CITY SECRETARY DALLAS. TEXAS

\author{

# 240043 <br> <br> DALLAS, TX 

 <br> City of Dallas}

REVISED - BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT (PANEL A)
January $16^{\mathrm{th}}, 2024$, Briefing at 10:30 A.M. and the Public Hearing at 1:00 P.M. Dallas City Hall, L1FN AUDITORIUM and Videoconference

Video Conference Link: https://bit.ly/boa0116-A
Telephone: (408) 418-9388, Access Code: 325527

The City of Dallas will make Reasonable Accommodations/Modifications to programs and/or other related activities to ensure any and all residents have access to services and resources to ensure an equitable and inclusive meeting. Anyone requiring auxiliary aid, service, and/or translation to fully participate in the meeting should notify the Board of Adjustment by calling (214) 670-4127 three (3) business days prior to the scheduled meeting. A video stream of the meeting will be available twentyfour (24) hours after adjournment by visiting https://dallastx.new.swagit.com/views/113.
Individuals and interested parties wishing to speak must register with the Board of Adjustment at https://bit.ly/BDA-A-Register by the close of business Monday, January $15^{\text {th }}, 2024$. In Person speakers can register at the hearing.

La Ciudad de Dallas llevará a cabo Adecuaciones/Modificaciones Razonables a los programas y/u otras actividades relacionadas para asegurar que todos y cada uno de los residentes tengan acceso a los servicios y recursos para asegurar una reunión equitativa e inclusiva. Cualquier persona que requiera asistencia adicional, servicio y/o interpretación para poder participar de forma íntegra en la reunión debe notificar a Junta de Ajustes llamando al (214) 6704127 tres (3) días hábiles antes de la reunión programada. Una transmisión en video de la reunión estará disponible dos días hábiles luego de la finalización de la reunión en https://dallastx.new.swagit.com/views/113.

Las personas y las partes interesadas que deseen hacer uso de la palabra deben registrarse en Junta de Ajustes en at https://bit.ly/BDA-A-Register antes de cierre de oficina el Lunes, 15 de Enero, 2024. Las personas que deseen hablar en persona se pueden registrar en la Audiencia.

## AGENDA - REVISED

I. Call to Order
II. Staff Presentation/Briefing
III. Public Hearing

Board of Adjustment
IV. Public Testimony
V. Miscellaneous Items -

## VI. Case Docket

- Uncontested Items
- Holdover Items
- Individual Items


## VII. Adjournment

## Handgun Prohibition Notice for Meetings of Governmental Entities

"Pursuant to Section 30.06, Penal Code (trespass by license holder with a concealed handgun), a person licensed under Subchapter H, Chapter 411, Government Code (handgun licensing law), may not enter this property with a concealed handgun."
"De acuerdo con la sección $\mathbf{3 0 . 0 6}$ del código penal (ingreso sin autorización de un titular de una licencia con una pistola oculta), una persona con licencia según el subcapítulo h, capítulo 411, código del gobierno (ley sobre licencias para portar pistolas), no puede ingresar a esta propiedad con una pistola oculta."
"Pursuant to Section 30.07, Penal Code (trespass by license holder with an openly carried handgun), a person licensed under Subchapter H, Chapter 411, Government Code (handgun licensing law), may not enter this property with a handgun that is carried openly."
"De acuerdo con la sección 30.07 del código penal (ingreso sin autorización de un titular de una licencia con una pistola a la vista), una persona con licencia según el subcapítulo h, capítulo 411, código del gobierno (ley sobre licencias para portar pistolas), no puede ingresar a esta propiedad con una pistola a la vista."
"Pursuant to Section 46.03, Penal Code (places weapons prohibited), a person may not carry a firearm or other weapon into any open meeting on this property."
"De conformidad con la Sección 46.03, Código Penal (coloca armas prohibidas), una persona no puede llevar un arma de fuego u otra arma a ninguna reunión abierta en esta propriedad."

## EXECUTIVE SESSION NOTICE

A closed executive session may be held if the discussion of any of the above agenda items concerns one of the following:

1. seeking the advice of its attorney about pending or contemplated litigation, settlement offers, or any matter in which the duty of the attorney to the City Council under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of Texas clearly conflicts with the Texas Open Meetings Act. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.071]
2. deliberating the purchase, exchange, lease, or value of real property if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.072]
3. deliberating a negotiated contract for a prospective gift or donation to the city if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the city in negotiations with a third person. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.073]
4. deliberating the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline, or dismissal of a public officer or employee; or to hear a complaint or charge against an officer or employee unless the officer or employee who is the subject of the deliberation or hearing requests a public hearing. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.074]
5. deliberating the deployment, or specific occasions for implementation, of security personnel or devices. [Tex. Govt. Code §551.076]
6. discussing or deliberating commercial or financial information that the city has received from a business prospect that the city seeks to have locate, stay or expand in or near the city and with which the city is conducting economic development negotiations; or deliberating the offer of a financial or other incentive to a business prospect. [Tex Govt. Code §551.087]
7. deliberating security assessments or deployments relating to information resources technology, network security information, or the deployment or specific occasions for implementations of security personnel, critical infrastructure, or security devices. [Tex Govt. Code §551.089]

## UNCONTESTED CASE(S)

| BDA234-005(KMH) | 4701 S. Denley Drive <br> REQUEST: Application of Erick Bonilla for (1) a variance to <br> the front-yard setback regulations. | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BDA234-008(DB) | 5433 E. Grand Avenue Suite B <br> REQUEST: Application of Baldwin <br> variance to the parking regulations. | Associates for (1) a |

## HOLDOVER

| BDA212-078(KMH) | 5526 E.R.L Thornton Frwy. | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | REQUEST: Application of Audra Buckley for (1) a special <br> exception to the parking regulations, for (2) a special <br> exception to the Landscape and tree preservation <br> regulations. |  |
| BDA223-097(KMH) | 2764 Catherine Street |  |
|  | REQUEST: Application of Robert Smith for (1) a special <br> exception to the fence height regulations, and for (2) a | 6 |
| special exception to the 20-foot visibility obstruction <br> regulations, and for (3) a special exception to the 20-foot <br> visibility obstruction regulations. |  |  |

## INDIVIDUAL CASES

BDA223-107_FR1 5524 Vickery Boulevard
REQUEST: Application of Michael Vann to request reimbursement for an appeal of the decision of the administrative official.

BDA234-003(KMH)
715 W. Redbird Lane
REQUEST: Application of Leticia Dorsey for (1) a variance to the side-yard setback regulations.

# Board of Adjustment Agenda <br> Tuesday, January $16^{\text {th }}, 2024$ 

| BDA234-006(DB) | 2000 Euclid Street <br> REQUEST: Application of Baldwin Associates for (1) a <br> variance to the front- yard setback regulations, and for (2) a <br> special exception to the fence height regulations, and for (3) <br> a special exception to the fence opacity standards <br> regulations, and for (4) a special exception to the visibility <br> obstruction regulations. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BDA234-006_FR1 | 2000 Euclid Avenue |  |
| REQUEST: Application of Baldwin Associates requesting a <br> fee reimbursement for fees paid for the application of a <br> variance to the front yard setback regulations, and for a <br> special exception to the fence height regulations, and for a <br> special exception to the fence standards regulations, and for <br> a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations at <br> 2000 Euclid Ave. | 10 |  |

## City of Dallas BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT



## ANNUAL REPORT

October 1, 2022-September 30, 2023
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# BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT 

## 2022-2023

## MISSION, PURPOSE, AUTHORITY,

PROCESS

## Board of Adjustment Mission Statement

The Board of Adjustment (BOA) is a quasi-judicial body, appointed by the City Council, charged with certain decision-making functions, which aim to uphold the meaning and spirit of the zoning ordinance as enacted by city ordinance or state legislation. The purpose of the Board of Adjustment is to implement the zoning ordinance and to apply discretion in exceptional instances where building permits are desired but are not literally conforming to the regulations. Primary responsibility for administration of the zoning ordinance falls on the Building Official, subject to appeals to the Board of Adjustment and ultimately to the courts.
(1) To hear and decide appeals where it is alleged there is error in any order, requirement, decision or determination made by an administrative official in the enforcement of any order or ordinance pertaining to zoning.
(2) To hear and decide special exceptions to the terms of the zoning ordinance in the manner provided.
(3) To authorize upon appeal in specific cases such variance from the terms of the ordinance as will not be contrary to the public interest, where, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of the provisions of the zoning ordinance will result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance is observed, and substantial justice done.
(4) To regulate and discontinue nonconforming uses and structures.

## Purpose

City of Dallas Board of Adjustment
Dallas Development Code, SEC 51A-4.501
The purpose of the Dallas zoning code is to promote the public health, safety and general welfare but in order for a comprehensive zoning map and ordinance to properly function in accordance with the purpose for which it is designed, it is necessary from time to time that some modification of these zoning regulations be made. The purpose of the Board of Adjustment was to implement the zoning ordinance and to apply discretion in exceptional instances where building permits are desired but are not literally conforming to the regulations. The Board of Adjustment is a governmental agency of the city and is an essential part of the administration of zoning, engaged in a delegated policy-making function (within the standards set up in the zoning ordinance), and not merely deciding private rights, as it represents the public interest.

## Authority

City of Dallas Board of Adjustment
Dallas Development Code, SEC 51A-4.501
The Board of Adjustment receives its power from the enactment of State Legislation (Chapter 211 of the Texas Local Government Code): The Board of Adjustment is permitted by State Law to establish their rules and operational procedures provided that such rules are not inconsistent with the zoning ordinance or State Law. As noted in the Board's Rules of Procedures, no action of the Board of Adjustment shall set a precedent, with each case being considered and decided on its own merits and on the circumstance's attendant thereto. Also, it is the declared purpose of the zoning ordinance that nonconforming uses be eliminated and be required to conform to the regulations prescribed in the ordinance.

The Board of Adjustment receives its powers from the City of Dallas through Chapter 51, and Chapter 51A, Dallas Development Code, as amended: The powers vested in the Board of Adjustment are discretionary in the sense that it is an administrative body created for the administration of the Zoning Law, and, within the standards set by the law, its decision, if supported by substantial evidence, are not subject to being set aside, that is, they can only be set aside for a clear abuse of discretion. The Board's functions are administrative and quasi-judicial. The actions of the Board are presumed to be valid and final, and the only recourse is for the applicant to appeal the Board's decision to the District Court. An applicant appealing to the District Court by certiorari has the burden of showing that the action of the Board was arbitrary, capricious or illegal.

The Board of Adjustment is composed of 15 Members and 6 Alternates appointed by the Dallas City Council. The Board is divided into 3 Hearing Panels composed of 5 Members each. The Mayor appoints a Board Chairman and 2 Vice Chairs to act as Presiding Officers of the 3 panels. Pursuant to the Board's Rules of Procedure, ex parte communications with the members of the Board are prohibited. All communications to the Board, oral or written, should be directed to the Board Administrator. Failure to comply
with this rule may result in criminal prosecution for improper influence, which is a Class A misdemeanor under Texas Penal Code, Section 36.04.

It requires four (4) members of the Board of Adjustment Hearing Panel at the public hearing to constitute a quorum, and at the hearing the Board Administrator calls each appeal and gives the swearing in of the oath of truth to all persons that desire to testify in each case.

On the day of the hearing, the applicant presents his case, the opposition is then heard, and the applicant is given the opportunity for rebuttal. No rebuttal is allowed for the opposition.

An affirmative vote of at least 4 of 5 Members of a Hearing Panel is required to approve a Variance, Special Exception, regulate-discontinue a Non-Conforming use or Reverse the decision of an Administrative Official of the City. The Board of Adjustment normally makes their decision known on an appeal immediately after a case has been heard, and the Board may apply any restrictive conditions when they feel it is necessary. The Board's decisions are final, and the only recourse on a Board's decision is to appeal to the District Court.

## The Process of Zoning Appeals How an Appeal Is Made

After an appeal is received in the Development Services Department from the Zoning Division of the Building Inspection's Office, the appeal is assigned a case number. A route sheet is prepared so that each section within Development Services Department and other departments in the City can make comments and present facts that could be pertinent to the decision of the Board of Adjustment. Property description and public notices are prepared for all appeals that are to be acted on by the Board. The route sheet copy of the appeal, and a copy of the public notice is sent to the GIS Section of Development Services Department to have a location map, and other appropriate graphics for the Board's docket. This section also prepares labels for the property owners to be notified for each appeal being processed for the Board of Adjustment's public hearing. The notices are mailed no later than ten (10) days prior to the Board's public hearing date to comply with state statute requirements.

All public hearings are taped, and the secretary also takes notes of the hearing. Each appeal is called and all people that testify are sworn in.

The Board of Adjustment normally makes their decisions known on an appeal immediately after a case has been heard. After the hearing, letters are written informing the applicant and other concerned parties of the Board's action. Appeals are filed with the Board Office after the hearing so that no misunderstanding will occur, and all site plans are stamped approved by the Board if the appeals are granted.

# BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT 

## 2022-2023

MEMBER ROSTER

## BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEMBER ROSTER

## Panel A

David A. Neumann, Chairman (appt'd 10/05/21)
Jay Narey, regular member (re-appt'd 9/01/21)
Lawrence Halcomb, regular member; (re-appt'd 09/22/21)
Kathleen F. Davis, regular member (appt'd 11/16/21)
Rachel Hayden, regular member (appt'd 08/24/22)

## Panel B

Cheri Gambow, Vice Chair (re-appt'd 10/27/21)
Michael Karnowski, regular member (appt'd 09/01/21)
Joseph Cannon, regular member (appt'd 09/22/21)
Matt Shouse, regular member (re-appt'd 10/13/21)
Herlinda Resendiz, regular member (app't 11/03/21)
Sarah Lamb, regular member (re-appt'd 03/08/23)
Derrick Nutall, regular member (appt'd 06/14/23)

## Panel C

Robert Agnich, Vice Chair (re-appt'd: 8/25/21)
Judy Pollock, regular member (re-appt'd: 11/16/21)
Roger Sashington, regular member (re-appt'd: 12/01/21)
Rodney Milliken, regular member (appt'd: 01/05/22)
Jared Slade, regular member (appt'd to Panel C from Alt 02/02/22)

## ALTERNATE MEMBERS

Nicholas Brooks (re-appt'd: 09/09/21)
Dr. Emmanuel Glover (appt'd: 09/09/21)
TC Fleming (appt'd: 09/22/21)
Andrew Finney (appt'd: 04/13/22)
Todd Hill (appt'd 9/22/21)
Philip Sahuc (appt'd: 10/12/22)
Resignations, Forfeitures and Term Endings: 10/22-09/23

Herlinda Resendiz - Forfeited 10/12/22
Todd Hill - Resigned 01/04/23
Matt Shouse - Resigned 03/01/23
Lawrence Halcomb - Term ended 9/30/2023

# BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT 

## 2022-2023

MEMBER ATTENDANCE

| BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT ATTENDANCE 2022-2023 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SPECIAL CALL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PANEL C | 2022 | FULL BOARD MEETING | 2022 | 2022 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 | 2023 |
| MEETING DATES | 17-Oct | 1-Nov | 14-Nov | 12-Dec | January | 23-Feb | 20-Mar | 17-Apr | 15-May | 22-Jun | 17-Jul | 14-Aug | 18-Sep |
| Robert Agnich |  |  |  |  | RECESS |  | CANCELLED | CANCELLED |  |  |  | CANCELLED | CANCELLED |
| Judy Pollock |  | A |  |  | RECESS |  | CANCELLED | CANCELLED |  |  |  | CANCELLED | CANCELLED |
| Roger Sashington |  | A |  |  | RECESS |  | CANCELLED | CANCELLED |  | A |  | CANCELLED | CANCELLED |
| Rodney Milliken |  |  | A |  | RECESS |  | CANCELLED | CANCELLED |  |  |  | CANCELLED | CANCELLED |
| Jared Slade |  |  |  |  | RECESS |  | CANCELLED | CANCELLED |  |  |  | CANCELLED | CANCELLED |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PANEL A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEETING DATES | 18-Oct | 1-Nov | 15-Nov | December | 17-Jan | 21-Feb | 21-Mar | 18-Apr | 16-May | 20-Jun | 18-Jul | 15-Aug | 19-Sep |
| Dave Neumann |  |  |  | RECESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jay Narey | A |  |  | RECESS |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Lawrence Halcomb |  |  |  | RECESS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kathleen Frankford |  |  |  | RECESS |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rachel Hayden |  |  |  | RECESS |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PANEL B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEETING DATES | 19-Oct | 1-Nov | 16-Nov | December | 18-Jan | 22-Feb | 22-Mar | 19-Apr | 17-May | 21-Jun | July | 16-Aug | 20-Sep |
| Cheri Gambow |  |  | CANCELLED | RECESS |  | CANCELLED |  | CANCELLED |  | CANCELLED | RECESS |  | CANCELLED |
| $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Sarah Lamb } \\ \text { (re'appt'd 3/08/23) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | CANCELLED |  | CANCELLED |  | CANCELLED | RECESS |  | CANCELLED |
| Joseph Cannon |  |  | CANCELLED | RECESS |  | CANCELLED |  | CANCELLED |  | CANCELLED | RECESS |  | CANCELLED |
| Michael Karnowski |  |  | CANCELLED | RECESS |  | CANCELLED |  | CANCELLED | A | CANCELLED | RECESS |  | CANCELLED |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Derrick Nutall (appt'd } \\ & 6 / 14 / 23 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CANCELLED | RECESS |  | CANCELLED |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Matt Shouse (Resign } \\ & 3 / 01 / 23 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | A |  | CANCELLED | RECESS |  |  |  |  |  |  | RECESS |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALTERNATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nick Brooks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dr. Emmauel Glover |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Andrew Finney |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phil Sahuc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TC Fleming |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Todd Hill Rresigned } \\ & 01 / 04 / 23) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEGEND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | ENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT 

## 2022-2023

## SUMMARY OF WORK \&

## ACCOMPLISHMENTS

## City of Dallas

## Board of Adjustment

October 31, 2023

## Progress Report 2022-2023 Goals and Objectives

1. GOAL: Improve all (Staff, Board, Property Owner) aspects of the Board of Adjustment's Timeliness, Transparency, Accuracy, and Teamwork

## RESULT:

- City Council has delivered the funds and the resources necessary for the Board of Adjustment to achieve this goal.
- Excellent Member attendance at calendarized Panel Hearings with 30 minutes added to the briefing time to allow for better preparation for Public Hearing.
- Staffing challenges, learning curves, and inconsistencies in presentations sometimes created less than the well-informed hearings (based on our own stated standards and procedure) than we have been accustomed to and desire.

2. GOAL: Successfully transition city staff support to Development Services Department with new Board Administrator and Senior Planners

## RESULT:

- Three Board Administrators (interim and full time) since our last Annual Meeting resulting in multiple transitions and unfilled Senior Planner positions.
- Insufficient communication between the Staff to the Board undercuts our collective capacity to execute our responsibilities on the Board.
- Jason Pool's addition as Development Services Administrator with BOA staff oversight brings hope for better communication, coordination, and consistency.

3. GOAL: Significantly decrease days to hearing timeline for the taxpayer/property owner RESULT:

- 82 or 78 or 73 ? days from accepted application to hearing. Lack of a verifiable consistent measurement. Critical missed opportunity to better serve the taxpayer/property owner.

4. GOAL: Meeting agenda/dockets publicly available seven (7) days in advance of hearings

RESULT:

- Success. Staff has posted agenda/docket materials for the benefit of the public on time over $85 \%$ of the time.


## Board of Adjustment

## Progress Report 2022-2023 Goals and Objectives

5. GOAL: Significant website enhancements to increase taxpayer/property owner access and awareness of pending zoning appeals.

## RESULT:

- BOA website was often inaccurate or outdated.
- Conflicting and inaccurate source of information about BOA hosted within multiple locations on City website.

6. GOAL: Quarterly enhanced training of Members/Alternates on rules, conflicts of interest, criteria for decision making and onboarding of new appointees.

## RESULT:

- Successfully held training on an ad hoc basis but not quarterly.
- New statutes affecting the Board.
- New ethics rules and structure
- Still awaiting a standard onboarding process for new members

7. GOAL: Improving surrounding property owner notification process (area of notification increased to 300 feet from 200 feet, clarifying the format of notification, mailing days before hearing)

## RESULT:

- Successful in revising surrounding property owner notification for clarity.
- Chose to hold with increasing notification area after receiving City Council feedback.

8. GOAL: Obtain more comprehensive staff/technical report presentation for appeal hearings with enhanced photos and property comparisons.

## RESULT:

- Staff provided 360 videos requested by the Board. Need greater street orientation and identification.
- Some progress. The Board has too often been forced to be fact finders to obtain complete evaluation of case specific circumstances for application to the Board's legal standards in order to ensure a fair hearing.


## Board of Adjustment Summary of Work

| BOA Work Summary | 2021-2022 | 2022-2023 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10/21-09/22 | 10/22-09/23 | Change \% |
| Total Number of Hearings | 29 | 23 | -21\% |
| Total Applications | 156 | 111 | -29\% |
| Total Requests | 200 | 199 | -1\% |
| Special Exceptions | 99 | 127 | 28\% |
| Variances | 55 | 52 | -5\% |
| Appeal of a Building Official's Decision | 8 | 4 | -50\% |
| Fee Waivers | 4 | 0 | -100\% |
| Hold Over | 30 | 16 | -47\% |
| Compliance Case(s) | 4 | 0 | -100\% |
| No. of Cases Approved | 133 | 150 | 13\% |
| No. of Cases Denied | 37 | 29 | -22\% |
| Cases Held Under Advisement | 28 | 20 | -29\% |
| Cases Withdrawn | 2 | 0 | -100\% |


| BDA CASE LOG (10/2022 to 09/2023) | Case Nos. | Address | Zoning | Council District | Case Type | Board Action | Reg Notices Sent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 17, 2022 | BDA212-093 | 9330 Hollow Way Rd. | $\mathrm{R}-\operatorname{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ | 13 | sp ex fnc height reg; sp ex fnc standards | App w/ cons $\times 2$ | 8 |
| October 17, 2022 | BDA212-094 | 9915 Avalon Creek Ct. | R-10(A) | 13 | sp ex fnc height reg; sp ex vis obstr | App w/ cons $\times 2$ | 15 |
| October 17, 2022 | BDA212-100 | 1325 Grant St. | R-5(A) | 4 | sp ex sf reg; add'l dwelling | App w/ cons | 37 |
| October 17, 2022 | BDA212-104 | 521 Faulk St. | R-5(A) | 4 | sp ex vis obstr $\times 2$; var fryd setbk; var max lot coverage | App w/ cons $\times 4$ | 31 |
| October 18, 2022 | BDA212-079(holdover) | 424 W. Davis St. | CD-7 | 1 | sp ex parking reg | App w/ cons | H |
| October 18, 2022 | BDA212-088 | 9903 Witham St. | R-7.5(A) | 6 | var floor area ratio;sp ex sf reg | App w/ cons $\times 2$ | 27 |
| October 18, 2022 | BDA212-096 | 5212 Tremonst St. | PD-97 | 14 | sp ex rear yd setbk- preserve tree | App w/ cons | 19 |
| October 18, 2022 | BDA212-098 | 4308 Beechwood Ln. | R-10(A) | 13 | sp ex sf reg; add'l dwelling | App w/ cons | 15 |
| October 18, 2022 | BDA212-103 | 4610 Bluffview Blvd. | PD-455 | 13 | sp exft yd setbk; sp ex fnc height | Denied w/o prej x2 | 16 |
| Withdrawn: BDA212-097 10648 Lakemere Dr. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October 19, 2022 | BDA212-084(holdover) | 4706 Memphis St. | IR | 6 | sp ex vis obst $\times 2$ | App w/ cons x2 | H |
| October 19, 2022 | BDA212-092 | 10203 Cayuga Rd. | cs | 9 | var parking reg | App w/ cons | 18 |
| October 19, 2022 | BDA212-095 | 7770 Forest Ln. | MU-1 | 11 | sp ex sign reg | Denied w/o prej | 5 |
| October 19, 2022 | BDA212-099 | 2303 N. Carroll Ave. | MF-2(A) | 2 | var parking reg | App w/ cons | 53 |
| October 19, 2022 | BDA212-101 | 11350 LBJ Fwy. | MC-1, NO (A) | 9 | sp ex sign reg | App w/ cons | 13 |
| October 19, 2022 | BDA212-102 | 247 W. Davis St. | Subdistrict 6 PD 830 | 1 | sp ex landscape reg | App w/ cons | 22 |
| November 14, 2022 | BDA212-105 | 3923 Frontier Ln. | R-7.5(A) | 9 | holdover | Holdover | 25 |
| November 14, 2022 | BDA212-108 | 5146 Yolanda Ln. | $\mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ | 13 | sp ex fnc height | Denied w/o prej | 11 |
| November 14, 2022 | BDA212-110 | 7021 Creek Bend Rd. | PD 106 (R-10(A)) | 12 | var frt yd setbk | App w/ cons | 18 |
| November 15, 2022 | BDA212-107 | 9501 El Centro Dr. | MF-2(A) | 2 | sp ex landscape reg | App w/ cons | 24 |



| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BDA CASE LOG (10/2022 to } \\ 09 / 2023) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Case Nos. | Address | Zoning | Council District | Case Type | Board Action | Reg Notices Sent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February 21, 2023 | BDA223-006(holdover) | 10240 Gaywood Rd. | R-1ac(A) | 13 | sp ex fnc height | App w/ cons |  |
| February 21, 2023 | BDA223-006(holdover) | 10240 Gaywood Rd. | R-1ac(A) | 13 | sp ex fnc standards | App w/ cons |  |
| February 21, 2023 | BDA223-006(holdover) | 10240 Gaywood Rd. | R-1ac(A) | 13 | sp ex vis obstr | deny with prejudice |  |
| February 21, 2023 | BDA223-013 | 5415 Yolanda Ln. | R-1ac(A) | 13 | sp ex sf use; add'I dwelling | App w/ cons | 9 |
| February 21, 2023 | BDA223-014 | 3318 Knight St. | PD-193 | 14 | var sd yd setbk | App w/ cons | 95 |
| February 21, 2023 | BDA223-015 | 2027 Melbourne Ave | R-7.5(A) | 1 | sp ex sf use; add'I dwelling, var floor area ratio | App w/ cons | 26 |
| February 21, 2023 | BDA223-018 | 1801 Mentor Ave | R-7.5(A) | 4 | var frt yd setbk | deny without prejudice | 24 |
| February 21, 2023 | BDA223-019 | 5550 Walnut Hill Ln. | R-1ac(A) | 13 | sp ex fnc height; sp ex fnc standards | App w/ cons | 14 |
| February 22, 2023 Cancelled Per Chairman (Panel B) |  |  |  | February 22, 2023 Cancelled Per Chairman (Panel B) |  |  |  |
| February 23, 2023 | BDA212-105(holdover) | 3923 Frontier Ln. | R-7.5(A) | 9 | var frt yd setbk | App w/cons |  |
| February 23, 2023 | BDA212-105(holdover) | 3923 Frontier Ln. | R-7.5(A) | 9 | sp ex fnc height | App w/cons |  |
| February 23, 2023 | BDA212-105(holdover) | 3923 Frontier Ln. | R-7.5(A) | 9 | sp ex fnc standards | App w/cons |  |
| February 23, 2023 | BDA212-105(holdover) | 3923 Frontier Ln. | R-7.5(A) | 9 | sp ex vis obstr | App w/cons |  |
| February 23, 2023 | BDA223-017 | 4327 Cabell Ave | MF-2(A) | 2 | sp ex fnc height | App w/cons | 26 |
| February 23, 2023 | BDA223-017 | 4327 Cabell Ave | MF-2(A) | 2 | sp ex fnc height; sp ex fnc standards; sp ex vis obstr x2 | App w/cons |  |
| February 23, 2023 | BDA223-017 | 4327 Cabell Ave | MF-2(A) | 2 | sp ex fnc standards | App w/cons |  |
| February 23, 2023 | BDA223-020 | 4502 Leland Ave. | PD-595 | 7 | var frt yd setbk | App w/cons | 27 |
| February 23, 2023 | BDA223-021 | 4803 Victor St. | PD-98 | 2 | var frt yd setbk | App w/cons | 25 |
| March 20, 2023 Cancelled Per Chairman (Panel C) |  |  |  | March 20, 2023 Cancelled Per Chairman (Panel C) |  |  |  |
| March 21, 2023 | BDA223-022 | 4011 Turtle Creek Blvd | PD 193 | 14 | sp ex sf reg/add'I dwelling | Approved | 21 |





| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BDA CASE LOG (10/2022 to } \\ 09 / 2023) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Case Nos. | Address | Zoning | Council District | Case Type | Board Action | Reg Notices Sent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 18, 2023 | BDA223-066 | 5518 Winston Ct. | $\mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ | 13 | holdover | Holdover | 14 |
| July 18, 2023 | BDA223-077 | 3349 Coronet Blvd | R-5(A) | 24 | holdover | Holdover | 24 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { July 19, } 2023 \text { Cancelled Per } \\ \text { Chairman (Panel B) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | July 19, 2023 Cancelled <br> Per Chairman (Panel B) |  |  |  |
| August 14, 2023 Cancelled Per Chairman (Panel C) |  |  |  | $\qquad$ (Panel C) |  |  |  |
| August 15, 2023 | BDA223-032 | 510 Newell | CD-6 | 14 | variance sd yrd stbck | Approved w/conditions | 26 |
| August 15, 2023 | BDA223-058(holdover) | 7128 Hazel Road | R-7.5(A) | 5 | sp ex sf reg / add'l dwelling | Approved w/conditions |  |
| August 15, 2023 | BDA223-058(holdover) | 7128 Hazel Road | R-7.5(A) | 5 | variance far reg | Approved w/conditions |  |
| August 15, 2023 | BDA223-058(holdover) | 7128 Hazel Road | R-7.5(A) | 5 | variance height reg | Approved w/conditions |  |
| August 15, 2023 | BDA223-066(holdover) | 5518 Winston Ct. | R-1ac(A) | 13 | sp ex fnc height | Denied without prejudice | 14 |
| August 15, 2023 | BDA223-066(holdover) | 5518 Winston Ct. | $\mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ | 13 | sp ex fnc standards | Denied without prejudice |  |
| August 15, 2023 | BDA223-070 | 5027 Alcott | R-5(A) | 14 | variance sd yrd/ vaiance frt yard | Approved w/conditions | 32 |
| August 15, 2023 | BDA223-072 | 6900 Wofford | R-7.5(A) | 5 | sp ex sd yrd | Approved w/conditions | 22 |
| August 15, 2023 | BDA223-075 | 8334 Plainview | A(A), IR | 8 | variance sd yard and rear yrd stbck | Approved w/conditions | 13 |
| August 15, 2023 | BDA223-077(holdover) | 3349 Coronet Blvd | R-5(A) | 24 | variance height reg | Approved w/conditions | 24 |
| August 15, 2023 | BDA223-078 | 5511 Merrimac | CD-9 | 14 | AO Appeal - Paint | Reversed decision granted | 33 |
| August 16, 2023 | BDA223-038(holdover) | 5215 Morningside | CD-9 | 14 | variance rear yrd reg | Approved with conditions | 32 |
| August 16, 2023 | BDA223-062 | 2730 N. Henderson | PD-462 | 14 | variance parking reg | Approved with conditions | 26 |
| August 16, 2023 | BDA223-071 | 5100 Ross Ave | CR, LO-1 | 2 | sp ex landscaping reg | Approved with conditions | 9 |
| August 16, 2023 | BDA223-073 | 7704 Glen Albens | R-7.5(A) | 13 | sp ex lot coverage | Approved with conditions | 20 |
| August 16, 2023 | BDA223-074 | 5505 Chatham Hill | $\mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ | 13 | holdover | Holdover | 15 |
| August 16, 2023 | BDA223-076 | 2021 Haymarket | A(A) | 8 | sp ex fnc height | Approved with conditions | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| BDA CASE LOG (10/2022 to 09/2023) | Case Nos. | Address | Zoning | Council District | Case Type | Board Action | Reg Notices Sent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 18, 2023 Cancelled Per Chairman (Panel C) |  |  |  | September 18, 2023 Cancelled Per Chairman (Panel C) |  |  |  |
| September 19, 2023 | BDA 223-079 | 9211 Hathaway Street | $\mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ | 13 | (1) a special exception to the fence height regulations; (2) <br> a special exception to the fence opacity standard <br> regulations; (3) a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations; (4) a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations; and for (5) two variances to the front yard setback regulations | 1- Approved w/cond, 2Approved w/conds, 3 Approved w/conds, 4 denied without prejudice, 5 - approved w/ conds | 13 |
| September 19, 2023 | BDA223-080 | 10020 Meadowbrook Drive | $\mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ | 13 | (1) a variance to the side-yard setback regulations; (2) a special exception to the fence height regulations; (3) a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations; <br> (4) a special exception to the fence opacity standard regulations; and (5) a special exception to the fence material standard regulations | 1- Approved w/cond, 2Approved w/conds, 3 Approved w/conds, 4 approved w/conds, 5 approved $w /$ conds | 9 |
| September 19, 2023 | BDA223-081 | 8627 Lakemont Drive | R-10(A) | 13 | (1) a special exception to the fence height regulations; and (2) a special exception to the fence material standard regulations | Approved w/ conditions | 15 |
| September 19, 2023 | BDA223-082 | 10427 Lennox Lane | $\mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ | 13 | (1) a special exception to the fence height regulations; (2) a special exception to the fence opacity standards; and (3) a special exception to the fence material standards | 1 - approved w/conds, 2 denied without prejudice, 3 -denied without prejudice | 10 |
| September 19, 2023 | BDA223-083 | 9122 Inwood Road | $\mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ | 13 | (1) a special exception to the fence height regulations, and for (2) a special exception to the fence opacity standard regulations | Holdover | 11 |
| September 19, 2023 | BDA223-085 | 1416 S. Waverly Drive | R-7.5(A) | 1 | a variance to the side-yard setback regulations | Approved w/ conditions | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September 20, 2023 Cancelled Per Chairman (Panel B) |  |  |  | September 20, 2023 Cancelled Per Chairman (Panel B) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT 

2023-2024

## GOALS \& OBJECTIVES

## Proposed 2023-2024 Goals \& Objectives

1. Ensure Fairness to every property owner appeal to the Board of Adjustment (BOA) through Timeliness and Accuracy for a hearing, and Transparency to the public. Strive to consistently provide a fair and complete evaluation of all relevant facts from applicant and staff on each appeal as they apply to a predefined standard.
2. Staff and Board to provide a public hearing for property owner appeals to BOA within 60 days on average from taxpayer application. Streamline staff processing and potentially modify BOA Panel hearing calendar to accomplish. Create a verifiable predefined consistent measurement of days from application to Hearing, (State law requires a hearing within 60 days for a Building Administrative Official appeal and 30 days for Plats)
3. Staff to prepare a more comprehensive and technical analysis for appeal hearings with enhanced photos and property comparisons to include the surrounding properties within 200 feet of the appeal location.
4. Significant website enhancements to_include_a single/linked online accurate source of information for BOA, updated regularly to increase taxpayer/property owner understanding and awareness of all pending zoning appeals to include pending case look up and application flowchart/process.
5. Quarterly enhanced training of members/alternates on rules, conflicts of interest, criteria for decision making and onboarding of new appointees.

## BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

## RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE CITY COUNCIL

## Board of Adjustment Recommendation to the City Council

1. Request City Council continued oversight to ensure Development Services Department's focus on Timeliness, Accuracy and Transparency for all phases of a Board of Adjustment appeal by a property owner.
2. Fund the hiring and training of Development Services professional and support staff to achieve reasonable/reduced days from application to public hearing, more comprehensive staff presentations, and enhanced website accessibility for BOA appeal hearings.

# BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT 

Panel A Minutes

November 14, 2023

## DRAFT

6ES Council Briefing
24923176153@dallascityhall.we
bex.com
David A. Neumann, Chairman

## PRESENT: [5]

| David A. Neumann, Chairman |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kathleen Davis |  |
| Rachel Hayden |  |
| Jay Narey |  |
| Michael Hopkovitz |  |
|  |  |

ABSENT: [0]

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Chair Neumann called the briefing to order at 10:30A.M. with a quorum of the Board of Adjustment present.
Chair Neumann called the hearing to order at 1:00 P.M. with a quorum of the Board of Adjustment present.

The Chairperson stated that no action of the Board of Adjustment shall set a precedent. Each case must be decided upon its own merits and circumstances, unless otherwise indicated, each use is presumed to be a legal use. Each appeal must necessarily stand upon the facts and testimony presented before the Board of Adjustment at this public hearing, as well as the Board's inspection of the property.

## PUBLIC SPEAKERS

The Board of Adjustment provided "public speaker" opportunities for individuals to comment on matters that were scheduled on the agenda or to present concerns or address issues that were not matters for consideration listed on the posted meeting agenda.

- We had no speakers for public testimony during this hearing.


## MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS

Approval of the Board of Adjustment Special Call Meeting Minutes.
Motion was made to approve the Board of Adjustment Special Call Meeting Minutes.

| Maker: | Rachel <br> Hayden |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second: | Kathleen <br> Davis |  |  |  |  |
| Results: | $5-0$ <br> unanimously |  |  |  | Moved to approve |
|  |  | Ayes: | - | 5 | David A. Neumann, Rachel Hayden, Kathleen <br> Davis, Michael Hopkovitz and Jay Narey |
|  |  | Against: | - | 0 |  |

Approval of the Board of Adjustment Panel A, September 19 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2023$ meeting minutes.
Motion was made to approve Panel A, September $19^{\text {th }}, 2023$ public hearing minutes.

| Maker: | Katheen <br> Davis |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second: | Rachel <br> Hayden |  |  |  |  |
| Results: | $5-0$ <br> unanimously |  |  |  | Moved to approve |
|  |  | Ayes: | - | 5 | David A. Neumann, Rachel Hayden, Kathleen <br> Davis, Michael Hopkovitz and Jay Narey |
|  |  | Against: | - | 0 |  |

## CONSENT ITEMS

## 1. 4707 Allencrest Lane

*This case was moved to Individual Cases. BDA223-095(KMH)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Madison Umberger for (1) a special exception to the side yard setback regulations at 4707 Allencrest Ln. This property is more fully described as Block C/6394, Lot 13 and is zoned R-16(A), which requires a side yard setback of 10 -feet. The applicant proposes to construct a carport for a single-family residential dwelling in a required side yard and provide a 4-inch setback, which will require a (1) 9-foot 8-inch special exception to the side yard setback regulations.

## LOCATION: 4707 Allencrest Ln.

APPLICANT: Madison Umberger

## REQUEST:

1. A request for a special exception to the side yard setback regulations.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO ALLOW A CARPORT IN THE SIDE YARD:

Section 51A-4.402(c) of the Dallas Development Code specifies the Board of Adjustment may grant a special exception to the minimum side yard requirements to allow a carport for a single-family or duplex use when, in the opinion of the Board, the carport will not have a detrimental impact on surrounding properties. In determining whether to grant a special exception, the Board shall consider the following:
(A) Whether the requested special exception is compatible with the character of the neighborhood.
(B) Whether the value of surrounding properties will be adversely affected.
(C) The suitability of the size and location of the carport.
(D) The materials to be used in construction of the carport.
(Storage of items other than motor vehicles are prohibited in a carport for which a special exception is granted in this section of the Code).

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the side yard setback regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is, when in the opinion of the board, the carport will not have a detrimental impact on surrounding properties.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad$ R-16(A) (Single family district)
North: $\quad$ R-16(A) (Single family district)
South: $\quad R-16(A)$ and $R-1 / 2 a c(A)$ (Single family districts)
East: $\quad \mathrm{R}-16(\mathrm{~A})$ (Single family district)
West: $\quad \mathrm{R}-16(\mathrm{~A})$ (Single family district)

## Land Use:

The subject site and all surrounding properties are developed with single family uses.

## BDA History:

No BDA history within the last five years.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- The purpose of this request is for a special exception to the side yard setback regulations. The applicant proposes to construct and maintain a carport in a required side yard providing
a 4 -inch setback; therefore, requiring a 9 -foot 8 -inch special exception to the side yard setback regulations.
- The subject site is zoned R-16(A) which requires a side yard setback of 10 -feet.
- The subject property and surrounding properties are all developed with single-family uses.
- The Dallas Development Code states that required side yards must be open and unobstructed except for fences. The applicant is proposing to construct and/or maintain a carport in a required side yard on a lot developed with a single-family home.
- The submitted site plan and elevations illustrate the location of the proposed carport.
- As gleaned from the submitted site plan, the applicant is proposing to construct and maintain a 296 square feet carport in a required setback along the west perimeter of the property, providing a 4-inch setback; whereas the Dallas Development Code requires a 10-foot side yard setback for single-family dwellings in the R-16(A) zoning district.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exception to the side yard setback regulations will not have a detrimental impact on surrounding properties.
- Granting this special exception to the side yard setback regulations to allow a carport in the required side yard providing a 4 -inch side yard setback on the site with a condition that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan and elevations, would require the proposal to be constructed and maintained as shown on the submitted documents.


## Timeline:

September 11, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

October 2, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Secretary randomly assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

October 4, 2023: The Senior Planner emailed the applicant's representative the following information:

- a copy of the application materials including the Building Official's report on the application;
- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the October 23, 2023 deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the November 3, 2023 deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to "documentary evidence."

November 1, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and other requests scheduled for the November public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans

Examiner the Assistant City Attorney to the Board, the Senior Planner and Code Compliance staff.
Speakers:
For: $\quad$ Madison Umberger, 4707 Allencrest Ln, Dallas TX 75244
Against: No Speakers

## Motion

I move that the Board of Adjustment, in Appeal No. BDA 223-095, on application of Madison Umberger, DENY the special exception to the side-yard setback regulation for carports, requested by this applicant without prejudice, contained in the Dallas Development Code, as amended, because our evaluation of the property and testimony shows that granting the request will have a detrimental impact on surrounding properties.

| Maker: | Kathleen <br> Davis |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second: | Rachel <br> Hayden |  |  |  |  |
| Results: | $5-0$ |  |  |  | Moved to deny |
|  |  | Ayes: | - | 5 | David A. Neumann, Kathleen Davis, Jay <br> Narey, Rachel Hayden and Michael Hopkovitz |
|  |  | Against: | - | 0 |  |

## 2. 2764 Catherine Street

*This case was moved to Individual Cases
BDA223-097(KMH)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT Application of Robert Smith for (1) a special exception to the fence height regulations, and for (2) a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulations, and for (3) a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulations at 2764 Catherine St. This property is more fully described as Block 2/3879, Lot 1, and is zoned CD-8; subarea 1 (R-7.5(A)), which limits the height of a fence in the $50 \%$ of the side-yard and corner sideyard to 4 -feet and requires a 20 -foot visibility triangle at driveway approaches, and requires a $20-$ foot visibility triangle at the point of intersection of the edge of an alley and an adjacent street curb line. The applicant proposes to construct a 6 -foot high fence in a required side-yard, which will require (1) a 2-foot special exception to the fence height regulations; and to construct a single-family residential fence structure in a required 20 -foot visibility obstruction triangle, which will require (2) a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulation at driveway approaches; and to construct a single-family residential fence structure in a required 20 -foot visibility obstruction triangle, which will require (3) a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulation intersection of the edge of an alley and an adjacent street curb line.

## LOCATION: 2764 Catherine St.

## APPLICANT: Robert Smith

## REQUEST:

1. A request for a special exception to the fence height regulations,
2. A request for a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulations, and;
3. A request for a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulations.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE HEIGHT STANDARDS REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.602(b)(2) of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the fence regulations when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO THE VISUAL OBSTRUCTION REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.602(d)(3) of the Dallas Development Code states that the board shall grant a special exception to the requirements of the visual obstruction regulations when, in the opinion of the board, the item will not constitute a traffic hazard.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the item will not constitute a traffic hazard.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad$ CD-8; Subarea R-7.5 (A) (Single Family District)
North: CD-8
East: CD-8
South: CD-8
West: CD-8

## Land Use:

The subject site and all surrounding properties are developed with single-family uses.

## BDA History:

No BDA history in the last five years.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- The application of Robert Smith for the property located at 2764 Catherine Street focuses on 3 requests. The first request is for a special exception to the fence height regulations of 4 -feet. The applicant is proposing to construct and maintain a 6 -foot high fence in a required front yard, which will require a 2 -foot special exception to the fence height regulations. The
applicant is proposing the fence along Pierce Street and along the alley. It is imperative to note the fence along Pierce Street will include a gate
- Secondly, the applicant proposes to maintain a single-family fence in a required visibility triangle at the driveway approach along Pierce Street, which will require a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations. The visual obstruction regulations require a 20 -foot visibility triangle at all driveway approaches.
- Additionally, the applicant proposes to maintain a single-family fence structure in a required visibility triangle at the intersection of the edge of an alley and an adjacent street curb line, which requires a 20 -foot special exception to the visual obstruction regulations.
- The subject site along with the surrounding properties are all developed with single family uses.
- It is imperative to note that the subject site is a corner lot.
- As gleaned from the submitted site plan and elevations, the applicant is proposing to maintain a new 6 -foot wooden fence. The proposed fence and gate are shown to encroach into the required visibility triangle at the driveway approach along Pierce Street. The proposed fence is also shown to encroach into the visibility triangle at the street intersection and the alley.
- The CD-8 zoning district limits the height of a fence in the $50 \%$ of the side-yard and corner side-yard to 4 -feet.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exception to the fence regulations will not adversely affect the neighboring property.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in stablishing that the special exception to the visual obstruction regulations does not constitute a traffic hazard.
- If the Board were to grant this special exception request and impose a condition that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan/elevation, the proposal over 2-feet in height in the front yard setback would be limited to that what is shown on the submitted documents.
- Additionally, granting this request for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations with a condition imposed that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan would limit the proposed fence in the 20 -foot visibility triangles at the driveway into the site from Pierce Street and the alley to what is shown on the submitted documents.


## Timeline:

September 13, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.
October 2, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Administrator assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.
October 4, 2023: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior

Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the October 23, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and November 3, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.
November 1, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and other requests scheduled for the July public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans Examiner, the Assistant City Attorney to the Board, the Senior Planner and Code Compliance staff.
Speakers:
For: $\quad$ Robert Smith, 2764 Catherine Street, Dallas TX 75211
Against: No Speakers
City Staff: Diana Barkume, Project Coordinator
Trevor Brown, Conservation District Chief Planner


## Motion \# 1

I move that the Board of Adjustment, in Appeal No. BDA 223-097, on application of Robert Smith, DENY the special exception requested by this applicant to construct and/or maintain a 6 -foot high fence without prejudice, because our evaluation of the property and the testimony shows that granting the application would adversely affect neighboring property.

| Maker: | Rachel <br> Hayden |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second: | Kathleen <br> Davis |  |  |  |  |  |

## Motion \# 2

Moved to withdraw the motion

| Maker: | Rachel <br> Hayden |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second: | Kathleen <br> Davis |  |  |  |  |

## Motion \# 3

I move that the Board of Adjustment in Appeal No. BDA 223-097 HOLD this matter under advisement until January 16 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2024.

| Maker: | Rachel <br> Hayden |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second: | Michael <br> Hopkovitz |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results: | $4-1$ |  |  |  |  | Moved to hold until January 16 |
|  |  | Ayes: | - |  | 4 | David A. Neumann, Rachel Hayden, <br> Michael Hopkovitz and Jay Narey |
|  |  | Against: | - |  | 1 | Kathleen Davis |

***Recess: 1:38 p.m.; Resume: 1:46 p.m.***

## HOLDOVER CASES

## 3. 9122 Inwood Road

BDA223-083(KMH)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT Application of Trenton Robertson for (1) a special exception to the fence height regulations, and for (2) a special exception to the fence standard regulations at 9122 INWOOD RD. This property is more fully described as Block $6 / 5579$, Part of lot 5 and 7 , and is zoned $R-1 a c(A)$, which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 -feet and requires a fence panel with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open may not be located less than 5 -feet from the front lot line. The applicant proposes to construct a 9 -foot 3 -inch high fence in a required front yard, which will require (1) a 5 -foot 3 -inch special exception to the fence height regulations, and to construct a fence in a required front yard with a fence panel having less than 50 percent open surface area located less than 5 -feet from the front lot line, which will require (2) a special exception to the fence opacity regulations.

## LOCATION: 9122 Inwood Rd.

## APPLICANT: Trenton Robertson

## REQUEST:

(1) A request for a special exception to the fence height regulations; and
(2) A special exception to the fence opacity regulations.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE HEIGHT STANDARD REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.602(b)(2) of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the fence regulations when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE OPACITY STANDARD REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.602(a)(11) of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the fence regulations when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for any special exceptions to the fence regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad \mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ (Single Family District)
North: $\quad$ PD 815
East: $\quad$ R-1ac (A) (Single Family District)
South: $\quad R-1 a c(A)$ (Single Family District)
West: $\quad$ R-1ac (A) (Single Family District)

## Land Use:

The subject site and all surrounding properties are developed with single-family uses.

## BDA History:

No BDA history found within the last 5 years.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- The purpose of this request is for a special exception to the fence regulations of 4 -feet focuses on constructing and/or maintaining an 9 -foot 3-inch high fence in a required front yard; additionally, this request is also for a special exception to the fence standards regulations for a fence panel having less than 50 percent open surface area located less than 5 -feet from the front lot line.
- The subject site and surrounding properties are zoned R-1ac (A).
- The Dallas Development Code states that in all residential districts except multifamily districts, a fence may not exceed four feet above grade when located in the required front yard. The Dallas Development Code also states that no fence panel having less than 50 percent open surface area may be located less than 5 -feet from the lot line.
- As gleaned from the submitted site plan and elevations, the applicant is proposing 9-foot 3inch high masonry wall in the front yard along Inwood Road and along Northwest Highway.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exception to the fence regulations will not adversely affect the neighboring property.
- Granting these special exceptions to the fence standards relating to height up to 9-feet 3inches and location of fence panels with surface areas that are less than 50 percent open on the site with a condition that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan and elevations, would require the proposal to be constructed as shown on the submitted documents.


## Timeline:

July 11, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.
July 24, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Administrator assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.
August 2, 2023: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the August 21, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and September 8, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

August 29, 2023: The Development Services Engineer provided a response sheet with no objections.

August 30, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and other requests scheduled for the September public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans Examiner, the Assistant City Attorney to the Board, the Senior Planner and Code Compliance staff.

September 19, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Panel A, at its public hearing held on Tuesday, September 19, 2023, voted to hold this matter under Advisement until November 14, 2023.

November 3, 2023: The applicant submitted revised drawings.
Speakers:
For: Karl Crawley, 2201 Main Street \# 1280, Dallas TX 75201 Steve Long, 2201 Main Street \# 1280, Dallas TX 75201

Against: No Speakers

## Motion \# 1

I move that the Board of Adjustment, in Appeal No. BDA 223-083, on application of Trenton Robertson, GRANT the request of this applicant to construct and/or maintain a 9-foot high fence as a special exception to the height requirement for fences contained in the Dallas Development Code,
as amended, because our evaluation of the property and the testimony shows that this special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

I further move that the following condition be imposed to further the purpose and intent of the Dallas Development Code:

Compliance with the most recent version of all submitted plans are required.

| Maker: | Rachel <br> Hayden |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second: | Jay Narey |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results: | $5-0$ <br> unanimously |  |  |  |  | Moved to grant |
|  |  | Ayes: | - |  | 5 | David A. Neumann, Rachel Hayden, <br> Kathleen Davis, Michael Hopkovitz and <br> Jay Narey |
|  |  | Against: | - |  | 0 |  |

## Motion \# 2

I move that the Board of Adjustment, in Appeal No. BDA 223-083, on application of Trenton Robertson, GRANT the request of this applicant to construct and/or maintain a fence with panel having less than 50 percent open surface area located less than five-feet from the front lot line as a special exception to the surface area openness requirement for fences in the Dallas Development Code, because our evaluation of the property and the testimony shows that this special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

I further move that the following condition be imposed to further the purpose and intent of the Dallas Development Code:

Compliance with the most recent version of all submitted plans are required.

| Maker: | Kathleen <br> Davis |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second: | Jay Narey |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results: | $5-0$ <br> unanimously |  |  |  |  | Moved to grant |
|  | Ayes: | - |  | 5 | David A. Neumann, Kathleen Davis, <br> Michael Hopkovitz, Jay Narey and <br> Rachel Hayden |  |
|  |  | Against: | - |  | 0 |  |

## INDIVIDUAL CASES

## 4. 5526 E.R.L. Thornton Freeway

BDA212-078(KMH)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Audra Buckley for (1) a variance to the parking regulations, for (2) a special exception to the landscape and tree preservation regulations, and for (3) a variance to the side yard setback regulations at $5526 \mathrm{E} R \mathrm{~L}$ Thornton FWY. This property is more fully described as lot 6A, block 7/1633 and is zoned CR, which requires parking to be provided, and landscape to be provided and a 20 -foot side yard setback to be provided. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain nonresidential structures for retail, motor vehicle fueling
station, and personal service uses and provide 20 of the required 34 parking spaces, which will require (1) a 14 -space variance ( $41 \%$ reduction) to the parking regulation. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain nonresidential structures which requires mandatory landscaping and provide an alternate landscape plan, which will require (2) a special exception to the landscape regulations. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain nonresidential structures and provide a 0 -foot side yard setback which will require (3) a 20 -foot variance to the side yard setback regulations.

## LOCATION: $\quad 5526$ E R L Thornton FWY

APPLICANT: Audra Buckley

## REQUEST:

(1) A request for a variance to the off-street parking regulations;
(2) A request for a special exception to the landscape regulations; and
(3) A request for a variance to the side-yard setback regulations.

## STANDARD FOR A VARIANCE:

Section 51A-3.102(d)(10) of the Dallas Development Code specifies that the board has the power to grant variances from the front yard, side yard, rear yard, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, floor area for structures accessory to single-family uses, height, minimum sidewalks, off-street parking or off-street loading, or landscape regulations provided that the variance is:
(A) not contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
(B) necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
(C) not granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

## State Law/HB 1475 effective 9-1-21

$>$ the board may consider the following as grounds to determine whether compliance with the ordinance as applied to a structure that is the subject of the appeal would result in unnecessary hardship:
(a) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 (Submission of Rolls to Taxing Units), Tax Code.
(b) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur.
(c) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement.
(d) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or the municipality considers the structure to be a nonconforming structure.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO THE LANDSCAPING AND TREE MITIGATION:

Section 51A-10.110 of the Dallas Development Code states the board may grant a special exception to the requirements of this article upon making a special finding from the evidence presented that strict compliance with the requirements of this article will unreasonably burden the use of the property; the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property; and the requirements are not imposed by a site-specific landscape plan approved by the city plan commission or city council. In determining whether to grant a special exception under Subsection (a), the board shall consider the following factors:

- The extent to which there is residential adjacency.
- The topography of the site.
- The extent to which landscaping exists for which no credit is given under this article.
- The extent to which other existing or proposed amenities will compensate for the reduction of landscaping. (Ord. Nos. 22053; 25155)


## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Variance to parking regulations:
Denial
Rationale: Based upon evidence presented and provided by the applicant, staff concluded that the site is:
A. Not contrary to the public interest as no letters of opposition were received.
B. The site is not restrictive in that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning;
C. Self-created/personal hardship.

## Variance to side yard setback regulations:

Denial
Rationale: Based upon evidence presented and provided by the applicant, staff concluded that the site is:
A. Not contrary to the public interest as no letters of opposition were received.
B. The site is not restrictive in that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning;
C. Self-created/personal hardship.

## Special Exception:

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the landscape regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## BDA HISTORY:

No BDA History found within the last 5 years.

## Zoning:

```
Site: CR (Community Retail)
North: RR (Regional Retail)
South: PD 136 and P(A)
East: }\quadCR\mathrm{ and P(A) (Community Retail and Parking)
West:}\quad\textrm{CR}\mathrm{ (Community Retail)
```


## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a convenience store. The surrounding properties are developed with some retail uses and single-family residential homes.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- A request for a variance to the off-street parking regulations of 14 spaces is made to construct and/or maintain a nonresidential structure for retail, motor vehicle fueling station, and personal service uses. The applicant proposes to provide only 20 of the 34 required parking spaces, resulting in the need for a $41 \%$ reduction in the parking space requirements.
- The applicant is also requesting a special exception to the landscape and tree mitigation regulations. Article X requires minimum standards for new construction and additions, including conditions for the street buffer zone and residential buffer zone.
- Additionally, the applicant is requesting a variance to the side yard setback regulations. The Dallas Development Code requires a 20 -foot side yard setback for the CR zoning when abutting a residential zoning district. The applicant is proposing a zero-foot side yard setback along the south perimeter of the site, resulting in the need for a 20 -foot variance.
- As gleaned from the submitted site and landscape plan, the applicant is proposing to construct and maintain an 1,800 square foot convenience store. There is currently an existing motor vehicle fueling station located on the lot as well.
- The submitted site and landscape plan also illustrates the landscape that will provided as well as the proposed 20 parking spaces.

The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:

- That granting the variance to the off-street parking regulations and the side yard variance will not be contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
- The variance is necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
- The variance would not be granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

The board may also consider Dallas Development Code §51A-3.102(d)(10)(b) formerly known as HB 1475 as grounds to determine whether compliance with the ordinance as applied to a structure that is the subject of the appeal would result in unnecessary hardship:
(a) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 (Submission of Rolls to Taxing Units), Tax Code.
(b) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur.
(c) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement.
(d) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or
(e) the municipality considers the structure to be a nonconforming structure.

- Granting the proposed 14 -space variance to the off-street parking regulations and the 20foot side yard variance with a condition that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan, would require the proposal to be constructed as shown on the submitted documents.
- Additionally, granting the special exception to the landscape and tree mitigation regulations with a condition that the applicant complies with the submitted site and landscape plan, would require the proposal to be constructed as shown on the submitted documents.


## Timeline:

September 11, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

October 2, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Administrator assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

October 4, 2023: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the October 23, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and November 3, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

October 19, 2023: A site visit was conducted on October $19^{\text {th }}$ by the Senior Planner;
During the site visit, the notification signs were not properly posted.
The Senior Planner sent email to applicant regarding this issue.
October 23, 2023: The applicant emailed the Senior Planner with pictures of the
Notification signs posted properly.
November 1, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and other requests scheduled for the November public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans

Examiner the Assistant City Attorney to the Board, the Senior Planner and Code Compliance staff.
November 3, 2023: The Chief Arborist provided a memo stating, "The chief arborist has no objection to the proposed alternate landscape plan provided the variances that authorize the site conditions are approved by the board. The full application of Article X would unreasonably burden the use of the property. As a condition, I recommend the required planting conditions of Section 51A10.125 be applied for all trees and shrubs identified on the alternate landscape plan. All trees on the landscape plan shall be 'large' or 'medium' trees and all shrubs shall be 'large evergreen shrubs'."

Speakers:
For: $\quad$ Audra Buckley, 1414 Belleview St Ste. 150, Dallas TX 75215
Against: No Speakers

## Motion

I move that the Board of Adjustment in Appeal No. BDA 212-078, HOLD this matter under advisement until January $\mathbf{1 6}^{\text {th }}, \mathbf{2 0 2 4}$, requested by the applicant.

| Maker: | Kathleen <br> Davis |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second: | Rachel <br> Hayden |  |  |  |  |
| Results: | $5-0$ <br> Unanimously |  |  |  | Moved to hold until January 16 | , 2024.

## 5. 4515 Harrys Lane <br> BDA223-096(KMH)

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT Application of Christopher Cole for (1) a special exception to the fence height regulations at 4515 Harrys Lane. This property is more fully described as Block D/5534, Lot 8 and is zoned $\mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$, which limits the height of a fence in the front yard to 4 -feet. The applicant proposes to construct a 7 -foot-high fence in a required front yard, which will require (1) a 3 -foot special exception to the fence regulations.

## LOCATION: 4515 Harrys Lane

## APPLICANT: Christopher Cole

## REQUEST:

(3) A request for a special exception to the fence height regulations.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE HEIGHT STANDARDS REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.602(b)(2) of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the fence regulations when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

## Special Exception

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad \mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ (Single Family District)
North: $\quad R-1 a c(A)$ (Single Family District)
East: $\quad R-1 a c(A)$ (Single Family District)
South: $\quad \mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{ac}(\mathrm{A})$ and $\mathrm{R}-16(\mathrm{~A})$ (Single Family Districts)
West: $\quad R-1 a c(A)$ (Single Family District)

## Land Use:

The subject site and all surrounding properties are developed with single-family uses.

## BDA History:

No BDA history

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- The application of Christopher Cole for the property located at 4515 Harrys Lane focuses on the fence height regulations. The applicant is proposing to construct and maintain a 7 -foothigh fence in a required front yard, which will require a 3 -foot special exception.
- The subject site along with properties to the north, east, south and west are all developed with single-family homes.
- As gleaned from the submitted site plan and elevations, the applicant is proposing to construct and maintain a 7' open iron fence in the required front yard along Harrys Lane with an open iron entrance gate.
- The Dallas Development Code states that in all residential districts except multifamily districts, a fence may not exceed four feet above grade when located in the required front yard.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exception to the fence regulations will not adversely affect the neighboring properties.
- Granting the special exceptions to the fence standards relating to height with a condition that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan and elevations, would require the proposal to be constructed as shown on the submitted documents.


## Timeline:

September 11, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.
October 2, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Administrator assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

October 4, 2023: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the October 23, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and November 3, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

October 23, 2023: The applicant provided documentary evidence.
November 1, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regard request and other requests scheduled for the November public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: the Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans Examiner, the Assistant City Attorney to the Board, the Senior Planner Code Compliance staff.
Speakers:
For: $\quad$ Christopher Cole, 4515 Harrys Lane, Dallas TX 75229 Shawe Lewis, 4515 Harrys Lane, Dallas TX 75229

Against: $\quad$ Sherri Courie, 4525 Harrys Lane, Dallas TX 75229 Jeff Eli Courie, 4525 Harrys Lane, Dallas TX 75229 Jerry Wheeler, 4545 Harrys Lane, Dallas TX 75229 Sonja Mathisen, 4544 Catina Lane, Dallas TX 75229 Brad Pazandak, 4505 Harrys Lane, Dallas TX 75229 Joyce Pazandak, 4505 Harrys Lane, Dallas TX 75229

## Motion

I move that the Board of Adjustment, in Appeal No. BDA 223-096, on application of Christopher Cole, DENY the special exception requested by this applicant to construct and/or maintain a 7 -foot high fence without prejudice, because our evaluation of the property and the testimony shows that granting the application would adversely affect neighboring property.

| Maker: | Kathleen <br> Davis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second: | Jay Narey |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results: | $5-0$ <br> Unanimously |  |  |  |  |  | Moved to deny |
|  |  | Ayes: | - |  |  | 5 | David A. Neumann, Kathleen <br> Davis, Michael Hopkovitz, Rachel <br> Hayden, Jay Narey |
|  |  | Against: | - |  |  | 0 |  |

## 6. 5434 Ross Avenue

BDA223-102(DB)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Rodolfo Rodriguez represented by Gilbert Cortez for (1) a variance to the front yard setback regulations, and for (2) a variance to the front yard setback regulations at 5434 Ross Ave. This property is more fully described as Block 1487, Tract 21, and is zoned PD-842, which requires a front yard setback of 15 -feet. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a non-residential structure and provide a 0 -foot front yard setback on Ross Avenue, which will require (1) a 15 -foot variance to the front yard setback regulations; and to construct and/or maintain a non-residential structure and provide a 0 -foot front yard setback on Greenville Avenue, which will require (2) a 15 -foot variance to the front yard setback regulations.

LOCATION: $\quad 5434$ Ross Avenue
APPLICANT: Rodolfo Rodriguez
Represented by: Gilbert Cortez

## REQUEST:

(4) A request for a variance to the front yard setback regulations at both Ross Avenue and Greenville Avenue

## STANDARD FOR A VARIANCE:

Section 51A-3.102(d)(10) of the Dallas Development Code specifies that the board has the power to grant variances from the front yard, side yard, rear yard, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, floor area for structures accessory to single-family uses, height, minimum sidewalks, off-street parking or off-street loading, or landscape regulations provided that the variance is:
(D) not contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
(E) necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
(F) not granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

## State Law/HB 1475 effective 9-1-21

$>$ the board may consider the following as grounds to determine whether compliance with the ordinance as applied to a structure that is the subject of the appeal would result in unnecessary hardship:
(a) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 (Submission of Rolls to Taxing Units), Tax Code.
(b) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur.
(c) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement.
(d) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or the municipality considers the structure to be a nonconforming structure.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

## Variance:

- Denial

Based upon the evidence presented and provided to staff, staff concluded that the request is:

- not contrary to public interest as no opposition was received;
- restrictive in area and shape that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
- it is a self-created or personal hardship.


## BDA HISTORY:

No BDA history found within the last 5 years.

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad$ CR-Community Retail District
North: CR-Community Retail District
South: PD 842 Nonresidential zoning district
East: CR-Community Retail District
West: CR-Community Retail District

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a Restaurant without drive-in service use. The areas to the north, south, east, and west are developed with commercial uses.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- A request for a variance to the front yard setback regulations of 15 -feet is made to construct and/or maintain a Restaurant without drive-in service use.
- The subject site is surrounded by nonresidential structures with restaurant, personal service and general merchandise uses.
- The Dallas Development Code requires a 15-foot front yard setback for the CR (A) zoning district.
- Per the submitted site plan, the applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a restaurant without drive-in service use providing a 0 -foot front yard setback at both Ross Avenue and Greenville Avenue.

The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:

- That granting the variance to the front yard setback will not be contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
- The variance is necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
- The variance would not be granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.


## Timeline:

September 15, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.
October 2, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Administrator assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

October 4, 2023: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the October 23, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and November 3, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

November 1, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and other requests scheduled for the November public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans Examiner the Assistant City Attorney to the Board, the Senior Planner and Code Compliance staff.

Speakers:
For: $\quad$ Gilbert Cortez, 5434 Ross Ave., Dallas TX 75206
Against: No Speakers

## Motion \# 1

I move that the Board of Adjustment, in Appeal No. BDA 223-102, on application of Rodolfo Rodriguez, DENY the variance on Ross Avenue, to the front-yard setback regulations requested by this applicant without prejudice, because our evaluation of the property and the testimony shows that the physical character of this property is such that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, as amended, would NOT result in unnecessary hardship to this applicant.

| Maker: | Jay Narey |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second: | Rachel <br> Hayden |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results: | $5-0$ <br> unanimously |  |  |  |  | Moved to deny |
|  |  | Ayes: | - |  | 5 | David A. Neumann, Rachel Hayden, <br> Kathleen Davis, Michael Hopkovitz and <br> Jay Narey |
|  |  | Against: | - |  | 0 |  |

## Motion \# 2

I move that the Board of Adjustment, in Appeal No. BDA 223-102, on application of Rodolfo Rodriguez, DENY the variance on Greenville Avenue, to the front-yard setback regulations requested by this applicant without prejudice, because our evaluation of the property and the testimony shows that the physical character of this property is such that a literal enforcement of the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, as amended, would NOT result in unnecessary hardship to this applicant.

| Maker: | Jay Narey |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second: | Rachel <br> Hayden |  |  |  |  |
| Results: | $5-0$ <br> Unanimously |  |  |  | Moved to deny |
|  |  | Ayes: | - | 5 | David A. Neumann, Rachel Hayden, Kathleen <br> Davis, Michael Hopkovitz and Jay Narey |
|  |  | Against: | - | 0 |  |

***Recess: 4:15 p.m.; Resume: 4:22 p.m.***

## 7. 5524 Vickery Boulevard

BDA223-107(KMH)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Michael Vann to appeal the decision of the administrative official at 5524 Vickery Blvd. This property is more fully described as Block 10/1928, Lot 6 and is zoned CD-15, which requires compliance with conservation district architectural
standards. The applicant proposes to appeal the decision of an administrative official in the denial of a conservation district review.

LOCATION: $\quad 5524$ Vickery Blvd.
APPLICANT: Michael Vann

## REQUEST:

A request is made to appeal the decision of the administrative official for the denial CD23051003 application to exceed fence height limit in side-yard.

## STANDARD FOR APPEAL FROM DECISION OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL:

Dallas Development Code Sections 51A-3.102(d)(1) and 51A-4.703(a)(2) state that any aggrieved person may appeal a decision of an administrative official when that decision concerns issues within the jurisdiction of the Board of Adjustment.

The Board of Adjustment may hear and decide an appeal that alleges error in a decision made by an administrative official. Tex. Local Gov't Code Section 211.009(a)(1).

Administrative official means that person within a city department having the final decisionmaking authority within the department relative to the zoning enforcement issue. Dallas Development Code Section 51A-4.703(a)(2).

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad C D-15$
North: $\quad C D-15$
South: CD-15
East: CD-15
West: $\quad$ CD-15

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a single-family home. The surrounding properties are also developed with single-family homes.

## BDA History:

No BDA history within the last five years.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- The board shall have all the powers of the administrative official on the action appealed. The board may in whole or in part affirm, reverse, or amend the decision of the official.


## Timeline:

October 4, 2023: The applicant was sent a denial letter for CD23051003 application to exceed fence height limit in side yard.

October 4, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

October 19, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Secretary randomly assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

October 23, 2023: The Senior Planner emailed the applicant's representative the following information:

- a copy of the application materials including the Building Official's report on the application;
- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the October 23rd deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and the November 3rd deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the Board's docket materials;
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to "documentary evidence."

October 23, 2023: The Applicant provided documentary evidence.
November 1, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and other requests scheduled for the November public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans Examiner the Assistant City Attorney to the Board, the Senior Planner and Code Compliance staff.

Speakers:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { For: } & \text { Michael Vann, } 5524 \text { Vickery Blvd, Dallas TX } 75206 \\
\text { Against: } & \text { No Speakers }
\end{array}
$$

## City Staff: Trevor Brown, Conservation District Chief Planner

## Motion

Having fully reviewed the decision of the administrative official of the City of Dallas in Appeal No. BDA 223-107, on application of Michael Vann, and having evaluated the evidence pertaining to the property and heard all testimony and facts supporting the application, I move that the Board of Adjustment affirm the decision of the administrative official and DENY the relief requested by the applicant.

BOARD OF ADJ USTMENT
November 14, 2023

| Maker: | Rachel <br> Hayden |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second: | Jay Narey |  |  |  |  |
| Results: | $5-0$ <br> Unanimously |  |  |  | Moved to deny |
|  |  | Ayes: | - | 5 | David A. Neumann, Rachel Hayden, Kathleen <br> Davis, Michael Hopkovitz and Jay Narey |
|  |  | Against: | - | 0 |  |

## ***Recess: 5:00 p.m.; Resume: 5:06 p.m.***

## ADJOURNMENT

After all business of the Board of Adjustment had been considered, Chair Neumann moved to adjourn the meeting at 6:44 p.m.

| Maker: | Michael <br> Hopkovitz |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Second: | Rachel <br> Hayden |  |  |  |  |

Required Signature:
Mary Williams, Board Secretary
Development Services Dept.

Required Signature:
Jason Pool, DEV Administrator
Development Services Dept.

## Required Signature:

Date
David A. Neumann, Chairman
Board of Adjustment

FILE NUMBER: BDA234-005 (KMH)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Erick Bonilla for (1) a variance to the front-yard setback regulations at 4701 S . DENLEY DR. This property is more fully described as block 4353, part of tract 1 , and is zoned $R-7.5(A)$, which requires a frontyard setback of 25 -feet. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a singlefamily residential structure and provide an 8-foot front-yard setback, which will require (1) a 17-foot variance to the front-yard setback regulations.

LOCATION: $\quad 4701$ S. Denley Dr.
APPLICANT: Erick Bonilla

## REQUEST:

(1) A request for a variance to the front-yard setback regulations.

## STANDARD FOR A VARIANCE:

Section 51A-3.102(d)(10) of the Dallas Development Code specifies that the board has the power to grant variances from the front yard, side yard, rear yard, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, floor area for structures accessory to single-family uses, height, minimum sidewalks, off-street parking or off-street loading, or landscape regulations provided that the variance is:
(A) not contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
(B) necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
(C) not granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

## ELEMENT II SUBSTITUTE

Dallas Development Code §51A-3.102(d)(10)(b), formerly known as HB 1475, allows for the BDA to use their discretion and consider Element 2 of the Variance standard to be met, if:
(i) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 of the Texas Tax Code;
(ii) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur;
(iii) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement;
(iv) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or
(v) the municipality considers the structure to be a nonconforming structure.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

## Approval

Rationale: Based upon evidence presented and provided by the applicant, staff concluded that the site is:
A. Not contrary to the public interest as no letters of opposition were received.
B. Restrictive in shape as it is an irregularly shaped lot; restrictive in area as it does not meet the minimum lot size of 7,500 square feet for the zoning district; and it is a corner lot, which means that it has two street frontages; therefore the property cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with development upon other parcels of land in the same zoning.
C. Not a self-created or personal hardship.

## BDA HISTORY:

No BDA history found within the last 5 years.

## Square Footage:

This lot contains $6,997.23$ of square feet.
This lot is zoned R-7.5(A) which requires a minimum lot size of 7,500 square feet.

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad \mathrm{R}-7.5(\mathrm{~A})$ Single Family District
North: R-7.5(A) Single Family District and PD 855
South: $\quad$ R-7.5(A) Single Family District
East: PD-855 and Community Retail (CR)
West: R-5(A)- Single Family District

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a single family home. The areas to the immediate north, south, east, and west are developed with single family homes.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- A request for a variance to the front yard setback regulations of 17 -feet is made to construct and/or maintain a residential structure.
- The site is currently developed with a single-family residential home. The applicant is proposing to remodel the home and provide an 8 -foot front yard setback, which will require a 17-foot variance to the front yard setback regulations.
- The R-7.5(A) zoning district requires a minimum front yard setback of 25-feet.
- It is imperative to note that the subject site is a corner lot which has two street frontages along S. Denley Drive and Atlas Dr. On a typical lot, there would only be one street frontage and side yards; however, this site is bound by two front yards.
- The lot is also irregularly shaped, and it is restrictive in area as it does not meet the minimum lot size requirements of 7,500 square feet as defined by the R-7.5(A) yard, lot, and space regulations. The subject site is $6,997.23$ square feet.

The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:

- That granting the variance to the front yard setback will not be contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
- The variance is necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
- The variance would not be granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

The board may also consider Dallas Development Code §51A-3.102(d)(10)(b), formerly known as HB 1475 as grounds to determine whether compliance with the ordinance as applied to a structure that is the subject of the appeal would result in unnecessary hardship:
(a) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 (Submission of Rolls to Taxing Units), Tax Code.
(b) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur.
(c) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement.
(d) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or
(e) the municipality considers the structure to be a nonconforming structure.

- Granting the proposed 17 -foot variance to the front yard setback regulations with a condition that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan, would require the proposal to be constructed as shown on the submitted documents.
- BDA234-005 at 4701 S. Denley Dr. (200' radius video)


## Timeline:

November 17, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

December 1, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Administrator assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

December 6, 2023: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the December 22, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and January 5, 2024, deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

December 28, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and other requests scheduled for the January public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Interim Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans Examiner and the Senior Planner.




## Notification List of Property Owners

## BDA234-005

16 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 4701 | S DENLEY DR |
| 2 | 1905 | ATLAS DR |
| 3 | 4623 | S DENLEY DR |
| 4 | 4627 | S DENLEY DR |
| 5 | 4631 | S DENLEY DR |
| 6 | 2014 | ATLAS DR |
| 7 | 4718 | S DENLEY DR |
| 8 | 4714 | S DENLEY DR |
| 9 | 4715 | S DENLEY DR |
| 10 | 4709 | S DENLEY DR |
| 11 | 4723 | S DENLEY DR |
| 12 | 4719 | S DENLEY DR |
| 13 | 4705 | S DENLEY DR |
| 14 | 4722 | BARTLETT AVE |
| 15 | 1914 | ATLAS DR |
| 16 | 4707 | BARTLETT AVE |

Owner
BIGGER BILLY \& CAROL ANN
BELLEVUE FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH
ARTEAGA JOSE LUIS \&
BENITEZ MARTIN \& YARELI A
ZARION LLC
DART
MARTINEZ EMILY \& EDGAR
Taxpayer at
PRIDE EQUITY MTG CORP
ESPINOZA MAURICIO \&
BIGGER BILLY ESTATE OF
WALKER DAMIEN L
STUBBLEFIELD MECHELLE
HUCKABY MARVIN JR
HANSEN ROOSEVELT EST OF
HERNANDEZ JUAN RAMON \& ESPERANZA RUIZ


APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Case No.: BDA
Data Relative to Subject Property: refendels consalfta aeon Location address: $\qquad$ 4701 s Den ley dr Zoning District:
 FAB 4 F COSNLNED
$\square$


No: $\qquad$ Block No ß 4253 aresere $\qquad$ $1 / 6$ Census Tract: $\qquad$ Lot No.
$\qquad$ 391 2) $15 a^{\prime}$ 3) $\qquad$ 4) $\qquad$ 5) $\qquad$
Street Frontage (in Feet): 1 -
To the Honorable Board of Adjustment:
Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): $\qquad$ Eric
ill
$\qquad$ Erick Bon Telephone:214883-8008
Applicant: 4810 spar 408 ART 431 Zip Code: $\qquad$ 75236
Mailing Address: $\qquad$ Nova ni toto lgmall.com.
E-mail Address: $\qquad$ Telephone: $\qquad$ $2148838088^{\text {co }}$
Represented by: 4810 spur 408 apt 431

Zip Code: $\qquad$ 75236
Emil Address Yovani 7070 egg mail. com.


Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to Grant the described appeal for the following reason:


Regular Measurements is not square.
Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

Affidavit
Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared

(Affiant/Applicant's name printed)
who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/orprincipal/or authorized representative of the subject property

Respectfully submitted:

ant's signature)

Subscribed and sworn to before methis

$$
-
$$

$\qquad$


## Building Official's Report

I hereby certify that ERICK BONILLA
did submit a request for (1) a variance to the front yard setback regulations at 4701 S. Denley

BDA234-005. Application of ERICK BONILLA for (1) a variance to the front yard setback regulations at 4701 S DENLEY DR. This property is more fully described as block 4353, part of tract 1, and is zoned R-7.5(A), which requires a front yard setback of 25 -feet. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a single family residential structure and provide an 8 -foot front yard setback, which will require (1) a 17-foot variance to the front yard setback regulations.

Sincerely,


To pursue an appeal to the City of Dallas Zoning Board of Adjustment for the following requests)
$\qquad$ Variance (specify below)
$\qquad$ Special Exception (specify below)
$\qquad$ Other Appeal (specify below)
Specify:



Date $11 \mid 17 / 2023$
Before me, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared $\qquad$
Who on his/her oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\square$ day of November, 2023 $\frac{1}{\text { Notary Public for Dallas County, Texas }}$ Commission expires on DS/01/2027




G


## FILE NUMBER: BDA234-008 (CJ)

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Baldwin Associates for (1) a variance to the parking regulations at 5433 EAST GRAND AVE Ste $B$. This property is more fully described as Block $A / 1610$, Part of lot 2 and is zoned RR, which requires parking to be provided. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a non-residential structure for a general merchandise or food store 3500 square feet or less use, and a personal service use, and provide 7 (per delta credits) of the required 12 parking spaces, which will require (1) a 5 -space variance ( $42 \%$ reduction) to the parking regulation.

## LOCATION: $\quad 5433$ East Grand Ave.

APPLICANT: Baldwin Associates - Rob Baldwin

## REQUEST:

(1) A request for a variance to the parking regulations.

## STANDARD FOR A VARIANCE:

Section 51A-3.102(d)(10) of the Dallas Development Code specifies that the board has the power to grant variances from the front yard, side yard, rear yard, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, floor area for structures accessory to single-family uses, height, minimum sidewalks, off-street parking or off-street loading, or landscape regulations provided that the variance is:
(A) not contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
(B) necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
(C) not granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

## ELEMENT II SUBSTITUTE

Dallas Development Code §51A-3.102(d)(10)(b), formerly known as HB 1475, allows for the BDA to use their discretion and consider Element 2 of the Variance standard to be met, if:
(i) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 of the Texas Tax Code;
(ii) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur;
(iii) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement;
(iv) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or
(v) the municipality considers the structure to be a nonconforming structure.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Approval
Based upon the evidence presented and provided to staff, staff concluded that the request is:

- not contrary to public interest as no opposition was received;
- The site is restrictive; in that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning;
- Is not a self-created/personal hardship.


## BDA HISTORY:

No BDA history found within the last 5 years.

## Square Footage:

This lot contains 3,340 of square feet.
This lot is zoned $R R$ which has a minimum lot size of 7,500 square feet.

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad R R$ (Rural Residential District)
North: $\quad R R$ (Rural Residential District)
South: $\quad$ RR (Rural Residential District)
East: $\quad$ RR (Rural Residential District) \& LO-1 (Limited Office District)
West: $\quad$ RRR (Rural Residential District)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a dental office. The areas to the north, south, east, and west are developed with retail/office uses.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- A request for a variance to the parking regulations of a 5 -space variance ( $42 \%$ reduction) is made to construct and/or maintain a single-family nonresidential structure.
- The subject site is currently developed with a dental office.
- Zoning RR requires one parking space for each 200 square feet of floor area for general merchandise, food store or 3500 sq. ft. or less and one parking space for each 333 square feet of floor area for office service uses.

The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:

- That granting the variance to the parking regulations will not be contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
- The variance is necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
- The variance would not be granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

The board may also consider Dallas Development Code §51A-3.102(d)(10)(b), formerly known as HB 1475 as grounds to determine whether compliance with the ordinance as applied to a structure that is the subject of the appeal would result in unnecessary hardship:
(a) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 (Submission of Rolls to Taxing Units), Tax Code.
(b) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur.
(c) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement.
(d) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or
(e) the municipality considers the structure to be a nonconforming structure.

- Granting the proposed 5-space variance (42\% reduction) to the parking regulations with a condition that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan, would require the proposal to be constructed as shown on the submitted documents.
- 200' Radius Video: BDA234-008: 5433 East Grand Ave.


## Timeline:

November 21, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.
December 1, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Administrator assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

December 6, 2023: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the December 22, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and January 5, 2024, deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

December 28, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and other requests scheduled for the December public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans Examiner and the Senior Planner.




# Notification List of Property Owners 

## BDA234-008

21 Property Owners Notified

| Label\# | Address |  | Owner |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 5433 | EAST GRAND AVE | BUENA NOCHE I LLC |
| 2 | 5443 | EAST GRAND AVE | Taxpayer at |
| 3 | 5439 | EAST GRAND AVE | Taxpayer at |
| 4 | 5437 | EAST GRAND AVE | SUSAN ROSHAN DDS PLLC |
| 5 | 5433 | EAST GRAND AVE | ALCANTARA MA FLORA |
| 6 | 5435 | EAST GRAND AVE |  |
| 7 | 5431 | EAST GRAND AVE | EASTGRANDE LLC |
| 8 | 5429 | EAST GRAND AVE |  |
| 9 | 5423 | EAST GRAND AVE | Taxpayer at |
| 10 | 5419 | EAST GRAND AVE | 4842 EAST GRAND LL |
| 11 | 5426 | PHILIP AVE | SANCHEZ ANDRES \& JAIME |
| 12 | 5420 | PHILIP AVE |  |
| 13 | 5416 | PHILIP AVE |  |
| 14 | 5501 | EAST GRAND AVE | Taxpayer at |
| 15 | 5432 | EAST GRAND AVE | Taxpayer at |
| 16 | 5438 | EAST GRAND AVE | Taxpayer at |
| 17 | 5440 | EAST GRAND AVE | EAST DALLAS YANKEE LLC |
| 18 | 5434 | PHILIP AVE |  |
| 19 | 1108 | GRAHAM AVE | NVN LLC |
| 20 | 5421 | E R L THORNTON FWY FINANCIAL OPERATING INC |  |
| 21 | 5416 | EAST GRAND AVE |  |
|  |  |  |  |


$\sqrt{100}$

Radius video route

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

## Data Relative to Subject Property:

Location address: 5433 East Grand Avenue
Zoning District: $\qquad$
TIED
Lot No.: Pt of Lt 2 Block No.: A/1610
Acreage: $3,340 \mathrm{sf}$

Street Frontage (in Feet):
$\underline{20}$ 2) $\qquad$ 3) $\qquad$ 4) $\qquad$ 5) $\qquad$
To the Honorable Board of Adjustment :
Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): Buena Noche I, LLC - anal morgan Parks Ltd
Applicant: Rob Baldwin, Baldwin Associates Telephone: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: 3904 Elm Street Suite B Dallas TX Zip Code: 75226

E-mail Address:
rob@baldwinplanning.com
Represented by: Rob Baldwin, Baldwin Associates
Telephone: 214-824-7949
Mailing Address: 3904 Elm Street Suite B Dallas TX Zip Code: 75226

E-mail Address: rob@baldwinplanning.com
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance $X$, or Special Exception_, of Five (5) off-street parking spaces to allow the property to be occupied by a retail or personal service use.

Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to grant the described appeal for the following reason:
The subject property was developed in 1930 and has no off-street parking. The last use was a check cashing facility. The owner wouldike to lease it as a retail or personal service use as this portion of East Grand Avenue was historically a retail corridor. The property is only 20 feet wide and almost entirely covered with a building.

Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit <br> Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared <br> $\qquad$

(Affiant/Applicant's name printed) who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.

Respectfully submitted:

(Affiant/Applicant's signature)
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of




Building Official's Report
I hereby certify that BALDWIN ASSOCIATES
did submit a request for (1) a variance to the parking regulations
at 5433 EAST GRAND

BDA234-008(DB) Application of Baldwin Associates for (1) a variance to the parking regulations at 5433 EAST GRAND AVE Ste B. This property is more fully described as Block A/1610, Part of lot 2 and is zoned RR, which requires parking to be provided. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a non-residential structure for a general merchandise or food store 3500 square feet or less use, and a personal service use, and provide 7 (per delta credits) of the required 12 parking spaces, which will require (1) a 5 -space variance ( $42 \%$ reduction) to the parking regulation.

Sincerely,

CITY OF DALLAS

## AFFIDAVIT

Appeal number: BDA $234-008$

1. $\frac{\text { Buena Soche } 1, L L C \text { - and } \text { Mug an ParK, Ltolowner of the subject property }}{\text { (Owner or "Giamtece" of property as a appears on the Warranty Decd) }}$
at: 5433 East Grand Avenue
(Address of property as stated on application)
Authorize: $\qquad$ (Applicant's name as stated on application)

To pursue an appeal to the City of Dallas Zoning Board of Adjustment for the following request(s)
$x$ $\qquad$ Variance (specify below)
$\qquad$ Special Exception (specify below)
$\qquad$ Other Appeal (specify below)
Specify: A varaince to allow for the property to be used as retail - a total of five additional spaces.

Buena roche i Lula

Stephen SchnuantZ
Print name of property owner or registered agent


Signature of property owner or registered agent

Date $\square$
Before me, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared $\qquad$
Who on his her oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best know: ledge.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this

th
day of
June 2023 Phonic Semintharte
Notary Public for Dallas County. Texas




FILE NUMBER: BDA234-009 (KMH)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Dejuan Session for (1) a variance to the front-yard setback regulations at 909 S. CORINTH ST. RD. This property is more fully described as Block 27/3588, Lot 4-6 and part of lot 3, and is zoned RR, which requires a front yard setback of 15 -feet. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a nonresidential structure and provide a 4-foot front-yard setback, which will require (1) an 11foot variance to the front-yard setback regulations.

LOCATION: $\quad 909$ S. Corinth St. Rd.
APPLICANT: Dejuan Session

## REQUEST:

(1) A request for a variance to the front yard setback regulations.

## STANDARD FOR A VARIANCE:

Section 51A-3.102(d)(10) of the Dallas Development Code specifies that the board has the power to grant variances from the front yard, side yard, rear yard, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, floor area for structures accessory to single-family uses, height, minimum sidewalks, off-street parking or off-street loading, or landscape regulations provided that the variance is:
(A) not contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
(B) necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
(C) not granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

## ELEMENT II SUBSTITUTE

Dallas Development Code §51A-3.102(d)(10)(b), formerly known as HB 1475, allows for the BDA to use their discretion and consider Element 2 of the Variance standard to be met, if:
(i) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 of the Texas Tax Code;
(ii) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur;
(iii) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement;
(iv) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or
(v) the municipality considers the structure to be a non-conforming structure.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

## Approval

Rationale: Based upon evidence presented and provided by the applicant, staff concluded that the site is:
A. Not contrary to the public interest as no letters of opposition were received.
B. Restrictive in shape as it is an irregularly shaped lot. The lot is also bound by three street frontages, requiring a minimum front yard setback of 15 -feet for each frontage; therefore, the property cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with development upon other parcels of land in the same zoning.
C. Not a self-created or personal hardship.

## BDA HISTORY:

No BDA history found within the last 5 years.

## Square Footage:

This lot contains $11,873.55$ of square feet.
This lot is zoned RR and does not require a minimum lot size.

## Zoning:

Site: RR- Regional Retail
North: SUP 1924
South: RR-Regional Retail and CR Community Retail
East: $\quad$ RR-Regional Retail and CR Community Retail
West: $\quad$ R-5(A)- Single Family District

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a retail use. The areas to the north, south, east, and west are developed commercial/retail uses and single family uses.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- A request for a variance to the front yard setback regulations of 11 -feet is made to construct and/or maintain a non-residential structure.
- The subject site is currently developed with a commercial/retail building. The site also contains a food trailer that is located only 4-feet away from the property line.
- The applicant is proposing to maintain the food trailer providing a 4-foot front yard setback, requiring an 11-foot variance to the front yard setback regulations.
- The subject site is unique in nature as the lot is irregularly shaped and has 3 street frontages, Morrell Ave., S. Corinth St. Rd., and E. Waco Ave.
- The Regional Retail (RR) zoning district requires a minimum front yard setback of 15feet.

The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:

- That granting the variance to the front yard setback will not be contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
- The variance is necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
- The variance would not be granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

The board may also consider Dallas Development Code §51A-3.102(d)(10)(b), formerly known as HB 1475 as grounds to determine whether compliance with the ordinance as applied to a structure that is the subject of the appeal would result in unnecessary hardship:
(a) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 (Submission of Rolls to Taxing Units), Tax Code.
(b) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur.
(c) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement.
(d) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or
(e) the municipality considers the structure to be a nonconforming structure.

- Granting the proposed 11-foot variance to the front yard setback regulations with a condition that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan, would require the proposal to be constructed as shown on the submitted documents.
- BDA234-009 at 909 S. Corinth St. Rd. (200' radius video)


## Timeline:

November 27, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

December 1, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Administrator assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

December 6, 2023: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the December 22, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and January 5, 2024, deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

December 28, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and other requests scheduled for the January public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Interim Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans Examiner and the Senior Planner.




# Notification List of Property Owners 

 BDA234-00923 Property Owners Notified

| Label\# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 909 | S CORINTH ST RD |
| 2 | 1514 | MORRELL AVE |
| 3 | 1735 | WACO AVE |
| 4 | 1731 | WACO AVE |
| 5 | 1727 | WACO AVE |
| 6 | 1723 | WACO AVE |
| 7 | 1719 | WACO AVE |
| 8 | 1715 | WACO AVE |
| 9 | 1711 | WACO AVE |
| 10 | 1707 | WACO AVE |
| 11 | 1700 | WACO AVE |
| 12 | 1718 | WACO AVE |
| 13 | 900 | SCORINTH ST RD |
| 14 | 910 | S CORINTH ST RD |
| 15 | 1511 | MORRELL AVE |
| 16 | 1515 | MORRELL AVE |
| 17 | 1519 | MORRELL AVE |
| 18 | 1523 | MORRELL AVE |
| 19 | 829 | SCORINTH ST RD |
| 20 | 818 | SCORINTH ST RD |
| 21 | 1710 | MORRELL AVE |
| 22 | 938 | SCORINTH ST RD |
| 23 | 945 | SCORINTH ST RD |

## Owner

ESTABLISHED INVESTORS INC
SHARPE WILLIAM LEE JR \&
MIAN RAZA
TRAWICK SHANE M
BIRDA ANTHONY \&
SHOFNER JACQUELYN M
GOMEZVILLANUEVA ESTELA DEL CARMEN
ROMERONAVA ANY JANET
SANCHEZ JOSE LUIS RAMIREZ
Taxpayer at
YOUR WAY HOMES \& LOTS LLC
ADEWALE HAKEEM
LOPEZ ANDRES \&
JJ PROPERTIES INC
LEFFALL HALL ESTRELLITA
SMITH JOHN A
RIOS JEREMIAS \&
Taxpayer at
BHULLAR ENTERPRISES LLC
CEDAR CLIFF APARTMENTS LLC
MORRELL LANDCO LLC
WILLIAMS FRIED CHICKEN
DUKES R C


## Development Services

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

| Case NO.: BDA | 234500010 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Data Relative to Subject Property: D DAWGZ \& MARGZ TO GO Date: | DROEF 2 S RECOY |
| Location address: 909 S.CORINTH STREET RD Zoning District: | EDGEMONT 3 RR |
| Lot No3 ¢-6 Block No.: 27/3588 Acreage: 0.295 Census Tract: | PT LT 3 |
| $\qquad$ 3) $184^{\prime}$ 4) |  |
| To the Honorable Board of Adjustment: |  |
| Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): COREY TONEY |  |

Applicant: $\qquad$ Telephone: (214)972-8020

Mailing Address: 3824 CARVER PL, IRVING, TX Zip Code: $\qquad$
E-mail Address: D.SESSION2015@YAHOO.COM
Represented by: $\qquad$ Telephone: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$ Zip Code: $\qquad$
E-mail Address: $\qquad$
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance $\underline{\checkmark}$ or Special Exception _, of 10 . Feet variance Fiont setbech. Atto ypt site Setbecke.

Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to Grant the described appeal for the following reason:

Current use and designated location of structure based on
advice and recommendations from city personnel from August 2023 when initial application was filed.
Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared $\qquad$
DeJuan Session
(Affiant/Applicant's name printed)
who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property.


Subscribed and sworn to before me this

Chairman


## Building Official's Report

I hereby certify that DEJUAN SESSION
did submit a request for a variance to the front yard setback regulations
at 909 S . Corinth

BDA234-009(KMH) Application of Dejuan Session for (1) a variance to the front yard setback regulations at 909 S . CORINTH ST. RD. This property is more fully described as Block $27 / 3588$, Lot 4-6 and part of lot 3 , and is zoned RR, which requires a front yard setback of 15 -feet. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a non-residential structure and provide a 4 -foot front-yard setback, which will require (1) an 11-foot variance to the front-yard setback regulations.

Sincerely,

Appeal number: BDA

## $234-009$

(Owner or "Grantee" of property as it appears on the Warranty Deed) $\qquad$

Owner of the subject property Owner of the subject property
at: $\qquad$
Authorize:
De Juan Session
(Applicant's name as stated on application)
To pursue an appeal to the City of Dallas Zoning Board of Adjustment for the following requests)
$\qquad$ Variance (specify below)

Specify: L um requesting a variance from the board because I've completed ail the requirements provided by the city senior Plans Examiner and paid formy fixed location permit. Now I am requesting a variance because I hove been instructed 40 ) do something different from when y as initially requested.

Print name of property owner or registered agent
Signature of propethy owner or registered agent
Date $11 / 9 / 2023$
Before me, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared

## Corey Toney

Who on his/her oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\qquad$ day of
Natmber 2023
Notary Public for Dallas County, Texas
commisisonepisicom 4-27-2025


## BDAD24-009

Insernat Development Research Site $2.2=1 \mathrm{x}$ Dis Dallar orv Gis





## IF YOU WANT BEEF, TURKEY, OR ORGANIC, PLEASE SPECIFY!

## THA HOT DAWGZ (PLAIN JANE) \$5

Choose your own toppings

TOP DAWGZ (LOADED)
Chili Cheese, Peppers, Onions

CHILI DAWGZ
Cheese

## EASTSIDE DAWGZ

Relish, Sauerkraut, Brown Mustard

THE CHI DAWGZ
$\$ 10$
Pickles, Tomatoes, Relish. Yellow Mustard, Onion, Poppy Seed Bun

## Addt'I Toppings .50 cents

ALL DAWGS COME WITH CHIPS YOUR CHOICE BOTTLE WATER OR SODA, EXCEPT THE PLAIN JANE



Open Mon-Sat 11 AM - 9 PM

909 S. CORINTH ST RD
Dallas, TX 75216
(214-972-8020)

2022 Quality Cargo 7X16 TA Concession Trailer Featuring:
Upgraded PolyCor .080 HD Exterior 5,200 Lb Dexter Axles, 10K GVWR
Complete Sink \& Water Set Up Package
13,500 BTU A/C \& Heat Strip
Complete 50 Amp Electrical Pkg W/ Outlets \& Interior Lights
Concession Door w/ Sliding Windows \& Screens
Concession interior Shelf
$12^{\prime \prime}$ Extra Height, $7^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$ Interior Ht
Finished White Metal Walls \& Ceiling
Checker Premium Floor
Extended Triple Tube Tongue
Rear Stabilizer Jacks
Rear Barn Doors
Diamond Plate Fenders
Strong \& Solid Structure:
$16^{\prime \prime}$ On Center Floor \& Walls
Grade A Wood $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ Floor \& $3 / 8^{\text {" Walls }}$
Electric Brakes
LED Exterior Light Pkg

| VIN: | 50 ZBE1622NN038214 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Year: | 2022 |
| Manufacturer: | Quality Cargo |
| Model: | 7 ' $16 ~ C o n c e s s i o n ~ T r a i l e r ~_{\prime}$ |
| Floor Length: | $16^{\prime}$ or $192.00^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Width: | $7^{\prime}$ or $84.00^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Height: | $7^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$ or $87.00^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Weight: | 2360 lbs |
| GVWR: | 9990 lbs |
| Payload Capacity: | 7630 lbs |
| Color: | White |







FILE NUMBER: BDA234-012(CJ)

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT Application of Ignacio Ochoa for (1) a special exception to the fence height regulations, and for (2) a special exception to the fence opacity standards regulations at 3010 CITATION DR. This property is more fully described as Block $C / 6431$, Lot 27 , and is zoned $R-10(A)$, which limits the height of a fence in the front-yard to 4 -feet; and requires a fence panel with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open not be located 5 -feet from the front-lot line. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a 9-foot high fence in a required front- yard, which will require (1) a 5 -foot special exception to the fence regulations, and to construct a fence in a required front-yard with a fence panel having less than 50 percent open surface area located less than 5 -feet from the front-lot line, which will require (2) a special exception to the fence opacity regulations.

## LOCATION: 3010 Citation Dr.

APPLICANT: Ignacio Ochoa

## REQUEST:

(1) A request for a special exception to the fence height regulations; and
(2) A request for a special exception to the fence opacity standard regulations.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE HEIGHT STANDARDS REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.602(b)(2) of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the fence regulations when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE OPACITY STANDARD

 REGULATIONS:Section 51A-4.602(a)(11) of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the fence regulations when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

## Special Exceptions (2):

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad R-10(A)$ (Single Family District)
North: $\quad R-10$ (A) (Single Family District)
East: $\quad R-10(A)$ (Single Family District)
South: $\quad R-10(A)$ (Single Family District)
West: $\quad$ R-10(A) (Single Family District)

## Land Use:

The subject site and all surrounding properties are developed with single-family uses.

## BDA History:

No BDA history

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- The application Ignacio Ochoa, for the property located at 3010 Citation Drive focuses on 2 requests relating to the fence height and fence opacity regulations.
- The applicant proposes to construct and maintain and 9-foot-high fence in a required front yard, which will require a 5-foot special exception to the fence height regulations.
- Secondly, the applicant is proposing to construct and maintain a fence in a required front yard with a fence panel having less than 50 percent open surface area located less than 5 -feet from the front lot line, which requires a special exception to the fence opacity regulations.
- The subject site along with properties to the north, east, south and west are all developed with single-family homes.
- As gleaned from the submitted site plan and elevations, the applicant is proposing to construct and maintain a 9-foot-high board on board metal frame fence around the circumference of the property at 3010 Citation Drive.
- It is imperative to note that the subject site is a corner lots and it has two street frontages, Citation Drive and Venetian Way. It is important to also note that where the applicant is proposing to construct the fence would typically be a side yard, if the site was not a corner lot.
- The Dallas Development Code states that in all residential districts except multifamily districts, a fence may not exceed four feet above grade when located in the required front yard. The Dallas Development Code also states that no fence panel having less than 50 percent open surface area may be located less than 5feet from the lot line.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exception(s) to the fence regulations will not adversely affect the neighboring properties.
- Granting the special exceptions to the fence standards relating to height and opacity with a condition that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan and elevations, would require the proposal to be constructed as shown on the submitted documents.


## Timeline:

November 22, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

December 1, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Administrator assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

December 6, 2023: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the December 22, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and January 5, 2024, deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

December 28, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regard
request and other requests scheduled for the November public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans Examiner, the Assistant City Attorney to the




WALNUT HILL LN
WALNUT HILL L The number '0' indicates City of Dallas Ownership
$\qquad$ BDA234-012 OWNERS NOTIFIED

Date: $\qquad$

## Notification List of Property Owners

 BDA234-01222 Property Owners Notified

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 3010 | CITATION DR |
| 2 | 3023 | PLAUDIT PL |
| 3 | 3019 | PLAUDIT PL |
| 4 | 10053 | VENETIAN WAY |
| 5 | 10047 | VENETIAN WAY |
| 6 | 10039 | VENETIAN WAY |
| 7 | 10031 | VENETIAN WAY |
| 8 | 10023 | VENETIAN WAY |
| 9 | 10015 | VENETIAN WAY |
| 10 | 10014 | VENETIAN WAY |
| 11 | 10020 | VENETIAN WAY |
| 12 | 10026 | VENETIAN WAY |
| 13 | 3020 | CITATION DR |
| 14 | 3030 | CITATION DR |
| 15 | 10035 | DALE CREST DR |
| 16 | 10027 | DALE CREST DR |
| 17 | 10021 | DALE CREST DR |
| 18 | 3029 | CITATION DR |
| 19 | 3021 | CITATION DR |
| 20 | 3011 | CITATION DR |
| 21 | 10042 | VENETIAN WAY |
| 22 | 10048 | VENETIAN WAY |
| 19 |  |  |

## Owner

KLEIN LOGAN H \&
MCADOO GERALD B II \&
LEE LAURENCE W
WALTON FRANKIE \& LEE M
COLLINS MICHELLE \&
LEERMAKERS ELIZABETH A
MANLEY TANNER \&
MOSHOLDER SHERRI L
KIM AWNS \& KIS
VIAL FELIPE \&
PLANK WALTER M JR \&
LAM WINSTON PAUL \&
MARTIN STEVE W
KNIGHT TIFFANY DONAHUE
KORTH LISA P \&
HATCHER MARTHA S \&
ROSASACOSTA RICARDO E
STIMPSON MICHAEL A \& NOLLNER CHELSEA

Taxpayer at
MITCHELL CLARK
BALL JAMES A

## https://youtu.be/YZw8QOYTUKY




## Development Services

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Data Relative to Subject Property: $\qquad$ Date:
Location address: 3010 Citation Dr Lot No.: $\qquad$ Block No.: C 16431 Acreage: $\qquad$ Zoning District: Lot No. 2 Acreage: . 26 Census Tract:
$\qquad$
${ }^{11} 10 \mathrm{ga}^{2}$ 104 3) $\qquad$ 4) $\qquad$ 5)

## To the Honorable Board of Adjustment:

Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed): Logan Klein
Applicant: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ Telephone: $469-264-7742$ Mailing Address: 4623 W I-30 Caddo Mills, Tr zip code: 75135 E-mailAddress: permits@betterbuiltdfw.com
Represented by: $\qquad$ Telephone: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$ Zip Code: $\qquad$
E-mail Address: $\qquad$
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance _, or Special Exception $\mathbb{L}$ of Building 9 Tall Fence on side of property, that is being considered front lot and oppeut7. (Io)

Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to Grant the described appeal for the following reason:
Side yard is being considered front along venetian. Neighbors have Q' Tall fence in what would fill under same rule.

Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared $\qquad$ Affiant Chon
(Affiant/Applicant's name printed) who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property


Subscribed and sworn to before me this 17 day of

Chairman


Building Official＇s Report
I hereby certify that IGNACIO OCHOA
did submit a request
at
for（1）a special exception to the fence height regulations，and for（2）a special exception to the fence standards regulations

3010 Citation

BDA234－012（KMH）Application of Ignacio Ochoa for（1）a special exception to the fence height regulations，and for（2）a special exception to the fence opacity standards regulations at 3010 CITATION DR．This property is more fully described as Block C／6431， Lot 27，and is zoned R－10（A），which limits the height of a fence in the front－yard to 4 －feet； and requires a fence panel with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open not be located 5 feet from the front－lot line．The applicant proposes to construct and／or maintain a 9 －foot high fence in a required front－yard，which will require（1）a 5 －foot special exception to the fence regulations，and to construct a fence in a required front－yard with a fence panel having less than 50 percent open surface area located less than 5 －feet from the front－lot line，which will require（2）a special exception to the fence opacity regulations．

Sincerely，

Appeal number: BDA 234.012

1. Koguen Fico Owner of the subject property
al: 3010 Citation Drive
(Adurew of property as stated on uppleatwin)
Authorize: $\square$
To pursue an appeal to the City of Dallas Zoning Board of Adjustment for the following requests)
$\qquad$ Variance (specify below)
$\qquad$ Special Exception (specify below)
$\qquad$ Other Appeal (specify below)
Specify:
Building a fence on side lot considered a front lot $q^{\prime}$ Tall and oppacity- (Io)


Print nate of property owner or registered agent


Date $\qquad$ $10 / 17 / 2023$

Before me, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared


Who on his/her oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 174 day of


Notary Public for Dallas County, Texas
Commission expires of lexer 30,2024

Appeal number: BDA 234.012

at: $\qquad$
(Address of propend as slated on application)
Authorize: $\qquad$
To pursue an appeal to the City of Dallas Zoning Board of Adjustment for the following request(s)
$\qquad$ Variance (specify below)

$\qquad$ Other Appeal (specify below)
Specify: $\square$ q' Tall and oppecity.(To)

Whitney Mater
Print name of property owner or registered agent

Whitman=
Signature of property owner or registered agent

Date $\qquad$ 2023
Before me, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared


Who on his/her oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge. Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\qquad$ 17 day of

$\qquad$

Notary Public for Dallas County, Texas Commission expires on 10/30/2024
$\qquad$

Internal Development Research Site 2.2 CIr of Dallas DEV GIS
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WALNUT HILL LN
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## WALNUT HILL LN

WALNUT HILL LN
MAI NITTHI
Q Selected features: 0

| 50 m |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 100 ft |  |

Esri Community Maps Contributors, Texas Parks \& Wild... Powered by Esri

| Location <br>  | cun | No | Uner | $\varepsilon$ | Outer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ! | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & Q \\ & T \\ & L \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35008 \\ & 246.51 \\ & 128.42 \\ & 236.78 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Nan | ? | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \frac{4}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 59.00 \\ & 81,51 \\ & 20517 \\ & 300661 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 24 | 这 |  |  | 436.51 <br> $17.85^{\circ}$ <br> 230.2 |
| . | 28 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \frac{\Delta}{2} \\ Q_{T} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 160^{\circ} 1{ }^{\prime \prime} \\ 656 .{ }^{\prime \prime} \\ 66.19 \\ 123.55 \end{gathered}$ |
| sun | 3 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} 1 \\ 2 \\ T \\ L \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 300^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \\ 50.00 \\ 26 / 80^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ |
| Cosion | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35^{\circ} 14^{10} \\ & 200 \\ & 59.09 \\ & 11602 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33^{\circ} 1410 \\ 7250 \\ 6719 \\ 130.52 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33^{3014100} \\ & 7500 \\ & 7460 \\ & 14500 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline A \\ & Q \\ & T \\ & L \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l}  \\ 20^{\circ} 5^{2} 29^{\circ} \\ 3,29^{4.19} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1028120 \\ & 18041 \\ & 8041 \\ & 8041 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 7 \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2050150 \\ & 75000 \\ & 1420 \\ & 101.49 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 64 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Q} \\ & \mathbf{e} \\ & \boldsymbol{i} \\ & \mathbf{L} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} Q \\ R \\ T \\ L \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
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## FILE NUMBER: BDA212-078 (KMH)

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Audra Buckley for (1) a special exception to the parking regulations, and for (2) a special exception to the landscape and tree preservation regulations at 5526 E R L Thornton Fwy. This property is more fully described as lot 6A, block 7/1633 and is zoned CR, which requires parking to be provided, and landscape to be provided. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a nonresidential structure for motor vehicle fueling station, restaurant without drive-in or drive-through service use, and a general merchandise or food store 3500 square feet or less use, and provide 22 of the required 26 parking spaces, which will require a (1) 4space special exception (. $15 \%$ reduction) to the parking regulations. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a nonresidential structure which requires mandatory landscaping and provide a revised alternate landscape plan, which will require (2) a special exception to the landscape regulations.

LOCATION: $\quad 5526$ E R L Thornton FWY
APPLICANT: Audra Buckley

## REQUEST:

(1) A request for a special exception to the off-street parking regulations; and
(2) A request for a special exception to the landscape regulations.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO THE OFF-STREET PARKING REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.311 of the Dallas Development Code states the following:

1) The Board of Adjustment may grant a special exception to authorize a reduction in the number of off-street parking spaces required under this article if the board finds, after a public hearing, that the parking demand generated by the use does not warrant the number of off-street parking spaces required, and the special exception would not create a traffic hazard or increase traffic congestion on adjacent and nearby streets. The maximum reduction authorized by this section is 25 percent or one space, whichever is greater, minus the number of parking spaces currently not provided due to delta credits, as defined in Section 51A-4.704(b)(A). For the commercial amusement (inside) use and the industrial (inside) use, the maximum reduction authorized by this section is 75 percent or one space, whichever is greater, minus the number of parking spaces currently not provided due to delta credits, as defined in Section 51A-4.704(b)(4)(A). For office use, the maximum reduction authorized by this section is 35 percent or one space, whichever is greater, minus the number of parking
spaces currently not provided due to delta credits, as defined in Section 51A4.704(b)(4)(A). Applicants may seek a special exception to the parking requirements under this section and an administrative parking reduction under Section 51A-4.313. The greater reduction will apply, but the reduction may not be combined.
2) In determining whether to grant a special exception, the board shall consider the following factors:
(A) The extent to which the parking spaces provided will be remote, shared, or packed parking.
(B) The parking demand and trip generation characteristics of all uses for which the special exception is requested.
(C) Whether or not the subject property or any property in the general area is part of a modified delta overlay district.
(D) The current and probable future capacities of adjacent and nearby streets based on the city's thoroughfare plan.
(E) The availability of public transit and the likelihood of its use.
(F) The feasibility of parking mitigation measures and the likelihood of their effectiveness.
3) In granting a special exception, the board shall specify the uses to which the special exception applies. A special exception granted by the board for a particular use automatically and immediately terminates if and when that use is changed or discontinued.
4) In granting a special exception, the board may:
(A) Establish a termination date for the special exception or; otherwise provide for the reassessment of conditions after a specified period of time;
(B) Impose restrictions on access to or from the subject property; or
(C) Impose any other reasonable conditions that would have the effect of improving traffic safety or lessening congestion on the streets.
5) The board shall not grant a special exception to reduce the number of off-street parking spaces required in an ordinance granting or amending a specific use permit.
6) The board shall not grant a special exception to reduce the number of off-street parking spaces expressly required in the text or development plan of an ordinance establishing or amending regulations governing a specific planned development district. This prohibition does not apply when:
(A) the ordinance does not expressly specify a minimum number of spaces, but instead simply makes references to the existing off-street parking regulations in Chapter 51; or
(B) the regulations governing that specific district expressly authorize the board to grant the special exception.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO THE LANDSCAPING AND TREE MITIGATION:

Section 51A-10.110 of the Dallas Development Code states the board may grant a special exception to the requirements of this article upon making a special finding from the evidence presented that strict compliance with the requirements of this article will unreasonably burden the use of the property; the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property; and the requirements are not imposed by a site-specific landscape plan approved by the city plan commission or city council. In determining whether to grant a special exception under Subsection (a), the board shall consider the following factors:

- The extent to which there is residential adjacency.
- The topography of the site.
- The extent to which landscaping exists for which no credit is given under this article.
- The extent to which other existing or proposed amenities will compensate for the reduction of landscaping. (Ord. Nos. 22053; 25155)


## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

## Special Exception:

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the offstreet parking regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the parking demand generated by the use does not warrant the number of offstreet parking spaces required, and the special exception would not create a traffic hazard or increase traffic congestion on adjacent and nearby streets.

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the landscape regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## BDA HISTORY:

No BDA History found within the last 5 years.

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad$ CR (Community Retail)
North: $\quad$ RR (Regional Retail)
South: $\quad$ PD 136 and $P(A)$
East: $\quad C R$ and $P(A)$ (Community Retail and Parking)
West: $\quad$ CR (Community Retail)

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a convenience store. The surrounding properties are developed with some retail uses and single-family residential homes.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- A request for a special exception to the off-street parking regulations of 4 spaces is made to construct and/or maintain a nonresidential structure for retail, motor vehicle fueling station, and personal service uses. The applicant proposes to provide only 22 of the 26 required parking spaces, resulting in the need for a $.15 \%$ reduction in the parking space requirements.
- The applicant is also requesting a special exception to the landscaping requirements of Article $X$ with the approval of a revised alternate landscape plan (SP-03) dated $12 / 21 / 23$. The property owner proposes to add to the existing conditions which will impose landscaping regulations to the active site. The site will be amended with added landscaping areas and plants but will not fully conform with landscape regulations, per Chief Arborist Phil Erwin.
- As gleaned from the submitted site and landscape plan, the applicant is proposing to expand the existing convenience store. The existing 3200 sq. ft convenience store will now include an 800 sq. ft addition to be used as a quick service restaurant.
- The proposed site plan illustrates the addition to the existing store and the proposed 22 parking spaces.
- The proposed landscape plan includes landscape areas along the street frontage with trees and shrubs indicated on the plan but not identified by species or size. The southern perimeter with residential adjacency includes new small tree and shrub plantings that are proposed within a new partial buffer zone. Additionally, Shumard red oaks (large trees) are proposed along the highway frontage along with small trees and shrubs in the partial buffer zone.
- Granting the proposed 4-space special exception to the off-street parking regulations with a condition that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan, would require the proposal to be constructed as shown on the submitted documents.
- Additionally, granting the special exception to the landscape and tree mitigation regulations with a condition that the applicant complies with the submitted site and landscape plan, would require the proposal to be constructed as shown on the submitted documents.
- The Chief arborist has no objection to the proposed revised alternate landscape plan.
- https://youtu.be/2byQX0fTnLI (200' Radius Video)


## Timeline:

September 11, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

October 2, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Administrator assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

October 4, 2023: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the October 23, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and November 3, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

October 19, 2023: A site visit was conducted on October $19^{\text {th }}$ by the Senior Planner; During the site visit, the notification signs were not properly posted.

The Senior Planner sent email to applicant regarding this issue.
October 23, 2023: The applicant emailed the Senior Planner with pictures of the Notification signs posted properly.

November 1, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and other requests scheduled for the November public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans Examiner the Assistant City Attorney to the Board, the Senior Planner and Code Compliance staff.

November 3, 2023: The Chief Arborist provided a memo stating, "The chief arborist has no objection to the proposed alternate landscape plan provided the variances that authorize the site conditions are approved by the board. The full application of Article $X$ would unreasonably burden the use of the property. As a condition, I recommend the required planting conditions of Section 51A-10.125 be applied for all trees
and shrubs identified on the alternate landscape plan. All trees on the landscape plan shall be 'large' or 'medium' trees and all shrubs shall be 'large evergreen shrubs'."

November 14, 2023: The applicant requested to postpone their application until the January $16^{\text {th }}$ hearing for Panel A.

December 22, 2023: The applicant submitted revised drawings.
December 28, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and other requests scheduled for the November public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Interim Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans Examiner the Assistant City Attorney to the Board, the Senior Planner, Chief Arborist, Transportation Engineer and Zoning staff.




# Notification List of Property Owners 

## BDA212-078

16 Property Owners Notified

| Label\# | Address | Owner |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 5526 | ERL THORNTON FWY MODERN PYRAMIDS INC |  |
| 2 | 5506 | E R L THORNTON FWY RIDGLEA COMPLEX MGMT INC |  |
| 3 | 5523 | CULVER ST | GALLEGOS RAFAEL |
| 4 | 5507 | CULVER ST | CARRILLO RUPERTO \& DORA |
| 5 | 1334 | FAIRVIEW AVE | NGUYEN THANH |
| 6 | 1330 | FAIRVIEW AVE | JOHNSON ZEON SMITH |
| 7 | 1327 | FAIRVIEW AVE | WINSTED HOMES LLC |
| 8 | 1329 | FAIRVIEW AVE | GARCIA GUADALUPE |
| 9 | 1335 | FAIRVIEW AVE | LAI CHI WAI |
| 10 | 1339 | FAIRVIEW AVE | TEMASEK DEVELOPMENT GROUP LLC |
| 11 | 5606 | ER L THORNTON FWY M\&V PROPERTIES LLC |  |
| 12 | 5611 | CULVER ST | ALVAREZ MAURO \& SOLEDAD |
| 13 | 5607 | CULVER ST |  |
| 14 | 1320 | FAIRVIEW AVE | Taxpayer at |
| 15 | 1324 | FAIRVIEW AVE | Taxpayer at |
| 16 | 5502 | ERL THORNTON FWY RIDGLEA COMPLEX MGMT INC |  |



## Development Services

## "TOGETHER WE ARE BUILDING A SAFE AND UNITED DALLAS

## APPL CATIONAPPEAI TO THE BOARD OP ADJUSTMENT



To the Honorable Board of Adjustment:
Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed)
Modern Pyramids, Inc. - Mark Barakat
Applicant:
Audra Buckley Telephone: 214.686.3635
Mailing Address: 1414 Belleview Street, Ste 150 zip Code: 75215
E-mail Address: permitted.development.dfw@gmail.com
Represented by: Permitted Development, LLC Telephone: 214.686.3635

Mailing Address: 1414 Belleview Street, Ste 150 Zip Code: 75215 E-mail Address: permitted.development.dfw@gmail.com
variance of $20^{\prime}$ to the -side yard setback required adjacent to the alley, and a landscape special exception.
Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to Grant the described appeal for the following reason:
These request will not adversely impact surrounding properties. With regards to the parking reduction, please see submitted traffic analysis. Due to existing conditions of the block plus existing, solid, residential fences south of the alley, the reduction of the side yard setback to 0 ' will not adversely impact neighbors to the south. Additionally, solid screening is proposed along the alley as part of the alternate landscape plan provided in lieu of Article X . Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit

## Audra Buckley

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared $\qquad$
(Affiant/Applicant's name printed)
who on (his/her) oath certifies that th a above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principallog authorized reppenentative of the subject property

subscribed and sworn to before me this 11 day of September 2023 Destine Md real


## Building Official's Report

## I hereby certify that Audra Buckley

represented by Permitted Development
did submit a request
for (1) a special exception to the parking regulations, and for (2) a special exception to the landscaping regulations
at $\quad 5526$ E R.L. Thornton Hwy

BDA212-078(KMH) Application of Audra Buckley for (1) a special exception to the parking regulations, for (2) a special exception to the Landscape and tree preservation regulations at 5526 East R. L. Thornton Frwy. This property is more fully described as lot 6A, block $7 / 1633$ and is zoned CR, which requires parking to be provided, and Landscape to be provided. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a non-residential structure for a motor vehicle fueling station, restaurant without drive-in or drive-through service use, and a general merchandise or food store 3500 square feet or less use, and provide 22 of the required 26 parking spaces, which will require a (1) 4 -space special exception ( $15 \%$ reduction) to the parking regulation. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain non-residential structure which requires mandatory landscaping and provide a revised alternate landscape plan, which will require (2) a special exception to the landscape regulations.

Sincerely,

## Appeal number: BDA 212-078

## Modern Pyramids, Inc. - Mark Barakat

## Permitted Development, LLC - Audra Buckley

(Applicant's name as stated on application)
To pursue an appeal to the City of Dallas Zoning Board of Adjustment for the following requests)
$\square$ Variance (specify below)
X
Special Exception (specify below)
$\qquad$ Other Appeal (specify below)
Specify: Variance to the off-street parking requirements for a reduction of $39.5 \%$ or $\nmid 2$ of the required 32 spaces,
variance of $20^{\prime}$ to the side yard setback required adjacent to the alley, and a landscape special exception.


Signature of property owner or registered agent
Date $\qquad$
Before me, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared $\square$ Mark Barakat

Who on his/her oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 11 day of Sept ember 2023


Notary Public for Dallas County, Texas


Commission expires on $05 / 01 / 2027$



## BDA218-078

## Documentary Evidence for Setback Variance Request:

(i) the variance is not contrary to the public interest when, owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done;

The property is zoned CR with property to the south of the alley being zoned PDD 136 - residential zoning. The Dallas development code requires a $20^{\prime}$ setback wherever CR properties are located adjacent to or across an alley from a residential zoning district. The purpose is to provide a buffer between two different use types, which is sometimes done with landscaping/living screen. However, as shown in these two photos, existing conditions show both sides of the alley are lined with solid screening/fencing. Any improvements made to the subject site would not be visible.


Additionally, none of the residents across the alley from the subject use the alley for access. Parking occurs onstreet or in their respective driveways as shown:


Therefore, we do not believe a reduction in the setback along the alley will be contrary to the public interest but would result in an unnecessary hardship in the development of the subject site due to its depth of approximately $97^{\prime}$ at the narrowest point. Compliance would result in a loss of $20^{\prime}$ of depth which would further hinder development commensurate with other CR zoned parcels along RL Thornton. As described in the next section, most all the structures along RL Thornton are shown immediately adjacent to their respective alleys.
(ii) the variance is necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and

The undeveloped portion of the subject site is approximately 97 ' deep at the narrowest point. This is not a common condition for RL Thornton as shown in the following image. The purpose of a setback is to establish a consistent appearance within a particular zoning designation. There is no continuity in this segment of the freeway as most of the buildings are older and setback to the property line.

Properties to the east of Winslow have depths of approximately $140^{\prime}-145^{\prime}$ representing an additional $50^{\prime}$ in depth than most of the subject site. Without this variance to the setback, the property cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with other properties along RL Thornton. The Shell station to the east of Winslow has the same zoning and code requirements as the subject site but due to their additional depth, they were able to comply.

(iii) the variance is not granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, except as provided in Subparagraph (B)(i), nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

The property has been in this configuration for decades. The variance request would align with existing conditions along RL Thornton Fwy to the east and the adjacent building to the west of the subject site. Application of the 20 ' setback with a 10 ' landscape buffer would render the existing use completely noncompliant as the area between the fuel canopy and the property line is needed for traffic circulation of passenger and commercial vehicles. Furthermore, compliance with these requirements would also severely restrict, if not prohibit, development of the vacant, westernmost portion of the property due to the reduction of lot depth by $20^{\prime}$ adjacent to the alley and a reduction of 10 ' along the freeway for street improvements. A landscape special exception regarding the street improvements and other Article X requirements is also requested and will be addressed separately.





(2) DUMPSTER ELEVATION SIDE (NORTH/SOUTH)
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SOLAR POWERED
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PARKING LOT ON
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(1) FENCE AND PIER ELEVATION $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$

(3) DUMPSTER ELEVATION REAR (WEST)



(4) DUMPSTER ELEVATION FRONT (EAST)

# Parking Demand Assessment 

Circle K and Taco Casa<br>5526 East R. L. Thornton Fwy<br>Dallas, Texas

## BDA212-078

Prepared for:<br>DFW Oil \& Energy, LLC



December 2023

LEADERSHIP TRAFFIC SERVICES
Texas Board of Professional Engineers, F-12534
I, Adrian O. Murphy, hereby certify that the information provided in this report is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge.
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The development representative retained Leadership Traffic Services to perform a parking demand assessment for the proposed Taco Casa Restaurant that will serve as an addition to an existing Circle K convenience store and gas station located at 5526 East R. L. Thornton Freeway (IH 30 eastbound service road) at Winslow Avenue in Dallas, Texas.

The purpose of the parking demand assessment is to provide technical justification to support a reduction in the number of required parking spaces as regulated by the City of Dallas. The parking demand assessment document will be provided to the City of Dallas staff for technical review to fulfill the associated requirements of the local approval process.

Based on the parking demand assessment performed for the proposed Circle K convenience store and Taco Casa development, the following conclusions and recommendations have been offered in support of allowing a greater reduction to the required parking:

- The proposed development will generate 144 vehicles trips ( 72 entering and 72 exiting) during the AM peak hour and 163 vehicle trips ( 83 entering and 80 exiting) during the PM peak hour.
- Driveway access will be served from one existing driveway along East R. L. Thornton Freeway (IH 30) eastbound service road and one existing driveway along Winslow Avenue. A second existing driveway along East R. L. Thornton Freeway (IH 30) eastbound service road will be closed.
- The proposed development requires 26 parking spaces as determined in the City of Dallas Development Code.
- The proposed development will provide 20 total parking spaces, six (6) parking spaces shy of the required amount, creating $23 \%$ reduction that would fall within the allowable tolerance of $25 \%$ reduction, to be granted a special exception to the parking requirement from the Board of Adjustment (BDA).
- Internal trip capture can create less demand for parking since a single trip can visit more than one land use due to the closeness and interconnectivity of shared driveways and parking.
- A prototypical model located at 12950 Coit Road in Dallas where there is higher concentration of adjacent street traffic does not consume its available on-site parking during peak demands periods of the day.
- A reduction in parking spaces at East R. L. Thornton Freeway (IH 30) and Winslow Avenue to serve the Circle K and Taco Casa development would not create a traffic hazard or cause traffic congestion to the adjacent roadway system.
- Fifteen (15) additional spaces may be realized when considering the drive-through window available queue space and parking at the pump for vehicle fueling operations.


## INTRODUCTION

The development representative retained Leadership Traffic Services to perform a parking demand assessment for the proposed Taco Casa Restaurant that will serve as an addition to an existing Circle K convenience store and gas station located at 5526 East R. L. Thornton Freeway (IH 30 eastbound service road) at Winslow Avenue in Dallas, Texas.

The purpose of the parking demand assessment is to provide technical justification to support a reduction in the number of required parking spaces as regulated by the City of Dallas. The parking demand assessment document will be provided to the City of Dallas staff for technical review to fulfill the associated requirements of the local approval process.

## SITE AND STUDY AREA DESCRIPTION

The proposed development will be located at 5526 East R. L. Thornton Freeway, southwest of IH 30 and Winslow Avenue in Dallas, Texas. The proposed development will serve as a redevelopment of the existing site that contains a Circle K convenience store and gas station. Access to the site will be served from one driveway along East R. L. Thornton service road and one driveway along Winslow Avenue. A second existing driveway that currently serves the Circle K convenience store and gas station will be closed with the development and addition of the Taco Caso restaurant. A vicinity map is presented in Figure 1. The site is bounded by East R. L. Thornton Freeway to the north, commercial and residential to the west, residential to the south, and commercial to the east.

FIGURE 1. VICINITY MAP


## EXISTING AND PROPOSED LAND USE

The existing site for the proposed development is currently occupied by the Circle K convenience store and gas station. The existing Circle K convenience store will be renovated and expanded to include a new 3,200 square feet Circle K convenience store and 800 square feet Taco Casa restaurant. The fuel pumps will remain in their existing location and will continue operations as part of the new convenience store. Based on the official zoning map for the City of Dallas, the property is currently zoned as CR - Community Retail. The adjacent properties in the immediate vicinity of the proposed development are zoned as $\mathrm{CR}-$ Community Retail. The proposed development will be constructed as a single phase with a completion date for 2023. The proposed land use for the development is presented below in Table 1.

Table 1. Proposed Land Use

| Land Use | Size | Unit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fast-Food Restaurant <br> with Drive-Through <br> Window | 0.8 | $1,000 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| Convenience Store / |  |  |
| Gas station | 3.2 | $1,000 \mathrm{SF}$ |

## TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

## Thoroughfare System

The following is a general description of the major thoroughfares within the study area as they exist today.

East R. L. Thornton (IH 30) Eastbound Service Road is directly north of the proposed development and is a three-lane, one-way roadway that runs in an east direction with a speed limit of 40 MPH . The roadway is considered a TxDOT roadway and is not characterized on the City of Dallas Thoroughfare Plan. Two (2) existing driveways serve the property of the proposed development. One driveway will be removed with the proposed development. Historical traffic data from NCTCOG indicates 8,000 vehicles per day travel along East R. L. Thornton (IH 30) eastbound service road.

Winslow Avenue is located east of the proposed development and is two-lane undivided roadway. A speed limit posting was not observed along Winslow Avenue. The roadway is characterized on the City of Dallas Thoroughfare Plan as a C - Community Collector roadway, minimum four-lane undivided (M-4-U). One existing driveway serves the property of the proposed development and will serve the proposed development. Historical traffic data from NCTCOG indicates 4,000 vehicles per day travel along Winslow Avenue near East R. L. Thornton (IH 30) freeway.

## SITE TRAFFIC CHARACTERISTICS

## Proposed Site Trip Generation

The number of trips generated by the Circle K convenience store and Taco Casa development is a function of the type and quantity of land use for the development. The number of vehicle trips generated by the proposed development was estimated based on ITETripGen, a web-based app that incorporates the latest trip generation rates and equations provided in the publication entitled Trip Generation Manual, Eleventh Edition, by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). Estimates of the number of trips generated by the site were made for the AM and PM peak hour, as well as daily. Table 2 provides the estimated rates and equations along with the entering and exiting distribution splits. Due to the nature of the proposed development and the mix of land uses being considered, some trips generated by the development would be contained within the site as an internal trip capture. When combined within a single mixed-use development, these land uses tend to interact and thus attract a portion of each other's trip generation. The recommended methodology for internal trip capture reduction is based on using the NCHRP Report 684 and has been applied to the Circle K convenience store and Taco Casa development. A summary of the total number of trips that are projected to be generated by the proposed development during typical daily, AM and PM time periods is shown in Table 3. The number of trips generated represents the number of vehicles entering and exiting the proposed development to and from the adjacent roadway system. Supporting documentation from the ITE Trip Generation Manual has been included in the appendix. Based on the site traffic that would access the proposed development from the adjacent roadway system, traffic congestion is not likely to occur.

Table 2. Trip Generation Rates

| Land Use <br> Description | Unit | Daily |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Split | Rate | Split | Rate | Split |  |
| Fast-Food <br> Restaurant with <br> Drive-Through <br> Window | 1000 SF | 467.48 | $50 \%$ In <br> $50 \%$ Out | 44.61 | $51 \%$ In <br> $49 \%$ Out | 33.03 | $52 \%$ In <br> $48 \%$ Out |
| Convenience <br> Store / Gas <br> Station <br> $(2-8$ VFP $)$ | 1000 SF | 624.20 | $50 \%$ In <br> $50 \%$ Out | 40.59 | $50 \%$ In <br> 505 Out | 48.48 | $50 \%$ In <br> $50 \%$ Out |

Table 3. Trip Generation Summary for 5626 East R. L. Thornton Freeway

| ITE Land Use | ITE Code | Unit | Quantity | Daily |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit | Enter | Exit |
| Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window | 934 | 1000 SF | 0.8 | 187 | 187 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 12 |
| Convenience Store / Gas Station (2-8 VFP) | 945 | 1000 SF | 3.2 | 999 | 998 | 65 | 65 | 78 | 77 |
| Internal Trip Capture Reduction |  |  |  | --- | --- | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 |
| Totals |  |  |  | 2371 |  | 144 |  | 163 |  |

## Parking Generation

The required parking for the proposed Circle K and Taco Casa development is based on land use per the City of Dallas parking and loading regulations with provisions for parking reductions and credits. Table 4 below summarizes the parking analysis for the proposed development. As shown in Table 4, the proposed development will not provide enough parking spaces as required in the City of Dallas Development Code.

Table 4. Parking Analysis (5526 East R. L. Thornton Freeway, Dallas)

| Land Use | Size | Parking Code Criteria | Parking Spaces Required | Parking Spaces Provided |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Per Code | Additional |
| Taco Casa restaurant with drive-through window | 0.8 SF | 1 per 100 SF | 8 | 4 | --- |
|  | Vehicle Queue in Drive-through Window Lane | --- | --- | --- | 7 |
| Circle K convenience store / gas station | 3,200 SF | 1 per 200 SF | 16 | 16 | --- |
|  | Canopy | --- | 2 | --- | --- |
|  | Vehicle Fueling Positions | --- | --- | --- | 8 |
| Bicycle Rack | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2 |
| Total Parking Spaces |  |  | 26 | 20 | 17 |

The Board of Adjustment (BDA) may grant special exceptions to allow for up to $25 \%$ reduction to required parking if the development's allowed parking reduction does not create a traffic hazard or increase traffic congestion on the adjacent and nearby roadways. The Circle K and Taco Casa development would be six (6) parking spaces shy of the required amount, creating $23 \%$ reduction that would fall within the allowable tolerance of $25 \%$ reduction, to be granted a special exception to the parking requirement.

Based on the nature of the development, that includes different integrated, complementary, and interacting land uses that allows for interconnectivity of driveways and shared parking where on-site parking can be accessed by users visiting more than one land use without creating an additional trip and the need for an available parking space, there would be a reduced parking demand created from the proximity of the complementary land uses.

To support the claim of reduced parking demand, the developer representative allowed parking demand data to be collected at a prototypical model site located at 12950 Coit Road in Dallas where there is a Circle K convenience store with Exxon gas station and Taco Casa restaurant. There are 14 vehicle fueling positions at the Coit Road location with comparable sizes for the Circle K convenience store and Taco Casa restaurant envisioned for the site near East R. L. Thornton Freeway (IH 30) and Winslow Avenue. The Coit Road location is near a greater concentration of traffic ( 40,000 vehicles per day along Coit Road and 20,000 vehicles per day along IH 635 eastbound service road yet based on the data summarized in Table 5 below, the peak demand experienced at the Coit Road location allowed for ample parking without exceeding demand and the drive-through window for the Taco Casa restaurant did not exceed the available on-site queue.

Table 5. Peak Parking Analysis (12950 Coit Road, Dallas)

| Land Use | Parking <br> Spaces <br> Provided <br> Onsite | Drive- <br> Through <br> Window <br> Queuing <br> Lanes | Max Occupied <br> Parking Spaces <br> / Drive- <br> Through <br> Queue Lanes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Taco Casa restaurant with <br> drive-through window | --- | 8 | $4(3)$ |
| Circle K convenience store / <br> Exxon gas station | 44 | --- | $20(18)$ |

[^0]In addition to the twenty (20) parking spaces that will be provided, the Taco Casa restaurant will be able to safely accommodate up to seven (7) vehicles for the drive-through window service. A request will be made to the Board of Adjustments to allow credit for vehicles that park at the pump during vehicle fueling operations or to patronize the convenience store or restaurant, accommodating an additional eight (8) parking spaces. Considering both the spaces at the pump and the drive-through vehicle queue, up to fifteen (15) additional parking spaces would be available, not including parking at bicycle racks.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the parking demand assessment performed for the proposed Circle K convenience store and Taco Casa development, the following conclusions and recommendations have been offered in support of allowing a greater reduction to the required parking:

- The proposed development will generate 144 vehicles trips ( 72 entering and 72 exiting) during the AM peak hour and 163 vehicle trips (83 entering and 80 exiting) during the PM peak hour.
- Driveway access will be served from one existing driveway along East R. L. Thornton Freeway (IH 30) eastbound service road and one existing driveway along Winslow Avenue. A second existing driveway along East R. L. Thornton Freeway (IH 30) eastbound service road will be closed.
- The proposed development requires 26 parking spaces as determined in the City of Dallas Development Code.
- The proposed development will provide 20 total parking spaces, six (6) parking spaces shy of the required amount, creating $23 \%$ reduction that would fall within the allowable tolerance of $25 \%$ reduction, to be granted a special exception to the parking requirement from the Board of Adjustment (BDA).
- Internal trip capture can create less demand for parking since a single trip can visit more than one land use due to the closeness and interconnectivity of shared driveways and parking.
- A prototypical model located at 12950 Coit Road in Dallas where there is higher concentration of adjacent street traffic does not consume its available on-site parking during peak demands periods of the day.
- A reduction in parking spaces at East R. L. Thornton Freeway (IH 30) and Winslow Avenue to serve the Circle K and Taco Casa development would not create a traffic hazard or cause traffic congestion to the adjacent roadway system.
- Fifteen (15) additional spaces may be realized when considering the drive-through window available queue space and parking at the pump for vehicle fueling operations.
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## 2. Trip Generation

# Land Use: 934 <br> Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window 

## Description

This land use includes any fast-food restaurant with a drive-through window. This type of restaurant is characterized by a large drive-through and large carry-out clientele, long hours of service (some are open for breakfast, all are open for lunch and dinner, some are open late at night or 24 hours a day) and high turnover rates for eat-in customers. The restaurant does not provide table service. A patron generally orders from a menu board and pays before receiving the meal. A typical duration of stay for an eat-in patron is less than 30 minutes. Fast casual restaurant (Land Use 930), high-turnover (sit-down) restaurant (Land Use 932), fast-food restaurant without drive-through window (Land Use 933), and fast-food restaurant with drive-through window and no indoor seating (Land Use 935) are related uses.

## Additional Data

Users should exercise caution when applying statistics during the AM peak periods, as the sites contained in the database for this land use may or may not be open for breakfast. In cases where it was confirmed that the sites were not open for breakfast, data for the AM peak hour of the adjacent street traffic were removed from the database.

If the restaurant has outdoor seating, its area is not included in the overall gross floor area. For a restaurant that has significant outdoor seating, the number of seats may be more reliable than GFA as an independent variable on which to establish a trip generation rate.

The technical appendices provide supporting information on time-of-day distributions for this land use. The appendices can be accessed through either the ITETripGen web app or the trip generation resource page on the ITE website (https://www.ite.org/technical-resources/topics/trip-and-parking-generation/).

The sites were surveyed in the 1980s, the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in Alaska, Alberta (CAN), California, Colorado, Florida, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Montana, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, and Wisconsin.

## Source Numbers

$163,164,168,180,181,241,245,278,294,300,301,319,338,340,342,358,389,438,502,552$, $577,583,584,617,640,641,704,715,728,810,866,867,869,885,886,927,935,962,977,1050$, 1053, 1054

## Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window (934)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 71
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 3
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting

## Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 467.48 | $98.89-1137.66$ | 238.62 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window (934)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 96
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 4
Directional Distribution: 51\% entering, 49\% exiting

## Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44.61 | $1.05-164.25$ | 27.14 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window (934)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 190
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 3
Directional Distribution: 52\% entering, 48\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33.03 | $8.77-117.22$ | 17.59 |

Data Plot and Equation


# Land Use: 945 Convenience Store/Gas Station 

## Description

A convenience store/gas station is a facility with a co-located convenience store and gas station. The convenience store sells grocery and other everyday items that a person may need or want as a matter of convenience. The gas station sells automotive fuels such as gasoline and diesel.

A convenience store/gas station is typically located along a major thoroughfare to optimize motorist convenience. Extended hours of operation (with many open 24 hours, 7 days a week) are common at these facilities.

The convenience store product mix typically includes pre-packaged grocery items, beverages, dairy products, snack foods, confectionary, tobacco products, over-the-counter drugs, and toiletries. A convenience store may sell alcohol, often limited to beer and wine. Coffee and premade sandwiches are also commonly sold at a convenience store. Made-to-order food orders are sometimes offered. Some stores offer limited seating.

The sites in this land use include both self-pump and attendant-pumped fueling positions and both pre-pay and post-pay operations.

Convenience store (Land Use 851), gasoline/service station (Land Use 944), and truck stop (Land Use 950) are related uses.

## Land Use Subcategory

Multiple subcategories were added to this land use to allow for multi-variable evaluation of sites with single-variable data plots. All study sites are assigned to one of three subcategories, based on the number of vehicle fueling positions (VFP) at the site: between 2 and 8 VFP , between 9 and 15 VFP, and between 16 and 24 VFP. For each VFP range subcategory, data plots are presented with GFA as the independent variable for all time periods and trip types for which data are available. The use of both GFA and VFP (as the independent variable and land use subcategory, respectively) provides a significant improvement in the reliability of a trip generation estimate when compared to the single-variable data plots in prior editions of Trip Generation Manual.

Further, the study sites were also assigned to one of three other subcategories, based on the gross floor area (GFA) of the convenience store at the site: between 2,000 and 4,000 square feet, between 4,000 and 5,500 square feet, and between 5,500 and 10,000 square feet. For each GFA subcategory range, data plots are presented with VFP as the independent variable for all time periods and trip types for which data are available. The use of both VFP and GFA (as the independent variable and land use subcategory, respectively) provides a significant improvement in the reliability of a trip generation estimate when compared to the single-variable data plots in prior editions of Trip Generation Manual.

When analyzing the convenience store/gas station land use with each combination of GFA and VFP values as described above, the two sets of data plots will produce two estimates of sitegenerated trips. Both values can be considered when determining a site trip generation estimate.

Data plots are also provided for three additional independent variables: AM peak hour traffic on adjacent street, PM peak hour traffic on adjacent street, and employees. These independent variables are intended to be analyzed as single independent variables and do not have subcategories associated with them. Within the data plots and within the ITETripGen web app, these plots are found under the land use subcategory "none."

## Additional Data

ITE recognizes there are existing convenience store/gas station sites throughout North America that are larger than the sites presented in the data plots. However, the ITE database does not include any site with more than 24 VFP or any site with gross floor area greater than 10,000 square feet. Submission of trip generation data for larger sites is encouraged.

The technical appendices provide supporting information on time-of-day distributions for this land use. The appendices can be accessed through either the ITETripGen web app or the trip generation resource page on the ITE website (https://www.ite.org/technical-resources/topics/trip-and-parking-generation/).

The sites were surveyed in the 1980s, the 1990s, the 2000s, and the 2010s in Alberta (CAN), Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

## Source Numbers

$221,245,274,288,300,340,350,351,352,355,359,385,440,617,718,810,813,844,850,853$, $864,865,867,869,882,883,888,904,926,927,936,938,954,960,962,977,1004,1024,1025$, 1027, 1052

## Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (2-4k) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban<br>Number of Studies: 48<br>Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 8<br>Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 265.12 | $68.50-701.00$ | 142.37 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (2-4k) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 76
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 8
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.06 | $3.75-50.00$ | 8.79 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Convenience Store/Gas Station - GFA (2-4k) <br> (945)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Vehicle Fueling Positions
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 93
Avg. Num. of Vehicle Fueling Positions: 8
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Vehicle Fueling Position

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18.42 | $5.75-57.80$ | 10.16 |

Data Plot and Equation


| NCHRP 8-51 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Project Name: | Circle K and Taco Casa Development |  | Organization: | Leadership Traffic Services |
| Project Location: | Dallas, TX |  | Performed By: | Adrian Murphy |
| Scenario Description: | Build Out | Date: | $4 / 6 / 2023$ |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2024 | Checked By: |  |  |
| Analysis Period: | AM Street Peak Hour | Date: |  |  |

Table 1-A: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate)

| Table 1-A: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Development Data (For Information Only) |  |  | Estimated Vehicle-Trips |  |  |
|  | ITE LUCs ${ }^{1}$ | Quantity | Units | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| Office |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Retail | 945 | 2 | 1000 SF | 73 | 37 | 36 |
| Restaurant | 934 | 2 | 1000 SF | 104 | 53 | 51 |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Hotel |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 177 | 90 | 87 |


| Table 2-A: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Entering Trips |  |  | Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized | Veh. Occ. | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail | 1.00 |  | 0\% | 1.00 |  | 0\% |
| Restaurant | 1.00 |  | 0\% | 1.00 |  | 0\% |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | 1.00 |  | 0\% | 1.00 |  | 0\% |
| Hotel | 1.00 |  | 0\% | 1.00 |  | 0\% |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3-A: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance)

| Table 3-A: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) |  | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential |  |  |  |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4-A: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 0 |  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 5-A: Computations Summary |  |  |  | Table 6-A: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Entering | Exiting | Land Use | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| All Person-Trips | 177 | 90 | 87 | Office | N/A | N/A |
| Internal Capture Percentage | 9\% | 9\% | 9\% | Retail | 8\% | 14\% |
|  |  |  |  | Restaurant | 9\% | 6\% |
| External Vehicle-Trips ${ }^{3}$ | 161 | 82 | 79 | Cinema/Entertainment | N/A | N/A |
| External Transit-Trips ${ }^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Residential | N/A | N/A |
| External Non-Motorized Trips ${ }^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Hotel | N/A | N/A |

${ }^{1}$ Land Use Codes (LUCs) from Trip Generation Informational Report, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.
${ }^{2}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
${ }^{3}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A
${ }^{4}$ Person-Trips
*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.
Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas Transportation Institute

| Project Name: | Circle K and Taco Casa Development |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Period: | AM Street Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 7-A: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Table 7-A (D): Entering Trips |  |  | Table 7-A (O): Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* |
| Office | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 1.00 | 37 | 37 | 1.00 | 36 | 36 |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 53 | 53 | 1.00 | 51 | 51 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 8-A (O): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) |  | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential |  |  |
| Office |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Hotel |  |
| Retail | 10 |  | 5 | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| Restaurant | 16 | 7 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |


| Table 8-A (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) |  | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential |  |  |
| Office |  | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Retail | 0 |  | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Restaurant | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Residential | 0 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Hotel | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |


| Table 9-A (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 3 | 34 | 37 | 34 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 5 | 48 | 53 | 48 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 9-A (0): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 5 | 31 | 36 | 31 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 3 | 48 | 51 | 48 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^1]${ }^{2}$ Person-Trips
${ }^{3}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator *Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

| NCHRP 8-51 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Project Name: | Circle K and Taco Casa Development |  | Organization: | Leadership Traffic Services |
| Project Location: | Dallas, TX |  | Performed By: | Adrian Murphy |
| Scenario Description: | Buildout | Date: | $4 / 6 / 2023$ |  |
| Analysis Year: | 2024 | Checked By: |  |  |
| Analysis Period: | PM Street Peak Hour | Date: |  |  |

Table 1-P: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate)

| Table 1-P: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Development Data (For Information Only) |  |  | Estimated Vehicle-Trips |  |  |
|  | ITE LUCs ${ }^{1}$ | Quantity | Units | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| Office |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Retail | 945 | 2 | 1000 SF | 87 | 44 | 43 |
| Restaurant | 934 | 2 | 1000 SF | 77 | 40 | 37 |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Hotel |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 164 | 84 | 80 |


| Table 2-P: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Entering Trips |  |  | Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized | Veh. Occ. | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail | 1.00 |  | 0\% | 1.00 |  | 0\% |
| Restaurant | 1.00 |  | 0\% | 1.00 |  | 0\% |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | 1.00 |  | 0\% | 1.00 |  | 0\% |
| Hotel | 1.00 |  | 0\% | 1.00 |  | 0\% |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 3-P: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4-P: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential |  |  |
| Office |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Retail | 0 |  | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Restaurant | 0 | 15 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |


| Table 5-P: Computations Summary |  |  |  | Table 6-P: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Entering | Exiting | Land Use | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| All Person-Trips | 164 | 84 | 80 | Office | N/A | N/A |
| Internal Capture Percentage | 33\% | 32\% | 34\% | Retail | 34\% | 28\% |
|  |  |  |  | Restaurant | 30\% | 41\% |
| External Vehicle-Trips ${ }^{3}$ | 110 | 57 | 53 | Cinema/Entertainment | N/A | N/A |
| External Transit-Trips ${ }^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Residential | N/A | N/A |
| External Non-Motorized Trips ${ }^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Hotel | N/A | N/A |

${ }^{1}$ Land Use Codes (LUCs) from Trip Generation Informational Report, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.
${ }^{2}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
${ }^{3}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P
${ }^{4}$ Person-Trips
*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.
Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas Transportation Institute

| Project Name: | Circle K and Taco Casa Development |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Period: | PM Street Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 7-P: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Table 7-P (D): Entering Trips |  |  | Table 7-P (O): Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* |
| Office | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 1.00 | 44 | 44 | 1.00 | 43 | 43 |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 40 | 40 | 1.00 | 37 | 37 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 8-P (0): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 1 |  | 12 | 2 | 11 | 2 |
| Restaurant | 1 | 15 |  | 3 | 7 | 3 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 8-P (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 0 |  | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 22 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 2 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 9-P (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 15 | 29 | 44 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 12 | 28 | 40 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 9-P (0): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 12 | 31 | 43 | 31 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 15 | 22 | 37 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P

[^2]
## 3. Parking Demand Data

| Location: | 5526 E RL THORNTON FWY - CIRCLE K |
| ---: | :--- |
| Start Date: | $3 / 30 / 2023$ |
| Start Time: | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |
| Name: | WENDELL GARRET |
| Notes: | The Striped Lanes Include Gas Station Pump Spots |


|  | Total Capacity |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | Unstriped | Striped | ADA | TOTAL |
|  | 10 | 3 |  | 1 |


| Start Time | Unstriped | Striped | ADA | TOTAL |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 11:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 11:30 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 11:45 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 12:00 PM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 12:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 12:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $1: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |


| Start Time | Unstriped | Striped | ADA | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $5: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $5: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $5: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $6: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $6: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $6: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $6: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $7: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |


| Location: | 12950 COIT RD - EXXON W TACO CASA |
| ---: | :--- |
| Start Date: | $3 / 30 / 2023$ |
| Start Time: | $11: 00$ AM |
| Name: | CHASE BATTLE |
| Notes: | The Striped Lanes Include Gas Station Pump Spots |


|  | Total Capacity |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | Unstriped | Striped | ADA | TOTAL |
|  | 4 | 39 |  | 1 |


| TACO CASA QUEUE |
| :---: |
| 8 |


| Start Time | Unstriped | Striped | ADA | TOTAL |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| 11:30 AM | 1 | 14 | 0 | 15 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| 12:15 PM | 1 | 18 | 0 | 19 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| $1: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 13 | 0 | 14 |


| QUEUE |
| :---: |
| 0 |
| 3 |
| 1 |
| 0 |
| 2 |
| 2 |
| 4 |
| 3 |
| 4 |


| Start Time | Unstriped | Striped | ADA | TOTAL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| $5: 15 ~ P M$ | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| $5: 30 ~ P M$ | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| $5: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 14 | 1 | 18 |
| $6: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| $6: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 15 | 1 | 17 |
| $6: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 11 | 0 | 12 |
| $6: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| $7: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |


| QUEUE |
| :---: |
| 0 |
| 2 |
| 1 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 3 |
| 0 |
| 2 |
| 0 |

## FILE NUMBER: BDA223-097(KMH)

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT Application of Robert Smith for (1) a special exception to the fence height regulations, and for (2) a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulations, and for (3) a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulations at 2764 Catherine St. This property is more fully described as Block 2/3879, Lot 1 , and is zoned CD-8; subarea 1 (R-7.5(A)), which limits the height of a fence in the $50 \%$ of the side-yard and corner side-yard to 4 -feet and requires a 20 -foot visibility triangle at driveway approaches, and requires a 20 -foot visibility triangle at the point of intersection of the edge of an alley and an adjacent street curb line. The applicant proposes to construct a 6 -foot high fence in a required side-yard, which will require (1) a 2 -foot special exception to the fence height regulations; and to construct a single-family residential fence structure in a required 20 -foot visibility obstruction triangle, which will require (2) a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulation at driveway approaches; and to construct a single-family residential fence structure in a required 20foot visibility obstruction triangle, which will require (3) a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulation intersection of the edge of an alley and an adjacent street curb line.

LOCATION: 2764 Catherine St.
APPLICANT: Robert Smith

## REQUEST:

1. A request for a special exception to the fence height regulations,
2. A request for a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulations, and;
3. A request for a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulations.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO FENCE HEIGHT STANDARDS REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.602(b)(2) of the Dallas Development Code states that the board may grant a special exception to the fence regulations when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION TO THE VISUAL OBSTRUCTION REGULATIONS:

Section 51A-4.602(d)(3) of the Dallas Development Code states that the board shall grant a special exception to the requirements of the visual obstruction regulations when, in the opinion of the board, the item will not constitute a traffic hazard.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the item will not constitute a traffic hazard.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

## Zoning:

Site: CD-8; Subarea R-7.5 (A) (Single Family District)
North: CD-8
East: CD-8
South: CD-8
West: CD-8

## Land Use:

The subject site and all surrounding properties are developed with single-family uses.

## BDA History:

No BDA history in the last five years.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- The application of Robert Smith for the property located at 2764 Catherine Street focuses on 3 requests. The first request is for a special exception to the fence height regulations of 4 -feet. The applicant is proposing to construct and maintain a 6 -foot high fence in a required front yard, which will require a 2 -foot special exception to the fence height regulations. The applicant is proposing the fence along Pierce Street and along the alley. It is imperative to note the fence along Pierce Street will include a gate
- Secondly, the applicant proposes to maintain a single-family fence in a required visibility triangle at the driveway approach along Pierce Street, which will require a
special exception to the visual obstruction regulations. The visual obstruction regulations require a 20 -foot visibility triangle at all driveway approaches.
- Additionally, the applicant proposes to maintain a single-family fence structure in a required visibility triangle at the intersection of the edge of an alley and an adjacent street curb line, which requires a 20 -foot special exception to the visual obstruction regulations.
- The subject site along with the surrounding properties are all developed with single family uses.
- It is imperative to note that the subject site is a corner lot.
- As gleaned from the submitted site plan and elevations, the applicant is proposing to maintain a new 6 -foot wooden fence. The proposed fence and gate are shown to encroach into the required visibility triangle at the driveway approach along Pierce Street. The proposed fence is also shown to encroach into the visibility triangle at the street intersection and the alley.
- The CD-8 zoning district limits the height of a fence in the $50 \%$ of the side-yard and corner side-yard to 4-feet.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing that the special exception to the fence regulations will not adversely affect the neighboring property.
- The applicant has the burden of proof in stablishing that the special exception to the visual obstruction regulations does not constitute a traffic hazard.
- If the Board were to grant this special exception request and impose a condition that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan/elevation, the proposal over 2-feet in height in the front yard setback would be limited to that what is shown on the submitted documents.
- Additionally, granting this request for a special exception to the visual obstruction regulations with a condition imposed that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan would limit the proposed fence in the 20 -foot visibility triangles at the driveway into the site from Pierce Street and the alley to what is shown on the submitted documents.
- BDA223-097 2764 Catherine


## Timeline:

September 13, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

October 2, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Administrator assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.
October 4, 2023: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the October 23, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and November 3, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

November 1, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and other requests scheduled for the July public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans Examiner, the Assistant City Attorney to the Board, the Senior Planner and Code Compliance staff.
December 19, 2023: The applicant requested that this case be postponed until February due to unexpected family emergencies that has caused a delay with making revisions to his plans and trying to come into compliance.




## Notification List of Property Owners

BDA223-097
32 Property Owners Notified

| Label\# | Address |  | Owner |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2764 | CATHERINE ST | SMITH ROBERT |
| 2 | 710 | PIERCE ST | MENDEZ ELSA |
| 3 | 2754 | W BROOKLYN AVE | HERNANDEZ ALFONSO \& LUCINDA |
| 4 | 2802 | W BROOKLYN AVE | PHAIKOH SOMCHAI ET AL |
| 5 | 714 | PIERCE ST | GILBERT SHARON |
| 6 | 718 | PIERCE ST | PIERCE CATHERINE INC |
| 7 | 2745 | CATHERINE ST |  |
| 8 | 2760 | CATHERINE ST | SMITH JANET |
| 9 | 2756 | CATHERINE ST | ALSPAW JULIE A |
| 10 | 2752 | CATHERINE ST | KELBLY ASHLYN M |
| 11 | 2748 | CATHERINE ST | ZENDEJAS CAROLINA |
| 12 | 2744 | CATHERINE ST | HICKS TANA J\& JARRETT |
| 13 | 2769 | BURLINGTON BLVD | ESCALANTE CRISANTO |
| 14 | 2765 | BURLINGTON BLVD | MARQUEZ RAMON \& AMPARO |
| 15 | 2761 | BURLINGTON BLVD | BRYANT ALBERT JR \& CLAUDETTE W |
| 16 | 2757 | BURLINGTON BLVD | FULLER D RAY JR EST OF |
| 17 | 2753 | BURLINGTON BLVD | LSH TRUST |
| 18 | 2749 | BURLINGTON BLVD | ARVIZU ZACARIAS \& ANA |
| 19 | 2745 | BURLINGTON BLVD | GARCIA ANGELA SOFIA |
| 20 | 2768 | BURLINGTON BLVD | CABRERA MARIA |
| 21 | 2764 | BURLINGTON BLVD | KILLE JAMES \& LINDA |
| 22 | 2760 | BURLINGTON BLVD | GUEL ANDRES \& CELIA |
| 23 | 2756 | BURLINGTON BLVD | JIMENEZ JUAN MANUEL |
| 24 | 2818 | CATHERINE ST |  |
| 25 | 2814 | CATHERINE ST | GARCIA REYES P |
| 26 | 2810 | CATHERINE ST | VERMA MAYANK |
|  |  |  |  |

## 10/19/2023

| Label\# | Address |  | Owner |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | 2802 | CATHERINE ST |  |
| 28 | 2811 | BURLINGTON BLVD | ANHELO INC |
| 29 | 2803 | BURLINGTON BLVD | JOHNSON CHERYL E |
| 30 | 2815 | CATHERINE ST | MARTINEZ PEDRO |
| 31 | 2807 | CATHERINE ST | MARIEL XIMENA |
| 32 | 2811 | CATHERINE ST | YDY LLC |



## Development Services

## CIty or Dallas

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Case No.: BDA
$223+0982$ only
 $9 / 13 / 20223$ only Location address: 2764 Catherine $D / 5,7 x^{75}$ zoning District: $\qquad$ Lot No.: $\qquad$ Block No.: $\qquad$ Census Tract: To the Honorable Board of Adjustment:
Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed):

## Robert Smith

## 4)

$\qquad$

Applicant: Robert Smith $148 / 31$ Telephone: (2.4)236.5700 Mailing Address: 935 Pollard St. Dallas, TX zip code: 75208 E-mail Address: resmith 7@ airmail.net Represented by: $\qquad$ Telephone: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$ Zip Code: $\qquad$
E-mail Address: $\qquad$
Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance , or Special Exception $\sqrt{ }$ of Fence Kemp As is eft Requesting a $2 f+$ special Exentien visibility trianges at drive approach, visibility teinagle of street and alley intersection
Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to Grant the described appeal for the following reason:
lose wot Affect arbors on properties, willuot cavie etenfich hashed

Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared

(Affiant/Applicant's name printed) who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/or authorized representative of the subject property


Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2023


Building Official's Report
I hereby certify that ROBERT SMITH
did submit a request
at
for (1) a special exception to the fence height regulations, and for (2) a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulations, and for (3) a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations
2764 Catherine

BDA223-097(KMH) Application of Robert Smith for (1) a special exception to the fence height regulations, and for (2) a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulations, and for (3) a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulations a 2764 Catherine St. This property is more fully described as Block 2/3879, Lot 1, and is zoned CD-8; subarea 1 (R-7.5(A)), which limits the height of a fence in the $50 \%$ of the side-yard and corner side-yard to 4 -feet and requires a 20 -foot visibility triangle at driveway approaches, and requires a 20 -foot visibility triangle at the point of intersection o the edge of an alley and an adjacent street curb line. The applicant proposes to construct a 6 -foot high fence in a required side-yard, which will require (1) a 2 -foot special exceptior to the fence regulations; and to construct a single-family residential fence structure in a required 20 -foot visibility obstruction triangle, which will require (2) a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulation at driveway approaches; and to construct a single-family residential fence structure in a required 20 -foot visibility obstruction triangle, which will require (3) a special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulation intersection of the edge of an alley and an adjacent street curb line.

Sincerely,

## AFFIDAVIT

Appeal number: BDA $\qquad$
1, Robert Smith (Owner or "Grantee" of property as it appears on the Warranty Deed)
at:


Authorize:

, Owner of the subject property

## Smith

(Applicant's name as stated on application)
To pursue an appeal to the City of Dallas Zoning Board of Adjustment for the following requests)

_O_ Other Appeal (specify below)
Specify:
Fence

## Robert Smith

Print name of property owner or registered agent


Signature of property owner or registered agent

Date $\qquad$ $9 / 8 / 2023$

Before me, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared


Who on his/her oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this \& day of September, 2023
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FILE NUMBER: BDA223-107(FR1)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Michael Vann to appeal the decision of the administrative official at 5524 Vickery Blvd

LOCATION: 5524 Vickery Blvd.
APPLICANT: Michael Vann

## REQUESTS:

The applicant is requesting a fee reimbursement for an appeal the decision of the administrative official.

## STANDARD FOR A FEE WAIVER OR REIMBURSEMENT:

Section 51A-1.105(b)(6) of the Dallas Development Code specifies the board of adjustment may waive the filing fee if the board finds that payment of the fee would result in substantial financial hardship to the applicant. The applicant may either pay the fee and request reimbursement at the hearing on the matter or request that the issue of financial hardship be placed on the board's miscellaneous docket for predetermination. If the issue is placed on the miscellaneous docket, the applicant may not apply to the merits of the request for a waiver have been determined by the board. In making this determination, the board may require the production of financial documents.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The staff does not make a recommendation on fee waiver requests since the standard is whether the board finds that payment of the fee would result in substantial financial hardship to the applicant.

FILE NUMBER: BDA234-003 (KMH)
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Leticia Dorsey for (1) a variance to the side-yard setback regulations at 715 W . RED BIRD LN. This property is more fully described as Block 13/6909, Lot 15, and is zoned R-7.5(A), which requires side-yard setback of 5 -feet. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a single-family residential structure and provide a 2 -foot side-yard setback, which will require (1) a 3-foot variance to the side-yard setback regulations.

## LOCATION: $\quad 715$ W. Red Bird Lane

APPLICANT: Leticia Dorsey

## REQUEST:

(1) A request for a variance to the side-yard setback regulations.

## STANDARD FOR A VARIANCE:

Section 51A-3.102(d)(10) of the Dallas Development Code specifies that the board has the power to grant variances from the front yard, side yard, rear yard, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, floor area for structures accessory to single-family uses, height, minimum sidewalks, off-street parking or off-street loading, or landscape regulations provided that the variance is:
(A) not contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
(B) necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
(C) not granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

## ELEMENT II SUBSTITUTE

Dallas Development Code §51A-3.102(d)(10)(b), formerly known as HB 1475, allows for the BDA to use their discretion and consider Element 2 of the Variance standard to be met, if:
(i) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 of the Texas Tax Code;
(ii) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur;
(iii) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement;
(iv) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or
(v) the municipality considers the structure to be a nonconforming structure.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Denial
Rationale: Based upon evidence presented and provided by the applicant, staff concluded that the site is:
A. Not contrary to public interest as no letters of opposition were received.
B. Not restrictive in area, shape or slope; in which the property cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning.
C. Self-created hardship/personal hardship.

## BDA HISTORY:

No BDA history found within the last five years.

## Square Footage:

The lot contains 11,389.75 of square feet.
The lot is zoned R-7.5(A) with a minimum lot size of 7,500 square feet.

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad \mathrm{R}-7.5(\mathrm{~A})$ Single Family District
North: R-7.5(A) Single Family District
South: $\quad$ R-7.5(A) Single Family District
East: $\quad$ R-7.5(A) Single Family District
West: $\quad$ R-7.5(A) Single Family District

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with a single family home. The areas to the north, east, and west are developed with single-family uses, to the south of the property is a church.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- A request for a variance to the side yard setback of 3-feet is made to maintain a singlefamily residential structure.
- The applicant is proposing to only provide a 2 -foot side yard setback, whereas a minimum 5 -foot side yard setback is required as defined in the yard, lot, and space regulations for the R-7.5(A) zoning district.
- The subject site is currently developed with a single-family dwelling unit and is surrounded by single family homes, with the exception of a church/congregational facility to the immediate south.
- As gleaned from the submitted site plan, the applicant is proposing to maintain an addition to the home along the eastern border of the property.

The applicant has the burden of proof in establishing the following:

- That granting the variance to the side yard setback will not be contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
- The variance is necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
- The variance would not be granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

The board may also consider Dallas Development Code §51A-3.102(d)(10)(b), formerly known as HB 1475 as grounds to determine whether compliance with the ordinance as applied to a structure that is the subject of the appeal would result in unnecessary hardship:
(a) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 (Submission of Rolls to Taxing Units), Tax Code.
(b) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur.
(c) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement.
(d) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or
(e) the municipality considers the structure to be a nonconforming structure.

- Granting the proposed 3-foot variance to the side yard setback regulations with a condition that the applicant complies with the submitted site plan, would require the proposal to be constructed as shown on the submitted documents.
- BDA234-003 at 715 W. Red Bird Lane (200’ Radius Video)


## Timeline:

November 9, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

December 1, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Administrator assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.

December 6, 2023: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the December 22, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and January 5, 2024, deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

December 28, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and other requests scheduled for the December public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Interim Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans Examiner and the Senior Planner.




# Notification List of Property Owners 

## BDA234-003

19 Property Owners Notified

| Label\# | Address |  | Owner |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 715 | W RED BIRD LN |  |
| 2 | 6031 | HUNTERS VIEW LN | HEARD CARLA \& ROBERT |
| 3 | 6025 | HUNTERS VIEW LN | IZAGUIRRE RIGOBERTO |
| 4 | 6021 | HUNTERS VIEW LN | WEST CHAUNCY |
| 5 | 6126 | HUNTERS VIEW LN | RAMIREZ DENISE MARIA |
| 6 | 6120 | HUNTERS VIEW LN | TURNER BARBARA A |
| 7 | 6106 | HUNTERS VIEW LN | SLN3 GROUP LLC |
| 8 | 6030 | HUNTERS VIEW LN | Taxpayer at |
| 9 | 6026 | HUNTERS VIEW LN | BROOKS BOBBIE GENELL |
| 10 | 6020 | HUNTERS VIEW LN | MITCHELL STELVIN JR |
| 11 | 6016 | HUNTERS VIEW LN | SCALES THELMA |
| 12 | 6010 | HUNTERS VIEW LN | VASHER ERNESTINE H |
| 13 | 722 | W RED BIRD LN |  |
| 14 | 718 | W RED BIRD LN |  |
| 15 | 703 | W RED BIRD LN | EDWARDS KASSANDRA |
| 16 | 707 | W RED BIRD LN | OWUSU VICTORIA |
| 17 | 711 | W RED BIRD LN | ORTIZ VICTOR |
| 18 | 719 | W RED BIRD LN | WILLIAMS JAMES E |
| 19 | 620 | W RED BIRD LN | VICTORY TEMPLE CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST TR |



## Development Services

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Data Relative to Subject Property:
 Location address: 715 W . Red BIRD LN Zoning District:
 Lot No.: $/ 5$ Block No.: $13 / 60909$ Acreage: $\square$ Census Tract: $\qquad$
Street Frontage (in Feet): 1 $\qquad$ 2) $\qquad$ 3) $\qquad$ 4) $\qquad$ 5)

To the Honorable Board of Adjustment: Owner of Property (per Warranty Deed) Gregory Ot. Dorsey and Leticia $=$ Dorsey applicant: Sotića E. Dorsey $\qquad$ Telephone: $\qquad$ Mailing Address: $\qquad$ Red BIRD LN $\qquad$ zip code: 75232 E-mail Address: $\qquad$ $23 @ g m a i l . c o m$

Represented by: $\qquad$ Telephone:

Mailing Address: $\qquad$ Zip Code: $\qquad$
E-mail Address: $\qquad$

Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to Grant the described appeal for the following reason:
I had two certradters working ga my home project, the first took \$ 20,000 cid not comply the $2^{n D}$ contractor did not want to help me withenethe fine (oped I of hame project

Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principa//or authorized representative of the subject property


Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\qquad$
Afr
by of



Building Official's Report
I hereby certify that ERICK BONILLA
did submit a request for (1) a variance to the front yard setback regulations
at 4701 S. Denley

BDA234-003(KMH) Application of Leticia Dorsey for (1) a variance to the side-yard setbac regulations at 715 W . RED BIRD LN. This property is more fully described as Block $13 / 6909$, Lot 15 , and is zoned R-7.5(A), which requires side-yard setback of 5 -feet. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a single-family residential structure and provide a 2 -foot side-yard setback, which will require (1) a 3-foot variance to the side-yard setback regulations.

Sincerely,

Appeal number: BDA $\quad 234-003$
I, Corequry G. Dorsey, Owner of the subject property


To pursue an appeal to the City of Dallas Zoning Board of Adjustment for the following request(s)
$\qquad$ Variance (specify below)
$\qquad$ Special Exception (specify below)
Other Appeal (specify below)
Specify:

side yard set back


Date $\qquad$


Signature of property owner or registered agent

Before me, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared


Who on his/her oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\qquad$ day of


ArcGIS Web Map


BOA234-003



To whom it may concern:

Please accept this as my explanation for the layout of my home. I have provided you with a survey from a surveyor, that shows that my home is not 5 feet from the fence. In the building of this addition, I have gone through 2 contractors and have even taken it upon myself to get permits and schedule inspections to get this project completed. I was not informed when submitting the drawing and layout of the additions the structure of the home had to be 5 feet from the property line.

To find out that this is not within zoning guidelines is disheartening. I had one contractor take of with $\$ 30,000$ in cash, to never return and another who was trying to drag his feet to do the job, and never returned, to where I was working with them and the city to build this and before moving forward, I received the okay from the city inspector, to only find out, it really is an issue and something to be of concern.

As a homeowner, this is not something I completely understand, so I have taken the liberty of hiring 2 different contractors, who have both abandoned my project and have had to proceed by completing all the inspections and permits and overseeing everything and then having trades complete the necessary work. It is my hope that my nightmare can come to an end and that City of Dallas can approve the changes made to my home, as it's been a bit of a struggle, but it's finally done and I just need this final phase of it being approved.

Thank you,

Leticia Dorsey

This is to certify that I have, this date, made a careful! ard accurate survey on the ground of property located at No 715 West Red Bird Lane in the city of pallas jeeves Lot No. 15 Bock No. 13 City Block No. 6909 of Woodland Canyon Tenth Section
Addition, an addition to the City of pallas plat, recorded in Volume 83071 at page 1923 of the Map Records of Dallas


B4の66456G
of llexter Pair
 TheE TO TTHFRS

 boundaries of the property. bel back from property lines the distance indicate i and that tie distance from the nearest intersecting street or roar is as shown on aral nit

$\qquad$


Jo l $Z+4<-$
OM,


This is to certify that I have, this date, nade a careful and accurate survey on the ground of property located at No. 725 West Red Bird Lane in the city of Tas Jexus Lot No 15 BockNo. 13 City Blork No. 6909 of

Woodland Canyor Tenth Section

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Addition, an addiuon to the City of } \\
& \text { recorded in Volume } 83071 \text { at page } \quad 1923 \text { of the Map Records of according to the } \quad \text { Dallas }
\end{aligned}
$$
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BOA234-003

To whom it may concern:
Please accept this as my explanation for the layout of my home. I have provided you with a survey from a surveyor, that shows that my home is not 5 feet from the fence. In the building of this addition, I have gone through 2 contractors and have even taken it upon myself to get permits and schedule inspections to get this project completed. I was not informed when submitting the drawing and layout of the additions the structure of the home had to be 5 feet from the property line.

To find out that this is not within zoning guidelines is disheartening. I had one contractor take of with $\$ 30,000$ in cash, to never return and another who was trying to drag his feet to do the job, and never returned, to where I was working with them and the city to build this and before moving forward, I received the okay from the city inspector, to only find out, it really is an issue and something to be of concern.

As a homeowner, this is not something I completely understand, so I have taken the liberty of hiring 2 different contractors, who have both abandoned my project and have had to proceed by completing all the inspections and permits and overseeing everything and then having trades complete the necessary work. It is my hope that my nightmare can come to an end and that City of Dallas can approve the changes made to my home, as it's been a bit of a struggle, but it's finally done and I just need this final phase of it being approved.

Thank you,

Leticia Dorsey



## SHIP TO

Leticia E Dorsey
715 W. Redbird Ln
Dallas Texas 75232 Dallas
469-733-4319 469-733-4319
QTY UNIT

## AMOUNT

 1 EA $\$ 0.00$1 EA
$\$ 0.00$

|  | 1 EA | \$0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cut Existing Concrete $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ | 4 EA | \$0.00 |
| Excavation $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime} \times 2^{\prime}$ footing with a \#5 rebar and 4000 psi concrete | 4 EA | \$0.00 |
| Bracing wall Panels | 1 EA | \$0.00 |
| Temporary exterior wall to cover existing estructure | 1 EA | \$0.00 |
| Cover Fire place exterior brick wall (brick can looks different do it to the manufacture) | 1 EA | \$0.00 |
| Existing addition demolition | 1 EA | \$0.00 |
| $4 \times 4$ metal post to support new estructure installed over the footing | 4 EA | \$0.00 |
| New addition Framing according with the engineer and city requerments. 300 SF total the addition will be located at the east side of the existing property and will be 9 feet above Grade. | 1 EA | \$0.00 |
| Electricity 6 lights, 6 Outlets. | 12 EA | \$0.00 |
| Sheetrock Hang and tape and bed (second floor addition | 1 EA | \$0.00 |
| Texture Second floor addition | 1 EA | \$0.00 |
| Paint, Second floor addition, (Customer must provide the paint) | 1 EA | \$0.00 |
| Floating floor Installation (Customer must provide all the floor materials) | 350.00 SF | \$0.00 |
| Floor preparation according with the manufacture requirements | 1 EA | \$0.00 |
| Repair existing patio concret | 1 EA | \$0.00 |
| T molding Installation for transition between existing floor and new floor (Customer provide materials) | 32.00 LF | \$0.00 |
| Baseboards 31/2" | 60.00 LF | \$0.00 |


|  |  | 2/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dump Trash and waste materials | 1 EA | \$0.00 |
| Clean work areas | 1 EA | \$0.00 |
| Windows Installation ande materials | 2 EA | \$0.00 |
| Insulation | 1 EA | \$0.00 |
| Total Includes labor and materials ( Does not includes Floor materials and paint) | 1 EA | \$87,471.69 |
|  | Material | \$87,471.69 |
|  | Labor | \$0 |
|  | Tax 0.00\% | \$0.00 |
|  | Total | \$87,471.69 |

## INSTRUCTION

-All the materials for the patio cover ceiling or stone, should be provided for the customer.
${ }^{\circ}$ All the fixtures provided by the contractor are basic product s , if customer require a different product a change order for the extra price will be created.

* Prices for flooring and paint are for labor only, customer must provide materials.
- This quote is based upon the remodeling contractor's observation of conditions, conditions like termite damage, water damage, hidden code violations, or other concealed conditions may require a extra labor or material, which are not part of this contract, if such hidden conditions are discovered, contractor will notify the property owner and will attempt to reach an agreement for a change order to this contract that address these problems.
*Payments should be as follow:
First payment -the day the contract was signed $\$ 5,000.00$ for city permits
Second payment - $\$ 38,735.85$ when Contractot provides city permits
Third payment- $\$ 32,367.92$ at the $75 \%$ advance of the project
Last payment - \$11,367.92 At the job completion
Please note:
All payments should be in cash or check
Credit or debit cards will have 2.75\% convenience fee charge

Customer $\qquad$

## CUSTOMER ACCEPTANCE AND APPROVAL

## Print Name Leticia E Dorsey

## Signature

## Date $\quad 12 / 1 / 20238: 27$ PM

1


## Final paper work

Leticia Dorsey [ledorsey23@gmail.com](mailto:ledorsey23@gmail.com)
Tue, Apr 11, 2023 at 10:56 PM
To: tonya.king@dallas.gov
Hello Ms. Tonya,
Just checking to see if it has been approved the documents that were dropped Friday April 8,
Sent from my iPhone

King, Tonya [tonya.king@dallas.gov](mailto:tonya.king@dallas.gov)
Wed, Apr 12, 2023 at 1:00 PM
To: Leticia Dorsey [ledorsey23@gmail.com](mailto:ledorsey23@gmail.com)
Hi Ms. Dorsey,
Your permit is ready for pickup, the total amount of fees is 689.22 . You may return to 320 E . Jefferson Blvd., Rm 118 to make the payment and receive your permits and set of plans.

Best Regards,


## Tonya King

Senior Plans Examiner - Building Inspection
City of Dallas I DallasCityNews.net
Development Services
320 E. Jefferson Blvd., Room 118
Däliās, TX 752003
tonya.king@däläscityhall.com
O:(214)-948-4356
twitter icon facebook icon ayoutube icon
https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/FRWPLBQ
Please Take a Moment to Tell Us How We Are Doing
"Together we are building a safe and united Dallas!"

From: Leticia Dorsey [ledorsey23@gmail.com](mailto:ledorsey23@gmail.com)
Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2023 10:56 PM
To: King, Tonya [tonya.king@dallas.gov](mailto:tonya.king@dallas.gov)
Subject: Final paper work
External Email!

Date: 10/11/2023
Burns Job \# 202308320
GF\#
Burns Surveying LLC P.O. Box 997

Royse City, TX 75189
(214) 3261090

Office@Burnssurvey.com

CLIENT LETICIA DORSEY
Leticia Dorsey 715 W. Redbird lane DALLAS, TEXAS 75232 469-733-4319

| DESCRIPTION |  | AMOUNT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Final |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Make all checks payable to Burns Surveying LLC
Please indicate Burns Job Number with payment.
Thank you for your business!



## INSTRUCTION

-This quote is based on existing new addition, and includes framing and electrical labor and Materials, the existing new addition will be deforce and some wood replaced upon city Inspector approval

- Prices for flooring and paint are for labor only, customer must provide materials.
-This quote is based upon the remodeling contractor's observation of conditions, conditions like termite damage, water damage, hidden code violations, or other concealed conditions may require a extra labor or material, which are not part of this contract, if such hidden conditions are discovered, contractor will notify the property owner and will attempt to reach an agreement for a change order to this contract that address these problems.
*If customer is agree with this quote payments should be as follow:

First payment -the day the contract was signed $\$ 1,500.00$ for city permits 2 $\quad 1-2-23$ I, 1,500 ck.\#283 1-16.33//10.0<c ck .t 284 Second payment - $\$ 22,046.00$ when Contractor provides city permits

Third payment- $\$ 11,023.00$ at the $75 \%$ advance of the project

$$
1-28-23 \quad 10000
$$ Last payment - \$9,523.00 At the job completion

Please note:
All payments should be in cash or check
Credit or debit cards will have 2.75\% convenience fee charge
comenfats Ane 4 1-1-23 $5-24.23 \quad 4,000$

CUSTOMER ACCEPTANCE AND APPROVAL

## Print Name Leticia E Dorsey

## Signature

Date


MAURICIO JIMENEL
2M-282-0802
MIMMENEZ@ITLACONJTRUCTION.cOM.
Third and the final payment wield be par probably by th end of
 mach 2023

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { window- } \$ 564.56 \\
& \text { Cast column Cap } 714.45
\end{aligned}
$$

Paint - \$244.13

Tustal Baseboords (parating) material -July 17, \$1,830
May $233^{20} 23$, 4,400 painting - holway lentry way

Faty.





$$
1
$$


$\square$



NOT NEGOTIABLE


For added security, your name and account number do not appear on this copy.


F will Paint all
Wa/ ls and outside siding from in Rooms Both room.
Ind Dinning room entry wall and walls bn ind living room Also move Exterior wall add on a $10 \times 30$ wall $10 \times 10$ side wall $\times 2$ and countive Bitwoo $3^{\prime \prime}$ laminted chip on Floor Do texture and elefical on exisitay val also add on wind ar IX 36 Finding street also move Chimed ort lit and adit two exterior fishte under Ind APDitial Floor and, 2 celins tans, paint and cd and Floor porch 10 x y labor lind materials 38,500 with 15000 down to dom $9 / 15 / \geq 2$ and on tuesday $9 / 20 / 22,3,500$ another formate and reminder 10000 to be Paid In 6 mont lis Papuan

 Dollar (s 38500 with payments to ob e made as follows.

OO ACCEPTANCE OF PROPOSAL
 outlined above.

Date_
 retrica Posen

 Stone $4^{\prime \prime}$ Austin white and Inspall 2 lights on colons
 white and also caulk all nails and tholes on front side of $2 n d$ porch and Re paint Far le of forch and Instal 2 20 Ff Beans to Hold supports on pret and replace all rotten real and re bait all the cost for labor and matenis/s 12500 cash with 5,000 2 year warrenty on att our work paid 6000 on $9 / 13 / 22$ owes 1500 when complete $X$ id In cash

All material is guaranteed to be as specified, and the above work to be performed in accordance with the drawings andspecifications submitted for above work and
 dod lars \% 100 Ollas is 75 O , with payments bo be made a stillows.


PROPOSAL NO.
SHEET NO.

WORK TO BE PERFORMED AT:

| NAME |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ADDRESS |  |
|  |  |
| PHONE NO. | A |

ADDRESS

DATE OF PLANS

ARCHITECT

We hereby propose to furnish the materials and perform the labor necessary for the completion of


ACCEPTANCE OF PROPOSAL
The above prices, specifications, and conditions are satisfactory and are hereby accepted. You are authorized to do the work as specified. Payments will be made as outlined above.

Signature
Date
Signature


| Customer: | Letica Dorsey | Store: | (513) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Email: |  | Associate: | ESTEF |
| Address: | 715 W RED BIRD LN | Address: | 8520 : |
|  | DALLAS, TX 75232 |  | DALLA |
| Phone: | (i69) 733.4319 | Phone: | (972) |

Product Warranty
Pella 250 Series | Direct Set | Fixed Frame |
$47.5 \times 12$ | Almond
$\square$ Room Location: None Assigned
$+$ $-$

| Line \# | Item Summary | Production Time | Was Price | Now Price | Quantity | Total Savings | Pre-Tax Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100-1 | Pella 250 Series \| Direct Set | Fixed Frame | $47.5 \times 12$ \| <br> Almond | 35 days | \$645.85 | \$548.97 | 1 | (596, 88) | \$548.97 |

Valid thru: 03/08/2023

## Begin Line 100 Description

1: Non-Standard Size Fixed Frame Direct Set.
Frame Size: $471 / 2 \times 12$. Pella 250 Series
Series. South Central
---- Line 100-1 -..-

Standard
Vinyl
Nail Fin
Foam Insulated
$31 / 4^{\prime \prime}$
$11 / 8^{\prime \prime}$
2 1/8". Almond. Almond. Glass: Insulated
Dual Tempered Low-E Advanced Low-E
Insulating Glass Argon Non High Altitude.
Combination U-Factor 0.27
U-Factor 0.27
Combination SHGC 0.31
SHGC 0.31
VLT 0.58
CPD PEL-N-209-00056-00001
Satisfied Energy Star Zones Northern,North
Central
Performance Class CW
PG 50
Calculated Positive DP Rating 50
Calculated Negative DP Rating 50
TDI WIN-1956
Year Rated 08-11. Yes,

Remake: No
In-Store Pick-up
EA
01/13/2023
False
True
877-473-5527
. 35 Days. 943055
WTS Pella 250 Window. 103848.
Grille: No Grille
Wrapping Information: Factory Applied
Pella Recommended Clearance
Perimeter Length $=119$ ".

## End Line 100 Description

## Lowes Pella window order

1 message

Acosta Hernandez, Estefani [estefani.acostahernandez@store.lowes.com](mailto:estefani.acostahernandez@store.lowes.com)
Fri, Mar 17, 2023 at 2:42

To: "ledorsey23@gmail.com" [ledorsey23@gmail.com](mailto:ledorsey23@gmail.com)

NOTICE: All information in and attached to the e-mails below may be proprietary, confidential, privileged and otherwise protected from improper or erroneous disclosure. If you are not the sender's intended recipient, you are not authorized to intercept, read, print, retain, copy, forward, or disseminate this message. If you have erroneously received this communication, please notify the sender immediately by phone (704-758-1000) or by e-mail and destroy all copies of this message electronic, paper, or otherwise. By transmitting documents via this email: Users, Customers, Suppliers and Vendors collectively acknowledge and agree the transmittal of information via email is voluntary, is offered as a convenience, and is not a secured method of communication; Not to transmit any payment information E.G. credit card, debit card, checking account, wire transfer information, passwords, or sensitive and personal information E.G. Driver's license, $D O B$, social security, or any other information the user wishes to remain confidential; To transmit only nonconfidential information such as plans, pictures and drawings and to assume all risk and liability for and indemnify Lowe's from any claims, losses or damages that may arise from the transmittal of documents or including non-confidential information in the body of an email transmittal. Thank you.
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Account Balance Summary

| Balance Type | Purchase Date/Amount | Previous Balance | Payments \& Other Credits <br> (-) | Purchases, Fees \& Other Debits (+) | Interest Charged (t) | Expired Promotion Balances* (+/-) | New Statement Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regular |  | \$244.73 | \$244.73 | \$564.56 |  |  | \$564.56 |
| Total |  | \$244.73 | \$244.73 | \$564.56 |  |  | \$564.56 |
| If you have promotional balances, additional promotional details can be found below in the Promotional Purchase Summary. <br> * Expired promotional balances will display in both the promotional and regular purchases balance row during the month of expiration. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Transaction Detail



| 2023 Year-to-Date Fees and Interest |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Fees Charged | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Total Interest Charged | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Total Interest Paid | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Interest Charge Calculation

Your Annual Percentage Rate (APR) is the annual interest rate on your account.

| Type of | Expiration | Annual | Balance Subject to | Interest | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance | Date | Percentage Rate | Interest Rate | Charge | Method |
| Regular Purchases | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $26.99 \%$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | 2 D |

## Payment Information

| New Balance: | $\$ 564.56$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Minimum Payment Due: | $\$ 30.00$ |
| Payment Due Date: | $04 / 26 / 2023$ |

Payments must be received by 5pm ET on 04/26/2023 if mailed, or by 11:59pm ET on 04/26/2023 for online and phone payments.

Late Payment Warning: If we do not receive your Total Minimum Payment Due by the Payment Due Date listed above, you may have to pay a late fee up to $\$ 41.00$

Minimum Payment Warning: If you make only the minimum payment each period, you will pay more in interest and it will take you longer to pay off your balance. For example

| If you make no <br> additional charges <br> using this card <br> and each month <br> you pay .... | You will pay off <br> the balance <br> shown on this <br> statement in <br> about ... | And you will end <br> up paying an <br> estimated total <br> of... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Only the minimum <br> payment | 2 years | $\$ 742.00$ |

If you would like information about credit counseling services, call
1-877-302-8775.

## Account Summary

Previous Balance as of 03/04/20
Payments
New Balance as of 04/03/2023
31 Day Billing Cycle from 03/04/2023 to 04/03/2023

| Credit Limit | $\$ 3,800$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Available Credit | $\$ 3,235$ |
|  |  |


on purchases of \$299-\$998.99.
on purchases of $\$ 999-\$ 1,998.99$.
on purchases of $\$ 1,999$ or more.


Transaction Detail

- Amount

Purchases and Oh er Debit
purchases and Other Debits
02/21 94834

02/27 70436

$\$ 244.73$
$\$ 213.90$
$\$ 30.83$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Total Fees Charged This Period } & \$ 0.00\end{array}$
Total interest Charged This Period
INTEREST CHARGE ON PURCHASES

2023 Year-to-Date Fees and Interest Total Fees Charged Total Interest Charged

## Interest Charge Calculation

Your Annual Percentage Rate (APR) is the annual interest rate on your account.

| Type of | Expiration | Annual | Balance Subject to | Interest | Balance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance | Date | Percentage Rate | Interest Rate | Charge | Method |
| Regular Purchases | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $26.99 \%$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | 2 D |

New Promotional Financing Plans

## SALE

| MID: 0000 Store: 4 | 4039 Term: 0001 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | REFF: 00000015 |
| Batch \#: 003 | RRN: 85976568 |
| 07/06/23 | 13:51:46 |
|  | 3 Vive: |
| VISA | Chip |
| ***6823 | ***** |

AMOUNT $\$ 1,071.68$
ay


Chip


SALES \# 31884
DATE 07/06/2023

APPROVED

VISA DEBIT
AID: A0000000031010
TVR: 8080008000 TS: 6800
Thank You
Please Come Again
CUSTOMER COPY

Estimating blueprints is a courtesy. Take-offs are not guaranteed.
Double-check our take-off with your mason.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS: (1) All bills are payable in Mabank or Dallas, TX; (2) No material may be returned for credit without approval; (3) All special order items are non-refundable; (4) Material take-offs are not guaranteed; (5) Mexican and used brick color or color consistency, quality, or price is not guaranteed; (6) Clay Structures, Inc. will not be liable for any claim arising from late delivery of material; (7) On return items there will be a pickup and re-stocking charge in addition to the initial delivery charge; (8) All masonry goods are non-returnable; (9) USE OF BRICK \& STONE DENOTES ACCEPTANCE; and (10) No refunds on broken bundles.
*We are not responsible for accidents and/or injuries on our premises.

SUBTOTAL 990.00
TAX $(0.0825) \quad 81.68$
TOTAL 1,071.68
BALANCE DUE

## Clay Structures

12150 C. F. Hawn Freeway
Dallas, TX 75253 US
972-286-4051
joshua.claystructures@gmail.com
www.claystructures.com


## Estimate

ADDRESS
ESTIMATE \# 2268
cash
DATE 07/06/2023

SALES REP
JB

DATE
ACTIVITY
Column Cap $24 \times 24$

DESCRIPTION
QTY
RATE
AMOUNT
Column Cap $24 \times 24$
Cast Column Cap $24 \times 24$
$9 \quad 110.00$
990.00 T

| SUBTOTAL | 990.00 |
| :--- | ---: |
| TAX $(0.0825)$ | 81.68 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 7 1 . 6 8}$ |

Accepted By
Accepted Date

## Ernesto Remodeling Damian

Ernesto Damian Vargas

## INVOICE

Client: Letica Dorsey
Site: 715 W Red Bird Ln Dallas, TX 75232
Date: 07/17/20230
Contact: LEDORSEY23@GMAIL.COM

| JOB DESCRIPTION | AREA | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Baseboards |  |  |
| - Quarter Pound |  |  |
| : Shoe Molding |  |  |
| : Caulking |  |  |
| - Pudding |  |  |
| ( Labor |  | $\$ 1,050$ |
| $\star$ Materials | 225 SQ FT | $\$ 1,830$ |
|  |  |  |

LL Flooring, Inc.
Phone: (972) 422-0727
Page 1 of 2
Plano TX 1077
Email: Store077@l|flooring.com

| Sold-To Party |
| :--- |
| LETICIA DORSEY |
| 715 W. RED BIRD LN |
| DALLAS TX 75232 |
| Phone: (469) $733-4319$ |
| Mobile: (469) $733-4319$ |
| Email: ledosey@sbcglobal.net |
| Ship-To Party |
| LETICIA DORSEY |
| 715 W. RED BIRD LN |
| DALLAS TX 75232 |
| Phone: (469) 733-4319 |
| Mobile: (469) 733-4319 |
| Email: ledosey@sbcglobal.net |

## Information

Sales Order No. 137729646
PO reference 704010218
Document Date 02/25/2023
Customer No. 5301081

Gross weight: $\quad 838.083$ LB

## Comments

| PRODUCT | QUANTITY | QTY OPEN | QTY SHIPPED | PRICE | AMOUNT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10047931/RNENQCDSRAL/1077/10/02-25-2023 | 389.12 | 389.12 | 0.00 FT2 | 3.49 USD | $1,358.03$ USD |
| RN ENG BAM DS Raleigh QC $3 / 8 \times 5$ | 19.00 | 19.00 | 0.00 CAR |  |  |
| $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ |  | Discount Applied: |  |  |  |
|  |  | Adjusted Price: | -135.80 USD |  |  |
|  |  |  | $1,222.23$ USD |  |  |

35 Year Warranty
This product will exhibit natural color variations from plank to plank. It may have natural characteristics such as irregular grain patterns. Variations in color are a natural occurrence and color change due to UV exposure may occur. Expect variations from samples to installed flooring. Expect variations from board to board. Pull from multiple boxes when installing. Follow installation instructions for the use of moisture protection and proper installation. Refer to product limited warranty for details.



For your Terms of Sale, such as returns/exchanges and refunds policy, please inquire at our store or visit www.|lflooring.com/supportterms-of-sale/. For a copy of your applicable limited warranty, please inquire at our store or visit www.IIflooring.com. In addition, you can always visit your local store or call Customer Care at (844) 455-3566.

poid cash $\rightarrow$

Inne 9-23 年7000 Alejandr - Ac Unit preforing ceiling
July 4, 23 \$450.0 Luis panter Dencerloy. July 24, Lu's \$300.0 Low's parated

July $1^{2}$, Luis $\$ 20^{-\omega}$ detais dalkins pointed cruwn molding
Inly 14, Jose corpenter windaw wood $\$ 300^{-}$
July 5, outside patio stone replaced store on ground \$3000




## FILE NUMBER: BDA234-006(DB)

BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: BDA234-006(DB) Application of Baldwin Associates for (1) a variance to the front-yard setback regulations, and for (2) a special exception to the fence height regulations, and for (3) a special exception to the fence opacity standards regulations, and for (4) a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations at 2000 EUCLID ST. This property is more fully described as block B/1981, lot 8 and is zoned R$7.5(\mathrm{~A})$, which requires a front-yard setback of 25 -feet, and limits the height of a fence in the front-yard to 4 -feet and requires a fence panel with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open not be located 5 feet from the front-lot line and requires a 20-foot visibility triangle at driveway approaches. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a single-family residential structure and provide a 4-foot 8-inch front-yard setback, which will require (1) a 20 -foot 4 -inch variance to the front- yard setback regulations, and to construct and/or maintain a 9-foot high fence in a required front-yard, which will require (2) a 5-foot special exception to the fence regulations, and to construct and/or maintain a fence in a required front-yard with a fence panel having less than 50 percent open surface area located less than 5 -feet from the front-lot line, which will require (3) a special exception to the fence opacity regulations, and to construct and/or maintain a singlefamily residential fence structure in a required visibility obstruction triangle, which will require (4) a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulation.

## LOCATION: 2000 EUCLID ST

APPLICANT: Baldwin Associates-Rob Baldwin

## REQUEST:

(1) Variance to the front-yard setback regulations;
(2) Special exception to the fence height regulations;
(3) Special exception to the fence standards regulations;
(4) Special exception to the 20 -foot visibility obstruction regulations.

## STANDARD FOR A VARIANCE:

Section 51A-3.102(d)(10) of the Dallas Development Code specifies that the board has the power to grant variances from the front yard, side yard, rear yard, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, floor area for structures accessory to single-family uses, height, minimum sidewalks, off-street parking or off-street loading, or landscape regulations provided that the variance is:
(A) not contrary to the public interest when owing to special conditions, a literal enforcement of this chapter would result in unnecessary hardship, and so that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed, and substantial justice done.
(B) necessary to permit development of a specific parcel of land that differs from other parcels of land by being of such a restrictive area, shape, or slope, that it cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with the development upon other parcels of land with the same zoning; and
(C) not granted to relieve a self-created or personal hardship, nor for financial reasons only, nor to permit any person a privilege in developing a parcel of land not permitted by this chapter to other parcels of land with the same zoning.

## ELEMENT II SUBSTITUTE

Dallas Development Code §51A-3.102(d)(10)(b), formerly known as HB 1475, allows for the BDA to use their discretion and consider Element 2 of the Variance standard to be met, if:
(i) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 of the Texas Tax Code;
(ii) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur;
(iii) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement;
(iv) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or
(v) the municipality considers the structure to be a nonconforming structure.

## STANDARD FOR A SPECIAL EXCEPTION:

Fence Standards
The board shall grant a special exception to the requirements of this section when, in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## Fence Standards

The board shall grant a special exception to the requirements of this section when, in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.

## Visual Obstruction regulations

The board shall grant a special exception to the requirements of this section when, in the opinion of the board, the item will not constitute a traffic hazard.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

## Variance to the front yard setback:

Approval
Rationale: Based upon evidence presented and provided by the applicant, staff concluded that the site is:
A. Not contrary to the public interest as no letters of opposition were received.
B. Subject lot is restrictive in shape and area due to the configuration and the double frontage; therefore, the property cannot be developed in a manner commensurate with development upon other parcels of land in the same zoning.
C. Not a self-created or personal hardship.

## Special Exception(s):

No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.
No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the fence regulations since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the special exception will not adversely affect neighboring property.
No staff recommendation is made on this or any request for a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulation since the basis for this type of appeal is when in the opinion of the board, the item will not constitute a traffic hazard.

## BDA HISTORY:

No BDA history within the last 5 years.

## Square Footage:

This lot contains 8400 square feet.
This lot is zoned R-7.5(A) which requires a minimum lot size of 7,500 square feet.

## Zoning:

Site: $\quad$ R-7.5(A)-Residential Zoning District
North: R-7.5(A)-Residential Zoning District
South: MF-2(A)-Multifamily Zoning District
East: $\quad$ R-7.5(A)-Residential Zoning District
West: R-7.5(A)-Residential Zoning District

## Land Use:

The subject site is developed with an existing single-family residential structure use. The areas to the north, east and west of the property are developed with single-family uses and the areas to the south contain single-family and multifamily uses.

## GENERAL FACTS/STAFF ANALYSIS:

- This lot is zoned R-7.5(A)-Residential Zoning, which a requires a 25 -foot front yard setback
- This lot has 2-street frontages, which cannot treat the longer street frontage (Sears) as a side due to continuity of the established block face
- A request for a variance to encroach into the required front-yard setback is being proposed to maintain the existing structure.
- A permit for the construction of the single-family dwelling was approved as an oversite as it was treated as a side yard in error.
- A request for a special exception to exceed the maximum allowed height of a fence located in the required front yard is being proposed.
- A permit for the construction of the fence was issued as an oversite as it was treated as a side yard in error.
- A request for a special exception to exceed the maximum allowed opacity to the fence regulations is being proposed.
- A permit for the construction of the board on board fence was approved as an oversite as it was treated as a side yard in error.
- A request for a special exception to encroach into the 20 -foot visibility triangles at the drive approach is being proposed.
- Staff "redlined" the visibility triangles on the approved site plan stating 20 ' $\times 20$ ' visibility triangles must remain clear and unobstructed.
- Fence is constructed on top of the retaining wall with a total height of 9-feet.

The board may also consider Dallas Development Code §51A-3.102(d)(10)(b), formerly known as HB 1475 as grounds to determine whether compliance with the ordinance as applied to a structure that is the subject of the appeal would result in unnecessary hardship:
(a) the financial cost of compliance is greater than 50 percent of the appraised value of the structure as shown on the most recent appraisal roll certified to the assessor for the municipality under Section 26.01 (Submission of Rolls to Taxing Units), Tax Code.
(b) compliance would result in a loss to the lot on which the structure is located of at least 25 percent of the area on which development is authorized to physically occur.
(c) compliance would result in the structure not being in compliance with a requirement of a municipal ordinance, building code, or other requirement.
(d) compliance would result in the unreasonable encroachment on an adjacent property or easement; or
(e) the municipality considers the structure to be a nonconforming structure.

## Timeline:

November 20, 2023: The applicant submitted an "Application/Appeal to the Board of Adjustment" and related documents which have been included as part of this case report.

December 4, 2023: The Board of Adjustment Administrator assigned this case to Board of Adjustment Panel A.
December 6, 2023: The Sustainable Development and Construction Department Senior Planner emailed the applicant the following information:

- an attachment that provided the public hearing date and panel that will consider the application; the December 22, 2023, deadline to submit additional evidence for staff to factor into their analysis; and January 5, 2024, deadline to submit additional evidence to be incorporated into the board's docket materials.
- the criteria/standard that the board will use in their decision to approve or deny the request; and
- the Board of Adjustment Working Rules of Procedure pertaining to documentary evidence.

December 28, 2023: The Board of Adjustment staff review team meeting was held regarding this request and other requests scheduled for the November public hearings. Review team members in attendance included: The Board of Adjustment Chief Planner/Board Administrator, the Development Services Senior Plans Examiner the Assistant City Attorney to the Board, the Senior Planner and Code Compliance staff.



https://youtu.be/h0jNvCeqwbQ

# Notification List of Property Owners <br> BDA234-006 

37 Property Owners Notified

| Label\# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 2000 | EUCLID AVE |
| 2 | 2019 | EUCLID AVE |
| 3 | 2015 | EUCLID AVE |
| 4 | 2011 | EUCLID AVE |
| 5 | 2007 | EUCLID AVE |
| 6 | 2003 | EUCLID ST |
| 7 | 2022 | EUCLID AVE |
| 8 | 2018 | EUCLID AVE |
| 9 | 2014 | EUCLID AVE |
| 10 | 2010 | EUCLID AVE |
| 11 | 2004 | EUCLID AVE |
| 12 | 2023 | SUMMIT AVE |
| 13 | 2019 | SUMMIT AVE |
| 14 | 2015 | SUMMIT AVE |
| 15 | 2009 | SUMMIT AVE |
| 16 | 2007 | SUMMIT AVE |
| 17 | 2003 | SUMMIT AVE |
| 18 | 5543 | SEARS ST |
| 19 | 1930 | EUCLID AVE |
| 20 | 1931 | SUMMIT AVE |
| 21 | 1926 | EUCLID AVE |
| 22 | 1927 | SUMMIT AVE |
| 23 | 1925 | SUMMIT AVE |
| 24 | 1922 | EUCLID AVE |
| 25 | 1922 | EUCLID AVE |
| 26 | 1922 | EUCLID AVE |

Owner
MORGAN JOHN \& DONNA
MATA RENE JESSE \&
HUGHES WALLACE L
MATA RENE \&
HUGGINS BRENT \& MEREDITH
LD MANNER HOLDINGS LLC
RUIZ ARMANDO
EUCLID AVE LLC
ANDERSON FAMILY TRUST THE
QUIJANO ESPERANZA
OBRIEN GLORIA JEAN
GONZALEZ PEDRO
MCCALLON JUSTIN RAY
SCHOLTEN MICHAEL \&
BROWNING JANICE
KELLIS CHERYL L
SINGER SUSAN ELIZABETH
GERALD GRAHAM BLOW \&
JG RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES LLC
AJJ MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
MAYHILL PARTNERS LP
PATEL DIPESH \& SHEETAL
RAY SAMIR
SHARMA MANISH B \& PRABHA
WALTON ANDREW J
HART JORDAN MICHAEL

| Label \# | Address |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 27 | 1922 | EUCLID AVE |
| 28 | 1923 | SUMMIT AVE |
| 29 | 1921 | SUMMIT AVE |
| 30 | 1925 | EUCLID AVE |
| 31 | 1927 | EUCLID AVE |
| 32 | 1921 | EUCLID AVE |
| 33 | 1923 | EUCLID AVE |
| 34 | 1931 | EUCLID AVE |
| 35 | 1931 | EUCLID AVE |
| 36 | 1931 | EUCLID AVE |
| 37 | 1931 | EUCLID AVE |

## Owner

GARVEY NATHAN S
KRUPP ROBERT
PUTNAM SHEA \& KATIE
BARRINGTON KEVIN TYLER \&
NEVILLE NICHOLAS L
SATYAM SARMA \&
STOUTENBURG DOUGLAS \& YOSHIKO REV TRUST
TERRIEN JOHN A
SHAMROCK SWISS LLC
JACOBS JOHN
ASH ANDREW STEPHEN

## Baldwin <br> Associates

City of Dallas Board of Adjustment
City of Dallas
1500 Marilla St., 5BN
Dallas, TX 75201
Re: BDA234-006
Dear Board of Adjustment,
I am writing on behalf of John and Donna Morgan to request a variance for their property at 2000 Euclid Avenue. The Morgans seek relief from the required front yard setback, fence height regulations, fence standard regulations, and visibility obstructions. The need for these variances arose when they applied for permission to build a swimming pool in the side yard facing Sears Street.

The Morgan residence was constructed in accordance with approved plans and permits, with the permit filed on June 9, 2021, and issued on August 18, 2021 (Permit Number: 2106091042). It complies with all zoning regulations for the R-7.5(A) district. The issue surfaced during the pool construction request when a neighboring property's front yard projection onto the Morgan's land was identified. This issue was not apparent during the initial plan review, permits issuance, or home construction.

The neighboring property triggering the projected front yard setback was built in 1930 on a lot smaller than current zoning regulations allow. It seems the house may not comply with the required 25 -foot front yard setback, potentially aligning closely with the Morgan residence. The Morgans aim to bring their property into compliance with city codes by requesting these variances.

The Morgan's lot, platted in 1911, faces a unique challenge due to the adjacent property's unusual layout, which would not be permitted under current zoning standards. The Morgans' home aligns with the original 1911 plat, and the adjacent property's nonconforming nature places an undue burden on the Morgans' property. Granting these variances is essential to rectify the situation.

Page 2
The Board has the authority to approve variances when specific conditions are met:

1. The request aligns with public interest, observing the spirit of the ordinance and ensuring substantial justice is done.
2. The variance is necessary for a unique parcel of land with restrictive characteristics, not self-created or for personal/financial reasons.
3. The variance does not confer a privilege inconsistent with zoning regulations.

The Morgans' situation is indeed unique. Their home, compliant with R-7.5(A) zoning, faces challenges due to the adjacent property. Denying relief would render the Morgans' home nonconforming, impacting financing and imposing two front yard setbacks on the only lot in the area with such restrictions.

Moreover, the projected front yard is also triggering the requests for the fence special exceptions. In this area of East Dallas, corner lots typically have solid wooden fences of eight to nine feet along the side yards. And if not for the projected front yard setback, the fence would also be in compliance with the city code. There is a slight encroachment of the fence into the sight visibility triangle, but it is very minor and should not hamper the ability to view pedestrian or vehicular traffic along Sear Street.

In conclusion, the Morgans' property was built compliantly, and the unique circumstance of the adjacent nonconforming property warrants special consideration. Granting these variances aligns with the principles set forth by the Board. Your support in this matter is crucial to ensuring the Morgans' property becomes conforming and alleviating an unintended hardship.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions or comments. Your assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.


Robert Baldwin

## BDA234-006 2000 EUCLID AVENUE








Setbacks Applied to 2000 Euclid Avenue


Dallas Home Building Inspection Home Offices Records Newsletter Government

Address
Welcome, VICKI RADER - BALDWIN ASSOCIATES (BU)

## Details Holds Applications

|  | Type | Propect | Status | Description | Issue Date | Trudes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| View | Buiding Propect | 2106091042 | Approved | EL EC, MEE CS, GR, PV PLTMIICONSTRUCTNEW SFD (A) Nem | Aup 18:2021 | CS, EC, EL. GR,ME. PL. PV |
| View | Demolition Permit | 2105131025 | Complete | Demo Type: SFDDDuplex (1111) SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING | May 19, 2021 |  |
| View | Master Permit | 2106111036 | Complete | Building (BU) EC, ME, CS, GR, PV, PL, EL 1111-CONSTRUCT NEW SFD | Aug 24, 2021 | CS, EC, EL, GR, ME PL, PV |
| View | Master Permit | 2209021101 | Cancelled |  |  |  |
| View | Master Permit | 2209221128 | Issued | Fence (FE) 110-INSTALL FENCE | Sep 22,2022 |  |
| View | Master Permit | 2209235001 | complete | Lavn Spmener LLS) BF 2009-nNSTALL LAWN SPRINKLER | Sep 26, 2022 | BF |
| View | Master Permit | 2302282003 | Issued | Plumbing (PL) NEW GAS LINE | Feb 28,2023 |  |
| View | Master Permit | 7600168723 | Complete | Building (BU) ERECT CARPORT FOR DWELLING | Jul 19, 1976 |  |
| View | Master Permit | 8000012670 | Complete | Building (BU) REPAIR PORCHAT DWELLING | Aug 25, 1980 |  |
| Vlaw | Master Permit | 8100092069 | Complete | Building (BU) CONSTRUCT CARPORT AT | Jul 29, 1981 |  |

## Development Services

## APPLICATION/APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT



Affirm that an appeal has been made for a Variance $X$ or Special Exception $X$ of a $10^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ variance to a projected front yard setback;
permission to build-apooLin the projectedfrontyard setback; a special exception of 2 , for a fence in a projected front yard; a special exception
to allow $9+M s$
to allow a tall solid wood fence in the projected front yard, special exception to allow slight encroachment into sight visibility triangle.
Application is made to the Board of Adjustment, in accordance with the provisions of the Dallas Development Code, to Grant the described appeal for the following reason:
This house was permitted and built with approved plans and permits issued by the City. During the pool permit application
process, it was discovered there was a projected front yard along Sears St. rendering the home nonconforming. We are
seeking to bring the home into compliance.
Note to Applicant: If the appeal requested in this application is granted by the Board of Adjustment, a permit must be applied for within 180 days of the date of the final action of the Board, unless the Board specifically grants a longer period.

## Affidavit

Before me the undersigned on this day personally appeared $\qquad$
(Affiant/Applicant's name printed)
who on (his/her) oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge and that he/she is the owner/or principal/drguthorized representative of the subject property

Respectfully submitted:
(Affiant/Applicant's signature)
Subscribed and sworn to before me this



Building Official's Report

## I hereby certify that BALDWIN ASSOCIATES

did submit a request
at
for (1) a variance to the front yard setback regulations, and for (2) a special exception to the fence height regulations, and for (3) a special exception to the fence standards regulations, and for (4) a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations
2000 Euclid Avenue

BDA234-006(DB) Application of Baldwin Associates for (1) a variance to the front- yard setback regulations, and for (2) a special exception to the fence height regulations, and fo (3) a special exception to the fence opacity standards regulations, and for (4) a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations at 2000 EUCLID ST. This property is mor fully described as block $\mathrm{B} / 1981$, lot 8 and is zoned R-7.5(A), which requires a front-yard setback of 25 -feet, and limits the height of a fence in the front-yard to 4 -feet and requires : fence panel with a surface area that is less than 50 percent open not be located 5 feet from the front-lot line and requires a 20 -foot visibility triangle at driveway approaches. The applicant proposes to construct and/or maintain a single-family residential structure and provide a 4 -foot 8 -inch front-yard setback, which will require (1) a 20 -foot 4 -inch variance to the front- yard setback regulations, and to construct and/or maintain a 9 -foot high fence in a required front-yard, which will require (2) a 5 -foot special exception to the fence regulations, and to construct and/or maintain a fence in a required front-yard with a fence panel having less than 50 percent open surface area located less than 5 -feet from the front-lot line, which will require (3) a special exception to the fence opacity regulations, and to construct and/or maintain a single-family residential fence structure in a required visibility obstruction triangle, which will require (4) a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulation.

Sincerely,

## AFFIDAVIT

Appeal number: BDA $\qquad$
$234-006$
I, $\qquad$ , Owner of the subject property
(Owner or "Grantee" of property as it appears on the Warranty Deed)
at: $\qquad$
(Address of property as stated on application)
Authorize: $\qquad$
(Applicant's name as stated on application)
To pursue an appeal to the City of Dallas Zoning Board of Adjustment for the following requests)
X Variance (specify below)
X
Special Exception (specify below)
$\qquad$ Other Appeal (specify below)

Specify: A variance to a projected front yard setback; special exception to fence height in a
projected front yard and a special excretion to allow a fence with less than $50 \%$ opacity in a front yard

## DNA MORGAN

Print name of property owner or registered agent


Signature of property owner or registered agent

Date $\qquad$ 10.2 .23

Before me, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared


Who on his/her oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge.


## AFFIDAVIT

Appeal number: BDA 234-006
I, $\qquad$
John Morgan
(Owner or "Grantee" of property as it appears on the Warranty Deed)
at:
2000 Euclid Avenue, Dallas, Texas
(Address of property as stated on application)
Authorize: $\qquad$
(Applicant's name as stated on application)
To pursue an appeal to the City of Dallas Zoning Board of Adjustment for the following requests) X Variance (specify below)

X Special Exception (specify below)
$\qquad$ Other Appeal (specify below)
Specify: A variance to a projected front yard setback; special exception to fence height in a
projected front yard and a special excretion to allow a fence with less than $50 \%$ opacity in a front yard

$\overline{\text { Print name of property owner or registered agent }}$
Date $\qquad$ $10 \cdot 2 \cdot 23$
sig. nature of proper owner or registered agent

Before me, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared


Who on his/her oath certifies that the above statements are true and correct to his/her best knowledge.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this
2 day of $\qquad$ .2023
 Notary ID $\$ 2659796$ My Commission Expires


## ROSS AVE ANNEX NO2



AN ADDIL LON TO TEE CITY OF DALIAS. TBTAS, OUT OF J. N. PATTRESON SURYZY.
TES STATE OF rRXAS

USW ALL KZII BY THBSE PRESENTS:
That *e, 8eay-Roblneon-
Cranflll Land \& Loan Company, of the County of Dallas, State
plat of a oas mereby edopt the within may as a true and oorreot
Dlat of Aose Avonue Anox No. 2, an idaltion to the Ulty of
pse and beaeflt of the Pablio forever, the streets and ellegt use and beaelit of the Pablio forever, the streets and ellege
shom thereln.

4th day of May, A.D. 1911.
Hitross our hands this the:

ETY3ST: Tom B.Cranflll SEKY-ZOBIRSON-CRAEPILL LABD * LOAT CO. $\qquad$

(SEAT) Seoretory.

THE STATB ON M3:149 I
ONOWTY OR DAELAS
Bofare we, the undersigaed su-
thorlty. on this day poreonally
appeared Ben P. Seay. President of the Seay-Robineon-Cranill Land a Loan Co. knuwn to we to be the person those nsme 18 gubsoribed to the foregolng instrument. suc acknowledged to we thet hereouted the eame as the cot of sald corporation, for the purposen und corelderetinn thoretn expreaned.
aftlce this 4th day of Hay $\dot{\text { a }}$.D. 1911.
Olven under my hand and seal of
J. D. Bowle日.
(98e L)
Wotary rublio la and for Daillas County. Fozas.

Filod 4 ay 4th. 1911 at 2:16 P.4.
Hoo of ded May 4th,1911, at 10 o'011 P. . B .
J. Z. Reaord, County Cly

By Sam Bernett, Dy.



## S $\forall X \exists \perp$＇S $\forall 77 \forall 0$ ㄱㅇ 人11つ ヨกNヨヘV ロlาวกヨ 000Z


euclid avenue

FILE NUMBER: BDA234-006_FR1
BUILDING OFFICIAL'S REPORT: Application of Baldwin Associates for a variance to the front yard setback regulations, and for a special exception to the fence height regulations, and for a special exception to the fence standards regulations, and for a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations at 2000 Euclid Ave.

LOCATION: 2000 Euclid Avenue

## APPLICANT: BALDWIN ASSOCIATES

## REQUESTS:

The applicant is requesting a fee reimbursement for fees paid for the application of a variance to the front yard setback regulations, and for a special exception to the fence height regulations, and for a special exception to the fence standards regulations, and for a special exception to the visibility obstruction regulations at 2000 Euclid Ave.

## STANDARD FOR A FEE WAIVER OR REIMBURSEMENT:

Section 51A-1.105(b)(6) of the Dallas Development Code specifies the board of adjustment may waive the filing fee if the board finds that payment of the fee would result in substantial financial hardship to the applicant. The applicant may either pay the fee and request reimbursement at the hearing on the matter or request that the issue of financial hardship be placed on the board's miscellaneous docket for predetermination. If the issue is placed on the miscellaneous docket, the applicant may not apply to the merits of the request for a waiver have been determined by the board. In making this determination, the board may require the production of financial documents.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The staff does not make a recommendation on fee waiver requests since the standard is whether the board finds that payment of the fee would result in substantial financial hardship to the applicant.
$26^{\text {th }}$ November 2023

Ms. Nikki Dunn. Interim Board Administrator, City of Dallas
320 E. Jefferson Boulevard
Dallas, Texas, 75201.
Dear Nikki

## RE: Waiver of Application Fee Request - 2000 Euclid Avenue, Dallas, 75206.

As requested by Baldwin Associates, I am writing to you to respectfully request that the applications fees paid in respect of our Board of Adjustment hearing in January are waived.

We are having to appear before the Board due to the significant issues caused by the permitting process at our property 2000 Euclid Avenue, 75206. All the necessary permits were issued when the property was built by Strange \& Sons. However, subsequently we have been advised, when we inquired into building a swimming pool, that the permits were issued by the city incorrectly. The house was fully completed in 2022 and we have been living in the property since March 2023. Due to a change at the company I work for we are moving back to the UK and this issue is causing problems with the sales processes as potential buyers wish to be reassured that a pool can be built in the future.

We estimate that any demolition and rebuilding work would cost in excess of $\$ 800000$.

If you require any further information, please do not hesitate to let me know.
Sincerely,

## gohn Morgan

John Morgan
John Morgan, 2000 Euclid Avenues, Dallas, Texas, 75206.
E: john.morgan@hki.com T: 3315881651


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vehicle fueling positions were included in the total count for parking spaces.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{AM}$ (PM) peak values

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Person-Trips
    ${ }^{3}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
    *Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

