



\$~19

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(OS) 1304/2004 +

BHUSHAN STEELS AND STRIPS LTD.

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rajender Agarwal, Adv

Versus

..... Defendants METCO MARKETING (I) P. LTD. AND ANR. Through: Mr. A. C. Boxipatro, proxy counsel for Mr Apruv Kurup, Adv for D-2.

CORAM: SH. RAJ KUMAR TRIPATHI (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) ORDER % 23.08.2017

No PW present.

Evidence by way of affidavit of PW- Ompal Vig is already on record.

Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that witness has left the services of plaintiff company and his present whereabouts are to be ascertained. He further submits that in case the witness is not found, or his address is not located then the affidavit of some other person is to be filed in place of Ompal Vig. Thus, request is made to adjourn the case today.

Not opposed.

As prayed on behalf of plaintiff, matter stands adjourned.

Renotify the matter for plaintiff's evidence on 19.12.2017.

The affidavit of other witnesses of plaintiff, if any be filed within four weeks from today with advance copy to counsel for defendants. This shall be last and final opportunity for completion of





plaintiff's evidence.

SH. RAJ KUMAR TRIPATHI (DHJS) JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

AUGUST 23, 2017 sk