

CERN-TH.6975/93

HUB-EP-93/3

LPTENS 93/31

hep-th/9308124

# A Large Class of New Gravitational and Axionic Backgrounds for Four-dimensional Superstrings

E. Kiritsis, C. Kounnas

CERN, Geneva, SWITZERLAND

and

D. Lust

Humboldt Universität zu Berlin

Fachbereich Physik

D-10099 Berlin, GERMANY

## ABSTRACT

A large class of new 4-D superstring vacua with non-trivial/singular geometries, spacetime supersymmetry and other background fields (axion, dilaton) are found. Killing symmetries are generic and are associated with non-trivial dilaton and antisymmetric tensor fields. Duality symmetries preserving  $N=2$  superconformal invariance are employed to generate a large class of explicit metrics for non-compact 4-D Calabi-Yau manifolds with Killing symmetries. We comment on some of our solutions which have interesting singularity properties and cosmological interpretation.

CERN-TH.6975/93

August 1993

# 1 Introduction

String theory is a promising candidate for a theory unifying all the fundamental interactions, including quantum gravity. Gauge and dimerorphism symmetries appear naturally and the theory has very smooth ultraviolet behavior. However, the theory is still conceptually incomplete, in the sense that, a background independent string field theory, where the full string symmetry is manifest, is not at hand. In particular, we have no way, so far, to understand non-perturbative effects (except in some toy bosonic models in 2-D, [1]). The only way we know, up to now, to deal with the theory, is in the first quantized perturbative framework, where one starts with a classical solution to the theory, which is given by any CFT with the appropriate central charge. One then calculates in string perturbation theory the S-matrix of fluctuations around that classical solution. In particular, it is known that, unless the spacetime physics is at least  $N=$  supersymmetric, then the initial perturbative vacuum is in general unstable and therefore perturbation theory around it is ill defined. Thus, in perturbation theory, only classical solutions with at least  $N=$  spacetime supersymmetry are guaranteed to be stable.

There are some extra problems in order to connect superstring theory predictions at low energy to the observed world. The first is that there is always a scalar excitation in the theory, the dilaton, which is massless to all orders in perturbation theory. There are good reasons to believe that such a massless scalar does not exist in our world. The second is that, at low energy, there is no supersymmetry in the observed spectrum of particles. In fact, the breaking of spacetime supersymmetry in string theory was extensively discussed in the past, where essentially two kinds of mechanisms were considered: the "perturbative" breaking via the Scherk-Schwarz mechanism [2] and non-perturbative supersymmetry breaking by gaugino condensation at the level of the string effective field theory [3]. However, unless we have a good string field theory which is background independent, there is little chance that we will have a fundamental understanding of the resolution of the two previous problems.

However, there are still some important conceptual questions one can ask in string theory. So far, in field theory there is not even a consistent perturbative framework, in order to address questions concerning quantum gravity. On the other hand, string theory provides also a theory of quantum gravity without ultraviolet problems. Even in the present limited formulations of string theory, there is still room to address questions which have perplexed physicists in the past decades concerning in particular issues like set-up of scattering theory in curved spacetimes, black holes, Hawking radiation etc.

Several efforts focused towards this direction in the recent past. One expects that the physics of gravitation at short distances, as described by string theory, would be radically different from that at large distances and scales. The probes here are entities (strings) with non-zero size, and there are quantitative indications that the concept of classical metric is not good enough to describe even qualitatively the physics at regions of spacetime which are strongly curved [4, 5]. Such indications come from the existence of duality symmetries in many non-trivial classical solutions of string theory (where the

metric, dilaton and other background fields are non-trivial functions of coordinates). These symmetries imply that the background fields by themselves do not uniquely describe the ground state, but stringy probes, excited in different states, "see" different background fields.

In this work, we will address a specific aspect of these problems, namely, we will try to analyse as exhaustively as possible, 4-d string solutions which have the following properties: The metric and other background fields are non-trivial, and the solutions are perturbatively stable, equivalently, they have spacetime supersymmetry. In the context of the type II superstring, this translates to the statement that the worldsheet CFT has  $(2,2)$  superconformal invariance (in which case the spacetime theory in 4-D has  $N=2$  supersymmetry) [6, 7]. Via the heterotic string map [8, 7], such type II solutions generate a class of heterotic string models with  $N=1$  spacetime supersymmetry.

During recent years, several efforts were focused on  $N=2$  superconformal backgrounds in the context of (heterotic) string compactifications leading to strings with at four-dimensional spacetime [9, 8, 7]. In these works the discussion mainly focussed on compact spaces without torsion and with constant dilaton field, i.e. tori, orbifolds, the  $K_3$  manifold and numerous Calabi-Yau spaces.

In this paper we will provide a relatively systematic discussion on supersymmetric string backgrounds with  $N=2$  or  $N=4$  superconformal symmetry, based on compact as well as non-compact spaces plus non-trivial antisymmetric tensor field and non-constant dilaton. Thus we will extend in a more systematic way the exact  $N=4$  solution constructed recently, [10, 11]. In the case of vanishing antisymmetric tensor fields the metric is known to describe a compact/non-compact Kahler space [12]. In order to introduce non-constant antisymmetric tensor fields, we have to use a 2-d superspace action, which includes chiral as well as twisted chiral superfields [13]. In contrast to the compact Calabi-Yau spaces, almost all backgrounds with non-trivial dilaton field will possess Killing symmetries. Our main focus will be such backgrounds with isometries which turn out to be generic in 4-D (remember that in 2-D all string backgrounds have Killing symmetries). In addition, most of such backgrounds exhibit singularities on some hypersurface in spacetime. These spaces, which can be regarded as generalizations of the two-dimensional black-hole considered in [14], provide gravitational instantons, black-hole type backgrounds, worm-holes and cosmological solutions in four and higher dimensions [15, 10, 16, 5, 11, 17].

A key to the proper understanding of string propagation on curved spaces is provided by duality symmetries. As mentioned above, duality symmetries relate backgrounds which are geometrically or even topologically different [18] but nevertheless correspond to the same superconformal field theory (there is a non-local transformation in the -model variables that maps the -model to its dual [4]). For example, a singular space can be dual to non-singular space, as first shown for the Euclidean two-dimensional black-hole [19, 17, 20]. Although it is not known in general, what are all dual equivalent backgrounds starting from a given background, the construction of the dual spaces is

straightforward if the original space possesses some isometries [21, 22, 23] (although this is not necessary, [4, 20]). For the cases with extended world-sheet supersymmetries it was shown [24, 13] that the duality symmetry originating from a  $U(1)$  isometry can be understood as replacing chiral (twisted chiral) superfields by twisted chiral (chiral) superfields by a Legendre transformation. This duality transformation preserves the  $N = 2$  superconformal invariance of the theory. Some interesting examples of dual backgrounds were already constructed this way [25].

The structure of this paper is as follows. In the next chapter we will set up the  $N = 2$  ( $N = 4$ ) supersymmetric model using chiral and twisted chiral superfields. We end this section with the construction of the dual spaces assuming that the original space has some  $U(1)$  isometries. Section three deals with the Kählerian solutions of the string field equations. For non-trivial dilaton field the spaces are in general non-Ricci-flat and possess Killing symmetries (isometries). We also construct the corresponding dual spaces which are in general non-Kählerian. In section four we consider solutions which from the beginning possess torsion and are therefore non-Kählerian. Performing a duality transformation we obtain additional new classes of Kählerian spaces where many of them are Ricci-flat. Section five discusses some aspects of  $S$  duality [26] pertinent to some of our solutions. Finally section six contains our conclusions and further remarks. In the appendix we discuss some constraints for the superpotential which are of direct interest to  $N = 2$  LG models [27], with non-trivial metrics.

During the paper, we will mainly focus on four-dimensional spaces which appear to have most physical relevance.

## 2 The $N = 2$ ( $N = 4$ ) Background and $U(1)$ Duality Transformations

### 2.1 The $N = 2$ supersymmetric 2-d action

The most general  $N = 2$  superspace action for  $m$  chiral superfields  $U_i$  ( $i = 1; \dots; m$ ) and  $n$  twisted chiral superfields  $V_p$  ( $p = 1; \dots; n$ ) in two dimensions is determined by a single real function  $K(U_i; U_i; V_p; V_p)$ :

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x D_+ D_- K(U_i; U_i; V_p; V_p): \quad (2.1.1)$$

The fields  $U_i$  and  $V_p$  obey a chiral or twisted chiral constraint

$$D_- U_i = 0; \quad D_+ V_p = D_- V_p = 0: \quad (2.1.2)$$

The action (2.1.1) is invariant, up to total derivatives, under quasi-Kähler gauge transformations

$$K \rightarrow K + f(U_i; V_p) + g(U_i; V_p) + \bar{f}(U_i; V_p) + \bar{g}(U_i; V_p): \quad (2.1.3)$$

To see the background interpretation of the theory it is convenient to write down the purely bosonic part of the superspace action (2.1.1):

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^Z d^2x [K_{u_i u_j} \partial^a u_i \partial_a u_j + K_{v_p v_q} \partial^a v_p \partial_a v_q + \epsilon_{ab} (K_{u_i v_p} \partial_a u_i \partial_b v_p + K_{v_p u_i} \partial_a v_p \partial_b u_i)]; \quad (2.1.4)$$

where

$$K_{u_i u_j} = \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial U_i \partial U_j}; \quad K_{v_p v_q} = \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial V_p \partial V_q}; \quad (2.1.5)$$

$$K_{u_i v_p} = \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial U_i \partial V_p}; \quad K_{v_p u_i} = \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial V_p \partial U_i};$$

Here  $u_i$  is the lowest component of the super field  $U_i$  and so on. Thus, one recognizes that the first two terms in above equation describe the metric background of the model where the metric in complex coordinates has the following block-diagonal structure:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & 1 \\ & 0 & K_{u_i u_j} & & & 0 \\ & K_{u_i u_j} & 0 & & & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & & & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & & K_{v_p v_q} & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \quad (2.1.6)$$

To obtain a space with Euclidean signature, one has to require that  $K_{u_i u_j}$  is positive definite where  $K_{v_p v_q}$  has to be negative definite. Note that the metric (2.1.6) is not Kahler, whereas the action (2.1.1) is nevertheless  $N = 2$  supersymmetric. If there are only chiral resp. twisted chiral elds (i.e.  $m = 0$  or  $n = 0$ ) one deals with a Kahler metric.

The  $\epsilon_{ab}$ -term in (2.1.4) provides the antisymmetric tensor eld background:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & 1 \\ & 0 & 0 & & & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & K_{v_p u_i} & & 0 \\ & 0 & K_{v_p u_i} & 0 & & 0 \\ & K_{u_i v_p} & 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \quad (2.1.7)$$

It follows that the eld strength  $H$ ,

$$H = \partial B + \partial B + \partial B; \quad (2.1.8)$$

can also be expressed entirely in terms of the function  $K$ :

$$H_{u_i u_j v_p} = \frac{\partial^3 K}{\partial U_i \partial U_j \partial V_p}; \quad H_{u_i u_j v_p} = \frac{\partial^3 K}{\partial U_i \partial U_j \partial V_p}; \quad (2.1.9)$$

$$H_{v_p v_q u_i} = \frac{\partial^3 K}{\partial V_p \partial V_q \partial U_i}; \quad H_{v_p v_q u_i} = \frac{\partial^3 K}{\partial V_p \partial V_q \partial U_i};$$

So far we have just discussed the geometrical structure of the  $N = 2$  backgrounds. In order that these backgrounds provide consistent string solutions, they have to satisfy

the string equation of motion, i.e. the vanishing of the  $\beta$ -function equations. In string theory, there is another background field, the dilaton  $(u_i; u_i; v_p; v_p)$ , which accompanies in general  $G$  and  $B$ . This amounts to add to the  $\beta$ -model action eq. (2.1.4) a term of the form  $\frac{1}{2}R^{(2)}(u_i; v_p)$ , where  $R^{(2)}$  is the scalar curvature of the two-dimensional world-sheet. Then the requirement of one-loop conformal invariance of the two-dimensional  $\beta$ -model leads to the following equations of motion for the background fields, [28]

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \beta^G &= R - \frac{1}{4}H^2 - 2r^2 + O(\alpha'^2) \\ 0 = \beta^B &= r^2 - 2(r^2)H + O(\alpha'^2): \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.10)$$

Moreover, the central charge deficit  $c$  provided by the considered background is determined by the  $\beta$ -function of the dilaton field as

$$c = c - \frac{3D}{2} = \frac{3}{2} \alpha'^2 [4(r^2)^2 - 4r^2 - R + \frac{1}{12}H^2] + O(\alpha'^4): \quad (2.1.11)$$

We must emphasize here that in the presence of  $N=4$  superconformal symmetry the solution to the lowest order in  $\alpha'$  is exact to all orders in a specific scheme, and  $c$  remains zero to all orders.

## 2.2 $U(1)$ duality transformations

Let us assume that a particular background, which satisfies eqs. (2.1.10) and (2.1.11) at lowest order in  $\alpha'$ , really corresponds to an exact conformal field theory. Then there exist in many cases different, so called dual, backgrounds which however are truly equivalent as a conformal field theory. In particular, if the original background is independent from  $d$  (real) coordinates  $x_a$  ( $a = 1; \dots; d$ ), i.e. if the original background possesses an Abelian  $U(1)^d$  isometry, there exist dual but equivalent backgrounds which are obtained from each other by discrete  $O(d; d; Z)$  transformations [23]. (Moreover the field equations (2.1.10) and (2.1.11) are invariant under continuous  $O(d; d; R)$  transformations [22]. Using the continuous transformations one can generate classes of backgrounds which correspond to marginally deformed conformal field theories [4, 30].)

Let us consider the most simple case of a single  $U(1)$  isometry assuming that the potential  $K$  has one Killing symmetry and is of the form

$$K = K(Z + \bar{Z}; Y_i; \bar{Y}_i; V_p; \bar{V}_p) \quad (2.2.1)$$

where  $Z$  and  $Y_i$  are chiral fields, whereas  $V_p$  are twisted chiral fields. (Of course the discussion holds in the same way if  $Z$  is a twisted chiral field.)

In [24, 13] a duality transformation was described in which twisted superfields are interchanged with untwisted ones. Concretely, assume the existence of one  $U(1)$  Killing symmetry,  $R = Z + \bar{Z}$ , and consider the 'dual' potential

$$\underline{K}(R; Y_i; \bar{Y}_i; V_p; \bar{V}_p; + \dots) = K(Z + \bar{Z}; Y_i; \bar{Y}_i; V_p; \bar{V}_p) - R(\dots); \quad (2.2.2)$$

---

Duality Symmetries for non-Abelian isometries were investigated in ref. [29].

where  $Z$  is a chiral field and  $\tilde{\phi}$  a twisted chiral field. Varying the action with respect to  $\tilde{\phi}$  gives back the original theory. On the other hand one can equally well consider the constraint coming from the variation with respect to  $Z$ , [24]

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta Z} = 0 \quad ! \quad \frac{\partial K}{\partial r} (\tilde{\phi} + \phi) = 0; \quad (2.2.3)$$

and the dual theory is obtained as a Legendre transform of  $K$ . Now the independent variables are  $\tilde{\phi}$ ,  $y_i$  and  $v_p$ . It follows that the dual metric has the following form:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \tilde{K} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{K}_{v_q} & 1 \\ \tilde{K} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{K}_{v_p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{K}_{y_i y_j} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{K}_{y_i y_j} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{K}_{v_p} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{K}_{v_p v_q} & 0 \\ \tilde{K}_{v_q} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{K}_{v_p v_q} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \quad (2.2.4)$$

Similarly, the dual antisymmetric tensor field is obtained as

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{K}_{y_i} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \tilde{K}_{y_i} & 0 & 0 & \tilde{K}_{y_i} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{K}_{y_i} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{K}_{y_i v_p} & 0 \\ \tilde{K}_{y_i} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{K}_{v_p y_i} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \tilde{K}_{v_p y_i} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{K}_{y_i v_p} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \quad (2.2.5)$$

In [31] it was shown that this  $N=2$  duality transformation via Legendre transform is the same as the usual abelian duality transformation [21]. This connection is important because one can compute also the "quantum corrections" to this classical duality transformation, which amount to a non-trivial dilaton. We will discuss explicitly this connection, since the discussion in [31] was not sufficiently general.

Introducing real coordinates as  $z = \frac{r}{2} + i\tilde{\phi}$  the bosonic action (2.1.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \left[ \frac{K_{rr}}{4} (\partial_a r \partial_a r + K_{rr} \partial_a \tilde{\phi} \partial_a \tilde{\phi}) + K_{y_i y_j} \partial_a y_i \partial_a y_j \right. \\ & + K_{r y_i} \left( \frac{\partial_a r}{2} - i \partial_a \tilde{\phi} \right) \partial_a y_i + K_{r y_i} \left( \frac{\partial_a r}{2} + i \partial_a \tilde{\phi} \right) \partial_a y_i - K_{v_p v_q} \partial_a v_p \partial_a v_q \\ & + \epsilon_{ab} K_{r v_p} \left( \frac{\partial_a r}{2} + i \partial_a \tilde{\phi} \right) \partial_b v_p + K_{r v_p} \left( \frac{\partial_a r}{2} - i \partial_a \tilde{\phi} \right) \partial_b v_p \\ & \left. + K_{y_i v_p} \partial_a y_i \partial_b v_p + K_{v_p y_i} \partial_a v_p \partial_b y_i \right]; \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.6)$$

It is evident that the background does not depend on the coordinate  $\tilde{\phi}$ . Thus one can perform a duality transformation with respect to this  $U(1)$  Killing symmetry, and the

dual metric and  $B$  are given as, [21]

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{G}_{rr} &= \frac{1}{2K_{rr}}; \quad \tilde{G}_{v_p v_q} = \frac{iK_{rv_p}}{2K_{rr}}; \quad \tilde{G}_{rr} = \frac{K_{rr}}{2}; \\
\tilde{G}_{ry_i} &= \frac{K_{ry_i}}{2}; \quad \tilde{G}_{y_i y_j} = \frac{K_{ry_i} K_{ry_j}}{2K_{rr}}; \quad \tilde{G}_{y_i y_j} = K_{y_i y_j} \frac{K_{ry_i} K_{ry_j}}{2K_{rr}}; \\
\tilde{G}_{v_p v_q} &= \frac{K_{rv_p} K_{rv_q}}{2K_{rr}}; \quad \tilde{G}_{v_p v_q} = K_{v_p v_q} + \frac{K_{rv_p} K_{rv_q}}{2K_{rr}}; \\
\tilde{B}_{y_i} &= \frac{K_{ry_i}}{2K_{rr}}; \quad \tilde{B}_{rv_p} = \frac{K_{rv_p}}{2}; \\
\tilde{B}_{y_i v_p} &= \frac{K_{ry_i} K_{rv_p}}{2K_{rr}}; \quad \tilde{B}_{y_i v_p} = K_{y_i v_p} + \frac{K_{ry_i} K_{rv_p}}{2K_{rr}};
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.7}$$

(The background fields  $\tilde{G}_{y_i y_j}$  etc. follow from complex conjugation.)

The dual dilaton field has the form

$$2^{\sim} = 2 \log 2K_{rr}; \tag{2.2.8}$$

We can now show that the duality above and the (supersymmetry preserving) Legendre transform amount to the same thing. With the help of the constraint eq. (2.2.3) we obtain the following explicit expressions for the dual background:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{K}_{rr} &= \frac{1}{K_{rr}}; \quad \tilde{K}_{y_i} = \frac{K_{ry_i}}{K_{rr}}; \quad \tilde{K}_{v_p} = \frac{K_{rv_p}}{K_{rr}}; \\
\tilde{K}_{y_i y_j} &= K_{y_i y_j} \frac{K_{ry_i} K_{ry_j}}{K_{rr}}; \quad \tilde{K}_{y_i v_p} = K_{y_i v_p} \frac{K_{ry_i} K_{rv_p}}{K_{rr}}; \\
\tilde{K}_{v_p v_q} &= K_{v_p v_q} \frac{K_{rv_p} K_{rv_q}}{K_{rr}};
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2.9}$$

Performing a coordinate transformation of the form  $z = K_r + i$  one can finally show that the dual background eq. (2.2.7) agrees with the expressions in eqs. (2.2.4, 2.2.5).

Consider briefly the special case of a four-dimensional background with one chiral field  $Z$  and one twisted chiral field  $V$  ( $m = n = 1$ ) and assume that there is a  $U(1)$  isometry with respect to  $Z$ , i.e.  $K = K(Z + Z; V; V)$ . Then the dual potential

$$K(+; V; V) = K(Z + Z; V; V) - (Z + Z)(+) \tag{2.2.10}$$

contains only twisted fields and is therefore a true Kahler potential leading to a Kahler metric which follows from eq. (2.2.4). The Ricci tensor is then determined to be (in complex notation,  $i = \epsilon; v$ )

$$R_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} \log \det G_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_{\bar{j}} \log \left( \frac{K_{v\bar{v}}}{K_{rr}} \right); \tag{2.2.11}$$

Thus the dual, four-dimensional Kahler space is Ricci-flat if  $K_{rr} / K_{v\bar{v}}$ .



In summary, the  $Z_2$  duality transformation can be expressed by a Legendre transformation in the superspace action which replaces a chiral superfield by a twisted chiral superfield. We will use this observation in the following chapters to generate  $N = 2$  supersymmetric backgrounds with torsion from torsion-free Kähler spaces. Moreover we will also demonstrate that backgrounds with torsion have as dual counterpart very interesting Kähler spaces with isometries which are Ricci-flat but non-compact.

### 3 Kähler Spaces without Torsion and their Dual

#### 3.1 Kählerian backgrounds

It is already well known that if the torsion vanishes and there is no dilaton field the condition that a model has  $N=2$  supersymmetry is that the target space is Kähler, [12]. If a non-trivial dilaton is present but no superpotential then there are no additional restrictions. It is interesting though that if a superpotential is present then there are non-trivial constraints to be satisfied. Such constraints have the interpretation that there exist appropriate screening charges (always required when a non-trivial dilaton is present) which preserve the  $N=2$  superconformal invariance. We will return to this in the Appendix since it is of direct relevance to generalizations of LG models with non-trivial dilaton.

For the time being we start with a Kähler manifold specified (locally) by its Kähler potential  $K(u_i; u_i)$  and a dilaton field  $\phi$ . The metric is given in terms of the Kähler potential by the standard formula

$$G_{ij} = G_{ij} = 0 \quad ; \quad G_{ij} = K_{u_i u_j} \tag{3.1.1}$$

It is obvious that the metric is invariant under the so called Kähler transformations of the potential

$$K(u_i; u_i) \rightarrow K(u_i; u_i) + f(u_i) + \bar{f}(\bar{u}_i) \tag{3.1.2}$$

Then the Ricci-tensor takes its well-known form

$$R_{u_i u_j} = -\partial_{u_i} \partial_{u_j} U \quad ; \quad R_{u_i u_j} = R_{u_i u_j} = 0 \tag{3.1.3}$$

with  $U = \log \det K_{u_i u_j} = \frac{1}{2} \log \det G$ .

The only condition for conformal invariance is  $\square G = 0$  which here implies

$$\square U = \frac{1}{2} \square U + f(u_i) + \bar{f}(\bar{u}_i); \tag{3.1.4}$$

and

$$r_{u_i} \partial_{u_j} = r_{u_i} \partial_{u_j} = 0: \tag{3.1.5}$$

where  $f$  is an arbitrary holomorphic function. In addition the central charge to one-loop is  $c = 3n + c$  where  $n$  is the complex dimension and

$$c = \frac{3}{2}e^2 \text{tr} e^2 = 3K^{u_i u_j} (4\partial_{u_i} \partial_{u_j} - 2\partial_{u_i} \partial_{u_j} ) : \quad (3.1.6)$$

is the one-loop correction. We have also included the contribution of the world-sheet fermions.

If one demands for enlarged  $N = 4$  world-sheet supersymmetry, this implies that the Kählerian space has to be hyper-Kähler. If the theory is also positive, then  $c = 0$  from CFT arguments. In such a case the Riemann tensor is self-dual and therefore the space is Ricci-flat. Ricci-flatness and  $c = 0$  implies constant dilaton. However, we note that the hyper-Kähler condition is not the only way to obtain  $c = 0$ ; in fact we will provide  $N = 4$  examples which are non-Ricci-flat and have non-constant dilaton field. These examples will be presented later.

Let us see how far we can go in solving the conditions for conformal invariance (3.1.4, 3.1.5). The holomorphic double derivative equations on the dilaton can be written (after raising one index) as

$$\partial_{u_i} (K^{u_j u_k} \partial_{u_k} ) = 0 \quad ; \quad K^{u_i u_j} K_{u_j u_k} = \delta^i_k \quad (3.1.7)$$

along with its complex conjugate. The general solution of (3.1.7) is

$$(u; u) = K_{u_i}{}^{u_i} (u) + \gamma(u) \quad (3.1.8)$$

$$(\bar{u}; \bar{u}) = K_{\bar{u}_i}{}^{\bar{u}_i} (u) + \bar{\gamma}(u) \quad (3.1.9)$$

where  $\delta^i ( \bar{i} )$  transforms as a vector under analytic (anti-analytic) transformations in order that  $(u; u)$  transform as a scalar. The two equations (3.1.8, 3.1.9) for  $(u; u)$  imply a compatibility condition for  $K$ .

Here we have to distinguish two sub-cases. First, if  $u_i = \bar{u}_i = 0$  then  $(u; u) = \gamma(u) = \text{constant}$  and we are reduced to the usual CY case where the Kähler manifold has to be Ricci-flat. We will return with many solutions to this case later on. If however  $u_i, \bar{u}_i$  are non-zero then we will show that the dilaton is always non-trivial and that there is a generic Killing symmetry in the Kähler metric as well as the dilaton. To do this we observe that by an analytic (anti-analytic) transformation of the coordinates we can always rotate  $u_i ( \bar{u}_i )$  into a single direction and make its value there equal to one. This has also the effect that it redefines the (otherwise) arbitrary holomorphic function  $f$  in (3.1.4). Thus, without loss of generality we can write the equivalent conditions

$$(u; u) = K_z + \gamma(z; y_i) = K_z + \bar{\gamma}(z; \bar{y}_i) \quad (3.1.10)$$

along with (3.1.4), where  $z, \bar{z}$  are the coordinates along the preferred directions and  $y^i, \bar{y}^i$  denote the rest of the coordinates.

It is already obvious from (3.1.10) that

$$\partial_z (u; u) = \partial_z \gamma(z; y_i) = \gamma'(z; y_i) \quad (3.1.11)$$

One can show that the compatibility of the equations (3.1.4) and (3.1.11) along with our freedom to perform Kähler transformations implies that

$$K = K(z + \bar{z}; y_i; \bar{y}_i) ; \quad \partial_z K = \partial_z K \quad (3.1.12)$$

and

$$= \frac{1}{2} U + \alpha (z + \bar{z}) \quad (3.1.13)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a real number. We can take (3.1.13) as the equation specifying the dilaton in terms of the metric and then (3.1.13) becomes a non-linear differential equation for the Kähler potential

$$\det[K_{u_i u_j}] = \exp[2\alpha(z + \bar{z}) + K_z + K_{\bar{z}}] \quad (3.1.14)$$

generalizing the CY condition. It should be kept in mind that this equation holds only in the special coordinate system defined above. In the same coordinate system, specified above, we can also compute the central charge deficit:

$$c = 12 : \quad (3.1.15)$$

Let us consider a special class of solutions which can be regarded as the generalization of the Euclidean two-dimensional black-hole backgrounds found in [14]. Specifically, assume that the model has a  $U(n)$  isometry, i.e.

$$K = K(x); \quad \partial_x K = \alpha(x) \quad x = \sum_{i=1}^n j u_i \bar{u}_i : \quad (3.1.16)$$

The general form of the metric is then

$$K_{u_i u_j} = K^0_{ij} + K^{\omega} u_i u_j ; \quad K^0 = \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} : \quad (3.1.17)$$

and

$$K^{u_i u_j} = \frac{1}{K^0} \delta_{ij} + \frac{K^{\omega}}{K^0 + \alpha K^{\omega}} u_i u_j \quad (3.1.18)$$

For  $n > 1$ , the linear term in the dilaton, eq. (3.1.13), is not allowed by the  $U(n)$  isometry and the dilaton field becomes

$$= \frac{1}{2} U = \frac{1}{2} \log[(K^0)^{n-1} (K^0 + \alpha K^{\omega})] : \quad (3.1.19)$$

Let us define the following function:

$$Y(x) = \alpha K^0(x) : \quad (3.1.20)$$

Now we have to insert the ansatz eqs. (3.1.17, 3.1.19) into the field equation (3.1.5), to obtain

$$\alpha + \frac{2K^{\omega} + \alpha K^{\omega}}{K^0 + \alpha K^{\omega}} = 0 \quad (3.1.21)$$

which can be integrated twice to obtain

$$= \frac{n}{2k} x K^0 + C \quad (3.1.22)$$

where  $k, C$  are real constants. Together (3.1.19) and (3.1.22) imply

$$Y^{n-1} e^{\frac{nY}{k}} Y^0 = x^{n-1} e^{2C} \quad (3.1.23)$$

The general solution of this equation takes the following form :

$$e^{\frac{nY}{k}} x^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x^{-1})^m}{m!} \frac{nY^m}{k} = A + B x^n : \quad (3.1.24)$$

Here  $A, B, k$  are arbitrary real constants.

From (3.1.24) we immediately obtain

$$Y^0 = kB (n-1)! (x^{-1})^{n-1} e^{\frac{nY}{k}} \frac{nY^{1-n}}{k} x^{n-1} : \quad (3.1.25)$$

Then the dilaton, eq. (3.1.19), can be also expressed entirely of  $Y$  as

$$= \frac{1}{2} U = \frac{n}{2k} Y + \text{const} : \quad (3.1.26)$$

The Ricci tensor becomes

$$R_{u_i u_j} = \partial_{u_i} \partial_{u_j} U = Y^0 \delta_{ij} + Y^0 u_i u_j : \quad (3.1.27)$$

The scalar curvature can be computed to be

$$R = 2(n-1) Y^0 = 2(n-1) f_n(Y) : \quad (3.1.28)$$

with

$$f_n(Y) = k \frac{nY^{1-n}}{k} x^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x^{-1})^m}{m!} \frac{nY^m}{k} A e^{\frac{nY}{k}} (x^{-1})^{n-1} (n-1)! \quad (3.1.29)$$

Finally, the one-loop corrected central charge of the supersymmetric theory is

$$c = 3n + 6 \frac{n^2}{k} : \quad (3.1.30)$$

Making a coordinate change

$$u_i = \frac{P}{x} e^{i\vec{z}} z^i ; z_i = \frac{Y^i}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N Y^i Y^i} ; z^N = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^N Y^i Y^i} \quad (3.1.31)$$

and introducing the real coordinate  $Y$  defined in (3.1.20), the metric becomes.

$$ds^2 = \frac{(dY)^2}{4f_n(Y)} + \frac{f_n(Y)}{4} d \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N dy^i \right]^2 + Y g_{ij} dy^i dy^j \quad (3.1.32)$$

with

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \log(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} Y^i Y^i) ; g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \delta_j \log(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} Y^i Y^i) \quad (3.1.33)$$

$g_{ij}$  is the invariant Fubini-Study metric of the coset  $\frac{SU(N)}{SU(N-1) \times U(1)} \subset CP^n$ . The angular variable has period  $4\pi$  as evident from its definition.

The explicit form of the scalar curvature, eq. (3.1.28), allows us to discuss the asymptotic behavior and the singularity structure of our class of solutions. First we recognize that the  $2n$ -dimensional Kähler space has zero scalar curvature for  $Y \neq 1$ . Moreover, there is another (conical) singularity at  $Y = 0$  for  $n > 1$  if  $A \neq 1$ . On the other hand, if  $A = 1$ , the manifold is regular.

Let us study first the simplest, already well-known case, namely the two-dimensional backgrounds. First assume that the dilaton field is of the form  $\phi = \frac{1}{2}U$ . Then we obtain from eq. (3.1.24) that

$$Y = 2k - k \log(A + Bx) : \quad (3.1.34)$$

The corresponding Kähler potential is obtained by integrating  $Y=x$ , and it is given by the dilogarithm like

$$K(x) = k \int_1^x \frac{B \times d}{1} \log(A + \dots) : \quad (3.1.35)$$

The backgrounds are singular for  $x = -A/B$  ( $A \neq 0$ ). In fact, inequivalent background metrics are just characterized by the sign of  $A$  and  $B$  and we distinguish the following four cases ( $K_{uu} = Y^0$ ):

$$(i) \quad A = 0 \text{ and } B = 1 \quad K_{uu} = \frac{k}{uu} : \quad (3.1.36)$$

After a change of coordinates,  $u = e^z$ , the metric becomes flat,  $ds^2 = k dzdz$ , together with a linear dilaton of the form  $\phi(z) = (z + \bar{z})$ .

$$(ii) \quad B > 0; \quad A < 0 \quad K_{uu} = \frac{k}{(uu - 1)} ; \quad (3.1.37)$$

$$(iii) \quad B < 0; \quad A > 0 \quad K_{uu} = \frac{k}{(1 - uu)}$$

The background (ii) corresponds to the exact conformal field theory based on the gauged WZW model with coset  $SL(2;R)/U(1)_{\text{vector}}$ . This is the so called Euclidean black hole with the form of a trumpet. Here the central charge deficit is positive:  $c = 6=k$ . For case (iii), the corresponding conformal field theory belongs to the gauged WZW model with coset  $SU(2)/U(1)$ , where the background space is now compact with positivity domain  $0 < x < 1$ . Now the central charge deficit is negative:  $c = -6=k$ . Performing an analytic continuation from the Euclidean to Minkowski space-time, one deals with the two-dimensional black-hole for (ii), but with a cosmological scenario for (iii).

Finally

$$(iv) \quad A > 0; \quad B > 0 \quad K_{uu} = \frac{1}{C(uu + 1)} : \quad (3.1.38)$$

Now, the non-compact background space with cigar shape corresponds to the exact conformal field theory that is described by a coset  $SU(2;R)/U(1)_{\text{axial}}$ . The central charge deficit is positive:  $c = 6 = k$ . After analytic continuation the background has again a black-hole interpretation.

As already mentioned, in two dimensions the dilaton field may have a linear contribution and is in general given as (cf. eq. (3.1.13)):

$$= \frac{1}{2}U + q \log x: \quad (3.1.39)$$

On the other hand eq. (3.1.10) requires that  $\partial_u Y (K_{uu} = Y \text{ with } x = uu = e^{z+\bar{z}})$ , and with eq. (3.1.39) we obtain the following differential equation

$$Y = \log Y^0 + 2q \log x: \quad (3.1.40)$$

For  $q \neq \frac{1}{2}$  the solution to (3.1.40) has the form  $Y = \log(x^{1-2q} + c) - \log(1-2q)$ . This just corresponds to the already found solutions (i) - (iv). On the other hand, for  $q = \frac{1}{2}$  a new solution is found:

$$Y = \log \log x: \quad (3.1.41)$$

The corresponding background metric then looks like

$$(v) \quad K_{zz} = \frac{k}{(z+\bar{z})^2}; \quad : \quad (3.1.42)$$

As we will show later, this solution is just the dual of the flat background with constant dilaton field, [4]. Note that cases (i) - (v) exhaust all possible solutions in two dimensions (except the trivial one, namely flat space with constant dilaton).

Now let us switch to the four-dimensional backgrounds. Obviously, four-dimensional backgrounds with  $N = 2$  supersymmetry are obtained by building all possible tensor products [11] of the two-dimensional cases listed just before. This gives 21 possibilities where, however, several cases are equivalent since they are the dual of each other. In addition it is interesting to note that if one couples the cosmological space (ii), based on the coset  $\frac{SU(2)}{U(1)}$  with level  $k_1$ , to any other two-dimensional solution with level  $k_2$ , then the resulting central charge defect vanishes, i.e.  $c = 0$  provided if  $k_1 = k_2$ . (In the corresponding coset conformal field theories, the level must be related like  $k_2 = k_1 = 4$  for  $SU(2)/U(1)_{k_1} \times SU(2;R)/U(1)_{k_2}$ .) In fact these types of product spaces lead to an enhanced  $N = 4$  world-sheet supersymmetry. The corresponding  $N = 4$  superconformal algebras were explicitly constructed in ref. [11].

Let us now consider four-dimensional backgrounds which are not direct products of two-dimensional spaces. Specially we consider solutions of the form eq. (3.1.24) with  $n = 2$ . One has to emphasize that so far it is not known to us which exact superconformal field theory might correspond to this type of backgrounds. Eq. (3.1.24) reads

$$e^{\frac{2Y}{k}} - 1 - \frac{2}{k}Y = A + Bx^2: \quad (3.1.43)$$

The solution of this equation can be expressed in terms of the (inverse) incomplete  $\beta$ -function  $W(x)$ :

$$Y(x^2) = \frac{k}{2} W\left(\frac{A + Bx^2}{e}\right) + 1: \quad (3.1.44)$$

In order to discuss the properties of the obtained four-dimensional Kähler spaces for generic choices of the parameters  $A$  and  $B$ , it is convenient to switch to real coordinates, one of them being  $Y$ . The metric then reads:

$$ds^2 = \frac{(dY)^2}{4f_2(Y)} + \frac{f_2(Y)}{4} (d\theta + \cos\theta d\varphi)^2 + \frac{Y}{4} (d\psi)^2 + \sin^2\theta (d\chi)^2; \quad (3.1.45)$$

$$f_2(Y) = xY^0 = \frac{k^2}{2Y} A e^{\frac{2Y}{k}} + \frac{2}{k} Y - 1:$$

The scalar curvature is

$$R = 4 \left( 1 + \frac{k^2}{4} \frac{1 - \frac{2Y}{k} A e^{\frac{2Y}{k}}}{Y} \right): \quad (3.1.46)$$

In these coordinates the  $SU(2) \times U(1)$  Killing symmetry of the metric is also manifest. The  $SU(2)$  symmetry acts on the coordinates  $\theta, \varphi$ ; and translates also  $\chi$ ,

$$\delta\theta = \alpha; \quad \delta\varphi = \beta; \quad \delta\chi = 0 \quad (3.1.47)$$

$$\delta\psi = \frac{\alpha}{2} \cot\theta e^{i\varphi}; \quad \delta\chi = \frac{\beta}{2} e^{i\varphi}; \quad \delta\psi = \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{e^{i\varphi}}{\sin\theta} \quad (3.1.48)$$

The  $U(1)$  acts as translations on  $\psi$  only. The metric (3.1.45) in the  $(\theta; \varphi; \chi)$  subspaces is a deformation of the fibration of  $S^3$  over  $S^2$  (whose line element is manifest in (3.1.45)) with fiber line element  $d\psi + \cos\theta d\chi$ .

Since this string solution has two abelian Killing symmetries we can use  $O(2,2, \mathbb{R})$  transformations to generate a family of solutions. However, a priori  $O(2,2, \mathbb{R})$  transformations may break the  $N=2$  superconformal invariance. Identifying in general such an  $N=2$  subgroup is a difficult problem and we will not attempt it here. We will indicate however a subgroup that always preserves  $N=2$ . This is generated by the abelian duality transformations described in section 2.2 and constant antisymmetric tensor shifts (in general gauge transformations of  $B$  that leave  $H$  invariant, i.e.  $B \rightarrow B + \partial \otimes \otimes$ ). Abelian duality transformations preserve  $N=2$  invariance. Constant  $B$  shifts (or in general gauge transformations of  $B$ ) also preserve  $N=2$  supersymmetry since the extra terms in the action are total derivatives (although they change the spectrum in general).

$B$  gauge transformations are generated (in the case where  $H \neq 0$ ) by the quasi-Kähler transformations (2.1.3). These can be intertwined with the  $U(1)$  duality transformations to generate non-trivial orbits of solutions since  $B$ -gauge transformations and  $U(1)$  duality do not commute.

Not all such  $B$ -shifts can be done in an  $N=2$  invariant language, (for example a constant  $B$ -shift in the Kähler case). It is an interesting problem to describe all duality

transformations which contain B gauge transformations in a manifestly N = 2 invariant fashion.

Thus, the generic duality group (subgroup of O(d,d)) which preserves N = 2 is generated by the Z<sub>2</sub> duality transformations D<sub>i</sub> and constant B-shifts (in Killing coordinates). The D<sub>i</sub> act as the O(d,d) matrices

$$D_i = \begin{pmatrix} e_i & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & e_i & \\ & & & \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.1.49)$$

where e<sub>i</sub> is a d × d diagonal matrix with all elements zero along the diagonal except the i-th one which is equal to one. The transformations D<sub>i</sub> satisfy

$$D_i^2 = 1 \quad D_i D_j = D_j D_i \quad (3.1.50)$$

B-shifts constitute an additive d(d-1)/2-dimensional abelian group which acts as

$$D_B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & B \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.1.51)$$

where B is a d × d antisymmetric matrix.

In the case of our solution, we can obtain a 1-parameter family of deformations. Here we will take the O(2,2) matrix to have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.1.52)$$

where  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = D_1 D_2$ . This will miss some discrete transformations which will be dealt with in the next subsection. The metric is given by

$$4ds^2 = \frac{(dY)^2}{f_2(Y)} + Y (d\theta)^2 + \frac{f_2(Y) (d\phi + \cos\theta d\psi)^2 + Y \sin^2\theta (d\chi)^2}{1 + 2Y f_2(Y) \sin^2\theta} \quad (3.1.53)$$

where  $\theta$  is a real number and f<sub>2</sub>(Y) was defined in (3.1.45). At  $\theta = 0$  we obtain our original solution, (3.1.45). Now B is non-zero:

$$B = \frac{Y f_2(Y) \sin^2\theta}{1 + 2Y f_2(Y) \sin^2\theta} \quad (3.1.54)$$

Finally the dilaton is given by

$$= \frac{1}{2} \log[e^{\frac{2Y}{k}} (1 + 2Y f_2(Y) \sin^2\theta)] \quad (3.1.55)$$

The family of manifolds described by (3.1.53) is asymptotically flat as Y → ∞ (except when  $\theta = 0$ ) and regular when f<sub>2</sub>(Y) and Y f<sub>2</sub>(Y) are positive.



We will analyse the structure of the Euclidean manifold as a function of  $A$  and  $B$ . We need some asymptotics of the function  $f_2(Y)$ :

$$f_2(1) = 2; f_2(1) = \text{Sign}[A] - 1 \quad (3.1.56)$$

$$f_2(0^+) = f_2(0^-) = \text{Sign}[A - 1] - 1 \quad (3.1.57)$$

Since  $x^2$  must be positive, we are dealing with the following cases:

1)  $A > 1; B < 0$ . There are two manifolds, the first with  $Y = 0; x^2 > (1 - A) = B$  with signature  $(4,0)$  and a curvature singularity at  $Y = 0$  and the second with  $Y = 0; (1 - A) = B - x^2 = A = B$  with signature  $(0,4)$  and curvature singularities at  $Y = 0; 1$ .

2)  $A = 1; B < 0$ . There is a regular Euclidean  $(4,0)$  manifold for  $Y > 0; x^2 > 0$  and a singular (at  $Y = 1$ ) Euclidean  $(0,4)$  manifold for  $Y < 0; 0 - x^2 = 1 = B$ .

3)  $0 < A < 1$ . In this case  $f_2(Y)$  has a positive and a negative zero which we will denote by  $Y_+$ :  $f_2(Y) = 0$ . For  $B < 0$  there is again a regular (finite curvature) Euclidean manifold for  $Y > Y_+$  with signature  $(4,0)$  and another with  $Y < Y_+$  with signature  $(0,4)$  and a curvature singularity at  $Y = 1$ . For  $Y < Y_+ < Y_+$  and  $B > 0$  there is another singular manifold with signature  $(2,2)$ .

4)  $A = 0$ . In this case  $f_2$  has a single positive zero,  $Y_+$ . For  $B < 0$  and  $Y > Y_+$  we have a regular manifold with signature  $(4,0)$ . For  $B > 0$  and  $Y < Y_+$  there is a singular manifold with signature  $(2,2)$ .

### 3.2 Dual spaces

Having found explicit Kahlerian backgrounds which solve the string equations of motion we can now construct their dual spaces replacing one chiral field by a twisted chiral field. Then the dual space will in general contain non-trivial torsion and the metric is no longer Kahlerian. For simplicity we assume again that  $K = K(x), x = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i^2}$ . We will perform the duality transformation with respect to the overall  $U(1)$  isometry. Thus we define a change of coordinates like follows  $x = u_1 u_1 (1 + \sum_{i=1}^N y_i y_i) = u_1 u_1 (1 + x^0)$ , and the dual potential has the form

$$\tilde{K} = K(x) - ( + ) \log \frac{x}{1 + x^0} \quad (3.2.1)$$

From this eq. (2.2.3) becomes

$$Y = + ; \quad (3.2.2)$$

and the dual metric is then determined by

$$\tilde{K} = \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial} ; \quad \tilde{K}_{y_i y_j} = ( + ) \frac{ij}{1 + x^0} - \frac{Y_j Y_i}{(1 + x^0)^2} \quad (3.2.3)$$

We recognize the 'transverse' metric  $\tilde{K}_{y_i y_j}$  is exactly the Kahlerian metric of the complex sphere  $SU(n) = (SU(n-1) \times U(1))$  with 'radius'  $+$ . The antisymmetric tensor simply becomes

$$\tilde{K}_{y_i} = \frac{Y_i}{1 + x^0} \quad (3.2.4)$$

The simplest example of this type is provided by the dual of flat Euclidean space and constant dilaton. Here  $K(x) = x$  and therefore  $K' = \frac{1}{x}$ . Thus the dual of flat space is singular at  $x = 0$ . Performing a change of coordinates like  $t = \frac{t^2}{4} + \frac{x^2}{2}$  the metric has the form

$$ds^2 = dt^2 + \frac{1}{t^2} dx^2 + t^2 \frac{ij}{1+x^0} \frac{Y_j Y_i}{(1+x^0)^2} dy_i dy_j; \quad (3.2.5)$$

The dual dilaton field has the form :

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{1}{2} \log(t + \frac{x^2}{2}) = \log t + \text{constant}; \quad (3.2.6)$$

Thus, in two dimensions we have just found our previous solution (iv), eq. (3.1.42). It is interesting to note that the Einstein metric in 4-d corresponding to (3.2.5, 3.2.6) is flat. As we will see later, this solution is in a class of  $N = 4$  solutions which are axionic-dilatonic instantons.

Now consider the non-trivial Kahler spaces with metrics determined by eq. (3.1.24). Using eq. (3.2.3), the dual metric becomes ( $Y = \frac{z}{A} + \bar{z}$ )

$$K' = \frac{1}{f_n(Y)}; \quad (3.2.7)$$

For  $n = 1$ , the dual metric looks like

$$ds^2 = \frac{k}{(zz - A)} dz d\bar{z}; \quad (z = e^{-k}); \quad (3.2.8)$$

Comparing with eqs. (3.1.37, 3.1.38), it is evident that the duality transformation maps the Euclidean black-holes,  $k > 0$ , with cigar and trumpet forms, respectively, onto each other, [19, 17, 20], whereas the cosmological solution,  $k < 0$ , is self-dual.

For the four-dimensional case with  $n = 2$ , the dual metric is given as

$$ds^2 = \frac{dY d\bar{Y}}{f_2(Y)} + \frac{Y}{(1+Y\bar{Y})^2} dy dy; \quad (3.2.9)$$

whereas the dual dilaton and antisymmetric tensor field look like

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{1}{2} \log[e^{\frac{2Y}{k}} f_2(Y)]; \quad B_{\bar{Y}Y} = \frac{2Y}{1+Y\bar{Y}}; \quad (3.2.10)$$

The dual scalar curvature becomes

$$R' = \frac{4Y^2 (f_2 f_2^{(0)} - f_2^{(0)}) + 2f_2^2 + 8Y f_2}{Y^2 f_2} \quad (3.2.11)$$

Thus, for the dual space,  $R' = 0$  for  $Y \neq 1$ , and there are curvature singularities at  $Y = 1; 0$  and, for generic values of  $A$  at the zeros of  $f_2(Y)$ .

This solution also can be boosted with the  $N = 2$  preserving  $O(2, 2, \mathbb{R})$  transformations mentioned in the previous section.

It is illustrative to consider also the dual of a four-dimensional space which is a direct product of two two-dimensional subspaces. Specifically take the tensor product of two-dimensional space with the cosmological solution (iii). The starting Kähler potential has the form

$$K^{\text{total}} = K(x) + \frac{1}{2}(u_2 + u_2)^2: \quad (3.2.12)$$

Here  $Y(x) = xK^0 = \log(1-x)$ . We performed a change of coordinates like  $u_2 = \log u_1 + \log y$ , and the dual potential is obtained as

$$K^{\text{total}} = K(x) + \frac{1}{2}(\log x - \log yy)^2 - (\log x): \quad (3.2.13)$$

Then, after the standard algebra the dual metric is found as ( $z = e^{-x}$ ):

$$ds^2 = \frac{dzdz + dydy}{zz + yy}: \quad (3.2.14)$$

It is not difficult to show that this is exactly the metric of the so called semi worm hole space [10, 5, 11]. (The inverse duality was first discovered in [25].) It corresponds to the exact conformal field theory, based on the WZW model  $SU(2) \times U(1)$ . So after a suitable projection in the Hilbert space, the conformal field theory, based on  $SU(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)^2$  becomes equivalent to  $SU(2) \times U(1)$  conformal field theory [11]. It is not difficult to show that the torsion which arises after the duality transformation is just given by the structure constants of  $SU(2)$ .

## 4 Non-Kählerian Spaces with Torsion

### 4.1 Four-dimensional non-Kählerian spaces

To start with non-vanishing antisymmetric tensor fields we restrict ourselves to the simplest case, namely to four-dimensional target-spaces, i.e.  $m = n = 1$ . Then, defining  $U = \log K_{uu}$  and  $V = \log K_{vv}$ , the Ricci-tensor becomes

$$\begin{aligned} R_{uu} &= \partial_u \partial_u V - \frac{1}{2} \partial_u V \partial_u V + \partial_u V \partial_u U; \\ R_{uu} &= \partial_u \partial_u U + \frac{1}{2} \partial_u V \partial_u V; \\ R_{uv} &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_u \partial_v (U + V) - \partial_u V \partial_v U); \\ R_{uv} &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_u \partial_v (U + V) - \partial_u V \partial_v U): \end{aligned} \quad (4.1.1)$$

(The remaining components of the Ricci-tensor follow from symmetry arguments and complex conjugation.) Analogously, the tensor  $F = H \bar{H} G \bar{G}$  has the form

$$\begin{aligned} F_{uu} &= 2\partial_u V \partial_u V; \\ F_{uu} &= 2\partial_u V \partial_u V - 4e^{U-V} \partial_v U \partial_v U; \\ F_{uv} &= 2\partial_u V \partial_v U; \\ F_{uv} &= 2\partial_u V \partial_v U. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.2}$$

Then the metric  $g$ -functions is computed to be

$$\begin{aligned} G_{uu} &= \partial_u^2 (V - 2) + \partial_u U \partial_u (V - 2); \\ G_{uu} &= \partial_u \partial_u (U - 2) + e^{U-V} \partial_v U \partial_v (U - 2); \\ G_{uv} &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_u \partial_v (U + V - 4) - \partial_u \partial_v U - \partial_v \partial_u V + \partial_u V \partial_v U; \\ G_{uv} &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_u \partial_v (U + V - 4) - \partial_u \partial_v U - \partial_v \partial_u V + \partial_u V \partial_v U. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.3}$$

For  $B$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} B_{uu} &= \partial_u \partial_u V - \partial_u V \partial_u; \\ B_{uv} &= \partial_u \partial_v (U - V) - 2(\partial_u \partial_v U - \partial_v \partial_u V); \\ B_{uv} &= \partial_u \partial_v (U - V) - 2(\partial_u \partial_v U - \partial_v \partial_u V). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.4}$$

Finally the central charge density becomes

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \frac{3}{2} e^U (8\partial_u \partial_u - 2\partial_u \partial_u U - 4\partial_u V \partial_u) \\ &\quad e^V (8\partial_v \partial_v - 2\partial_v \partial_v V - 4\partial_v U \partial_v) g. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.5}$$

Let us now try to solve the  $g$ -function equations (4.1.3) and (4.1.4). First, the vanishing of  $G_{uu}$ ,  $G_{vv}$ ,  $G_{uv}$  and  $B_{uv}$  implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_u V &= 2\partial_u + C_1(u;v)e^U; \\ \partial_v U &= 2\partial_v + C_2(u;v)e^V. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.6}$$

Analogous equations hold for the derivatives with respect to  $u$  and  $v$ . Using  $G_{uv} = B_{uv} = 0$  it follows that  $\partial_u C_2 = \partial_v C_1 = 0$ . Thus eqs. (4.1.6) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_u V &= 2\partial_u + C_1(u)e^U; \\ \partial_v U &= 2\partial_v + C_2(v)e^V. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1.7}$$

In general, in order to proceed we discuss separately the following exclusive cases: (i)  $C_1 = C_2 = 0$ ; (ii)  $C_1 = 0, C_2 \neq 0$ ; (iii)  $C_1, C_2 \neq 0$ . Case (i) implies

$$U - 2 = \text{constant}; \quad V - 2 = \text{constant}; \tag{4.1.8}$$

Thus  $U = V = \text{constant}$ . Indeed, case (i) leads to  $c = 0$  and corresponds to a  $N = 4$  supersymmetric background. We will extensively discuss this case at the end of this chapter.

For the case (ii) it is very useful to perform the following change of coordinates:

$$w = \frac{z}{C_2(v)}; \quad (4.1.9)$$

Then the condition  $\frac{B}{v^2} = 0$  implies  $\partial_u = (u; u; w + w)$ . In addition, eqs. (4.1.7) tell us that  $V = V(u; u; w + w)$  and  $U = U(u; u; w + w)$ . Thus this case necessarily leads to at least one  $U(1)$  Killing symmetry. In summary, for case (ii) the background is determined by the following differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_u(V^2) &= \partial_u(V^2) = 0; \\ \partial_w(U^2) &= e^V; \\ \partial_w^2(V^2) &= 0; \\ \partial_u \partial_u(U^2) &= \partial_w e^U; \end{aligned} \quad (4.1.10)$$

The last two equations follow from the vanishing of  $\frac{G}{u^2}$  and  $\frac{G}{v^2}$ . These equations can be solved by

$$V^2 = c_1(w + w) + c_2; \quad (4.1.11)$$

where this equation defines the dilaton. The potential  $K$  has to satisfy

$$K_{ww} = K_{uu} e^{K_w + c_1(w + w) + c_2}; \quad (4.1.12)$$

where the central charge deficit  $c$  is proportional to the constant  $q$  appearing in (4.1.12).

Switching now to case (iii), we change, in addition to (4.1.9), the coordinate  $u$  as follows

$$z = \frac{du}{C_1(u)}; \quad (4.1.13)$$

Furthermore it is very useful to reexpress the metric components in the new coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U} &= \log(\partial_z \partial_z K) = U + \log(C_1 C_1) = \log(C_1 C_1 K_{uu}); \\ \tilde{V} &= \log(\partial_w \partial_w K) = V + \log(C_2 C_2) = \log(C_2 C_2 K_{vv}); \end{aligned} \quad (4.1.14)$$

By the same arguments as before we now conclude that the theory necessarily possesses at least two commuting  $U(1)$  isometries, i.e.  $\partial_z = (z + z; w + w)$ ,  $\tilde{U} = \tilde{U}(z + z; w + w)$  and  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}(z + z; w + w)$ . It follows that the potential  $K$  is, up to Kahler transformations, also a function of only  $z + z$  and  $w + w$ ,  $K = K(z + z; w + w)$ . The differential equations

for the background functions now take the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_z(\nabla^2) &= e^U; \\
\partial_w(\nabla^2) &= e^V; \\
\partial_z^2(\nabla^2) &= \partial_w e^U; \\
\partial_w^2(\nabla^2) &= \partial_z e^V;
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1.15}$$

The last two equations, which correspond to  $G_{uu} = 0$  and  $G_{vv} = 0$ , can be combined and one finds after some algebra

$$\partial_w(\nabla^2) - \partial_z(\nabla^2) = \text{constant}; \tag{4.1.16}$$

Let us eliminate the dilaton field from the above equations in order to obtain the constraints that the string field equations impose on the geometry, i.e. on  $K$ . Specifically differentiate the first two equations in (4.1.15) with respect to  $w, z$  respectively. Then we obtain

$$\partial_w(\partial_z \nabla^2 e^U) = \partial_z(\partial_w \nabla^2 e^V); \tag{4.1.17}$$

This condition is solved by  $\nabla^2 = K_z - K_w + f(z+w) + g(w-w)$ . Substituting this expression into (4.1.16) we obtain that  $f$  and  $g$  are linear. Thus the background geometry has to obey the following constraint:

$$K_{ww} e^{K_w + c_2(w+w)} = K_{zz} e^{K_z + c_1(z+z)}; \tag{4.1.18}$$

( $c_1; c_2$  are arbitrary constants.) Now we can also compute the dilaton field:

$$2 = \log K_{zz} - K_w - c_1(z+z) + \text{constant}; \tag{4.1.19}$$

Finally, the central charge deficit, eq. (4.1.5), becomes

$$c = 3^0(c_1 - c_2); \tag{4.1.20}$$

So far we have considered the conditions on the backgrounds which are imposed by  $N = 2$  supersymmetry. For a background to lead to enhanced  $N = 4$  supersymmetry one expects that non-renormalization theorems [32] are valid. These imply that  $c = 0$ . When the dilaton is zero the condition for  $N=4$  supersymmetry was derived in [13] and it states that the quasi-Kähler potential has to satisfy the flat Laplace equation. This is precisely case (i), eq. (4.1.8), after a trivial rescaling of the coordinates:

$$K_{uu} = -K_{vv}; \tag{4.1.21}$$

Thus  $K$  has to satisfy the four-dimensional Laplace equation

$$(\partial_u \partial_u + \partial_v \partial_v)K = 0; \tag{4.1.22}$$

This is the generalization of the hyper-Kähler condition for spaces with antisymmetric tensor field.<sup>y</sup>

The dilaton field is simply given as

$$2 = \log K_{uu} + \text{constant} \quad (4.1.23)$$

This has the important consequence that the four-dimensional metric in the Einstein frame is flat:

$$G^{\text{Einstein}} = e^{-2} G = \eta \quad (4.1.24)$$

Therefore, in the Einstein frame, the nontrivial backgrounds with  $N=4$  symmetry are entirely given by non-trivial dilaton and axion field configurations. In fact, the solutions of the dilaton equation (4.1.23) have a very close relation to the axionic instantons of [16]. Specially from eq. (4.1.23) we get for example that  $H_{uv} = K_{uv} = 2e^2 \partial_v$ . Thus, equation (4.1.23) implies the following relation:

$$d = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2} H \quad (4.1.25)$$

This relation is nothing else than the self-duality condition on the dilaton-axion field. Its solutions are called axionic instantons. However note that an instanton configuration which provides a solution of eq. (4.1.25) does not necessarily solves the Laplace equation (4.1.22). Therefore not any axionic instanton solution is expected to be  $N=4$  supersymmetric.

The form of the solutions of the Laplace equation depends on the number of isometries of the theory. For the case with two translational  $U(1)$  Killing symmetries, i.e.  $K = K(u+u; v+v)$  the most general solution of (4.1.22) looks like

$$K = iT(u+u + i(v+v)) - iT(u+u - i(v+v)) \quad (4.1.26)$$

For the case with one translational isometry the general solution becomes

$$K(u+u; v; v) = i \int^z dT(\cdot; v + (u+u) \cdot^2 v) + \text{c.c.} \quad (4.1.27)$$

where in both (4.1.26), (4.1.27)  $T$  is an otherwise arbitrary function.

## 4.2 Dual, Kählerian space which are Ricci flat

Let us now construct the dual spaces for the solutions of the Laplace equation with one or two isometries, (4.1.26, 4.1.27). We will perform a duality transformation on the chiral  $U$ -field replacing it by a twisted chiral field. The Legendre transformed potential  $K$  will only contain twisted fields and will be therefore a true Kähler function leading to a non-compact Kähler space without torsion.

---

<sup>y</sup>However eq. (4.1.21) is presumably not necessary (but sufficient) for  $N=4$  supersymmetry in the presence of non-trivial dilaton field.

Doing the Legendre transform we obtain the following line element

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{K_{uu}} (dz - K_{uv}dv)(dz - K_{uv}dv) - K_{vv}dv dv \quad (4.2.1)$$

where  $K(u+u;v;v)$  is the original quasi-Kähler potential that satisfies the Laplace equation  $K_{uu} + K_{vv} = 0$  and  $z; \bar{z}$  are the dual coordinates defined via the Legendre transform  $z + \bar{z} = K_u$ . The coordinates  $v; \bar{v}; z; \bar{z}$  are now the Kähler coordinates. The Laplace equation implies that the determinant of the Kähler metric (4.2.1) is constant so we obtain a Ricci flat Kähler manifold. The dual dilaton is consequently constant.

The general solution to the 4-d Laplace equation with one isometry can be written as in (4.1.27). Let us introduce the notation

$$\langle T \rangle = \int^Z dT(\bar{v} + (u+u) - 2v): \quad (4.2.2)$$

and the function

$$Z(u+u;v;v) = K_u = i \langle (T_v - \bar{T}_v) \rangle \quad (4.2.3)$$

and we should remember that  $z + \bar{z} = Z(u+u;v;v)$ . Then the line element (4.2.1) can be written in the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{G} (dz - A_v dv)(dz - A_v dv) + G dv dv \quad (4.2.4)$$

where,

$$G = \frac{\partial Z}{\partial u}; \quad A_v = \frac{\partial Z}{\partial v}; \quad \bar{A}_v = \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \bar{v}} \quad (4.2.5)$$

The interpretation of the metric (4.2.4) is as follows: The  $G dv dv$  part describes the metric of a 2-d Riemann surface (generically non-compact). The metric depends also on  $z + \bar{z}$ . For fixed  $z + \bar{z}$ ,  $A_v; \bar{A}_v$  describe a flat line bundle on the Riemann surface. The metric (4.2.4) is that of a flat complex line bundle on the Riemann surface. The functions  $G, A_v; \bar{A}_v$  are harmonic.

The metric (4.2.4) describes a large class of 4-d non-compact Calabi-Yau manifolds, which are also hyper-Kähler. The associated models have  $N=4$  superconformal symmetry and  $c = 6$  ( $\epsilon = 2$ ). The manifolds have generically asymptotically flat regions as well as curvature singularities.

Let us briefly display a simple example choosing

$$T = i \int^Z e^{u+u - \frac{v}{v}}: \quad (4.2.6)$$

Then the potential becomes

$$K = e^{u+u} (v;v); \quad (v;v) = \int^Z d \left( \frac{v}{v} \right) [e^{-\frac{v}{v}} + e^{\frac{v}{v}}]: \quad (4.2.7)$$

In turn, the dual space is determined by the following Kähler potential:

$$K^* = (z + \bar{z}) \log(\bar{v} + v) - (\bar{v} + v) \log(v;v): \quad (4.2.8)$$



The integral in (4.2.7) can be explicitly performed if we choose  $(\tau, \sigma) = e^{-\frac{A}{v}} \tau_1$ :

$$(v;v) = \text{constant} \frac{v^s}{A} K_0 \left( \frac{v}{A} \right) + h.c. \quad (4.2.9)$$

Here  $K_0$  is the Bessel function with complex argument.

Let us study now the (more symmetric) special case of (4.2.4) with two isometries, i.e.  $K(u + u; v + v)$ . If we parameterize,  $u = r_1 + i\tau$ ,  $v = r_2 + i\sigma$  then  $K$  is of the form  $K(r_1; r_2) = iT(r_1 + ir_2) - iT(r_1 - ir_2)$ . Introducing a new complex coordinate  $z = r_1 + ir_2$ , we can write the metric (4.2.4) in the following suggestive form

$$ds^2 = \frac{\text{Im } T}{2} dz d\bar{z} + \frac{2}{\text{Im } T} (d\tau + T d\sigma)(d\tau + T d\sigma) \quad (4.2.10)$$

where  $T(z)$  is an arbitrary meromorphic function. It is crucial to note that the metric (4.2.10) is not written in Kähler coordinates. Such coordinates are  $v; \bar{v}$  and  $w; \bar{w}$  with  $w + \bar{w} = iT^0(r_1 + ir_2) - iT^0(r_1 - ir_2)$  and  $w - \bar{w} = 2i\tau$ .

Now the interpretation of the metric (4.2.10) is straightforward: If we take  $\tau, \sigma$  to be angular variables, then they parameterize a 2-d torus, with modulus  $T(z)$  which depends holomorphically on the rest of the coordinates and conformal factor proportional to  $1/\text{Im } T$ . The zeros and poles of the Riemann tensor are determined by the zeros and poles (or essential singularities) of the function  $T(z)$ .

This solution (with a different interpretation) was found in [33], where some global issues were also addressed<sup>2</sup>. We should note that as in [33] a full invariance under the torus modular group,  $T \rightarrow T + 1$  and  $T \rightarrow -1/T$  can be implemented by a holomorphic coordinate transformation in  $z$ , which will modify  $\text{Im } T$  to a modular invariant in the first part of (4.2.10). It was also argued that such a metric might receive higher order corrections. However we have just shown that this metric is the dual of the family of worm hole solutions which are absolutely stable as CFTs due to their  $N = 4$  superconformal symmetry and it does possess a hyper-Kähler structure although not easily visible in this coordinate system.

The 4-d non-compact CY manifolds presented in this section constitute a large class of exact solutions to superstring theory with extended supersymmetry. A detailed analysis of their structure as well as their Minkowski continuations is beyond the scope of this work and is reserved for future study.

## 5 S Duality and the Axion

In 4-d we can trade the antisymmetric tensor  $B_{\mu\nu}$  for a scalar field  $b$ , the axion. The  $B_{\mu\nu}$ -function equation for  $B_{\mu\nu}$  imply that we can write

$$\partial_\mu b = \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{\det G^{E_{inst}}} e^{-4H} \quad (5.1)$$

<sup>2</sup>Some generalizations of this idea to more dimensions were recently presented in [34].

where

$$G^{E\text{ inst}} = e^{-2} G \quad (5.2)$$

and  $G$  is the metric. Then the Bianchi identity,  $dH = 0$  becomes the equation of motion of the axion field

$$r - e^4 r b = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

If we define<sup>x</sup>

$$s = b + e^{-2} \quad (5.4)$$

then the 1-loop effective action which gives the  $\beta$ -function equations as equations of motion is

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-\det G} \left[ R + 2G \frac{\partial s_+ \partial s_-}{(s_+ + s_-)^2} + \frac{2c}{3} \frac{1}{(s_+ + s_-)} \right] \quad (5.5)$$

written in the Einstein frame (5.2). If  $c = 0$ , then  $S_{\text{eff}}$  is invariant under  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  transformations:

$$s_+ \rightarrow \frac{as_+ + b}{cs_+ + d}; \quad s_- \rightarrow \frac{as_- + b}{cs_- + d}; \quad ad - bc = 1 \quad (5.6)$$

At higher orders the  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  symmetry is broken down to  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  known as the S-duality symmetry.

An important question is: does S-duality preserve spacetime supersymmetries? To answer the question in the affirmative, we need to observe that spacetime fermions transform homogeneously, as forms, under S-duality. In particular, S-duality transformations of the Dirac operators (whose zero mode spectrum determine the number of unbroken supersymmetries) correspond to gauge transformations. Thus, they do not affect the zero mode spectrum and consequently the number of unbroken supersymmetries. If the worldsheet theory has  $N=4$  superconformal symmetry then the 1-loop equations have no higher order corrections. Then S-duality generates exact  $N=4$  backgrounds.

We will study separately the action of S-duality on solutions to the  $\beta$ -function equations corresponding to cases (i,ii,iii) in section 4.1.

(i) The  $N=4$  solutions found in section 4.1 translate as follows in our new variables,

$$s_+ = C; \quad s_- = 2e^{-2} C \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$te^2 = 0 \quad (5.8)$$

$C$  is a constant and the metric is flat. Under an  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  transformation we will stay in the same class of solutions with  $C^0 = (aC + b)/(cC + d)$  and  $e^{2\phi} = (cC + d)^2 e^{2\phi} - 2c(aC + b)$ .

S-duality is a symmetry of the string effective action in 4-d and its status is obscure although some progress has been made towards elucidating its implications for string

---

<sup>x</sup>Since we are in Euclidean spaces are light-cone like variables. They become complex conjugates in Minkowski space.

theory (see for example [26] and references therein). In particular a worldsheet understanding of the symmetry is lacking. It is our opinion that class (i) of string solutions is a very useful ground to study the implications of S-duality, due to their high symmetry ( $N=4$ ) and the fact that they are exact solutions to string theory.

(ii) In this case the quasi-Kähler potential satisfies (4.1.12). The solutions found in this class are the  $N=2$  preserving  $O(2,2;\mathbb{R})$  transformations of the Kähler solutions in section 3. In order to have  $c=0$  we must set the constant  $q$  in (4.1.12) to zero (if at higher orders,  $c \neq 0$  then S-duality will not produce new solutions). The Einstein metric here is not at

$$G^{E_{inst}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & e^{K_v} & 0 & 0 \\ e^{K_v} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.9)$$

and

$$s_+ = v + v ; s_- = \frac{2}{K_{vv}} + v + v \quad (5.10)$$

Acting on (5.10) with  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  transformations generates new solutions.

In case (iii) the fields  $s$  cannot be written explicitly as functions of the Kähler potential, so we can not proceed further.

For Kählerian backgrounds ( $H=0$ ) the only S-duality transformation which stays in this class, changes the sign of the dilaton (inverts the string coupling).

Another set of new solutions can be obtained from the tensor product theory  $\frac{SU(2)_k}{U(1)} \times \frac{SL(2, \mathbb{R})_{k+4}}{U(1)}$  [11] by applying S-duality, but we will not delve further into this.

## 6 Conclusions

We have examined some four-dimensional superconformal theories with  $N=2$  and  $N=4$  superconformal symmetry (classical solutions to superstring theory). We show that there exists a plethora of such theories with non-trivial metric, dilaton and antisymmetric tensor field.

Our solutions are classified in two classes:

- (i) Those that are based on a Kähler manifold (when  $H=0$ ).
- (ii) The non-Kählerian solutions with non-zero torsion.

These two subclasses are related by  $Z_2$  duality transformations (when isometries are present).  $Z_2$  duality interchanges the roles of untwisted and twisted chiral superfields and act in a manifest  $N=2$  preserving fashion. There is a generic subgroup of  $O(d; d; \mathbb{Z})$  duality and a corresponding subgroup of its conformal deformation partner,  $O(d; d; \mathbb{R})$ , which are shown to preserve the extended superconformal invariance ( $N=2, 4$ ). They are used to obtain a more general class of solutions where a superfield formulation is not

manifest. It is an interesting problem to formulate the  $N=2$  preserving  $O(d,d)$  action in a manifestly  $N=2$  supersymmetric form.

Another way of enlarging the class of solutions is via S-duality, which is a symmetry when  $c=0$ , as it happens in the presence of  $N=4$  symmetry.

In the Kahlerian case we show that the presence of a non-trivial dilaton field implies the presence of an isometry in the background data (Kahler metric and dilaton). The current associated to this isometry is the bosonic part of the  $N=2$   $U(1)$  current (its presence is due to the non-trivial dilaton). A non-trivial dilaton implies also a different LG hypersurface constraint which generalizes the vanishing of the superpotential in the constant dilaton case. It is interesting that we obtain these modifications from the requirement of consistently coupling the model to  $N=2$  supergravity. In particular the constraints above come directly from the scalar constraint associated to the auxiliary field of the  $N=2$  supergravity multiplet. Among the Kahlerian solutions we find a large class of (non-compact) Ricci-flat (CY) manifolds with one isometry. This class of solutions generalizes the compact 4-d Ricci-flat manifolds (K3). A special case of the solutions above (with two isometries) is that of ref. [33] found in a slightly different context. These CY manifolds are duals of non-zero torsion solutions with  $N=4$  symmetry.

The  $N=2,4$  solutions with non-zero torsion are classified in terms of zero one and two isometries in the special (quasi-Kahler) coordinate system. We have derived also their Kahlerian duals.

The Hyper-Kahler solutions we find have non-trivial metric in the Einstein frame. On the contrary, the  $N=4$  solutions with non-zero torsion have always a flat Einstein metric and some of them (those with two isometries) give all the possible supersymmetric axion-dilaton instantons.

It is also observed that, contrary to expectations, isometries are generic in 4-d supersymmetric string backgrounds.

Upon analytic continuation of the Euclidean solutions we have found we can obtain many cosmological solutions to superstring theory whose spacetime properties deserve further study.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank L. Alvarez-Gaume and S. Ferrara for discussions. This work was partially supported by EEC grants, SC1-03914C and SC1-CT92-0789.

# Appendix A .

## Scalar Constraint in $N = 2$ $d = 2$ Supergravity and its equivalence to Generalized $N = 2$ LG Equations.

In this appendix we will show that the  $(2,0)$  and  $(0,2)$  -function equations (3.1.3,3.1.5) of an  $N = 2$  superconformal system, follows from a classical scalar constraint due to the auxiliary field of the  $N = 2$  supergravity multiplet, in the presence of a non trivial dilaton background  $R^{(2)}$  and non zero chiral deformation  $W(\phi_i)$ . Also we will show how the non trivial dilaton background implies the existence of an isometry in the metric, and how the  $N = 2$  LG equation ( $w(\phi_i) = 0$ ) are generalized in the presence of non trivial dilaton<sup>†</sup>.

First we would like to extend the  $R^{(2)}$  dilaton background to a generalized  $N = 2$  one, where the other components of the gravitational supermultiplet explicitly appear. We will do this extension in the superconformal gauge where the gravitational multiplet can be represented by a chiral superfield  $\hat{\phi}$  [36]

$$\hat{\phi} = \phi + \psi + \psi^\dagger + \dots + H \quad (\text{A } 1)$$

and its complex conjugate.

The metric  $g$ , the  $U(1)$  gauge field  $A$ , the two gravitini  $\psi_+$  and the supergravity auxiliary field can be expressed in terms of  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$ ,  $\psi^\dagger$  and  $H$  as follows,

$$g = e^{2\phi} \quad (\text{A } 2)$$

$$A = i\partial(\phi) \quad (\text{A } 3)$$

$$\psi_+ = \psi + \dots \quad (\text{A } 4)$$

$$\psi_- = \psi^\dagger + \dots \quad (\text{A } 5)$$

We assume n chiral superfields

$$\hat{\phi}_i = \phi_i + \psi_i + \psi_i^\dagger + \dots + F_i \quad (\text{A } 6)$$

which we want to couple with the supergravity multiplet  $\hat{\phi}$ .

The most general coupling among the chiral fields  $\hat{\phi}_i$  and  $\hat{\phi}$  is given in terms of a general Kahler function  $G(\phi_i; \phi_i; \dots)$  and a general superpotential  $W(\phi_i; \dots)$  as usual (see section 2.1). In our case both the functional form of  $G$  and  $W$  are restricted and this is because the  $\hat{\phi}$  field has to define correctly the background metric and the background gauge field of the supergravity multiplet as in the above equations; e.g.  $e^{2\phi}$  is the

---

<sup>†</sup> In the case of  $(p,0)$  worldsheet supersymmetry without dilaton the constraints for the superpotential were recently analysed in [35].

conformal factor of the metric (A.2). Once we take that into account,  $G$  and  $W$  are given as

$$G(x^i, y^i; z^i) = K(x^i, y^i) + \frac{1}{2} (x^i, y^i) + \frac{1}{2} (z^i, z^i) \quad (\text{A.7})$$

and

$$W(x^i, y^i; z^i) = w(x^i, y^i) e^{z^i} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

The terms linear in  $x^i$  and  $y^i$  in  $G$  will give rise to the generalization of the dilaton background (see below), while the Kähler potential  $K$  defines the kinetic terms of the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superfields. Notice also that the  $z^i$  dependence of  $W$  in the above equation is fixed uniquely due to the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$   $U(1)$  charge conservation.

We are now in a position to examine the algebraic equations which follow after the elimination of the auxiliary fields  $H$  and  $F_i$ . Setting the fermions to zero the relevant part of the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Lagrangian reads

$$L = K_{ij} F_i F_j + H_{ij} F_j + H_{ij} F_i + W_{ij} F_j + W_{ij} H + W_{ij} H \quad (\text{A.9})$$

The equation of motion of the auxiliary field  $H$  implies the constraint

$$F_j + W_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H = 0 \quad (\text{A.10})$$

The second equation is similar to the Gauss law.

The  $F_i$  equations are

$$K_{ij} F_j + H_{ij} + W_{ij} = 0 \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Combining the above equations we obtain the following important relation among  $W_{ij}$ ,  $W_{ij} K_{ij}$  and  $F_j$

$$F_j [K_{ij}]^{-1} W_{ij} = W_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{cc} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Let us define by  $\phi_i$  the holomorphic vector

$$\phi_i = F_j [K_{ij}]^{-1} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

then, the above relation implies the following symmetry for  $W$

$$W(x^i, y^i; z^i + \phi^i) = W(x^i, y^i; z^i) \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Indeed for  $\phi^i \neq 0$  we have  $\phi_i W_{ij} = W_{ij}$ . For  $\phi^i = \delta^{ij} z^j$  the above symmetry imposes the charge conservation of the superpotential. Observe that this symmetry makes sense for any non-trivial  $W$  only if  $\phi_i$  is an holomorphic function in terms of the fields  $x^i$ , which implies that

$$\phi_j = K_{ij} \phi^i(x^i) \quad (\text{A.15})$$

and after anti-analytic integration over  $y^j$  we have

$$W(x^i, y^i; z^i) = K_{ij} \phi^i(x^i) + \frac{1}{2} (z^i, z^i) \quad (\text{A.16})$$

and similarly

$$= K_{ij}(\partial_j + \partial_{\bar{j}}) + (\partial_i + \partial_{\bar{i}}) \quad (\text{A } 17)$$

The above equations drastically constrain the Kähler metric  $K_{ij}$  and the dilaton function as we will see below.

The dilaton function is given in terms of  $\phi$  and  $\bar{\phi}$  and can be determined from the  $d\phi$  and  $d\bar{\phi}$  kinetic terms,

$$J^D d(\phi + \bar{\phi}) + iJ^A d(\phi - \bar{\phi}) = 2(\partial_i d\phi + \partial_{\bar{j}} d\bar{\phi}) \quad (\text{A } 18)$$

where  $J^D$  and  $J^A$  are the dilatation current and the bosonic part of the  $N = 2$   $U(1)$  R-current respectively.

$$J^D = \partial_i d\phi + \partial_{\bar{j}} d\bar{\phi} \quad (\text{A } 19)$$

$$iJ^A = \partial_i d\phi - \partial_{\bar{j}} d\bar{\phi} \quad (\text{A } 20)$$

The existence of a dilaton function requests the integrability of the dilatation current,

$$J^D = d\phi \quad (\text{A } 21)$$

since only then

$$J^D d(\phi + \bar{\phi}) = \partial_i (\phi + \bar{\phi}) = \partial_{\bar{j}} (\phi + \bar{\phi}) \quad (\text{A } 22)$$

The integrability constraint  $J^D = d\phi$  implies the following form for

$$= L(\partial_i; \partial_{\bar{j}}) + f(\partial_i) + f(\partial_{\bar{j}}) \quad (\text{A } 23)$$

with

$$L(\partial_i; \partial_{\bar{j}}) = K_{i\bar{i}} = K_{\bar{j}j} \quad (\text{A } 24)$$

Equations (A 23, A 24) imply that  $r_{i\bar{j}} = 0$  and its complex conjugate, which, taking into account that for a Kähler manifold  $R_{ij} = 0$ , are the  $(2,0)$   $r_{ij} = 0$  and  $(0,2)$   $\bar{r}_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = 0$  -function equations, (3.1.3). Thus, we see that the consistent coupling of an  $N=2$  model to  $N=2$  supergravity implies at the classical level the  $(2,0)$  and  $(0,2)$  -function equations, which as shown in section 3.1 imply in turn the existence of a killing symmetry in the Kähler potential. The interpretation of this is as follows. If the dilaton is constant, the  $N=2$   $U(1)$  current is purely fermionic and conserved at the classical level. When the dilaton is non-trivial, then the  $N=2$   $U(1)$  current acquires a bosonic contribution ( $J^A$ ). In order for it to continue to be conserved, a killing symmetry of the Kähler manifold is necessary.

As we have explained above (A 14) implies the classical scalar constraint

$$w_{i\bar{i}} = 0 \quad (\text{A } 25)$$

which generalizes the LG hypersurface equation in the presence of non-trivial dilaton. This can be easily solved since upon a holomorphic coordinate transformation we can rotate the vector  $\partial_i$  to a specific direction (call it  $\partial_0$ ) and make its value one. Then

$$w(\partial_0; \partial_i) = w(\partial_i) e^0 \quad (\text{A } 26)$$

## R eferences

- [1] D . G ross and A . M igdal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 64 (1990) 127;  
E . B rezin and V . K azakov, *Phys. Lett. B* 236 (1990) 144;  
M . D ouglas and S . Shenker, *Nucl. Phys. B* 335 (1990) 635.
- [2] J . Scherk and J . H . Schwarz, *Phys. Lett. B* 82 (1979) 60; *Nucl. Phys. B* 153 (1979) 61;  
R . R ohm , *Nucl. Phys. B* 237 (1984) 553;  
C . K ounnas and M . Porrati, *Nucl. Phys. B* 310 (1988) 355;  
S . Ferrara, C . K ounnas, M . Porrati and F . Z w imer, *Nucl. Phys. B* 318 (1989) 75;  
C . K ounnas, and B . R ostant, *Nucl. Phys. B* 341 (1990) 641.
- [3] J . P . D erendinger, L . E . I banez and H . P . N illes, *Phys. Lett. B* 155 (1985) 27;  
M . D ine, R . R ohm , N . Seiberg and E . W itten, *Phys. Lett. B* 156 (1985) 55;  
C . K ounnas and M . Porrati, *Phys. Lett. B* 191 (1987) 91;  
A . Font, L . I banez, D . Lust and F . Q uevedo, *Phys. Lett. B* 245 (1990) 401;  
S . Ferrara, N . M agnoli, T . Taylor and G . Veneziano, *Phys. Lett.* 245 (1990) 409;  
H . N illes and M . O lechow ski, *Phys. Lett. B* 248 (1990) 218.
- [4] E . K iritsis, *Nucl. Phys. B* 405 (1993) 109.
- [5] C . K ounnas, Construction of String Solutions around non-trivial Backgrounds, [hep-th/9302058](#)
- [6] T . Banks and L . D ixon, *Nucl. Phys. B* 307 (1988) 93;
- [7] D . G eppner, *Phys. Lett. B* 199 (1987) 370; *Nucl. Phys. B* 296 (1988) 757.
- [8] W . Lerche, D . Lust and A . N . Schellekens, *Phys. Lett. B* 181 (1986) 71; *Nucl. Phys. B* 287 (1987) 477.
- [9] P . C andelas, G . H orowitz, A . Strom inger and E . W itten, *Nucl. Phys. B* 258 (1985) 46;  
E . W itten, *Nucl. Phys. B* 268 (1986) 79;  
L . D ixon, G . Harvey, C . Vafa and E . W itten, *Nucl. Phys. B* 261 (1985) 678; *ibid.* B 274 (1986) 285;  
H . Kawai, D . Lewellen and H . Tye, *Nucl. Phys. B* 288 (1987) 1;  
I . Antoniadis, C . Bachas and C . K ounnas, *Nucl. Phys. B* 289 (1987) 87;  
K . S . Narain, M . Sam adian and C . Vafa, *Nucl. Phys. B* 288 (1987) 551.
- [10] C . C allan, J . Harvey and A . Strom inger, *Nucl. Phys. B* 359 (1991) 611.
- [11] C . K ounnas, 4-D G ravitational Backgrounds based on  $N = 4, d = 4$  Superconform al System s., [hep-th/9304102](#).
- [12] B . Zum ino, *Phys. Lett.* 87B (1979) 203;  
L . A lavarez-G aume and D . Freedm an, *Com m . M ath. Phys.* 80 (1981) 443.



- [13] S. Gates, C. Hull and M. Rocek, Nucl. Phys. B 248 (1984) 157.
- [14] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 314;  
 S. Elitzur, A. Forge and E. Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. B 359 (1991) 581;  
 G. Mandal, A. Sengupta and S. Wadia, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 6 (1991) 1465.
- [15] R. C. Myers, Phys. Lett. B 199 (1987) 371;  
 R. Brandenberger and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B 316 (1988) 391;  
 I. Antoniadis, C. Bachas, J. Ellis and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 211 (1988) 393, Nucl. Phys. B 328 (1989) 117;  
 J. Polchinski, Nucl. Phys. B 324 (1989) 123;  
 I. Antoniadis, C. Bachas and A. Sagnotti, Phys. Lett. B 235 (1990) 255;  
 M. Muller, Nucl. Phys. B 337 (1990) 37;  
 I. Bars, Nucl. Phys. B 334 (1990) 125;  
 K. A. Meissner and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B 267 (1991) 33;  
 A. Sen, Phys. Lett. B 271 (1991) 295;  
 M. Gasperini, J. Maharana and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B 272 (1991) 277;  
 I. Bars and D. Nemeschansky, Nucl. Phys. B 348 (1991) 89;  
 G. Horowitz and A. Steif, Phys. Lett. B 258 (1991) 91;  
 G. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Nucl. Phys. B 360 (1991) 197;  
 M. Gasperini and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B 277 (1992) 256;  
 A. A. Tseytlin, Class. Quant. Grav. 9 (1992) 979;  
 P. Ginsparg and F. Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. B 385 (1992) 527;  
 A. A. Tseytlin and C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B 372 (1992) 443;  
 J. Home and G. Horowitz, Nucl. Phys. B 368 (1992) 444; Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 1340;  
 D. Garofalo, G. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D 43 (1992) 3140;  
 J. Home, G. Horowitz and A. Steif, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 (1992) 568;  
 N. Ishibashi, M. Li and A. Steif, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1992) 3336;  
 G. Diamandis, B. Georgalas and A. Lahanas, Phys. Lett. B 287 (1992) 69;  
 P. Horava, Phys. Lett. B 278 (1992) 101;  
 C. Kounnas and D. Lust, Phys. Lett. B 289 (1992) 56;  
 C. Nappi and E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B 293 (1992) 309;  
 A. A. Tseytlin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 1 (1992) 223; Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 3421; Nucl. Phys. B 390 (1993) 153-172; String Cosmology and the Dilaton, hep-th/9206067;  
 I. Bars and K. Sfetsos, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 4495, 4510; Phys. Lett. B 277 (1992) 269; Mod. Phys. Lett. A 7 (1992) 1091; Phys. Lett. B 301 (1993) 183;  
 A. Sen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 1006;  
 D. Gershon, Exact Solutions of 4-d Black Holes in String Theory, hep-th/9202005; Coset Models Obtained by Twisting WZW Models and Stringy Charged Black Holes in 4-d, hep-th/9210160;  
 K. Behrndt, Phys. Lett. B 301 (1993) 29; Noncritical strings in Robertson-Walker Spacetime, hep-th/9204078; A monopole solution in Open String Theory,

- hep-th/9304096;  
M. Gasperini and G. Veneziano, *Aspects of String Theory*, Phys. 1 (1993) 317;  
M. Billò, P. Fre, L. Girardello and A. Zaffaroni, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 8 (1993) 2351;  
D. Anselmi, M. Billò, P. Fre, L. Girardello and A. Zaffaroni, *ALE Manifolds and Conformal Field Theories*, hep-th/9304135;  
D. Lust, *Cosmological String Backgrounds*, hep-th/9303175;  
S. Giddings, J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, *4-d Black Holes in String Theory*, hep-th/9305083.
- [16] S.J. Rey, *Phys. Rev. D* 43 (1991) 526.
- [17] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, *Nucl. Phys. B* 371 (1992) 379.
- [18] A. Giveon and E. Kiritsis, *Axial Vector Duality as a Gauge Symmetry and Topology Change in String Theory*, hep-th/9303016.
- [19] A. Giveon, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 6 (1991) 2843.
- [20] E. Kiritsis, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 6 (1991) 2871.
- [21] T. Buscher, *Phys. Lett. B* 201 (1988) 466.
- [22] K. Meissner and G. Veneziano, *Phys. Lett. B* 267 (1991) 33; *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 6 (1991) 3397;  
G. Gasperini and G. Veneziano, *Phys. Lett. B* 277 (1992) 256;  
G. Gasperini, J. Maharana and G. Veneziano, *Phys. Lett. B* 296 (1992) 51;  
A. Sen, *Phys. Lett. B* 271 (1991) 295; *ibid.* 274 (1992) 34; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 69 (1992) 1006;  
J. Maharana and J. Schwarz, *Nucl. Phys. B* 390 (1993) 3.
- [23] A. Giveon, M. Roček, *Nucl. Phys. B* 380 (1992) 128.
- [24] U. Lindström and M. Roček, *Nucl. Phys. B* 222 (1983) 285.
- [25] M. Roček, K. Schoutens and A. Sevrin, *Phys. Lett. B* 265 (1991) 303.
- [26] A. Font, L. Ibáñez, D. Lust and F. Quevedo, *Phys. Lett. B* 249 (1990) 35;  
A. Sen, *Phys. Lett. B* 303 (1993) 22; *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 8 (1993) 2023; *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 8 (1993) 5079;  
J. Schwarz and A. Sen, *Phys. Lett. B* 312 (1993) 105; *Duality Symmetric Actions*, hep-th/9304154.
- [27] E. Martinec, *Phys. Lett.* 217B (1989) 431;  
C. Vafa and N. Warner, *Phys. Lett. B* 218 (1989) 51;  
D. Gepner, *Phys. Lett. B* 222 (1989) 207;  
W. Lerche, C. Vafa, N. Warner, *Nucl. Phys. B* 324 (1989) 427;  
E. Martinec, *Criticality, Catastrophes and Compactifications in V.G. Knizhnik*

- Memorial Volume;
- P. Howe and P. West, *Phys. Lett. B* 223 (1989) 377;
- K. Ito, *Phys. Lett. B* 231 (1989) 125;
- S. Cecotti and L. Girardello, *Nucl. Phys. B* 328 (1989) 701.
- [28] E. Fradkin and A. Tseytlin, *Nucl. Phys. B* 261 (1985) 1;  
C. Callan, D. Friedan, E. Martinec and M. Perry, *Nucl. Phys. B* 262 (1985) 593.
- [29] X. de la Ossa and F. Quevedo, *Nucl. Phys. B* 403 (1993) 377;  
G. Gasperini, R. Ricci and G. Veneziano, "A Problem with Non-abelian Duality",  
hep-th/9308112;  
A. Giveon and M. Roček, "On Non-Abelian Duality", hep-th/9308154.
- [30] S. Hassan and A. Sen, *Nucl. Phys. B* 405 (1993) 143;  
M. Henningson and C. Nappi, *Phys. Rev. D* 48 (1993) 861.
- [31] M. Roček and E. Verlinde, *Nucl. Phys. B* 373 (1992) 630.
- [32] P. Howe and G. Papadopoulos, *Nucl. Phys. B* 289 (1987) 264; *Class. Quant. Grav.*  
5 (1988) 1647.
- [33] B. Greene, A. Shapere, C. Vafa, S. T. Yau, *Nucl. Phys. B* 337 (1990) 1.
- [34] P. Green and T. Hübsch, *Spacetime Variable Superstring Vacua*, hep-th/9306057.
- [35] C. Hull, G. Papadopoulos and P. Townsend, *Phys. Lett. B* 316 (1993) 291;  
G. Papadopoulos and P. Townsend, *KCL-93-8*.
- [36] I. Antoniadis, C. Bachas and C. Kounnas, *Phys. Lett. B* 242 (1990) 185;  
C. Kounnas, B. Rostand and E. Tomoulis, *Nucl. Phys. B* 359 (1991) 673.