956 & Marion Campus & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & & . 0 & & & & . 0 & & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 0971 & Newark Campus & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & & . 0 & & & & . 0 & & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 0970 & University College & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & & . 0 & & & & . 0 & & . & & & & 1.0 & . 0 & 10608 & & \\
\hline & Men and Wamen & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/ & & & \\
\hline & Men Only & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & & 6.0\% & & & & 0.0 & & 0.0/0 & & & & 1.0 & 0.0/ & 10608 & & \\
\hline & Women only & 0.0 & 0.010 & & & & 0.0 & & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/ & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Adininistrative } \\
& \text { Sciencos Adhin- } \\
& \text { istration }
\end{aligned}
\]
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Professor} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{associate frofesson} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{ASSSTANT Fmoressor} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{instmuctica} \\
\hline Dept. & Deperinem & & & Avarage Mon & Salary women & \[
\begin{gathered}
8 \text { Dift } \\
M *
\end{gathered}
\] & & \begin{tabular}{l}
T.E. \\
w.
\end{tabular} & Averape men & Salary Woren & \[
3 \text { Dift }
\] & & . & Averago Wen & Satery
Women & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { s Diff } \\
& \text { w*W }
\end{aligned}
\] & m. & E. . & Average & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solimy } \\
& \text { wand }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& s D_{i f f} \\
& M W
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1100 & Apriculture \& Home Econonics Adeinistration & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 1105 & A.I.D. Brazil & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 1109 & A.I.D. India & - 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 1114 & Agricultaral Economics & 7.15 & . 0 & 18324 & & & 2.1 & 0.25 & 18454 & 13680 & 4774 & 2.3 & 0.15 & 15459 & 16200 & (74i) & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 1118 & Agriculturnal Education & 6.1 & . 0 & 17596 & & & 1.7 & . 0 & 15684 & & & 0.5 & . 0 & 14688 & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 1123 & Agricultural Engineering & 6.05 & . 0 & 18087 & & & 1.05 & . 0 & 15942 & & & 0.25 & . 0 & 14520 & & & 0.85 & . 0 & 12317 & & \\
\hline 1127 & Agronomy & 7.1 & . 0 & 18426 & & & 1.35 & . 0 & 15057 & & & 0.7 & . 0 & 14640 & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 1132 & Animl Seience & 5.5 & . 0 & 18507 & & & 3.6 & . 0 & 14710 & & & 1.6 & . 0 & 12577 & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 1141 & Daity Science & 4.2 & . 0 & 18326 & & & 2.1 & . 0 & 15025 & & & 0.5 & . 0 & 14256 & & & 0.15 & . 0 & 14280 & & \\
\hline 1145 & Daity Technology & 1.05 & . 0 & 18759 & & & 1.0 & . 0 & 15692 & & & 1.0 & . 0 & 15288 & & & 0.1 & . 0 & 11520 & & \\
\hline 1149 & Farm operations & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 1154 & Farm Science
Review & . 0 & - 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 1158 & Home Economics, School of & . 0 & 5.65 & & 18895 & & . 0 & 3.25 & & \({ }^{1} 6414\) & & . 0 & 4.75 & & 13414 & & . 0 & 4.0 & & 9276 & \\
\hline 1163 & Iortialture & 4.85 & . 0 & 17197 & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & 1.75 & . 0 & 14352 & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1200 & Educrtion Administration & . 0 & . 0 & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & 1.0 & . 0 & 18768 & & & . 0 & . 0 & \\
\hline 1221 & A.I.D. India & . 0 & . 0 & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & \\
\hline 1240 & Education & 4.0 & . 0 & 22761 & 4.0 & . 0 & 19038 & & & 2.0 & 1.0 & 15648 & 16728 & (1080) & 7.0 & . 0 & 13676 \\
\hline 1265 & Health, Physical Education and pecreation & . 0 & . 0 & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & \\
\hline 1270 & Div. of Physical Education-Men & . 0 & . 0 & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & \\
\hline 1275 & Div. of Physiciat Education-Women & .c & . 0 & & . 0 & 1.0 & & 12888 & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & \\
\hline 2x & College of Education & 4.0 & - & 22761 & 4.0 & 1.0 & 19038 & 12888 & 6150 & 3.0 & 1.0 & 16688 & 16728 & (40) & 7.0 & . 0 & 13676 \\
\hline & Men and Wowen & 0.0 & 0.vio & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 2.0 & 1.0/1 & 15648 & 16728 & (1080) & 0.0 & 0.01 & \\
\hline & Men Only & 4.0 & 0.0/1 & 22761 & 4.0 & 0.0/1 & 19038 & & & 1.0 & 0.0/1 & 18768 & & & 7.0 & 0.0) & 113676 \\
\hline & \(\cdots \mathrm{men}\) Only & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & 0.0 & 1.0/1 & & 128¢3 & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/ & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Oom. Danemm} & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{} \\
\hline & & mosmo & 4. & \%. \({ }^{\text {ammanm }}\) & & \%. \({ }_{\text {mom }}\) \\
\hline 1400 Fngineoring Admin- & & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \(1405 \begin{gathered}\text { Aeronmitical and } \\ \text { Astronautical } \\ \text { Engincering }\end{gathered}\) & 4.0 & 0.028855 & 3.0 & 0.018003 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 1410 irchitecaure, & 2.0 & 0.021870 & 1.0 & 0.01678 & 1.0 & 0.017088 \\
\hline 1215 Avistion & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.023860 & 4.0 & 0.01558 \\
\hline \({ }_{122 i} 12{ }^{1} 2\) & 1.5 & 0.016141 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \(1225 \begin{gathered}\text { Chanical Enginerer- } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { Cind }\end{gathered}\) & 1.0 & 0.01936 & & 0.012528 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline \({ }_{1230}\) Civil Crgineering & 4.0 & 0.021078 & 6.0 & 0.01648 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline 1435 Corputer and
Inforeation
Science & 1.0 & 0.023088 & 4.0 & 0.017898 & & 0.014588 \\
\hline 1340 angur Indo & 1.0 & 0.024998 & 0.0 & 0.0 & & 0.016998 \\
\hline 1245 Electrical & & 0.024516 & 4.0 & 0.019908 & 2.0 & 0.016988 \\
\hline  & 0.0 & 0.0 & 3.0 & 0.017208 & & 0.011475 \\
\hline  & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 & & 0.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

 \(\begin{array}{ll}0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0\end{array}\) \(\begin{array}{ll}3.0 & 0.010848 \\ 0.0 & 0.0\end{array}\) \begin{tabular}{lll}
5.0 & 0.0 & 10752 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \(\begin{array}{ll}0.0 & 0.0 / 0 \\ 5.0 & 0.0 / 210752 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 / 0\end{array}\)
 u. \(w\). men wima \(0.0 \quad 0.0\)

1.0 . 0.016848
\(0.0 \quad 0.0\)
\(0.0 \quad 0.0\)
\(0.0 \quad 0.0\)
\(0.0 \quad 0.0\)
\(16.5 \quad 0.015459\)
\(\begin{array}{rl}0.0 & 0.0 / 0 \\ 16.5 & 0.0 / 715459 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 / 0\end{array}\)
\(0.0 \quad 0.0 / 0\)

Mmen women
\begin{tabular}{ll}
0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 \\
0.0 & 0.0 \\
4.0 & 0.0157 \\
0.0 & 0.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(0.0 \quad 0.0\)

mon Wam
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { m. }^{\text {F.T.E. }} \\ \text { w. } \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \\ 1.0 & 0.0 \\ 1.0 & 0.0\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lll}1.0 & 0.0 & 2332 \\ 1.0 & 0.0 & 21408\end{array}\)
23808
\(0.0 \quad 0.0\)
\(0.0 \quad 0.0\)
\begin{tabular}{llll}
27.5 & 0.0 & 22991 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{rl}0.0 & 0.0 / 0 \\ 27.5 & 0.0 / 1122991 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 / 0\end{array}\)

Industrial
Enginoering


Mineralogy
Photography and
Cineman
-xosurgua sutpian
Colloge of
Engineoring
Men and Woasen
Men only
Wosen only

284
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{PPOPESSOR} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{ASSOCIATE FHOFESSOR} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{AsEESTANT MROFESSOA} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Hestimeto} \\
\hline Dept. No. & Departirent Name & & \%. & Average Men & Salary Women & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8 \text { Diff } \\
& M \rightarrow W
\end{aligned}
\] & \({ }^{\text {m. }}\) & T.E. & Average Mon & Salary Women & \[
\begin{gathered}
8 \text { niff } \\
m \because 6
\end{gathered}
\] & 品. & T.E. & Average men & Salary Women & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { \& Dif } \\
M \rightarrow \boldsymbol{w}
\end{gathered}
\] & & \begin{tabular}{l}
T.E. \\
w.
\end{tabular} & Average men &  & \& Diff \\
\hline 2500 & Medicine Administration & . 0 & . 0 & & & & 0.2 & . 0 & 23250 & & & 1.0 & 2.0 & 14328 & 15108 & (780) & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 2504 & Allied Modical Professions & . 0 & 2.0 & & 14688 & & 2.0 & 7.0 & 18048 & 13110 & 4938 & 1.0 & 7.5 & 10848 & 10620 & 228 & 6.0 & 8.7 & 12828 & 9678 & 3200 \\
\hline 2510 & Anatomy & 3.0 & 1.0 & 18324 & 16836 & 1488 & 7.45 & 0.85 & 16287 & 15437 & 845 & 4.2 & 1.05 & 15268 & 12920 & 2348 & . 0 & 3.0 & & 11008 & \\
\hline 2511 & Anes thesiology & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & 2.55 & . 0 & 20814 & & & 2.7 & 1.0 & 16906 & 15168 & 1738 \\
\hline 2515 & Medical Microbiology & 2.0 & . 0 & 20088 & & & 2.25 & . 0 & 18336 & & & 2.0 & 0.75 & 15348 & 16424 & (1076) & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 2520 & Medical Shop & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 6 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 2525 & Modicine & 11.1 & 1.0 & 24865 & 23208 & 1657 & 17.6 & . 0 & 23659 & & & 8.3 & 0.0 & 20032 & & & 1.5 & . 0 & 15904 & & \\
\hline 2530 & Nursing, School of & . 0 & 1.0 & & 16248 & & . 0 & 12.75 & & 13928 & & 0.1 & 15.2 & 17523 & 11710 & 5813 & . 0 & 24.8 & & 9840 & \\
\hline 2535 & Obstetrics E Oynecology & 2.6 & . 0 & 21849 & & & 0.85 & . 0 & 18889 & & & 3.8 & . 0 & 18620 & & & 2.7 & . 0 & 16697 & & \\
\hline 2540 & Ophthaimology & 0.25 & . 0 & 16728 & & & 3.6 & . 0 & 14553 & & & 1.3 & . 0 & 12498 & & & 0.25 & 2.0 & 10776 & 7908 & 2888 \\
\hline 2545 & Optolarymgology & . 0 & . 0 & & & & 0.55 & . 0 & \(1662^{\text {r }}\) & & & 4.25 & . 0 & 16.608 & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 2550 & Pathology & 3.65 & . 0 & 25595 & & & 5.95 & . 0 & 23719 & & & 4.9 & . 0 & 17515 & & & 1.3 & 1.0 & 15572 & 11568 & 4004 \\
\hline 2555 & Pediatrics & 5.8 & 0.95 & 25323 & 20936 & 4387 & 8.2 & 1.1 & 21242 & 20072 & 1170 & 2.85 & 4.4 & 16021 & 16213 & (192) & 1.0 & . 0 & 11928 & & \\
\hline 2560 & Pharmacology & 2.6 & . 0 & 21108 & & & 2.35 & . 0 & 16508 & & & 1.1 & . 0 & 16030 & & & 2.0 & .0 & 14268 & & \\
\hline 2565 & Physical Nedicine & . 0 & . 0 & & & & 4.2 & . 0 & 21271 & & & 4.5 & . 0 & 17120 & & & 0.5 & . 0 & 16176 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Dos
2570 Piysiologica 2580 Preventive 2585 Psychiarty 2595 250X College of \(-\)
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mesmucto Mon momen \(M W\) 15000
\(0.1 \quad .0 \quad 15000\)
\(0.1 \quad 0.0 / 115000\)
\(0.0 \quad 0.0 / 0\)
f.t.E.
\(\longrightarrow\)
\(\begin{array}{ll}0.0 & 0.0 / 0 \\ 0.1 & 0.0 / 1\end{array}\)
associate professon assistant moreso

M. W. Men Women mw m.
\(\begin{array}{cc}.0 & 0 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 / 0\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{ll}0.0 & 0.0 / 0 \\ & 0.010\end{array}\)
\(0.0 \quad 0.0 / 0\)
\begin{tabular}{llll}
m. & w & men & \\
0 & .0 & 0 & .0 \\
\hline 0.0 & \(0.0 / 0\) & 0.0 & \(0.0 / 0\) \\
0.0 & \(0.0 / 0\) & 0.0 & \(0.0 / 0\) \\
0.0 & 0.0 & \(0.0 / 0\)
\end{tabular}
\(0.0 \quad 0.0 / 0\)
\(4210 \begin{gathered}\text { Research and Span- } \\ \text { sored Prograps }\end{gathered}\)
\(0.0 \quad 0.0 / 0\)
Men and Momen
Man Only
Women only

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\underset{\substack{\text { Deppt. } \\ \text { No }}}{\text { ch. }}
\] & Deparment & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{PROFESSOR} & M. &  & ATE PROF
Average
Men & \begin{tabular}{l}
essor \\
solary 5 Diff \\
Women MW
\end{tabular} & & \[
=T E{ }^{\text {ASS }}
\] &  & OFESSOR Salary Women & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { \$ Diff } \\
M * W
\end{gathered}
\] & & T.E & Avergeg seen & Salery Eomen & \[
s_{w *}^{\text {Dith }}
\] \\
\hline 4433 & Listening Center & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & & . 0 & . 0 & & & \\
\hline 4435 & Library & 2.0 & 2.0 & 17082 & 14808 & 2274 & . 0 & 4.0 & & 13758 & 10.0 & 19.6 & 11940 & 10877 & 1063 & 19.0 & 31.4 & 10658 & 8877 & 1181 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{44XX} & Div. of Educa. tional Services & 2.0 & 2.0 & 17082 & 14808 & 2274 & \({ }^{3}\) & 4.0 & & 13758 & 10.0 & 19.6 & 11940 & 1087 ? & 1063 & 19.0 & 31.4 & 10658 & 8877 & 178i \\
\hline & Men and women & 2.0 & 2.011 & 17082 & 14808 & 2274 & 0.0 & 0.070 & & & 10.0 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{19.6/1 11940} & 10877 & 1063 & 19.0 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{31.4/1 10658} & 8877 & 1781 \\
\hline & Hen (nly & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & 0.0 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.0/0} & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline & Wanen Only & 0.0 & \(0.0 \%\) & & & & 0.0 & 4.0/1 & & 13758 & 0.0 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.0/0} & & & 0.0 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.0/0} & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

COMPARISON OF NDR AND WOMER SAXILIARY ENTERPRISES

COMPARISon of men and mopen sularive por twelve month facirty, by pank
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Pmofessor} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{associate professor} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{ASSETANT PTOFESSOA} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{instructa} \\
\hline Dept No. & Department & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(M^{\text {FTE }}{ }_{W}\)} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Averags } \\
\text { Hen }
\end{gathered}
\] & Salan Women & S Diff & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{FT.E.} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A verage } \\
& \text { Men }
\end{aligned}
\] & Se Salary & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
r & \text { Dift } \\
\text { in } \\
M-w
\end{array}
\] & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{f.T.E.} & Aversge Men & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Salary s Diff } \\
& \text { Women } M \neq W
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Fite} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { A veroge } \\
\text { ment }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { esulary } \\
& \text { worry }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { SD.H } \\
M+1
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 5501 & State Operations & 34.7 & 3.0 & & & & 21.75 & 5.6 & & & & 18.95 & 1.85 & & & & 14.75 & 4.0 & & & \\
\hline 5502 & Field Operations & 1.8 & . 0 & & & & 11.8 & 2.0 & & & & 44.8 & 7.0 & & & & 144.0 & 77.0 & & & \\
\hline 55XX & Cooperative Extension & 36.5 & 3.0 & 18583 & 18202 & 381 & 33.55 & 7.6 & 157841 & 14639 & 1145 & 63.75 & 8.85 & 137021 & 12345 & 1357 & 158.75 & 81.0 & 1352 & 9686 & 1666 \\
\hline & Men and Women & 36.5 & 3.0/2 & 18583 & 18202 & 381 & 33.55 & 7.6/2 & 215784 & 44639 & 1145 & 63.75 & 8.85/2 & 213702 & 212345 & 51347 & 158.75 & 81.0/2 & 211352 & 2968 & 1666 \\
\hline & Men only & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline & Homen Only & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.010 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Note: Salary Information is not available for Departments 5501 \& 5502.
UNIVERSITY TOTALS
SLPapary of F. T. E. ANI AVERAGE SALARY FOR O.S.I. PERSNNEL
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{FTE Professor} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{associate professor} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{ASSISTANT PROFEssor} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dopt. } \\
& \text { No. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Department Name &  & Average Men & Salary Women & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \text { Diff } \\
& n_{M} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & m. F.E & \[
E_{W} \quad A
\] & Average Men & Solary Women & \[
\underset{M * W}{s \text { Diff }}
\] & &  & Average men & \[
\text { Salory } \mathrm{s}
\] & Diff & \begin{tabular}{l}
F.T.E \\
M.
\end{tabular} &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Average S. } \\
& \text { men }
\end{aligned}
\] & Sulury & Diff M+W \\
\hline & Totals & 277.9018 .60 & 21071 & 17694 & 5377 & 217.75 & 14.05 & 18253 & 14693 & 3560 & 230.25 & 74.45 & 15529 & 12257 & 3272 & 247.40 & 160.65 & 11989 & 9594 & 2395 \\
\hline & Men and Women Men Only & \begin{tabular}{rr}
74.25 & \(9.95 / 6\) \\
203.65 & \(0.0 / 68\)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 21266 \\
& 21000
\end{aligned}
\] & 17761 & 3505 & 71.9523 145.800 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3.15 / 111 \\
& 0.0 / 50
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1117389 \\
0 \quad 18680
\end{array}
\] & 15114 & 2275 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 116.40 \\
& 113.85
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
69.70 / 20 \\
0.0 / 49
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{rl}
0 & 14342 \\
16338
\end{array}
\] & 12178 & 2164 & 189.601
57.80 & \(125.85 / 8\)
\(0.0 / 29\) & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
8 & 11457 \\
29 & 13736
\end{array}
\] & & \\
\hline 37 & Wamen Only & 0.0 8.65/3 & & 17616 & & 0.021 & .00/4 & & 14231 & & & 4.75/1 & & & & 0.0 & 34.80/5 & / & 9825 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{20}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { colioge } \\
& \text { No. }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { college } \\
& \text { Neme }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\operatorname{Men} 0
\] & Chairman momen & \$Diff & Nen & Profess Women & SDiff & Men & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Associat } \\
& \text { moman }
\end{aligned}
\] & sDiff & \[
\overline{\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{As}}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { Assistant } \\
& \text { Moment }
\end{aligned}
\] & sDiff & Mar & Instruct wosen & \$Diff & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Totay } \\
\text { Men }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
9 \mathrm{mom}
\] & \[
\operatorname{sinff}^{\operatorname{sinfl}}
\] \\
\hline 0280 & arts & 19539 & 17532 & 2007 & 15696 & 13752 & 1944 & 13316 & 11747 & 1569 & 11428 & 10471 & 957 & 9404 & 8707 & 697 & 12854 & 10114 & 2740 \\
\hline 03xx & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sciences \\
Biological
\end{tabular} & - & - & - & 15737 & - & - & 13426 & - & - & 11888 & 12528 & (640) & 7968 & - & - & 14194 & 12528 & 1666 \\
\hline 05xX & Humanities & 22486 & 23088 & 602 & 19510 & 17619 & 1891 & 14147 & 13710 & 437 & 11138 & 10503 & 635 & 5086 & 8289 & 797 & 13720 & 11203 & 2517 \\
\hline 060x & Math \& Physical Sciences & 27790 & - & - & 20261 & - & - & 14779 & 13008 & 1771 & 12225 & 11928 & 297 & 10968 & 9168 & 1800 & 16335 & 11777 & 4558 \\
\hline 078 & Social \& Behzvioral Science & 23289 & - & - & 19812 & 16611 & 3201 & 15170 & 14328 & 842 & 12342 & 11448 & 894 & 21141 & 10908 & 233 & 15522 & 13839 & 1683 \\
\hline 09xx & university College & - & - & - & 15408 & - & - & 12072 & - & - & 10865 & 10148 & 717 & 9276 & 9142 & 136 & 10213 & 9944 & 769 \\
\hline 1000 & Administrative Sciences & 20892 & - & - & 20733 & - & - & 15691 & 15128 & 563 & 14720 & 12808 & 1912 & - & - & - & 17568 & 1390ิ̊ & 3600 \\
\hline 1100 & Agriallture \& Howe Economics & - & - & - & - & 12912 & - & - & 11036 & - & - & 10977 & - & - & 8688 & - & - & 10827 & - \\
\hline 1280 & blucation & 17808 & 17328 & 480 & 18372 & 16923 & 1449 & 14715 & 12754 & 1961 & 12855 & 11262 & 1593 & 8435 & 8654 & 219 & 15745 & 12225 & 3520 \\
\hline 1400 & Engineering & 24004 & - & - & 18922 & - & - & 14847 & - & - & 12543 & 11568 & 975 & - & - & - & 16387 & 11568 & 4819 \\
\hline 180\% & Pharmecy & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 21xx & Dentistry & - & - & - & 20656 & - & - & 17228 & - & - & 13844 & 7416 & 6428 & 11200 & 7440 & 3760 & 14087 & 7434 & 6647 \\
\hline 230x & L® & - & -- & - & 23186 & 20928 & & 17568 & - & - & 15528 & - & - & - & - & - & 22488 & 20928 & 1560 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { F.T.E } \begin{array}{c}
\text { Pforerson } \\
\text { Average } \\
\text { Men }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\]
assistant priofesson
Anerage Salary
Momen
\(=\)




COLLECE Of tiOhicical sclavces

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & \(0{ }^{\circ} 0\) & 0.0 & 00II & 8990I & 89LII 0＾2 & 0＾£ & 09S & 88221 & 87821 \(0 \cdot 1\) & \(0^{\circ} £\) & & 826LI & 0\％0 & \(0^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
sammerocit \\

\end{tabular} & £6S0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\(862 T\)} & 8298 & 9266 & \(0 \cdot 2\) & S \({ }^{*}\) & & & 2t911 000 & 0.9 & 198 & 82IEI & 26685 \(0^{\circ} \mathrm{T}\) & 0.5 & 88£โ 88281 & 9／96I & 0．1 & 0.9 & sammeratil pue 528 En 8 ExT 9 exurion & D850 \\
\hline & & 82101 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & \(0 \cdot 1\) & & & 82tII 000 &  & & & s98si 000 & 0.2 & & 48912 & 0.0 & 0.6 & 从4dosot？ & sLso \\
\hline & & & 0.0 & 00 & & & 800¢T \(0 \cdot 0\) & \(0 \cdot 1\) & 0222 & 880¢t & 82zst 0．t & 0.2 & & 80222 & 0.0 & \(0 \cdot 1\) & sכrıstrixur & 9950 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{928} & 8258 & 1068 & \(0 \cdot \varepsilon\) & \(0 \cdot 51\) & （LvC） & 80bIt & 20LOT 0．\({ }^{\text {c }}\) & 0.6 & （6£） & \＄29tT & 585pt s．t & \(0 \cdot 1\) & LLOE 89E9T & Sto6t & \(0 \cdot 1\) & 0.01 & Arozsth & L550 \\
\hline & & 8088 & 00 & 0.5 & （9ヶ¢） & 88LOT & 20tot 0\％2 & 0.9 & 098 & 82£tI & 88981 \(0 \cdot 1\) & \(0 \cdot \varepsilon\) & D298 2¢L8I & \(958 z 2\) & \(0 \cdot \tau\) & \(0 \cdot \varepsilon\) & ureares & COSO \\
\hline 675 & 6208 & 8298 & 59＇s & 0.9 & 892 & 99801 & －¢III 0.8 & 0.81 & \(\dagger\) & OLOtI & －80tT 0＇z & 0.6 & S92T 880LI & £S¢8T & 0＇1 & \(0 \cdot 11\) & \(45!58\) & LSSO N \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{08\％} & 8808 & 8958 & \(0 \cdot 1\) & \(0 \cdot 1\) & & & 82EII 000 & \(0 \cdot 2\) & & & 826tt 0\％o & \(0 \% 2\) & & 88261 & 0.0 & \(0 \% 2\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
so8en \\
－8uty uetcy zseg
\end{tabular} & \(\angle 250\) \\
\hline & & 78LOT & 0.0 & Ss＇I & & 8256 & \(0 \cdot 1\) & 000 & & & 000 & 0\％0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & \begin{tabular}{l}
armeso \\
－3！7 antzeredson
\end{tabular} & 8tso \\
\hline & & & 0.0 & \(0 \% 0\) & 092 & 82LOT & 88ャT1 0¢ & \(0 \cdot \varepsilon\) & & & 875¢t 000 & \(0 \cdot 2\) & & 29885 & 0.0 & 0． 1 & sotsseto & 6050 \\
\hline & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & & & \(0 \% 0\) & 000 & & & 0.0 & \(0 \cdot 0\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
101102400 \\

\end{tabular} & S0s0 \\
\hline & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \(0 \cdot 0\) & 000 & Sotpris ypeid & 2050 \\
\hline & & & 000 & 0.0 & & & 000 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & \begin{tabular}{l}
uot \(28125!\) \\
－utuepl sotatuemit
\end{tabular} & 00S0 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Mrn} \\
& \mathrm{H}!\mathrm{O} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
\]} & verom &  & & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& H \mathbf{N N} \\
& 4!0
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
uevom \\
s Auries \\
80850
\end{tabular} & Lew
corany
INVISESY & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& +W \\
& H!\sigma .
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(5 \begin{gathered}\text { usurom } \\ \text { Nuples } \\ \text { yoss }\end{gathered}\) \\
yosss
\end{tabular} &  & \[
1 \cdot j^{m}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { HW Lavom } \\
& H!C: \text { NaPIOS }
\end{aligned}
\] &  & & \[
\left[1 ;{ }^{n}\right.
\] & ouren IURunsda & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ON } \\
& \hline 100
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & & － & & & & & 边＇x & ci Hun & \[
W_{S \in 1}^{\text {SNIN }}
\] & 20， 5 ILINM & divtis neso H0 3 EmF & ON N & so NOSTANAEO & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Depp. } \\
& \text { No. }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Departuent
Masme} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{matresca} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{associate mitesson} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{ascistant moresion} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{HeTLuctio} \\
\hline & & \[
m^{\text {FTE }}
\] & & Average Mon & Salary Womeri & s Diff
\[
M+M
\] & \({ }^{\text {F.T. }}\) & E w. & Avenge Lon & Solary Women & \[
8 \text { Ditt }
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
F.T.E \\
m.
\end{tabular} & \[
{ }^{E}{ }_{w}
\] & Average Men & Solary Woxmen & \[
\underset{M \rightarrow W}{ }
\] & E.T.E. &  & Averace: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sulary } \\
& \text { mosmen }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\mathrm{silt}_{\substack{\mathrm{Di} \\ \hline}}
\] \\
\hline esox & Colloge of thmanities & 47.0 & 4.0 & 19510 & 17619 & 1891 & 40.0 & 7.5 & 14147 & 13710 & 437 & 51.0 & 15.0 & 11138 & 10503 & 635 & 34.0 & 11.65 & 9086 & 8290 & 76 \\
\hline & Men and Minson & 34.0 & 4.0/4 & 19389 & 17619 & 1770 & 29.0 & 7.5/6 & 14206 & 13710 & 496 & 39.01 & 14.0/5 & 511004 & 10573 & 431 & 26.45 & 11.65/4 & 49001 & 8290 & \\
\hline & Men Only & 13.0 & 0.0/3 & 19826 & & & 11.0 & 0.0/3 & 14001 & & & 12.0 & 0.0/4 & 4 11575 & & & 7.55 & 0.0/3 & 9389 & & \\
\hline & Mcesen anly & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 1.0/1 & & 9528 & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Dept.} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Departmont } \\
& \text { Neme }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{20}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
CILECE OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES \\
COPARISON OF MEN AND HESEN SALARIES PCR NDNE MONTH FACIITY, BY RANX
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{frofesca} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{associate maresson} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\({ }^{\text {m.T. }}\)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{mentucto} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \\
\hline & & m. \({ }^{\text {F.TE }}\) & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Averseg} & Solary Wormen & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{OiH} \\
& \mathrm{MW} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & M.t. & E. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Aver:age } \\
& \text { Men }
\end{aligned}
\] & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sulary }{ }^{\text {s Diff }} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\]} & \[
M^{F . T \varepsilon}
\] & . & Averrge
Mon & Salary Wormen & \[
8 \text { Diff }
\] & & .E. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Avercep } \\
\text { Men }
\end{gathered}
\] & & \\
\hline 0600 & Mathezatics and Physical Sciences & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 0614 & Astron & 2.0 & 0.0 & 21510 & & & 3.0 & & 21510 & & & 3.0 & & 11768 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 0628 & Chenistry & 21.0 & 0.0 & 19538 & & & 5.0 & & 14246 & & & 8.0 & & 12213 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 0642 & Ceodotic Science & 1.0 & 0.0 & 24768 & & & 3.0 & & 18248 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 0656 & Ceology & 8.0 & 0.0 & 17016 & & & 2.4 & & 13575 & & & 5.0 & & 12576 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 0671 & Methematics & 16.0 & 0.0 & 23323 & & & 20.5 & & 14639 & 13008 & 1631 & 18.0 & & 12214 & 11928 & 286 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 10968 & 9168 & 1800 \\
\hline & & & 0.0 & 19276 & & & 13.0 & & 14724 & & & 11.0 & & 12353 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 0684 & Ptysics & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0694 & Statistics & 2.0 & 0.0 & 23190 & & & 2.0 & & 14928 & & & 3.0 & & 11728 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline \({ }^{0610}\) & College of Matharatics and Physical Science & 71.0 & 0.0 & 20261 & & & 43.9 & & 14779 & 13608 & 1771 & 48.0 & 1.0 & 12225 & 11928 & 297 & 1.0 & 1.0 & 10968 & 9168 & 1800 \\
\hline \(\omega\) & Men and Momen & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 20.5 & 2.0/1 & 14639 & 13008 & 1631 & 18.01 & 1.0/1 & 12214 & 11928 & 286 & & 1.0/1 & 10968 & 9168 & 1800 \\
\hline & Men Only & 71.0 & 0.0/7 & 20261 & & & 28.4 & 0.0/6 & 15646 & & & 30.0 & 0.0/5 & 12232 & & & & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline & Wasen Only & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.00 & 0.0/0 & & & & & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{}


COLLESE OF NHANTSTRATIVE SCIDAESS

 0

\(M\). \(w\). Mon worian \(M *\)

COILEGE OF AGRICIITURE AND HRE ECONGICS


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
COLLEGE OF EDUCATION \\
COMPARISON OF MEN AND WCMEN SALARIES ROR NINE MONTH FACILTY, BY RANK
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{imstenction}} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\mathrm{F}^{\boldsymbol{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{E}} \mathrm{m}\)}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{moressor} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{ashociate moresson} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{ASSLTANT PROFESSOR} & & & & & \\
\hline Dept. No. & Depertment
Nitane & & & Averege Man & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Salary s Diff } \\
& \text { Women } m \rightarrow \boldsymbol{w}
\end{aligned}
\] & \(\cdots\) & \[
\text { F.T.E } \mathrm{m} .
\] & Averape Mon & Salary Warten & \[
{ }^{3} \text { Dift }
\] & & & Average men & Solary Women & Diff & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
f.t.E. \\
m.
\end{tabular}} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Average } \\
& \text { Man }
\end{aligned}
\] & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Salary } 3 \text { Ciff } \\
& \text { Women } 1 \text { it }
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline 1200 & Education Adrinistration & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 1221 & A.I.D. India & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 1240 & Education & 52.0 & 5.0 & 18517 & 17863654 & 20.6 & 9.0 & 15182 & 12844 & 2338 & 14.0 & 3.0 & 12936 & 12928 & 8 & 0.0 & 1.65 & & 8760 & \\
\hline 1265 & Health, Fhysical Ed. \& Recreation & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 1270 & Div. of Ptysical Ed.then & 5.0 & 0.0 & 16882 & & 6.9 & 0.0 & 13321 & & & 5.65 & 0.0 & 12653 & & & 8.85 & 0.0 & 8435 & & \\
\hline 1275 & Div. of Physical Ed.Wonen & 0.0 & 4.0 & & 15749 & 0.0 & 3.0 & & 12484 & & 0.0 & 8.0 & & 10638 & & 0.0 & 9.0 & & 8634 & \\
\hline 1200 & College of Education & 57.0 & 9.0 & 18372 & 169231449 & 27.5 & 12.0 & 14715 & 12754 & 1961 & 19.65 & 11.0 & 12855 & 11262 & 1593 & 8.85 & 10.65 & \[
8435
\] & & 219 \\
\hline & Man and Momen & 52.0 & 5.0/1 & 18517 & 17863654 & 20.6 & 9.0/1 & 15182 & 12844 & 2338 & 14.03 & \(3.0 / 1\) & 12936 & 12928 & 8 & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline & Men Only & 5.0 & 0.0/1 & 16882 & & & 0.0/1 & 13321 & & & 5.650 & 0.0/1 & 12653 & & & 8.85 & 0.0/1 & 8435 & & \\
\hline & Mosen Oniy & 0.0 & 4.0/1 & & 15749 & 0.0 & 3.0/1 & & 12484 & & 0.08 & 8.0/1 & & 10638 & & 0.01 & 10.65/2 & & 8654 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\[
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i & 0
\end{array}
\]
8
0
0
м.TE.

\(0.0 \quad 0.0\)
\(\begin{array}{lll}1.0 & 0.0 & 13092\end{array}\)

\(\begin{array}{lll}2.5 & 0.0 & 11568 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 & \end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lll}1.0 & 0.0 & 13368\end{array}\)
11928
\(\begin{array}{lll}1.0 & 0.0 & 14088\end{array}\)
14088
\(\begin{array}{lll}3.0 & 0.0 & 13008 \\ 1.0 & 0.0 & 13368\end{array}\)
nainicoict
\(\begin{aligned} & \text { COLLEGE OF GENGNARERING } \\ & \text { COPARISON OF MEN NOD MOUSN SALARIES FOR NDE M }\end{aligned}\)




\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dept. } \\
& \text { No. }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Department} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{MOFEPSOR} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{associate moresson} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{aswerant moreven} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{minmete} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{F.T.E.} & Aversege Mon & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Salery } \text { Diff } \\
& \text { Women }
\end{aligned}
\]} & F.t.e. & m. & Avorage non & Salary Women & \$ Diff & F.T.E & & Average Men & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solery \& Diff } \\
& \text { Worren MwW }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \[
\text { M. }{ }^{\text {F.T.E. }}
\] & m. & Averoge Stivy © Dift \\
\hline 2500 & Medicine Adinistration & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 2504 & Allied Medical Professions, School of & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 1.0 & 676 \\
\hline 2510 & Anatciny & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.7 & 0.0 & 10397 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 2511 & Anesthesiology & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 2515 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Modical } \\
& \text { Microbiology }
\end{aligned}
\] & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 2520 & Medical Shop & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 2525 & Medicine & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 2530 & Mursing, School of & 0.0 & 1.0 & & 11088 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.5 & & 10032 & & 0.0 & 1.0 & 8 \\
\hline 2535 & Costetrics and Gnecology & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 2540 & Ophthalmology & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 2545 & Otolaryngology & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0 & 0. & \\
\hline 2550 & Pathology & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline 2555 & Pediatrics & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\stackrel{y}{\Delta}\)

COLLELE OF OPIOETRY
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{moresson} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{associate moressom} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{assetant moreseor} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{netuictom} \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Depr. } \\
\text { Noob }
\end{gathered}
\] & Depertanem & & . \({ }^{\text {m }}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Averrage } \\
& \text { Men }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Salary } \begin{array}{c}
\text { Diff } \\
\text { Women } \\
M \rightarrow W
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\] & & \[
\text { T.E. } \mathbf{w}
\] & Average Mon & Soleny Wamen & DiH & & \[
\text { FTE. } \mathrm{w} .
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Averget } \\
& \text { Men }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solary Dif } \\
& \text { Women } M W W
\end{aligned}
\] & & t.E. & Averase & Sanion & Di+1 \\
\hline 2700 & optometry Adeinistration & 2.0 & 0.0 & 22650 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.85 & 0.0 & 11435 & & 2.35 & 1.0 & 11420 & 9048 & 2372 \\
\hline & Men and Momen & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & & 0.0/0 & & & 2.35 & 1.0/1 & 11420 & 9048 & 2372 \\
\hline & Men Onily & 2.0 & 0.01 & 22650 & & 0.0 & 0.0\% & & & & 0.85 & 0.0/1 & 11435 & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline & Momen Only & 0.0 & .0/0 & & & 0.0 & .0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0\% & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { DIVISION OF ACAIEMIC FFAIRS } \\
& \text { COMPARISON OF MEN AND MOEN SALARIES POR NIIE MONIH FAOITTY, BY RANX }
\end{aligned}
\]
GMPARISON OF MEN AND HOTION SALARIES FOR NINE: FNNTH FAOITTY, BY RANX

COMPARISOY: OF NEE AND WOREN SALAIRES FOR NINE MONTH FACUITY, BY RRUT
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{moressor} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{associate moressor.} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{assestant moressom} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{mestuctos} \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Dope } \\
\text { No }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Depercment } \\
& \text { Nerie }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\text { m. }^{\text {F.TE. }} \text { w. }
\] & Averege Mon & Solary Woman & & & \[
\mathbf{m .}^{\text {F.T.E. }} \mathbf{m .}
\] & Averape & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Saliery } \\
& \text { Women }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
{ }^{8}{ }^{\text {Diff }}
\] & & \[
\text { F.T.E. }{ }_{\text {w. }}
\] & Average Men & Salary & s Diff & \(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{F}}\). & T.E.E. & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Avarcege } \\
\text { mion }
\end{gathered}
\] & - Saler & \[
D_{m \in l}
\] \\
\hline 5414 & Achletics & 0.00 .0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 1.0 & 0.0 & 11358 & & \\
\hline 5440 & Meats Laboratory & 0.00 .0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & & & & \\
\hline 5400 & Other Auxiliary Enterprises & 0.00 .0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 1.0 & 0.0 & 11358 & & \\
\hline & Men and Wenen & 0.0 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline & Men Only & \(0.00 .0 / 0\) & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 1.0 & 0.0/1 & 11358 & & \\
\hline & Momen Only & \(0.00 .0 / 0\) & & & & 0.0 & 0.010 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0/0 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
COOPERATIVE EXTEASIIN
COMPARISGN OF MIN AND MONEN SNARIES POR NINE MONTH FACLLTY, BY RANK
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{marissen} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{associari morasear} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{assmeast proresica} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{5 astacton} \\
\hline Dept. & \[
0 \text { Oquman }
\] & & \begin{tabular}{l}
T.E \\
w.
\end{tabular} & Average men & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Solary Diff } \\
& \text { Wonsen } M \text { W }
\end{aligned}
\] & \(m\). & & Avercep Mon & Sulary wamen & \[
{ }^{8} \mathrm{Diff}
\] & & E. \({ }_{\text {m. }}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Average } \\
& \text { Hen }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sollery } \\
& \text { Women }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
{ }^{8} \text { Dif }
\] & \(\omega\) & \({ }^{\text {P }}\). & Averciop & Sowry &  \\
\hline 5501 & State Operations & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 5502 & Field Operations & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 550X & Cooparative Extension & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline & Men and Woren & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.0 0.0\%} & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.0 0.0/0} & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.0 0.0/0} & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.00.0/0} & & & \\
\hline & Men only & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.0 0.0/0} & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.0 0.0/0} & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.00.0/0} & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(0.00 .0 / 0\)} & & & \\
\hline & Mosen Oniy & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.00.0/0} & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.0 0.0/0} & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.0 0.0/0} & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(0.00 .0 / 0\)} & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
LNIVERSITY TOTALS
COPARISON OF MEN AND WOEN SALARIES FOR NTNE MONTH FACILTY, BY RAKI

SUMARY OF AVERAGFE. SALARY AND F.T.E.
POR DEPARTMENTAL CIAIRMEN
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{DEPARTMENT} & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{9-MD. \({ }^{\text {ITH }}\)} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12-MONIT} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{FTE} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{AVERAGF: SALARY} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{DIFF} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{FIE} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{AVERAGF. SALARY} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\frac{\text { DIFF }}{\text { M-W }}\)} \\
\hline & M & W & M & & W & & M & W & M & W & \\
\hline 0200 Arts Admin. & & & & & & & 1.0 & & & & \\
\hline 0215 Div. of Art & & & & & & & 1.0 & & 22488 & & \\
\hline 0225 Art Education & 1.0 & & 18888 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0235 History of Art & 1.0 & & 17208 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0241 Dance & & 1.0 & & & 17532 & & & & & & \\
\hline 0262 Nusic, Sch. of & & & 19608 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \(\frac{0280 \text { Theatre }}{02 \times X}\) College of the Arts & 1.0 & & 19539 & & & 2007 & & 0.0 & 22488 & & \\
\hline \(02 \times \mathrm{X}\) College of the Arts & 4.0 & 1.0 & 19539 & & 17532 & 2007 & 1.0 & 0.0 & 2248 & & \\
\hline 0300 Bio. Sci. Admin. & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0303 Ancillary Fac. \& Serv. & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0310 Biochem. \& Mole. Bio. & & & & & & & 1.0 & & 24528 & & \\
\hline 0321 Biophysics & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0326 Core Program & & & & & & & 1.0 & & 26298 & & \\
\hline 0330 Entomology
0340 Genetics & & & & & & & 1.0 & & 26088 & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
0340 Genetics \\
0350 Microbial \& Cell.Bio.
\end{tabular} & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0360 Nutrition & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0380 Org. \& Dev. Biology & & & & & & & 1.0 & & 20688 & & \\
\hline 0390 Pop. \& Environ. Bio. & & & & & & & 1.0 & & 22968 & & \\
\hline \(\frac{0395}{03 \times X \text { Cision }}\) Coll . of Bio. Sci. & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & & 6.0 & 0.0 & 23703 & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0500 Humanities Admin. & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0502 Black Studies & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0505 Medieval Stu. Cen. for & 1.0 & & 18048 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0509 Classics & 1.0 & & 18288 & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0518 Comp. Lit. & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
SU MARY OF AVERACE SALIRY AND F.T.E.
FOR DEPARTMENTAL GIAIRMEN
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{DFPARTMEVT} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{9-40NIH} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12-MONXH} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{FIE} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{AVERAGE SALARY} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\frac{\overline{\text { DIFF }}}{\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{W}}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{FIE} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\frac{\text { AVERAGE SALARY }}{\mathrm{M}} \frac{\mathrm{W}}{}\)}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{DIFF} \\
\hline & 4 & W & M & W & & M & W & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{0527 East Asian Lang.} \\
\hline 0537 English & 1.0 & & 25248 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0547 German & 1.0 & & 20088 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0557 History & 1.0 & & 25836 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0566 Linguistics & & 1.0 & & 23088 & & & & & & \\
\hline 0575 Philosophy & 1.0 & & 24168 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0584 Romance Lang. \& Lit. 0593 Slavic Lang. \& Lit. & & 1.0 & 25728 & 23088 & & & & & & \\
\hline 05XX College of thonan. & 7.0 & 2.0 & 22486 & 23088 & (602) & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{0600 Math. \& Phys. Sci.} \\
\hline 0614 Astronomy & 1.0 & & 24036 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0628 Chemistry. & 1.0 & & 27408 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0642 Geodetic Sci. & 1.0 & & 27768 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0656 Geology & & & & & & 1.0 & & 26088 & & \\
\hline 0671 Mathematics & 1.0 & & 30048 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0684 Physics & 1.0 & & 29688 & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{0694 Statistics} \\
\hline 06XX Coll. of Math \(\&\)
Phys. Sci. & 5.0 & 0.0 & 27790 & & & 1.0 & 0.0 & 26088 & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{0700 Soc. \& Beh. Sci. Adm.} \\
\hline 0711 Anthropology & 1.0 & & 22248 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0722 Economics & 1.0 & & 23808 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0733 Geography & & & & & & 1.0 & & 27408 & & \\
\hline 0744 Journalism, Sch. of & & & & & & 1.0 & & 28008 & & \\
\hline 0755 Political Sci. & 1.0 & & 20088 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 0766 Phychology & & & & & & 1.0 & & 26772 & & \\
\hline 0777 Sor iology & 1.0 & & 26808 & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
SUMMARY OF AVERAGE SALARY AND F.T.E.
(Continued)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{DEPARTMENT} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{9-MONIT} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12-MONIH} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{FTE} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{AVERA} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\frac{\overline{\text { DIFF }}}{\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{W}}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{FTE} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\frac{\text { AVERAGE SALARY }}{\mathrm{M}} \frac{\mathrm{W}}{\text { L }}\)}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\xrightarrow[\text { DI }]{\text { M }}\) FF} \\
\hline & M & W & & W & & M & W & & & \\
\hline 0788 Speech & 1.0 & & 23448 & & & & & & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 07XXX Coll. of Soc. \& } \\
& \text { Behav. Sci. }
\end{aligned}
\] & 5.0 & 0.0 & 23280 & & & 3.0 & 0.0 & 27396 & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
0900 Univ. Coll. Admin. \\
0928 Lima Campus \\
0942 Mansfield Campus \\
0956 Marion Campus \\
0971 Newark Campus
\end{tabular} & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 09XX University College & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 1000 Admin. Sci. Admin. 1014 Accourting & & & & & & 1.0 & & 26928 & & \\
\hline 1028 A.I.D. Africa & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1035 Finance 1043 Management Scienc & 1.0 & & 20892 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1050 Marketing & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1056 Div. of Cont. Edu. & & & & & & 1.0 & & 20568 & & \\
\hline 1070 Div. of Research & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1077 Public Admin. & & & & & & 1.0 & & 13200 & & \\
\hline 1084 Soc. Work, Sch, of & & & & & & 1.0 & & 26808 & & \\
\hline 10xX Coll of Admin. Sci & 1.0 & 0.0 & 20892 & & & 4.0 & 0.0 & 21876 & & \\
\hline 1100 Agr. \& Home Ec. Adm. & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1105 A.I.D. Brazil & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1114 Agr. Economics & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1118 Agr. Education & & & & & & 0.9
0.5 & & 28656 & & \\
\hline 11123 Agr. Engineering & & & & & & 0.5 & & 26424 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
SLRMARY OF AIFRACE SALARY AND F.T.F.
POR BEP:RRMENTAL GIAIRMEN
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{DEPARTIENT} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{9-M0NTIT} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12-M0.1H} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{FTE} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{AVERA} & LARY & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\frac{\overline{\text { DIFF }}}{\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{W}}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{FTE} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{AVERAGE SALARY} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\frac{\text { DIFF }}{\text { M-İ }}\)} \\
\hline & M & W & & W & & M & w & M & N & \\
\hline 1132 Animal Sci. & & & & & & 0.6 & & 25960 & & \\
\hline 1141 Dairy Sci. & & & & & & 0.6 & & 29080 & & \\
\hline 1145 Dairy Tech. & & & & & & 0.85 & & 24317 & & \\
\hline 1149 Farm Operations & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1154 Farm Sci. Review & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1158 Home Ec., Sch. of & & & & & & & 0.7 & & 25080 & \\
\hline 1163 Horticulture & & & & & & 0.5 & & 27216 & & \\
\hline 1173 Nat. Res., Sch. of & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1175 Ohio Bio. Survey & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1178 Plant Pathology & & & & & & 0.5 & & 24216 & & \\
\hline 1187 Poultry Sci. & & & & & & 0.65 & & 21738 & & \\
\hline 1192 Cent. for Voc. \& Tech. & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 11XX Coll. of Agr. \& } \\
& \text { Home. Ec. }
\end{aligned}
\] & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Home. Ec. & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 6.1 & 0.7 & 25664 & 25080 & 584 \\
\hline 1200 Education Admin. & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1221 A.I.D. India & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1240 Education & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1265 Health, Phys. Ed. \& Recreation, Sch. of & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1270 Div. of Phys. Ed. -Men & 1.0 & & 17808 & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1275 Div. of Phys. Ed.-Wom. & & 1.0 & & 17328 & & & & & & \\
\hline 12XX Coll. of Education & 1.0 & 1.0 & 17808 & 17328 & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 1400 Eng. Admin. & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 1405 Aero. \& Astro. Eng. & & & & & & 1.0 & & 29172 & & \\
\hline 1410 Arch., Sch. of & & & & & & 1.0 & & 22836 & & \\
\hline 1415 Aviation & & & & & & 1.0 & & 25128 & & \\
\hline 1420 Ceramic Eng. & & & & & & 1.0 & & 23568 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SLMMARY OF AVERAGF SALARY AND F.T.F. (Continued)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{DEPARTMENT} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{9-M NTH} & \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{12-MDNTH} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{FTE} & AVERA & LARY & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\frac{\text { DIFF }}{\text { M-W }}
\]} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{FTE} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\frac{\text { AVERAGE SALARY }}{\mathrm{M}} \frac{\mathrm{W}}{}\)}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\frac{\text { DIFF }}{M-W}
\]} \\
\hline & M & W & M & W & & M & W & & & \\
\hline 2515 Medical Microbio. & & & & & & 0.9 & & 30786 & & \\
\hline 2520 Medical Shop & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2525 Medicine & & & & & & 1.5 & & 34368 & & \\
\hline 2530 Nursing, Sch. of & & & & & & & 1.0 & & 25128 & \\
\hline 2535 Obstetrics \& Tym. & & & & & & 1.0
1.0 & & 33408 & & \\
\hline 2540 Ophthalmology & & & & & & 1.0 & & 20688 & & \\
\hline 2545 Otolaryngology & & & & & & 1.0 & & 29928 & & \\
\hline 2555 Pediatrics & & & & & & 1.0 & & 29328 & & \\
\hline 2560 Pharmacology & & & & & & 1.0 & & 23292 & & \\
\hline 2565 Phys. Medicine & & & & & & 1.0 & & 27648 & & \\
\hline 2570 Physiological Chem. & & & & & & 1.0 & & 24528 & & \\
\hline 2575 Physiology & & & & & & 1.0 & & 27768 & & \\
\hline 2580 Preventive Medicine & & & & & & 1.0 & & 28248 & & \\
\hline 2585 Psychiatry & & & & & & 1.0 & & 34367 & & \\
\hline 2590 Radiology & & & & & & 1.0 & & 31608
38280 & & \\
\hline \(\frac{2595}{25 \times X}\) Surgery Coll & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 17.8 & 1.0 & 27888 & 25128 & 2983 \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2700 Optometry Admin. & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & \\
\hline 2900 Vet. Med. Admin. & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2910 Vet. Anatomy & & & & & & 1.0 & & 23772 & & \\
\hline 2925 Vet. Clinical Sci. & & & & & & 1.0 & & 25932 & & \\
\hline 2943 Vet. Microbio. \& Par. 2954 Vet Pathology & & & & & & 1.0 & & 30768 & & \\
\hline 2954
2965 Vet. Pathology
Vet Phys. \& Pharm. & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 2976 Vet. Preventive Med. & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline 29XX Coll. of Vet. Med & 0.0 & 0.0 & & & & 3.0 & 0.0 & 26824 & & \\
\hline INIVIRSITY TOTALS & 26.0 & 4.0 & 23139 & 20259 & 2880 & 54.15 & 1.70 & 26104 & 25108 & 996 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

APPENDIX M

\section*{SURVEY OF COMMITTEES}PAGE1. INTERVIEN GUIDF - TASK PORCE 42. UNIVERSITY COUNCILS AND COMMITTEES3. OLLLECE AND SCHOOL COMMITTEE SURVEYM-64. COMMITTEE ANALYSIS BY TYPE OFOOMMITTEE WITHIN COLLEGEM-8

\section*{INTERVIEN GJIDE}

TASK PORCE 4

\section*{Ad Hoc Commi.ttee on Status of Wamen}
1. What are the criteria for selecting faculty, students, and staff for comittees in the schools, departments, colleges, etc.?
2. Are these criteria adequate for wide representation of faculty and students?
3. How recently have these criteria been reviewed?
4. What comnittees are you responsible for appointing?
5. What committees are elected by peers such as students for student comnittees, faculty for faculty committees, etc.?
6. What conmittees are selected from recamendations by students, faculty and staff?
7. Could you provide me with a list of the current members of committees from each college?
8. What total number of full time faculty do you have in your school/ department, of these how many are women?
9. What total number of students do you have in the college, of these how many are women?
10. What are the total number of staff in the college, of these how many are women?

\section*{UNIVERSITY COUNCILS AND COMMITTEES}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Councils and Committees & Men & Women & aculty
Total & Percent Nomen & & Women & Total & Percent Women \\
\hline Academic Affairs, Council on & 9 & 2 & 11 & 188 & 1 & 2 & 3 & \(67 \%\) \\
\hline Academic Freedom and Responsibility, Cormittee on & 5 & 0 & 5 & \(0 \%\) & & & & \\
\hline Academic Misconduct, Committee on & 4 & 1 & 5 & 20\% & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0\% \\
\hline Administrative Council & 28 & 0 & 28 & 0\% & & & & \\
\hline Admissions and Registration Council on & 11 & 1 & 12 & 88 & & & & \\
\hline Athletic Council & 5 & 1 & 6 & 17\% & & & *** & \\
\hline Campus Grievances, Advisory Committee on & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0\% & 1 & 3 & 4 & 75\% \\
\hline Canpus Planning Advisory Committee & 8 & 0 & 8 & \(0 \%\) & 2 & 0 & 2 & \(0 \%\) \\
\hline Commencement Committee & 17 & 2 & 19 & \(11 \%\) & 2 & 2 & 4 & 50\% \\
\hline Computer Coordinating Committee & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0\% & & & & \\
\hline Conference Conmittee of the Teaching Staff & 14 & 1 & 15 & 7\% & & & & \\
\hline Continuing Education, Administrative Advisory Committee on & 20 & 0 & 20 & 0\% & & & & \\
\hline Cultural Programs, Advisory Committee on & 10 & 2 & 12 & 17\% & 5 & 2 & 7 & \(29 \%\) \\
\hline Development Fund Board & 22 & 1 & 23 & 4\% & & & & \\
\hline Bistinguished Teaching Alumni Awards Committee for & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0\% & 3 & 3 & 6 & 50\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Councils and Committees} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Students
Men Women Total}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women}} \\
\hline & & Nomen & Total & & & & & & & \\
\hline Engineering Experiment Station, Advisory Council & 8 & 0 & 8 & \(0 \%\) & 2 & & 0 & 2 & & 0\% \\
\hline Faculty Advisory Committee to President and Trustees & 7 & 0 & 7 & 08 & & & & & & \\
\hline Faculty Council + & 132 & 15 & 147 & 10\% & 26 & & 7 & 33 & & \(21 \%\) \\
\hline Graduate Council + & 59 & 0 & 59 & 08 & & & & & & \\
\hline Honorary Degrees, Committee on & 5 & 0 & 5 & 08 & & & & & & \\
\hline Housing Appeals Panel & 2 & 0 & 2 & 08 & 1 & & 0 & 1 & & \(0 \%\) \\
\hline Instruction and Research Computer Center Advisory Committee & 12 & 0 & 12 & 08 & & & & & & \\
\hline Learning Resources Computer Center Advisory Committee & 15 & 0 & 15 & 08 & & & & & & \\
\hline Library Council & 10 & 0 & 10 & 08 & 0 & 2 & 2 & & 10 & 100\% \\
\hline Mershon Canmittee on Education in National Security & 7 & 0 & 7 & 08 & & & & & & \\
\hline New Faculty Orientation & 5 & 0 & 5 & \(0 \%\) & & & & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
OSU Association Alumi \\
- Advisory Board
\end{tabular} & 24 & 3 & 27 & 11\% & & & & & & \\
\hline OSU Association Board of Directors & 8 & 1 & 9 & 9 11\% & & & & & & \\
\hline OSU Research Foundation Board of Directors & 19 & 0 & 19 & 08 & & & & & & \\
\hline Ohio Union Council & 6 & 1 & 7 & 7148 & 6 & & 3 & & * & 33\% \\
\hline Open Housirig Panel & 6 & 0 & 6 & 608 & 3 & & \(\theta\) & & 3*** & 0\% \\
\hline Parking and Traffic, Board of Appeals for & 2 & 2 & :4 & \(450 \%\) & & & 0 & & & 0\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Councils and Conmittees & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Men Women Total} & Percent Women & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Students
Men Women Total} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Percent Women} \\
\hline Parking and Traffic, Commission on & 10 & 1 & 11 & 94 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 08 \\
\hline Patent Advisory Comnittee & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0\% & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 0\% \\
\hline Placement and Related Services Committee & 13 & 8 & 21 & \(38 \%\) & & & & & \\
\hline Program Comnittee Faculty Council & 4 & 1 & 5 & 60\% & & & & & \\
\hline Radiation Safety Comremittee & 13 & 0 & 13 & \(0 \%\) & & & & & \\
\hline Recognitions, Camnittee on & 5 & 3 & 8 & 388 & & & & & \\
\hline Research Council & 23 & 1 & 24 & 48 & 1 & 1 & & 2* & 50\% \\
\hline Rules, Committee on & 3 & 0 & 3 & \(0 \%\) & & & & & \\
\hline Scnolarship and Loan Committee & 12 & 0 & 12 & \(0 \%\) & 2 & 1 & & 3 & 33\% \\
\hline Selective Service Advisory Comni ttee & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0\% & & & & & \\
\hline Student Advisory Committee: & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline To the President & & & & & 7 & 2 & & 9 & \(22 \%\) \\
\hline To the Vice President for Academic Affairs & & & & & 2 & 3 & 3 & 5 & 60\% \\
\hline To the Exeautive Vice President & & & & & 4 & 3 & 3 & 7 & 438 \\
\hline To the Vice President for Business and Finance & & & & & 16 & 1 & 1 & 17 & 6\% \\
\hline To the Vice Presi* dent for Student Affairs & & & & & 6 & 6 & 1 & 7 & 14\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{Footnotes:}
+ Includes alternates
* One to be appointed
:.** Three yet to be appointed
Tam：Force 4
Tact，Force 4
allege and School Committee Summary
Total
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Task Force 4
Committee Analysis

\section*{College of Administrative Science}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Percent } \\
& \text { Woinn }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Wamen & Total & & Men & Women & Total & \\
\hline M.B.A. Program & & & 9 & & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\
\hline Executive Comm & & & 13 & & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
\hline Faculty Senate & & & 16 & & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\
\hline College Personnel & & & 9 & & - & - & - & - \\
\hline University Judical Panel & & & 2 & & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Quantitative Analysis & & & 13 & & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Resamrch & & & 8 & & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
\hline Scholarship \& Financial Assistance & & & 6 & & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
\hline Doctoral Programs & & & 9 & & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\
\hline M. Accounting & & & 8 & & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline B.S. in Bus Admin. & & & 9 & & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\
\hline Coldege Relations & & & 6 & & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
\hline Continuing Ed. & & & 7 & & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Faculty Elections & & & 7 & & - & - & - & - \\
\hline International Program & & & 6 & & - & - & * & - \\
\hline Library Corm & & & 8 & & 3 & 1 & 4 & 25\% \\
\hline Total & & & 136 & & 31 & 1 & 32 & 3\% \\
\hline Students and Faculty in the College & \[
105
\] & 10 & 115 & \(9 \%\) & 2288 & 132 & 2420 & 5\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Task Force 4
Committee Analysis
College of Agriculture \& Home Economics
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent
Women} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Nen & Women & Total & & Men & Women & Total & \\
\hline Agr Student Magazine & 9 & 2 & 11 & \(18 \%\) & 4 & 1 & 5 & 20\% \\
\hline Annual Off Campus Meetirg-College Exec Comen & 7 & 1 & 8 & \(13 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Awards for Environ Improvements & 7 & 1 & 8 & \(13 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Reception for New Faculty & 7 & 2 & 9 & 22\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Exhibits \(\mathbb{G}\) New Uses of Mass Media & 18 & 3 & 21 & \(14 \%\) & 1 & 1 & 2 & 50\% \\
\hline Faculty Affairs & 10 & 2 & 12 & 17\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Farm Science Review Program \& Policy & 13 & 1 & 14 & 7\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Field \& Special Days & 17 & 1 & 18 & 6\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Food Tecinnology & 7 & 3 & 10 & \(30 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Grad Ed \& Research & 17 & 2 & 19 & \(11 \%\) & \% & 1 & 3 & 33\% \\
\hline Honorary Degrees & 5 & 1 & 6 & 17\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Long Range Plan & 11 & 1 & 12 & \(8 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Pesticide Safety & 48 & 2 & \(50^{\circ}\) & 4\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline President's Club & 11 & 2 & 13 & 15\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Publications & 9 & 2 & 11 & 18\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Research \& Training Programs involving human subjects & 8 & 3 & 11 & 278 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Agr Library & 6 & 1 & 7 & 148 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Task Force 4
Committee Analysis
College of Agriculture \& Hame Economics
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Women & Toral & & Men & Women & \(\underline{2}\) otal & \\
\hline Feeder Calf Show \& Sale & 14 & 0 & 14 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Forage Crops \& Forage Evaluation & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Fruit Industry & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Greenhouse Industry & 12 & 0 & 12 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Honors & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Instructions & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Nutrition Form & 6 & 0 & \(\delta\) & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Petitions \& Dismissais & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Radioisotopes & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Recruitment & 15 & 0 & 15 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 33\% \\
\hline Tri State All Electric Fam Show & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline UG Acad. Advising & 16 & 0 & 16 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Water Resources & 18 & 0 & 18 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Ohio Agr RED Center- \({ }^{-}\) & & & & & , & & & \\
\hline Conference & 8 & 1 & 9 & 1i\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Grounds & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Library & 7 & 1 & 8 & 138 & - & - & * & - \\
\hline Total & 372 & 32 & 404 & 8\% & 14 & 4 & 18 & \(22^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\) \\
\hline Students \& Faculty in the College & 166 & 51 & 217 & 24\% & 1474 & 108 & 1582 & 7\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Task Force 4
Cormittee Analysis
College of the Arts
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Canmittee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Momen} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Women & Total & & Men & Women & Total & \\
\hline Curriculum & 5 & 2 & 7 & \(29 \%\) & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Editorial Board for the Journal & 4 & 2 & 6 & \(33 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline College Faculty Council & 10 & 2 & 12 & 17\% & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\
\hline Interdepartmental Prog & 3 & 1 & 4 & \(25 \%\) & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Intemational Prog & 2 & 2 & 4 & 50\% & 0 & 1 & 1 & 100\% \\
\hline Productions \& Exhibitions & 4 & 3 & 7 & 43\% & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Recruitment of Minority Groups & 1 & 1 & 2 & 50\% & 3 & 1 & 4 & \(25 \%\) \\
\hline Research & 7 & 1 & 8 & \(12 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline ASC Faculty Senate & 6 & 1 & 7 & \(14 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & 42 & 15 & 57 & \(26 \%\) & 9 & 2 & 11 & 18\% \\
\hline Students and Faculty in the College & 105 & 28 & 133 & \(21 \%\) & 351 & 438 & 789 & 56: \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Task Force 4
Conmittee Analysis
College of Biological Sciences
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Cormittee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Students} & \\
\hline & Men & Women & Tctal & & Men & Women & Total & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Percent } \\
& \text { Women }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Mdvisory Conm for UG, Instruction in Biology & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Centennial Comm & 6 & 0 & \({ }^{6}\) & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Coordinating Advisors & 7 & 1 & 8 & 13\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Honors Aċvisors & 10 & 0 & 10 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline llonorary Degrees \& Awards & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Student Concerns & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 8 & 6 & 14 & 43\% \\
\hline Curriculum & 9 & 1 & 10 & 10\% & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Electron Microscope & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Fxecutive & 11 & 0 & 11 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline L.ibrary & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Research & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 100\% \\
\hline Total & 88 & 2 & 90 & \(2 \%\) & 10 & 7 & 17 & 41\% \\
\hline Students and Faculty in the College & 95 & 4 & 99 & 48 & 6079 & 2669 & 8748 & 31\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Task Force 4 Conmittee Analysis \\ College of Dentistry}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Conmittee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Wamen} \\
\hline & Men & Women & Total & & Men & Women Total & \\
\hline Clinic Efficiency & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & & & \\
\hline College Relations & 5 & 1 & 6 & 178 & & & \\
\hline Ourriculum & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Dean's Advisory & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Executive & 20 & 1 & 21 & 5\% & & & \\
\hline Examination & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Faculty Party & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Flower & 2 & 1 & 3 & 338 & & No Students L & Listed \\
\hline Graduate & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Library & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Post College Assembly & 10 & 0 & 10 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Records & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Research Advisory & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Retreat & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Student Clinic & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Student Relations & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Student Scholarship \& Loan & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Total & . 112 & 3 & 115 & 3\% & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Task Force 4 Comnittee Analysis

\section*{Education}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Committee & Men & Wamen & Total & Women & Men & Kimen & Total & Women \\
\hline Executive & 27 & 2 & 29 & 78 & 1 & 1 & 2 & \(50 \%\) \\
\hline Program & 10 & 2 & 12 & 17\% & 3 & 2 & 5 & 40\% \\
\hline Personnel & 4 & 4 & 8 & \(50 \%\) & 1 & 4 & 5 & 808 \\
\hline Assessment & 5 & 1 & 6 & 178 & 3 & 2 & 5 & \(40 \%\) \\
\hline Faculty Senate & 24 & 6 & 30 & \(20 \%\) & 6 & 5 & 11 & 45\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcccccccc}
\hline Total & 70 & 15 & 85 & \(18 \%\) & 14 & 14 & 28 & \(50 \%\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Students and \\
Faculty in College
\end{tabular} & 144 & 47 & 191 & \(25 \%\) & 1,919 & 4,275 & 5,194 & \(69 \%\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Task Force IV \\ Conmittee Analysis \\ College of Engineering}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students*} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Women & Total & & Men & Wamen & Total & \\
\hline Engineering Instruction & 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 2 & \\
\hline Chemistry liason & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & & & 2 & \\
\hline Physics liason & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & & & 2 & \\
\hline Math liasorı & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & & & 2 & \\
\hline Executive & 11 & 0 & 11 & 0 & & & - & \\
\hline Eng Exper Station & 8 & 0 & \(\delta\) & 0 & & & 2 & \\
\hline High School Conf & 19 & 0 & 19 & 0 & & & 2 & \\
\hline Honors & 12 & 0 & 12 & 0 & & & 2 & \\
\hline Honoraxy Degrees & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & & & 2 & \\
\hline Irregular Students & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & & & 1 & \\
\hline Professional Degrees & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & & & 1 & \\
\hline Studeni Publications & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & & & 5 & \\
\hline Reinstatements \(£\) Dismissals & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & & & 1 & \\
\hline Research & 13 & 0 & 13 & 0 & & & 3 & \\
\hline Safety & 21 & 0 & 21 & 0 & & & 2 & \\
\hline Scholarships \& Fellowships & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & & & 2 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
* None of the students vere appointed at the time the conmittee list was prepared. The numbers in this column are the numbers of students to be appointed.
}

> Task Force 4
> Comsinttee Analysis
> College of Engineering (Continued)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculcy} & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Students*} & \multirow{3}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Women & Total & Women & Men Women & Total & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Advisory, and coordinating Comitices} & \\
\hline Speaking Society & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & & 2 & \\
\hline Biomedical Engineering & 19 & 0 & 19 & 0 & & 2 & \\
\hline Review Board on use of thunan Subjects & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & & 2 & \\
\hline Water Resources Center & 16 & 0 & 16 & 0 & & 2 & \\
\hline Reactor Operation & 12 & 0 & 12 & 0 & & 2 & \\
\hline Graduate & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & & 2 & \\
\hline Total & 209 & 0 & 209 & 0 & & 43 & \\
\hline Students and Faculty in the College & 224 & 1 & 225 & 0 & & 2099 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* None of the students were appointed at the \(t=2\) the committee list was prepared. The numbers in this colum are the numbers of students to be appointed.

Task Force 4
Committee Analysis
College of Humanities
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Women & Total & & Men & Women & Total & \\
\hline Executive Committee & 8 & 2 & 10 & \(20 \%\) & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\
\hline Curriculum & 5 & 1 & 6 & 178 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 50\% \\
\hline Dean's Advisory Corm & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 25\% \\
\hline Research & 4 & 3 & 7 & 43\% & 1 & 0 & \(1+\) & 0 \\
\hline Teacher Preparation & 10 & 0 & 10 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 4 & \(75 \%\) \\
\hline International Programs & 2 & 1 & 3 & 338 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 50\% \\
\hline Library & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & \(33 \%\) \\
\hline Total & 37 & 7 & 44 & \(16 \%\) & 15 & 6 & 21 & 29\% \\
\hline Students \& Faculty* in the Coilege & 186 & 42 & 228 & \(18 \%\) & 6079 & 2669 & 8748 & 31\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\dagger\) One more student to be appointed

\footnotetext{
* College of Aits and Sciences
}

Task Force 4
Comnittee: ralysis
College of Law
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Vomen} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Women & Total & & Men & Women Total & \\
\hline Clinical Programs & 4 & 1 & 5 & \(20 \%\) & & & \\
\hline Student Participation & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & & & \\
\hline College Size & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Minority Groups & 2 & 1 & 3 & 3.8 & & & \\
\hline Scheduling & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Grades & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Interdisciplinary Programs & 4 & 1 & 5 & 2n* & & & \\
\hline Adnissions, Scholarships \(\mathcal{G}\) Prelegal Advisement & 2 & 1 & 3 & 33\% & & & \\
\hline First Year Program & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & & None Listed & \\
\hline Second Year Program & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Third Year Program & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Faculty Appointments, Promotion \& Tenure & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Faculty Research \& Library & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Publication \& Public Lectures & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Executive Committee & 6 & 1 & 7 & 144 & & & \\
\hline Total & 57 & 5 & 62 & \(8 \%\) & - & - - & - \\
\hline Students and Faculty in College & 22 & 1 & 23 & 48 & 418 & \(28 \quad 446\) & 6\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\author{
Trask Force 4 \\ Committee Analysis \\ College of Mathematics \& Physical Sciences
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Students} & \\
\hline & Men & Wamen & Totai & & Men & Women & Total & Women \\
\hline Curriculum & 8 & 1 & 9 & \(11 \%\) & 6 & 1 & 7 & 14\% \\
\hline Environmental Science & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & - & - & . & - \\
\hline Executive & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Faculty Council Rep & 12 & 0 & 12. & 0 & - & \(\cdots\) & - & - \\
\hline Faculty Advisory Comm. to Honors Program & 1 & 1 & 2 & \(50 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Library & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Research & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Safety & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Public Relations & 0 & 1 & 1 & 100\% & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline Affimative Action & 0 & 1 & 1 & 100\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Student Council & - & - & - & - & 9 & 2 & 11 & 18\% \\
\hline Total & 56 & 4 & 60 & 78 & 15 & 3 & 18 & 17\% \\
\hline Students* and Faculty in the College & 194 & 4 & 198 & \(2 \%\) & 6079 & 2669 & 8798 & 31\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*College of Arts and Sciences

Task Force 4
Conmittee Analysis

\section*{Coilege of Medicine}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Wamen & Total & & Men & Women & Total & \\
\hline Administrative & 11 & 1 & 12 & 84 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Executive & 27 & 1 & 28 & 48 & \(\bullet\) & - & - & - \\
\hline Awards \& Honors & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Alumi Day & 5 & 1 & 6 & 17\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Appointments, promotions Tenure & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Qurriculum & 24 & 0 & 24 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Post M. D. Educ & 19 & 0 & 19 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Grad Educ & 8 & 1 & 9 & \(11 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Pilot School & 15 & 2 & 17 & 12\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Vet Admin & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Medical Isotope & 10 & 0 & 10 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Research & 18 & 2 & 20 & 10\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Medicine Evaluation & 11 & 1 & 12 & \(8 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Evaluation Subcommittee for Phase I & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & & & & --- \\
\hline Fivaluation Subconnittee for Phase II & 8 & 1 & 9 & 138 & - & ---- & *----- & ---- \\
\hline Evaluation Subcoumittee for Phase III & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & & ---- & *---- & --- \\
\hline Evaluation Subcomnittee for Phase IV & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & & - & -*--- & --- \\
\hline Facuity Council Reps & 12 & 6 & 18 & 33\% & - & - & \(\bullet\) & - \\
\hline Total & 213 & 16 & 229 & 7\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Students \& Faculty in the College & 397 & 130 & 527 & \(25 \%\) & 769 & 63 & 830 & 7\% \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{* One student to be appointed \(\quad 348\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Task Force 4
Committee Analysis
College of Optometry
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Wamen} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students} & \\
\hline Committee & Men & Women & Total & & Men & Women & Total & Percent Wamen \\
\hline Executive & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Library & 3 & 1 & 4 & \(25 \&\) \\
Clinic & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\
Curriculum & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\
Counseling \& Placement & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
Development Fund & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\
Aniseikonia \& & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
Anismetropia Corm & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
Orthoptics and & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0
\end{tabular}

Vision Training
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Building & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\
Opthalmic Optics & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Geometrical-Physical \\
Optics
\end{tabular} & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Practice Management & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
Faculty Affairs & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\
Besearch & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\
Graduate' & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\
Pubiic Heal th Optometry & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
Contact Lens & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 \\
Dispensary & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\
Low Vision & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
Centennial & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\
Continuing Education & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\
Adriissions & 4 & 1 & 5 & \(20 \%\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lrllllllll} 
United Appeal & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & & & \\
\hline Total & 89 & 2 & 91 & \(2 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Students \& Faculty in \\
College
\end{tabular} & 18 & 1 & 19 & \(5 \%\) & 180 & 5 & 185 & \(7 \%\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Committee & Men & Women & Total & Women & Men & Women & Total & Wumen \\
\hline Admissions & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Alumii & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Building Space & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Colloquim & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
\hline Committees & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\
\hline Continuing Education & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1. & 0 \\
\hline Curriculum & 8 & 1 & 9 & 118 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Executive Comittee & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 \\
\hline Graduate Committee & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Library & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
\hline Recruitment & 4 & 2 & 6 & 338 & 0 & 2 & 2 & \(100 \%\) \\
\hline Research & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & - & - - & - & - \\
\hline Total & 70 & 3 & 73 & 48 & 20 & 2 & 22 & \(9 \%\) \\
\hline Faculty and Students in College & 27 & 5 & 32 & \(16 \%\) & 118 & 43 & 161 & \(27 \%\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Task Force 4 Comnittee Analysis \\ College of Social and Pehavioral Sciences}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Wlamen & Total & & Men & Wamen & Total & \\
\hline Executive Cammittee & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline ASC Senate Rep. & 7 & 1 & 8 & 138 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Univ. Judicial Panel & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Faculty Council & 12 & 0 & 12 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Ouxriculum & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 7 & 43\% \\
\hline Facilities & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 6 & 17\% \\
\hline Honors Advisory & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Research & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 50\% \\
\hline Program & 7 & 1 & 8 & 138 & 6 & 2 & 8 & 25\% \\
\hline Coordinating Advisor & 6 & 2 & 8 & \(25 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline U.G. Student Senate & - & - & - & - & 12 & 4 & 16 & 25\% \\
\hline Grad. Student Rep. & - & - & - & \(\cdots\) & . 8 & 8 & 16 & 50\% \\
\hline Tota: & 74 & 4 & 78 & \(5 \%\) & 34 & 24 & 58 & 41\% \\
\hline Students \& Faculty in the College & 181 & 13 & 194 & 78 & 6079* & * 2669 & 8748 & 31\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
*College of Arts \(\&\) Sciences
}

\section*{Task Force 4 Comittee Analysis}

College of Veterinary Medicine
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Women & Tctal & & Men & Women & Total & \\
\hline Executive Conm & 17 & 0 & 17 & 0 & - & - & * & - \\
\hline 'rospital Board & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Council on Education & 17 & 0 & 17 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 (1) & 0 \\
\hline Graduate Education & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Advisory Comm to Canpus Planning & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Sperial Events & 4 & \({ }^{1}(2)\) & 5 & 208 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Research & 3 & 0 & 3 (1) & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline 1 ibrary & 2 & 2 & 4 & \(50 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Laboratory Safety & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Adrlissions & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Citations \& Honors & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Faculty Council Rep. & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ccccccccc}
\hline Total & 71 & 3 & 74 & \(4 \%\) & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Four to be appointed
(1) One more to be appointed
(2) Social Chairman of Faculty Wives Association

Task Force 4
Conmittee Analysis
School of Allied Madical Professions
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Conmittee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Women & Total & & Men & Women & Total & \\
\hline Executive & 19 & 6 & 25 & 248 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Academic Affairs & 6 & 10 & 16 & 638 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline CentenniEl and Dedication & 8 & 7 & 15 & 47\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Continuing Education \& Grants Committee & 6 & 12 & 18 & 678 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Curriculum & 7 & 8 & 15 & 538 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 100\% \\
\hline Appointments, Promotions \& Tenure & 0 & 5 & 5 & 100\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Graduate & 2 & 4 & 6 & 678 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Physical Facilities & 12 & 3 & 15 & 20\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Student Affairs & 2 & 6 & 8 & 75\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & 62 & 61 & 123 & 50\% & 0 & 1 & 1 & 100\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
Task Force 4 Comittee Analysis \\ School of Allied Medical Professions (Continued)
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Professional Advisory Committee to:} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Womizn} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Wanen} \\
\hline & Men & Wamen & Total & & Nen & Wonven & Total & \\
\hline Circulation Technology & 5 & 1 & 6 & 178 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Hospital \& Health Services Administration & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Medical Commanications & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Medical Dietetics & 4 & 1 & 5 & 208 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Medical Illustration & 4 & 1 & 5 & \(20 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Medical Technology & 5 & 3 & 3 & 387 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Nurse Anesthesia & 4 & 1 & 5 & \(20 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Occupational Therapy & 5 & 1 & 6 & \(17 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Physical Therapy & 5 & 2 & 7 & 298 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Medical Records & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Radiologic Technology & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Inhalation Therapy & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 : & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Total & 55 & 10 & 65 & 158 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Grand Total & 117 & 71 & 188 & 388 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Students and Faculty in College & 10 & 3 & 13 & \(23 \%\) & 39 & 253 & 292 & 878 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Task Force 4 Conmittee Analysis \\ School of Architecture}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Wc:ien & Total & & Men & Women & Total & \\
\hline Faculty Comm & 16 & 10 & 16 & 0 & 6 & 0 & \(\dot{6}\) & 0 \\
\hline Public Relations & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Library & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Grad Conmittee & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline Construction & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
\hline Design & 7 & 0 & 7 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\
\hline Landscape Arch Council & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\
\hline Landscape Arch Ad Hoc Curriculum & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline City Planring Student Faculty Comm & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllllllll}
\hline Total & 42 & 0 & 42 & 0 & 24 & 0 & 24 & 0 \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Students \& \\
Faculty in School
\end{tabular} & 16 & 0 & 16 & \(70 \%\) & 271 & 10 & 281 & 48
\end{tabular}

\section*{Task Force 4}

Committee Analysis
School of Journalism

\begin{tabular}{lllllllll}
\hline Total & 32 & 4 & 36 & \(11 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Students \& \\
Faculty in College
\end{tabular} & 19 & 1 & 20 & \(5 \%\) & - & - & - & -
\end{tabular}

Task Rorce 4 Committee Analysis

School of Music
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Worren} \\
\hline & Mien & Women & Total & & Men & Women & Total & \\
\hline Administrative & 10 & c & 10 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \(1^{*}\) & 0 \\
\hline Faculty & 10 & 1. & 11 & 9\% & - & - & - \(\dagger\) & - \\
\hline Graduate & 7 & ] & 8 & 13\% & 1 & 1 & 2 & 50\% \\
\hline Undergrad Entrance & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & - & - & -* & - \\
\hline Cärriculum & 2 & 2 & 4 & 50\% & - & - & -* & - \\
\hline Awards & 1 & 2 & 3 & \(67 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Library & 3 & 1 & 4 & 25\% & - & - & -* & - \\
\hline United Appeal & 0 & 1 & 1 & 100\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Reps to College of the Arts Fac Council & 6 & 2 & 8 & 25\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Arts \& Sciences Faculty Council & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline International Program & 0 & 1 & 1 & 100\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Student Affairs & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & 10 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcccccccc}
\hline Total & 47 & 11 & 58 & \(19 \%\) & 2 & 1 & 3 & \(33 \%\) \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Students \\
Faculty in School
\end{tabular} & 47 & 11 & 58 & \(19 \%\) & 351 & 438 & 789 & \(56 \%\)
\end{tabular}
** College of Arts
* One more student to be appointed
\(\dagger\) Two students to be appointed

\author{
Task Force 4 \\ ramittee Analysis
}

School of Natural Resources
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Wcmen} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Women & Total & & Men & Wumen & Total & \\
\hline Advisory Cormittee & 12 & 0 & 12 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Alumini Advisory & 10 & 0 & 10 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Barneby Center & 12 & 0 & 1.2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 33.8 \\
\hline Coordinating Ackisor & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Curriculum & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 5 & 20\% \\
\hline Executive Committee & 6 & 2 & 6 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Ferulty Seminar & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & - & - & \(\bullet\) & - \\
\hline L. srary & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 25\% \\
\hline Publications & 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Research & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Scholarships \& Fellowships & 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Social & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Building \& Space & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 33\% \\
\hline Graduate & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
\hline Total & 85 & 0 & 85 & 0 & 13 & 4 & 17 & 24\% \\
\hline Students * and Faculty in College & 9 & 0 & 9 & 0\% & 1,474 & 108 & 1,582 & 7\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
* College of Agriculture
}

\section*{Task Force 4 Conmittee Analysis \\ School of Nursing}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Committee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Women & Total & & Men & Women & Total & \\
\hline Executive & 0 & 9 & 9 & 100\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Admissions & 1 & 3 & 4 & 75 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Graduate Education & 0 & 10 & 10 & 100\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Research & 0 & 6 & 6 & 100\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline U G Education & 0 & 11 & 11 & 100\% & 0 & 4 & 4 & 100\% \\
\hline Continuing Ed Comm Radio-Telephone & 0 & 11 & 11 & \(100 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Student Prograss & 0 & 4 & 4 & 100\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Recruitment & 0 & 6 & 6 & 100\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Rep to Health Center Library & 0 & 1 & 1 & 100\% & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Appointment, Promot \& Tenure & \[
15,{ }_{0}
\] & 3 & 3 & \(100 \%\) & - & - & - & - \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcccccccc}
\hline Total & 1 & 64 & 65 & \(98 \%\) & 0 & 4 & 4 & \(100 \%\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
Students and \\
Faculty in College
\end{tabular} & 1 & 63 & 64 & \(98 \%\) & 4 & 454 & 458 & \(99 \%\)
\end{tabular}

Task Force 4 Comittee Analysis

Graduate School Committees
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Cormittee} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Faculty} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Percent } \\
& \text { Homen } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Students} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent Women} \\
\hline & Men & Wowen & Tctal & & Men & Women & Total & \\
\hline Exeartive Comm & 10 & 0 & 10 & 0 & - & - & - & \(\bullet\) \\
\hline Curriculum Corm & 10 & 0 & 10 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Policy \& Standands & 10 & 0 & 10 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Fellowships & 8 & 0 & 8 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Graduate Advisory Common Engineering & 4 & 0 & 4 & 0 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Admiss. Requirements for Minority Students & 10 & 1 & 11 & 98 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline Graduate Assistants & 9 & 1 & 10 & 104 & - & - & - & - \\
\hline TOTAL & 61 & 2 & 63 & 3\% & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Students \& Faculty
in School
508222017283
30\%```


[^0]:    Four changes were made in the original committee of seven before the actual project was operating. Two members were added; two were substituted.

[^1]:    Source: Registrar's Data 1969-70

[^2]:    (6) Report on Higher Education, Frank Newman, Inpublished Report, March 1971.

[^3]:    (7) Faculty Appointment Promotion and Tenure, The Ohio State University, July, 1962.

[^4]:    (1g) Fact sheet on the earnings gap, U. S. Dept. of Labor Women's Bureau Washington. D. C., 1970, p. 1.
    (14) Fact sheet on the earnings gap, U. S. Dept. of Labor Women's Bureau, Washington, D. C., 197C, p. 1. Ibid

