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## TEICORE TO THE COIWSACRE

We are very pleased to velcone you to BUFCRI's 1976 conference. It is our hope that itt will follo\% in the footsteps of last year's function, which was the finst full weekenc devoted to scientific debaje of uioloey that this country hes knom.

The papers to be presented here cover many aspects of our subject, and are based upon the premise that there is a genuine unknovn phenomenon to investigate which could lead to an increase in man's scientific understanding.

Some of the sims which this conference hopes to fulfil are:-

1. To act as a signal to the scientific communty, the media and the genersi public that ufology is vorthy or serious study.
2. To provice a basis for the developmint of research ideas.
3. To provide a meatiun for freeflowing conmurication and liaison between the serious winded ufolocists of this country.
 join and helo to pursue them, are included in a seperate shaet.

BUFOPA would like to thank the Uro Stucies Information Service (ivCuris) for their invaluable assistance in the planing and local onganisation or tris conference.



Our thants are especially aus to the corfernece organisers, focer Stanmay, Jenny Randles and Philip Rocers wo provided so much ac̈ninistrative help.

## 

In general terns the papers to be presented fall into two groups. Those to be given on the Saturday and aurjne Suncay mornine deal with specif'ic aspects of bro research methocioloy. Those which follow on the Suncey attersoon are principaly concerned with the develophent of hreotheses towarcs the solution of the uric enigma. SATURDAY

## PYTR RCG

By profession a librsrian, Feter is one of this cointry's better lnom incepencient researchers. He has served on the editorial bcaru of hincer fon several years. This is an independent joumal renowea throughout the worla for its forthriant views, particularly in "rew wave" ufoloyy. Eis interests are chiefly in the psychological and perapsychological astects of ufologr. This paper will review the ceveloment of ITHCN which is an international project to catalocue ance erielyse type one $\mathfrak{U F O}$ records. A deñinition or this will be incluced in the paper, along with the future ajms of the project.

## JACK NBEP?

Jack is a retired gentlemen, who has served Blechi in severai camaci̇es, ircluaing the manoth task of assenoling the invsluable nendershin aizectorj published in 1975. His background incluies extensive involvenent with the Royal Cibserver Corgs and he is well qualifiec to discuss the methocolocy on extracting vasic paranecric data - such as bearings anc elevaions - irom siëhting reports. Here he will inlustrate hov some vitally imeorterat intionination may be extracted from rejorts, in properly investigated.

Bermari has had a long association with the oublishing worla and is Secretary
 respected joumsl "Awareness". Contact have done excellent work in cataloruing reports, and heve set up a world $L \mathcal{H} C$ report catalogue which now muns to several volumes and is conparable in format ani content, as well, as comatible with, the canputer catalogue VPCCIT operated by the Center for WFC studies in the U.S.s. This work has proauced some interesting results in analysing the temoral distribution of $u r 0$ reports, with the possibilities for the predicition of periodic increases in activity (known as tro waves, ) Bernami's paper sumarises this wock.

## THD BLOECHITR

He vas founding merber of Civilian Saucer Intelligence of New York (CSI NY), January 1954; Staff member for the National Investigations Comittee of ierial Phenonena. (Weshington, DC ) Efom 1960 to 1969; Author of the book, "Report on the UFO Wave of 1947", privately published in 1967; Edited the "US Air Force Erojects
 for "UPOS: A New Lock" (Chapter VII and other sections), published by :19 in 1969; Co-editor with Isabel Davis anc d.D. Kiebane the Books "The Truth ibout Plying Saucers" (1957) and "Fiying Saucers and the Straight-ine lystery" by 三ine lichel, both publishea by Criterion Iress; ifriter anî Editor with Isabel Davis and Aio. Mebane of The CSI Newsletter, which was putiishea irregularly by CSI or ivy from January 1956 through July 1959.

Ted has been $\varepsilon$ member of hicN since 1972. He serves as Co-chairnen, with David Webb, oî MEON's Humanoid Suay Groug, romed in 1974. Edcitionally Ted is the Nilfon State Section Director for liew Vor: City.

Ted aitended Columbia University where he majored in Drama Lit, with a minor in Nusic. He also attended the Cooper Union Art Schcol and is an accomplished actor-singer pith credits too numerous to mention here. Ted resicies at 317 best 33 rd Street, riew York, NY 10028 .

## DR. J. ALLEN HYNEK

As an exciting addition to the Satunay evening programme Buter has receivei a specially recorded tape from Dr. Himer, probabiy the best known and most respected figure in scientific urology throughout the worlu. Dro niynek served for neacly twenty years as consultant to the U.S. sir r'orce an has been airectly involved in the investigation of virtually all inportant investi_etions in the U.今. $\therefore$. By proiessio he is a leadine Astrophysicist, but his nany years of involvament in ufology have seen his attitude alter from outright scepticism to a confirned belier that there is a phenomenon wointiny of study. In 1974 he set up tho Center for UrC Stuaiss mizh now involves many too scientists in the U.S. $\therefore$. Fe has published one of the most japortent books on the subject or wiology, "The UFO Experience". We are inceed honoure to have him present his thoughts on the current state of yed research.

SUNDAY

## AITHCNY PECE

Tony is research director of Bubin, and has been cocrōinating mork on the Vehicle Interierence Froject. This is an intensive examination of Uro reports which allegediy involve direct interference :intil motive porier units, principslly of vehicles. thie work is involving several people hichiy skilled in the riechanical rijelds associated vith such aspects, and in this paper lony mill summerise scite of the avenues of research which they are exploring:

## ROBETRT DIGBY

Robert is wresenting this paper on behale or his colleacues in the Buced Photographic Analysis and Investication Department (윽) . This excellent of fochot from the BUrCai research deoprtment is the chier base in this country for the analysis of photogrsphic meterial allecealy relateci to the reophenomono he will examine soine or the problems relatel to photogratic anglysis and illustrate with reterence to some of the more important ases on 3uitha's moto Hovie-films currentiy under intensive research.

Tim was formerly a leacing figure in the British oil industry, and is a eeologist ans author off several texts on the structure or the Jarth. Fiv has delizhted aujiences at BUFORA's Iondon lecture programes with an amual review of his latest resernch finaings, in his search for a "unisied hypotnesis" to explain the f jo phenomenon. In this paper he intends to tie together all the loose ends and present for the first tire in one piece his projected hyothesis to explain this puzgine phenomenon.

## 

Reading Finysics at the Royal Holloway College, Iondon, Mary nas a syecializt interest in fstropysics and especialiy plasmoid phenomena. He hopes in this paper to iilustrate the close parallels between JFO and ball lightning trope phenomena and to extend this to a more deteiled infoothesis for the explanation of certain UFO events. He will outline some of his proposals for future research in this fiela.

## ROY DUTTON

Roy is an aeroneuticol engjueer, wose career has include reasivilítús stuaies of advanced space vehicles and aerodimanicel research. This paper surveys nine years of independent research into the reality or otherwise of sone of the stranger revorted Th' incidents. Intensive research has enablei hin ta put formari some tentative sugsestions as to the nature of the lero phenorenon, inclaning a theory of seasonably-variable earthmencounters, wich :itht in the futura lead to the incect prediction of tho events.

## IAN GPB

Twelve years as a neteorolocist, followd by seven as a computer prozramer, have left Iar now as an independent computer comsultant and extremely weil versed in logical thought. He believes that we find the Ur'C problen incozorehensible largely because our ideas are based ugon illosical misconcogtions. In this paper he intends to present a step by step analysis on the phenomenon - tabing as his basis some well tiocuranted cases. iie closes $\cdots i t h$ some tentative cnelusions as to the reasons why the ohenonenon menifests as it soes - under such heaines as: What are UNOs? What are they doing? "hat is their purmose? What mav they io next?

## Join BuFORA

## and help solve the UFO enigma

The BRITISH UFO RESEARCH ASSOCIATION (BUFORA) is an organisation dedicated to the scientific investigation of UFO phenomena. Membership is open to any person with a genuine and non~cultist interest in UFOs, and who supports the aims of the Association. BUFORA publishes a bimonthly journal, various special papers, holds monthly meetings, and sponsors an annual conference. Fuller details are given overleaf.

Alms: To encourage and promore unbiased scientific investigation and research into UFO
phenomena. To collect and disseminate evidence and data relacing to UFOs. To co-ordinate
UFO research on a nationwide scale and to co-operate wich people and organisadions engaged on similar research in all parts of the World.
Status: BUGORA Limited is a non-profit making incorporated Research Association. Its Menorandum of Association under the Companies Acts prevents any member of its Council from accepting any remuneration other than nomal expenses. The Company is limited by guarantee and does not have a share capizal. Each member's liability is limited to not more than $f l .00$ in the event of BUEOZA limited being wound up.

If you are interested in becomine a member please prite for details to the merioership secretary, Ans. A. Farcourt, "Derways", Stooking Elham, Buntingort, Ferts。 General correspondence should be ac̈uressea to the servetary, iniss Betuy inoci, 6 Caim ávenue, London 7.5. Tel. No. 01-579-3796.
9.30p.m.

SATURDAY NOVE MBER 6th
(At $7.00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. there will be a gathering of the BUFORA Advisers in the MALVERN SUITE) $8.00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. TED BLOECHER - "THE HUMANOIDS - AN ANALYSIS OF UFO OCCUPANT CASES"

This will be followed by the presentation of a pre-recorded paper on current research by DR. J. ALIEN HYNEK)

See يppencix
SUNDAY NOVEMBER 7th
9.30 a .m.
10.00a.m.
11.00a.m. - $11.30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$.
$11.30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$.
12.15p.m.
1.00p.m. - $2.15 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.
$215 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.
$3.00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.
$4.00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.
$4.45 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.
$5.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. approx.

Informal debate on Research Methodology - Introduced Roger Stanway (Chairman BUFORA) AVON ROOM - 9th FLOC

| $10.45 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. | Chairman opens Conference |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11.00 a .m. | PETER ROGERSON - "INTCAT - AN INTERNATIONAL CATALOGUE OF TYPE-1 UFO REPORTS" |
| $11.45 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. | JACK WEBBER - "THE ALPHABET OF UFO REPORTS" |
| $12.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m} .-2.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. | LUNCH |
| 2.30 pm . | GROUP SESSIONS (See separate sheet) Rooms as indicated on separate sheet |
| 4.00p.m. - 4.30p.m. | REFRESHMENTS |
| $4.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. | BERNARD DEIAIR - "UFO WAVES AND THER PREDICTION" |
| 5.15p.m. - 8.00p.m. | DINNER |
| (At $7.00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. there will be a gathering of the BUFORA Advisers in the MALVERN SUITE) |  |
| $8.00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. | TED BLOECHER - "THE HUMANODS - AN ANALYSIS OF UFO OCCUPANT CASES" |
| This will be followed by the by DR. J. ALIEN HYNEK) | presentation of a pre-recorded paper on current research See sppencix |

ANTHONY PACE - "THE VEHICLE INTERFERENCE EFFECT" ROBERT DIGBY - "THEY SHOOT UFO's -DON'T THEY?" REFRESHMENTS
TIM O'BRIEN -"A UNIFIED EXTRA-TERRESTRIAL HYPOTHES IN EXPLANATION OF THE UFO PHENOMENON"
BRAINS TRUST PANEL SESSION WITH THE SPEAKERS LUNCH
ROY DUTTION -"AN OBJECTIVE ANALYSIS OF THE UFO PHENOMENON"
GROUP SUB-SESSION REPORTS
MARK STENHOFF - "A PROJECTED STUDY OF FLUID VORIZ RINGS AND PIASMA PHENOMENA AS EXPLANATORY HYPOTHESIS FOR THE UFO"
IAN GRANT - "THE UFO PROBLEM - SOLVED?" CONPERENCE CLOSED

All papers will be presented in the MALVERN SUTTE on the SECOND FLOOR
NB Papers will be of twenty minutes length, nomally, the remainder of the allowed time to be devoted to questions
The closing time for the Conference is slightly Elexible, but the Conference will end in time for travel to other parts of the U.K.
LESSONS

## FROM

## INTCAI

By

## Peter Rogerson

( INTCAT - AN IMTERNATIONEJ CSNALOGUE OE TVFA - 1 URO REIFORS )

An international catalogue of Iype 1 UFO records, and some possible lessons gained from its compilation.
(Summarised and amended from the BUFORA lecture)

## DESCRIPIION OF INTCAT

INTCAT is a card catalogue comprising about 1,200 entries of́ records of ostensible Type 1 UFO experiences. The core of the work was originally centred around Vallee's Magonia Catalogue. The catalogue as constructed at present is basically a reference giving a chronological list of cases with further references.

For the purpose of INTCAT a Type 1 UFO experience is one in which a percipient either
(a) experiences observing an unconventional "machine" either on the ground or at less than 30 m (ll00 ft) altitude, or described as being at treetop, rooftop height or very low. OR
(b) experiences observing unusual "beings" (described as "cccupants", "humanoids" etc) either associated with a Iow level "object", or alone, or even associated with "oojects" at greater altitude than 30 m . OR
(c) experiences observing "objects" entering or leaving bodies oI water in a controlled manner.

Reports of unusual ground traces not associated with a Type 1 UFO experience as defined above are omitted from the catalogue.

From the card catalogue several projects are planned.
(i) A master file of abstracts of the cases in the catalogue is being built up. From this file abstracts are published in English in MUFOB ${ }^{2}$ and in French in UFO-MNFO ${ }^{3}$. These abstracts are designed to give a condensed version of each case, providing possible information for those studying the subject from the viewpoints of both the social and physical sciences. It is hoped that a revision
of the parts of the catalogue will be published from time to time. Publication of the complete catalogue is projected for some future date.
(ii) A coded computerised inventory of the catalogue is being prepared for INTCAT by Dr Willy Smith of Williamsport, Penn. This computer list will be capable of being sorted into chronological geographical and typological lists. These will be primarily used for informing people of the contents of INTCAT and for exchanging data. This list can also be used as a data base for UFO groups rechecking cases in their area. Further details of this list will be presented in MUFOB when the list becomes available.

INTCAT is now the generic name being used for the international team conducting research into this field. Major contributors include Messrs. Richard Heiden and Barry Greenwood of the United States, M. Jacques Bonabot and co-writers in Belgium, Mr Bernard Delair in the United Kingdom and Snr Vicente Juan Ballester Olmos in Spain. INTCAT also maintains close contacts with the Humanoid Study Group. In every sense INTCAI is a cooperative project. I wish to take this opportunity to pay tribute to my co-workers in this project. We would be very interested in hearing from any other individuals involved in this line of research, who have material of possible interest to us, or think that we might be able to help then. Please contact us if you have such material - Peter Rogerson, 8 Braddon Avenue, Urmston, Manchester M3I lUE, Tel. O61-747-7459. Further details of various aspects of INTCAI can be found in refs. 4 and 5, and we shall be pleased to answer specific questions on the catalogue.

## LESSONS FROM INTCAT?

The comments under this heading represent personal comments on INTCAT, and in no way seek to represent those of my fellow workers on this project.

INTCAT is a catalogue of UFO records, that is, of documents which purport to transcribe UFO reports. Uniess these documents are
first person statements (either written or verbal) they are not UFO reports. UFO reports are the statements of people who are claiming that they have had UFO experiences. A UFO expezience is usually an experience in which people experience observing an unconventional object or being, but it may involve other kinds of experiences, such as hearing voices, urusual telephone calls, etc. The only usable definition of a UFO experience seems to be "any experience which the experient feels to have been a UFO experience".

By no means all UFO records are generated by UFO reports (sone are invented by journalists) and by no means all UFO reports are generated by UFO experiences (some are crude hoaxes). While we have positive evidence that UFO records and reports exist, we can only say (unless we have a UFO experience ourselves) that it is extreaely probable that people have UFO experiences.

It becomes even more difficult to ascertain whether or not some UFO experiences are induced by special UFO phenomena external to the percipient. Severe reductive analysis of individual cases tends not to provide conclusive evidence of such a signal. Holistic examination of the UFO records, however, suggests various patterns ("wave years" "the law of times", "the law of population" etc). Recent developrients suggest that the hoaxes may also obey these laws, though it is rather too early to say anything definite. ${ }^{6}$ Rather than the classical view of a meaningful signal being detected in a sea of meaningless noise, it is as if the noise itself was meaningful and showed pattern.

If these trends continue, reductive and holistic studies may come to radically different conclusions. Some very odd possibilities suggest themselves. What happens if each case on the BAVIC line turns out to be a hoax, yet BAVIC remains statistically significant?

The so-called "new ufology" is less concerned with using UFO experiences as evidence for some anomalistic phenomenon "out there"
with studying the totality of the UFO experience as a phenomenon in its own right. Indeed the whole UFO syndrome (the generic tern for the experiences, reports and records) is held to be meaningrul. The hoax and reality seem to merge, and we may see in the hoax the expression of a naive art form. Scoriton would be an exarple of this art form. ${ }^{7}$

The UFO experience itself seems to involve a departure from conscious reality into what one might call an autistic reality. We cannot be certain that some hypothetical outside observer mould share the experient's experience. Frequently one hears the UFO experience described in terms such as "everything was very silent, not even the night noises were heard", "there was something odd, the roads are usually busy but I encountered no traxfic that night'. In recent cases we have reports of people claiming to have experienced a transformation within the scenery, such as traffic disappearing, ${ }^{8}$ experiences in wholly drearn like "journeys into the badlands", 9,10,11.

There are experiences such as $M_{I} L^{(12)}$ and Miss $Z^{(13)}$ whose subjective nature is indisputable. Tïis suggests that URO experiences might be related to such "edge of reality" events as out of the body sensations, bad dreams and apparitions. Celia Green and Charies McCreery of the Psychological Unit at Cambridge University have labelled such experiences collectively as metachronic experiences, those in which the environment of conscious reality is replaced by an haliucinatory environment. 14151 Other lines of possible value include Charles Tart's concept of altered states of consciousness, ${ }^{18}$ Laurence Le Shan's concept of alternate realities, ${ }^{19} 20$. Jung's depth psychology, ${ }^{21}$ to say nothing of various avant garde speculations in theoretical physics, social psyciology and philosophy.

The anstar to the question, "Do UFO expertences represent real events?", is likely to be, "What do you mean by real?"

I Vallee, Jacques - A century of UFO landings in Passport to Magonia, from folklore to flying saucers. Regnery 1969. The catalogue is not published in either the Spearman or Tanden editions. The introduction to the catalogue gives a general background to Type 1 studies and the early history.

2 MUFOB, edited by John A Rimmer, 11 Beverley Road, New Malden, Surrey. KT3 HAN. This bulletin is a major source of material on the new ufology, and specialises in the social science and humanistic aspects of UFO research.

3 UFO-INFO Journal of GESAG ed. Jacques Bonabot. A valuable source of French language material.

4 MUFOB Vol 5:3, Winter 1972-73, Introduction to INTCAT gives a basic survey of the early history of the project.

5 NUFOB - New Series 6 - gives details of the new reference systen used.

6 Recent developments in France are indicating that a fair percentage of the CE III cases of that period are hoaxes. If the number of negative type $I$ cases is as high as $80 \%$ (Lagrade's estimaie) then one must assume that the laws of ufology derived from that wave are properties of the records themselves. (Source of data Jacques Bonabot and Alain Garrard - Personal Communications).

7 Oliver, Norman $T$ - Sequel to Scoriton, 1968.
8 The Fencehouses case. NUFON News No 33. (Reference added to the original).

9 Clark Jexome. A weird encounter in Utah FSR Vol l6, No. 5 p 21 (plus personal communications from Jerome Clark).

10 The Oxford Maine abduction case, see various authors in FSR Vol 22 No 2.

11 Vlierden, Carl var, Escorted by UFOs from Unumma to Beit Bridge. FSR Vol 21 No 2 p3.

NUFON News No 27 p 8.
13 Rogerson, Peter and Rimmer, John. Visitors in tine Night. MUFOB New Series No. 4.

14 Green, Celia. Out of the Body Experiences. Ballantine, 1973.

15 Green, Celia. Lucid Dreans. Hamish Hamilton 1969.
16 Green, Celia and McCreery, Charles. Apparitions Hamish Hamilton 1975.

## REFERENCES

17 Green, Celia. The decline and fall of Science. Hamish Hamilton 1976.

18 Tart, Charles (ed) Altered States of Consciousness. Wiley, 1969.

19 Le Shan, Lawrence. The mediun, the mystic and the physicist. Turnstone, 1974.

20 Le Shan, Lawrence. Alternate Realities. Sheldon Press, 1976.

21 Clark, Jerome and Coleman, Loren. The Unidentified. Warner 1975.

## BUFORA CONFERENCE 1976

THE ALPHABET OF UFO
REPORTS

J WEBBER

## THE ALPHABET OF UFO REPORTS

This session is one of the preliminary 'get-togethers', and its object is to get you thinking about the very important first moves in the general study of recording UFO events.

When one starts to learn a language, the first essential is its alphabet, both written and phonetic.

Now what is the UFO Alphabet? It is the letters that make up the words without which we cannot communicate our visual and mental impressions to others. We all probably think we know these letters, but I wonder if we really do.

Most reports come to us from other people, which only makes things more dirficult. Let's suppose, therefore, that we ourselves observe a UFO. It's a UFO because we haven't yet identified it. What should we do? What should we first record? And how proceed onvards?

Well, we want to know three things: When it happened; Where it happened; and How it happened.

Having recorded these points we must then try to collect as much ancilliary information as possible so that we can later consider What happened, and perhaps Why.

Now, the first three interrogatives are of basic importance, and, because they appear so simple, rerit far more attention than we usually give them at present. This is because from then we may be able to deduce further facts.

I am making no apology for labouring these points because we are ail too well aware that most sightings appear to be isolated incidences, and we ought to consider whether this impression is real, or whether it is due to imperfect recording methods.

Now we must become more specific and practical. Most incidents appear to occur unexpectedly when we are least prepared. As an observer our first duty is to answer the first question, when? So, note down the tine by watch, and as soon as possible check the watch with a standard time signal, Radio or TIM. Note down any discrepancy, and the adjusted time stating the method of checking, and always add a symbol showing whether it is Greenwich or Sumer. ( $G$ or 5 ). This is essential around the period of the Spring and Autumn time changes, but should be standard procedure always. Furthermore, use the 24 hour clock which is normal in all disciplined records nowadays. Any Date in a year begins at the instant of midnight at 00 hours, and ends 24 hours later at 24 hours which is naturally 00 hours of the next day. (if using minutes as well two noughts must be added to each figure $\}$. So much for when, now Where.

Most published reports give some sort of topographical point where the sighting was made. Readable, but too vague for study purposes. The best, and shortest description is the National Grid Reference of the spot. O.S. maps are overprinted with the Grid and instructions for its use are given at the bottom. On the 1 " to 1 wile and 1 in 50,000 scales the reference is to be nearest 100 metres, but these can be read and given to 50. metres by the addition of $\frac{1}{2}$ symbol after the third and sixth figures. On 1 in 25,000 scale with a paiz of dividers a position can be given to 10 metres. The reference then contains two prefix letters and eight figures. Heights above Mean Sea Level can be estimated from the contour lines.

Now you may say, Is this accuracy really necessary? Well, it takes very little time, and if, by a lucky chance, two sightings of the same object are obtained fxom different points, a cross bearing can be plotted, which then shows the spot on the ground vertically below the object, its Ground Zero. And if, at the same time an angular height of object is measured, linear height above Mean Sea Level can be calculated. Furthermore, if 2 or more $G$ Z's are plotted an accurate course is shown, and
provided a time of observation is recorded for each bearing, angular and linear speed calculated.

So now we're well into the next question, How? The rest of How depencs on visual observation and this is best recorded by a running commentary on tape. The Time element here mus $t$ be provided by including time checks (without stopping the tape) during observation at least at the start and finish, and also where there are changes of speed, at which tige bearings should also be taken.

This is an ideal to be aimed at and presupposes a prepared position and a simple instrument for measuring bearings and elevations. I have here a mark i D.I.Y.S. Instrument which you can study later. It has the advantage of recording direction and height while it is used, and only needs the time element added verbally into a running tape. So all this can, with a little practice be carried out by one person, although two would be preferable for the collection and checking of the further details we hope to obtain.

But we can't walk about with it under our arm on the chance of something happening. So what should we do then?

Most of us carry a watch, a diary, and pen. So we can record time without trouble. And, after the incident, without shifting our position, we can make a note of where we stood. During daylight we can =elate positions in the sky to points on the skyline. Angular heights can be measured by using our pen or other suitable object, and such data should be noted down immediately. Then, later a return visit with instruments to the exact spot will allow us to translate the noted data into degrees etc with reasonable accuracy.

By night we are just as well off with a skyline, and also a ready made grid of reference, provided the sky is clear, the Stars, Get to krow the Constellations; it's quite simple. really if you buy, and use frequently, a pocket Star Guide

Book, which will show the stellar appearance for each month of the year. You will then be able to practise on any satellite or aircraft that obliges, plotting their courses through various star groups.

The same basic procedure can be used when investigating Fress or other reports, by setting up a Post on the sighting spot.

Now I'm going to turn from the theoretical to a particular case that shows why I consider the foregoing so important. It is one of the few I've come across in years of reading reports. It was published in FSR Case Histories Supplement 15, June 73, entitled "The Los Angeles Basin Sightings", and written by Ann Druffel.

In all there were 5 sightings of the same objects from 5 different towns at least 5 miles apart. The Tabulation opposite gives all the relevant data as reported. Fror the Tab. I made a rough sketch map of the relative positions of the towns, and studiying the sketch and Tab together showed the lucky chance that the best information came from 3 towns and could be used for cross-plotting.

First. Points to note from the Tab
(1) The two more Northerly places report direction of transit slightly different from the remainder
(2) Two witnesses at La Canada were astronomy experts.
(3) The Los Angeles sighting passed "directly overhead" West to East
(4) Los Angeles and La Canada give observed transit arcs of $45^{\circ}$ to $50^{\circ}$.
(5) The estimated period taken to transit this arc at La Canada is $20 x$ longer than at Los Angeles (5 minutes to 15 secs.)

## ESTIMATION OF HEIGHI OF FLIGHT PATH

Here is a sketch map showing relative ground positions of the three towns and the distances between them.
(A) is Los Angeles: Course $W-E, 0 / h$ so we will draw a meridian and a parallel
(G) 9 miles N of (A) is Glendale: draw a meridian and a parallel
(C) 7 miles NE of (G) is La Canada: draw a meridian
$(X)$ is the point where the parallel from (G) cuts the neridian from ( $C$ ), and ( $Y$ ) the point where parallel from (A) cuts
Since $O C$ is bearing NE angle $C G X=45^{\circ}$ and therefore $G X=c X=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(7)^{2}=}=5 \mathrm{mi}$ approx $C Y=5+9 \mathrm{mi}=14 \mathrm{mi}$

## HEIGHT TRIANGLE

$\mathrm{ACO}=80^{\circ} \quad \mathrm{AC}=14 \mathrm{mi}$
$\mathrm{AO}=14 \tan 80^{\circ}=79.4 \mathrm{mi}$ approx

We can ignore the earth's curvature since it is only $\frac{2}{3}$ of a foot $\times 14^{2}$ feet
Similarly we can find the length of the observed transit
path at Los Angeles as 68 mi
Est. time of transit $=15 \mathrm{sec}$
Thus speed $=\frac{60}{15} \times 60 \times 68 \mathrm{mph}=16,320 \mathrm{mph}$
If we take the La Canada time as more accurate it would be I/20th of this or 816 mph .

Lastly I had a go at the linear distance apart of the pairs of lights. This distance of the flight patch from (C) was approx 80 mi and the angle $7^{\circ}$. This seems to give a separation of about 10 mi . Rather too far apart for lights on the same object? (Separation formula $=2\left[\right.$ dist $\left.: \tan \left(\frac{\text { angle }}{2}\right)\right]$

Now I'm going to take a step backwards and show the simplicity and accuracy of using the National Grid for recording positions on the ground.

Here is a representation of the Grid as it appears on OS maps. It simply consists of vertical and horizontal lines making up squares. Tine main lines are 100 Km apart and each 100 km square is given a pair of letters for recognition, and each sheet shows at the botton the lettered square or squares in which the area is situated.

The numbers round the edges of the map show the number of Kris. East or Noth of what is called the Origin of the Grid. (This is a point in the Atlantic $S W$ of the Isles of Scilly).

The lettered 100 Km squares are sub-divided into 10 Km squares which thenselves are divided into 1 Ka squares, and these are the smallest shown on the sheets. However, they are easily subdivided by eye on the $\mathrm{I}^{\prime \prime}$ or $1 / 50,000$ scales into 100 metre units.

Here is a point $P$ whose $N G R$ we wish to read. We start at the botton left-hand corner of the map and go along until we reach the Grid line next bes゙ore $P$ and note its number. Then we climb until we reach the rext grid line below $P$ and, leaving a gap after our note of the previous number, note down its number. This brings us to the botton left-hand corner of the 1 Kn square in which $P$ is situated.

Now, either by eye, or by using a moveable scale, (or Romer), we estimate first the tenths of a square eastwards to $P$ and add its number to the first two written domn, and then do the same going in a Northerly direction, again writing it down. Finally we prefix this six-figure number with the letters shown at the boitom of the map. Thus we now have the full Grid reference of $P$ to the nearest one-tenth (or 100 m ) of a km . This reference is unique in the British Isels, and can be used on all OS and some other maps which use the 100 Km Grid. (The AA Members Handbook maps are so overprinted even though the scale is $6 \frac{1}{2}$ miles to $1^{\prime \prime}$ ).

On the new $1 / 50,000$ and larger scales, a pair of dividers can be used which enables the reference to be read to lo metres, although on these scales the Reference must contain 8 , instead of 6 figures.

We've now reached a point at which you can join in, so if you have any points to raise I'll do my best to answer.

## ADDENDUM

The beauty of this Grid Ref．is that not only does it enable you to find the position of a place on the map，but also that，given 2 or more Grid refs．you can find quite simply and without using rulers or protractors，or even a map， the bearings and distances between them．For bearings the formula is $\tan X^{\circ}=\frac{d N}{d E}$ and the distance between them is $\delta_{O N^{2}+S E^{2}} \mathrm{Km} \quad(S N=$ difference in Northing；$S E=$ distance in Eastings）or having found the bearing you can get that distar： by using the sin or cos formulae．

Taking this one stage further，given the Grid references of 2 sighting points and the bearings or the object from them you can find its $G \mathcal{G}$ ，and also its bearing and distance from any other Grid Ref．

And if you＇ve found its $G \mathcal{Z}$ ，and know its angular elevation， you have its height．And if you lnow its angular size you can find its linear size．Again，given 2 bearings from one spot and the time lapse between then，you have its speed．

| LOS MEWES BASIN SICHTINGS 3．4．73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | OhACX | Ȯ゙ARINC， | DISTA MES | Fran－ |  | IST ELEVIT |  |  |  |  |
| 1400ー $1915$ |  | Nuv | mitss 12 | GUNOALS |  | $23^{0}$ | $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{H}$ | $25^{\circ}$ | － |  |
| －n－ | LA CANADA | $N \sqrt{2}$ | 7 | － n － | ALU－S $\sqrt{2}$｜ | Socoil | $3{ }^{\circ}$ | － |  <br>  | ${ }^{4}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1910 \\ & -1420 \end{aligned}$ | WA CASESCINTA | NW | 5 | －n－ | $\omega-\underline{\square}$ | － | － | － | － |  |
| 1910 | GMrend The | N | 9 | LOSANGELSK | W－E！ | 75 | $\sim$ | 35 | － | C |
| $1905=$ $1925$ | hos Angichrs | － | － | － | $\omega$ に | 75 | $0 / 17$ | $100^{\circ}$ | 10－13 Siscs | ＊ |
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It was not until the early 1950 's that pioneer ufologists began to systematically collect and chronologically arrange UFO reports on an appreciable scale. Once begun, however, the advantages of processing reports in that way became quickly apparent, one of the earliest important realizations being that UFO activity occu= periodically in "waves". All subsequent systenatic processing $0=$ UFO reports has not only confirmed the reality of these waves bu: has shown that they have continued rigint down to our own era. One of the findings of the ill-fated Condon Committee concerned the virtual impossibility of predicting where and when future UFO waves will occur ${ }^{(1) \text {. Indeed, occurrences of waves at }}$ specific points in time have almost always only been determined long aftex the wes themselves have passed, recognition of thei= actual occurrence usually coming through later accumulations of sighting reports for the relevant periods. An especially good illustration of such "hindsight recognition " was the enormous UFO wave of 1947, the real extent of which remained unknown until 1967 when the researches of Ted Bloecher disclosed its true magnitude ${ }^{(2)}$. As the physical accumulation of UFO reports for practically any period is both geographically uneven and temporally erratic, being normally dependent upon publication and/or exchange of information by the mass media and the various UFO organizations, it is easy to appreciate why recognition of the occurrence of UFO waves is often far from imediate. This, of course, is a very unsatisfactory state of affairs. If the past endeavours of a large percentage of ufologists form a reliable index of their prime interests, then it can be trutnfull: stated that the holding of skywatches loons large on their agenda. Although no statistics are known for the nuraber of sloywatches actually promoted since 1947 (when the existence of UFOs first became generally known) or for the types of skywatch venues selected, it is easy enough to see why all sliywatches should be scheduled directly in relation to the known UFO record. This observation applies with equal force to local, national, and international skywatches. Unfortunately, the majority of skypatch organizers seem to be either unaware of this requirement or persistently ignore it. Accordingly, skywatch results are frequently mediocre and sometimes downight poor - even on
occasions when favourable viewing conditions prevail. An example was the recently reported skywatch of July 5-6, $3975^{(3)}$, which, though well equipped and undoubtedly well intentioned, was doomed to failure before it began because it was almost certainly held during the wrong month: the result was that no UFOs were sighted at all.

PREDICTION 2 - In my estimation, most skywatches have been and are staged on the wrong dates, whereby much time, not to mention effort and finance, is expended to very little good exfect. The degree to which many would-be UFO spotters become disillusioned on these occasions must also be very high.

Ideally of course, skywatches should be arranged to coincide with UFO waves or with periods when such waves may be reasonably expected to occur. Obviously, the likelihood of actually sighting UFOs then would be much greater than during most of the present arbitrarily arranged skywatches. The successful dovetailing of skywatches with UFO waves, however, requires some reliable method of forecasting when and where future UFO waves will be most likely to take place. Although our presert ability to predict where future UFO waves will occur is still almost nil, indications are beginning to emerge that suggest when such waves will probably occur. The development of these indications into a workable forecasting method should not only be useful to all skywatch orgarizers but ought to be of general interest to ufologists everywhere. The remainder of this paper is devoted to a consideration of them.

## 0000000000000000000000000000

The emerging indications just alluded to have resuited from recent statistical comparisons made between the contents of UFOCAT and WUFOC, the two largest collections Of UFO reports currently available. UFCCAT, is a computerized catalogue ot over 85000 chronologically listed reports compiled by Dr David Saunders of the Centre for UFO Studies in Chicago, and WUFOC is the World UFO Catalogue of over 43000 chrono-
logically arranged reports assembled by the Data Research division of Contact (UK) in Oxford. Although both these sources are incomplete, partially overlap, and are constantyy being adced to, it is nonetheless felt that the record tiney collectively represent constitutes a truly comprehensive crosssection of Uro activity as a whole, and as such, pernits valid conclusions to be reached through in-clepth sturizes of their contents. The conclusions presented at the end af this paper have been based upon just such studies.

For various reasons, it seems sensible to treat the overall UFO record in two sections - the HODERN era commencing January lst, 1947, and the HISTORICAL era ending Decenber 3Ist, 19it6. Or these, the former is by far the best documented and embraces the largest number of lenown reports, winich, if expressed as a graph produces the following UNO activity profile (see fig l). This profile, of course, is based upon global statistics. It is immediately seen that this profile is typified by a series of peaks and troughs, the peaks corresponding to the aforenentioned UFO waves. Advancing from 2947 we find that peaks occurred not only in l947 itself but also in l950, 1952, 1954, 1956, 1957, 1962, 1964, 1965/6, 1967, 1968, 1972, 1973/4 anc, apparently in 1974/5. Our knowledge of UFO activity during 1974/5 is still rather deficient as many reports are still being received for that period.

At first glance there seems to be littie consistent pattern to the distribution of these peaks; but ir we probe deeper it is possible to distinguish two apparently separate patterns - an approximate lo-year cycle represented by the peaks of l947, 1957, and 1967 and an apparent 6 -year cycle comprising four peaks separated from one another by intervals averaging two years. Thus, the peaks of 1950, 1952, 1954, and 1950 form one 6 -year cycle, and the peairs of 1962, 1964, 1965/6 and 1968 another, Very probably the pealcs of $1972,1973 / 4$ and 1074/5 form part of another but as yet uncompleted 6-year cycle that will presunably erid sometine about l978. Or interest is the fact that the $10-y e a r$ and 6-year cycles are out-of-phase with one another, or at leat appear to be so to us.
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For the sake of convenience we will henceforth refer to the UFO activity peaks typifying the lo-year cycle as TYPE A waves, and those exemplifying the 6-year cycle as TYpE B waves.

As the exactperiodicity of the Irpe B waves is still uncertain, it seens sensibie for the remainder of this paper to concentrate upon the more readily identifiable fYPE A waves. Eefore leaving TYPE B waves aside, however, it may be significant that the largest concentrations of recorded UFO landings and ufonaut cases have tended to occur during TYPE B maves, not during TYPE A waves. 1952, 1954, 1964, 1963, 1973 and 1974/5 when heavy concentrations of iandings and UFO occupant cases occurred, were all TYpE $B$ waves:

## PREDICTION 3

The reality of an approximate lo-year cycle for TYPE A waves is apparently confrirmed if the known UFO activity predating 1967 is also expressed as a graph profile. Figure 2 shows the UFO record plotted in this way back to $A D 1700$. Since the records spanning the 1700 to 1946 period generally fall below preferred standards and are fiar from satisfactory, little seens to be gained by attempting extensions of the activity profile back to still earliex times. Despite their overall paucity, however, the pre-l047 records probably represent a reasonable sample of the UFO activity that actually occurred then, and do seen to indicate a series of recurrent pealis separated by intervals fluctuating between ten and eleven years. The almost clockworklike periodicity of the post-l947 TYPE A waves probably derives from our immensely more detailed knomledge of then. Nere the pre-1947 UFO records as detailed then perhaps the intervals vould be nearer 10 than 11 years, right back to $A D 1700$. It should nonetheless be noted that the 1947 TYPE A wave peaked in early July, that the 1957 TYPE A wave peaked in November, and that the 1967 TYPE A wave peaked in Anerica during March and April and in Europe during the letter half of October.



Fig. 3

## PREDICIION 3 (CONIINUED)

In my submission an approximate lo-year cycle for Type A Uro waves does indeed seen to be traceable in the inown UFO record to as far back as AD 1700. On such a basis it is possible to predict that future MrPe A waves should occur in 1977 (or 1973), in 1907 (or 1988 ) and in 1907 (ox 1903), dependent upon whether or not 10 or li year intervals occur between then.

## PREDICEION 4

The derermination of an apparent lo-year UFO cycle from bighly emotive raw haterial (ie UFO reports) is scarcely strong enough to stand on its own without corroboration from one or nore other sources, and an attempt has been inade to find such confirmation elsewhere.

As the UFO phenomenon seemingly takes place against a natural astrophysical/geopinysical bacleground a general appraisal of the many elements constituting those fields has been undertaken, in the hope that the desired confirmation would be forthcoming from that direction. Although most enquiries have produced littie or no heloful data on this matter, one line of evidence has proved to be unexpectedly promising. This is the Solar sunspot cycle, which although averaging il. 1 years, filuctuates between 9.7 years and 14 years (in 1790) if the official sunspot figures published in astronomical literature (4; 5; and 6) are consulted. Sunspot cycles, if expressed as a graph back to 1 D 1700 (to coincide with our sampling of the krown UFO record), provide the following profile (see fig. 3).

If, by adding the pre-l947 UFO activity profile to the sunspot profile, a comparable series of peaks and troughs are discovered, then, because the periodicity of both sets of phenomena is closely similar, the natural sumspot cycle can be used as a yardstick, so as to speak, against which to judge the apparently artificially induced uro waves (in particular the TYPE A maves). In point of fact the periodicity of sunspot maxima coincides to an astonishing degree bith that of TYPE A UFO waves. These are shown as the upper profile in figure 3. Faced with this degree
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In my submission an approximate lo-year cycle for TYPE A URO waves does indeed seen to be traceable in the known UFO record to as far back as $A D$ I700. On such a basis it is possible to prectict that future TVPE A waves should occur in 1977 (or 1978), in 1907 (or 1988 ) and in 1907 (or 1993), dependent upon whether or not 10 or 12 year intervals occur between then.

## PREDICTION 4

The deternination of an apparent lo-year UFO cycle from highly enotive raw material (ie UFO reports) is scarcely strong enough to stand on its own without corroboratior fron one or more other sources, and an attempt has been made to find such confirmation elsewhere.

As the UFO phenomenon seeningly takes place against a natural astrophysical/geophysical background a general appraisal of the many elements constituting those fields has been undertaken, in the hope that the desired confirmation would be forthcoming from that direction. Although most enquiries have produced little or no helprul data on this ratter, one line of evidence has proved to be unexpectedly pronising. This is the Solar sunspot cycle, which although averaging Il.l years, fluctuates between 9.7 years and 14 years (in 1790) iff the official sunspot figures published in astrononical literature (4; 5; and 6) are consulted. Sunspot cycles, if expressed as $\exists$ graph back to AD 1700 (to coincide with our sampling of the known UFO record), provide the following profile (see fig. 3).

If, by adding the pre-1947 UFO activity profile to the sunspot profile, a comparable series of peaks and troughs are discovered, then, because the periodicity of both sejs of phenomena is closely similar, the natural sunspot cycle can be used as a yardstick, so as to speak, against which to judge the appaxently artificially induced UFO waves (in particular the TYPE A waves). In point of fact the periodicity of sunspot maxima coincides to an astonishing degree "ith that of TYPE A UFO waves. These are shown as the upper prorile in figure 3. Faced with this degree

## PREDICTION 4 (CONTINUED)

of coincidence it is difficult to dismiss such close similarities. Since both phenomena apparently coincide quite veli temporally, the sunspot cycles can, I suggest, henceforti be used to help predict when future TYPE A UFO waves will occur.

This paper does NOT suggest that UFOs and sunspots have a comon cause or origin, but would recomnend that more detailed work be attempted with regard to the apparent coincidence between the two cycles. The consistent and pe:sistent recurrence of both in such renarkable unison over the past 250 years certainly indicates the possibility of a real connection of some kind existing between the two. These factors alone confim the reality of recurrent TYPE A UFO waves.

## PREDICIION 5

In conclusion, therefore, $i t$ is asserted that Uro tiaves are not only well known but have been occurring for centuries, that two apparently separate cycles occur - a lo-year one the peaks of which ive have called TYPE A waves and a 6-year one the peaks of which : He have called IYPE $B$ waves. It has been further noticea that the majority of skywatches are staged on occasions when no UFO waves occur, with consequently poor results. The use of UFO wave periodicity infornation should be attempted by skywatch planners, and a suggested method of Eorecasting future UFO waves (especially TYPE A waves) has been submitted for their guidance.

TYPE A waves apparently occur every 10 years or so, ard the next waves in that category, it has been suggested, will probably occur in 1977 or 1978. Later TYPE A waves are expected to occur in 1987 or 1988 and in 1997 or 1998. As 1977 is now but a short may off it is also strongly recommended that concerted efforts be made to stage co-ordinated skywatches fron the summer months of I977 onvards, since available evidence indicates that TYPE A waves generally occur between the months of June and December. Obviously the largest number of field observers active diring those months should collectively stand an excellent chance of raking truly wortimhile observations. Finally, as no method appears to presently exist with regard to where the next טro

Maves will occur, it is stressed that if every urologist in Britain takes to the field from June onivards next year it does not necessarily follow that numerous UFOs will be signted then over Britain. It could very well be that the centre of the nest IYPE A wave will be in some foreign locality. Nonetheless we must at least try to put as many observers as possible into the field then and to co-ordinate their viewing and reporting activities. The possibilities are strong and the results could be exciting.

J B DELAIR
AUGUST 1976
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# CLOSE ENCOUNTERS OF THE THIRD KIND 
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## ABSTRACI

Close encounters of the third kind, or reports of humanoid entities seen usually in association with UFOs, are described and case examples of one year ago are cited. Background for CE III reports is outlined and a clarification of ambiguous terminology is suggested. Basic entity types are organized by size, and recurrent features of appearance and behavior are discussed, including activities, commanication, abductions, physical effects, etc. Association of CE III's with witnesses in vehicles, and distribution of reports geographically and with wave peaks are reviewed. A classification for association of entities with UFOs is suggested, as well as a simple method of rating CE III reports, based on completeness of case reporis. The Humanoid Study Group and the Humanoid Catalogue are briefly described.
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Paper prepared for delivery At the BUFORA UFO Conference Held in Birmingham, England November 5-7,1976
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Just one year ago, during autum 1975, a number of unusual events that involved strange humanoid beings reportedly occurred in North Anerica within a period of a few weeks. These and other similar experiences, bizarre in content and uncertain of apparent meaning, are the source of our subject matter at this conference in Birminghan, England, under the sponsorship of the British URO Research Association (BUFORA). They are Close Encounters of the Third Kind, as described by Dr J Allen Hynelc of Northwestern University, and Director of the Center for UFO Studies in Evanston, Illinois. I These reports are the continued source of great disbelief, confusion and controversy; even so, they could represent new empixical evidence of events that may have far-reaching significance for the human race. They may be the visitations of alien civilisations or they nay be, as some researchers ae suggesting, poorly understood manifestations of the human psyche about which there is much to discover. Whatever their source, Close Encounters of the Third Kind (or CE III's) continue to be reported and in greater numbers than ever besore; not only are there more reports, the "strangeness" content or the reports has escalated as well. The events that took place just one year ago illustrate this succinctly:

- . Early in November, a moman and hex daugnter, driving home along a dark street adjacent to the Coosa River in Birmingham, Alabana, saw standing by the side of the road two enornous figures at least eight feet tall. They wore anklehigh silvexy shoes and their clothing was close-fitting, or a dull silver color. The woman, who was driving, did not have as good a look at these peculiar beings as her daughter, who described them as "wearing helmets of some kind" on their heads. The fronts of these helmets either picked up reflections from the car's headlights, or they had self-luminous surfaces. The figure standing nearest the road held one arm over his head. The wonen were startled by their unusual appearance and, swerving in the road, stepped on the gas and hurried by without stopping to investigate. ${ }^{2}$
. . A young woman, driving home from work on October lif at 5.30 an, near Peers, Alberta, (Canada), saw what she first believed was a cattle truck parked in the road ahead with its lights on. As she drove closer she saw that it was an object standing in the road witi two "men" standing motionless on top, each holding a long rod or staff in his hands. The figures were wearing helmets on their heads and she could not see their faces. She drove hurriedly by and, at home (about one-half mile away), got two additional witnesses and returned inmediately to the site; when they arrived, Iess than ten minutes later, there was nothing to be seen of either the men or the object. ${ }^{3}$
. . An elderly couple living in the Nilwawee (Visconsin) suburb of Vaumatosa were at home in the evening of November 10 when their doorbell rang. The wise answered the door and found, standing on the steps, a strange "man" wearing a narrow-brimmed hat with a brown face "like smoked meat" marked with numerous vertical grey lines; his chin was narrow and his mouth merely a snall opening no more than a quarter-inch wide. He was holding a white rod five feet long. When he did not respond to her queries, the wonan called her husband; upon seeing hion the mar said, 'What the hell is this, something left over fror trick or treat?" and made a grab for the figure. As he reached Sonvard, the entity hit the ground with the rod, making a "click", and immediately glided backwards out of reach. At this, the man went into a kind of shock; before retiring to the couch, he and his wife saw at ieast four other similar beings on the lawn and in the street, moving about in long slow jumps, "like the astronauts on the moon", hitting the ground with their rods ard then floating several inches above the lawn. They looked deformed, "Iike gnomes", with claw-like hands and bowed legs. As the being who rang the bell drifted across the lawn, he raised an arn to the witnesses, displaying a bent hanc. Although the husband had a close look at his face, the man was unable to recall any details except the small mouth. There were no reports of UFOS in the area. ${ }^{4}$
- . Two youths, driving near Poland Springs, Maine, on October 27 at 2.30 dm , found they no longer had control over their car. As the vehicle proceeded over a back road near a lake they saw a large cylindrical object rise up over a field. A number of strange events occurred, including the presence $0=$ a fog or mist which enveloped the car. They finally drove aiva: but later, under an irresistible impulse, the youths retirned to the site and again observed this, as well as another objec: When they returned hone at down, they were unable to account íc= a missing period of time, or for some odd physical effects that began to plague one of the youths. Under hypnotic regression several weelrs later, this young man recalled being renoved fro:the car somehow and fir:ding himself, in the next instant, looking down from a porthole-like window aboard the craft at his car and his companion, who was still inside. He was then confronted by a four-and-a-half foot being of strange appearans who commanicated with him telepathically, telling him not to t: afraid. He was led into another roon where he was instructed $t$ : undress, and was then subjected to a physical examination by four similar beings. Blood samples were taken and a machine with dials "scanned" his body; he was then instructed to dress and next found hinself back in the car with his comparion, who seemed to have been unaware of his absence. The youth had beer. told they would see him again. The experience was followed by strange disturbing physical effects, which passed after a perion of time. The youth and his family reported seeing UFOs on numerous occasions thereafter; other strange events too comple: and involved to include in this sunnary were associated with the jouth and his farily. The experience occurred during a spate or reported UFO sightings in the area. ${ }^{5}$
. . . On November 5, about 6.30 am, seven forestry markers riding in a truck about l2 miles from Fleber, Arizona, observed a UFO at close range over acelearing near the road. One of the men, Travis Walton, junped out of the trucic and ran toward the object; as he approached, a bean of ligit from the UFO struck hir, lifting him into the air and then felling hir. The others drove off in a blind panic; some miles ahead, thinking better of their reaction, they turned around and drove back to the site finding neither Valton or the object. Five days later Nalton
called his family from a telephone booth outside Heber, where he was picked up, unshaven and exhausted, with a puncture mark on his arn. He said that he had come to, apparently inside the UFO where he found hinself lying on a table and surrounded by five-foot beimgs that looked like "well-developed fetuses", having ro clear facial features except for vexy large, wideset eyes. Walton panicked and struck out at the beings, then ran out of the roon. In another room he encountered a normal human being wearing a blue coverall and a helnet, who gestured to the youth to cone with him. He was led into what appeared to be an enormous "hangar" containing several disc-like UFOs. He was led aboard another craft where he saw several other human beings - two men and a wonan - likewise wearing blue clothing. There a mask-like device was placed over his face and he knew nothing more until he found imself lying on a highray outsice of Heber, five days later, with the UFO departing as though having just left him at the site. Many of the above details emerged during subsequent hypnotic sessions with the witness. ${ }^{6}$

Of all the above reports the last, being widely publicized here and abroad, turns out to be the most highly controversial. The complications are too numerous to include in this sumary, but the case is by no means closed. These five examples do provide, however, a fair sampling of the kind or CE III reports with which we now find ourselves grappling. Dr Hynek has described a paradoxical situation:

We have too many sightings, not too few . . . We are, frankly, embarrassed by our riches". ${ }^{7}$ Likevise, Dr David Saunders, of the University of Chicago, now has close to 90000 case entries in his computerized UFO catalogue, UFOCat. The data are so plentiful, in fact, that the serious UFO researcher is obliged to select sone aspect or portion of the phenomenon to exanine in microcosm. Ted Phillips, for example, has chosen Close Encounters of the Second Kind (=ases in which ground traces, residues and physical efrects have been reported) to examine in detail. ${ }^{8}$ Fred Merritt has
confined his research to an even narrower selection of CE II reports - those trace cases limited to reports in which only leg-marks have been left at the site. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (This includes, of course, some CE III reports as well).

Close-range encounters, then, appear to be the lind of UFO experience with the greatest clata-yield potential and the focus of our attention, rather than Iandon night lights of vague and often spurious description. Of the close-range reports, CE III's have been the focus of my orm recent research. Reports of humanoid entities, seen most often in association with an midentified objecti, are as old as the UFO report itself. For example, wile researching newspaper material in libraries around the United States on the first large-scale US wave in l9a7, I came upon three CE III's that had been reported in local newspapers and nowhere else. 10 Even though they were scantily reportcd, with a heavy tongue planted firaly in cheek, I find these early examples especially significant in view of the fact that in $10 \wedge 7$ there just was no precident for this type report. Actwally, there were precident although they were not recalled in the press coverage of "flying saucers" in 19¢7: 50 years earlier the great "airship" wave took place in the United States and of the hundreds of observations that were reported in the newspapers of 1396 and 1597, more than 50 of these describe "aeronauts" that were seen in association with the airship appearances. ${ }^{l l}$ In ny own files currently there are more than 1400 CE III case references from all over the world and dating back to the 1896-1897 wave.

CE III reports, then, also provide us with "an enoarrassment of riches". But for a long tine, serious researchers anci some organizations dealt only gingerly (or not at all) with humanoid reports, and then only after applying usually subjective criteria for acceptance. During the 1960 s there was a dramatic increase in the nunbers of CE III experiences and researchers (Iike myself; were compelled to re-examine humanoid reports, often with a sense of Irustration and, in some instances, with no little feeling of embarrassment.

Notwithstanding the layman's incredulity to such reports, CE III's are a significant subset of case material to study in that the chance of mis-identification of conventional or natural sources is reduced to a minimum: these are close-range encounters, usually with structured objects of specific detail that often leave traces at the site or produce physical effects upon people and machinery. They can be accounted for in three ways:
(1) as a hoax (either the witness is lying or he is the victirn of someone else's practical joke)
(2) as a temporary delusion or hallucination - or in the case of multiple witnesses, group psychosis, or
(3) the experience was real and the witness reports his perception of it as accurately and honestly as he is able.

Another important aspect of the CE III is the fact that the amount of information inherent in such a report (data-yield potential) is considerably more than for UFO cases of vague and ambiguous description. Finally, if we surmise that the phenomena are real, appearing to be structured devices under intelligent control, then it is only logical to assume that on at least some occasions the intelligence that controls these objects must be reported; as this happens to be precisely the case, then the reported "intelligences" should bear our closest scrutiny.

Two additional points must be made: there are many well-documented CE III reports in which credible witnesses have described humanoid figures; preliminary analyses of these reports clearly indicate patterns of recurrent detail. (See, for example, the five cases cited above, in which helmets and rod-like impleaents appear twice; two abductions occur; menory loss occurs three times; etc). Careful exarination of CE III reports and, particularly, the witnesses who make them, can contribute significantly to the clarification of the UFO enigma. It requires thoroughness, objectivity, and a certain degree of skepticism. The approach, until now, has been essentially hapnazard with few stringent
guide-lines to follow and in the main we have had to depend upon the resourcefulness of the individual investigator.
This "pot-luck" approach is no longer sufficient or appropriate in the collection and analyses of hunanoid reports; to secure the detailed information we so urgently need, strict minimum standards of procedure must be adhered to. A valuable step in this direction has been made by MUFON, which now has available, for a minimun charge, a useful handbook for UFO field investigators; this was prepared by Raynond Fowler, HUFON 's Director of Investigations. 12

## CLARIFICATION OF TERMINOLOGY

Anyone lnowing anything about humanoid reports is aware of the confusion in terminology. One reason, of course, is that each individual researcher has his own ideas not only about terminology, but about orthodoxy as well - that is, which reports are acceptable and which ones should be rejected. For the purpose of clarification, we would like to ofier sone definitions that are derived from experience and usage ard may be helpful and reasonably compatible with the terminology of other researchers.

Reports of humanoid entities seen in association with UFOs are variously described, in the literature, as "occupants", "ittle men", "aliens", "humanoids", "extraterrestrials", "operators", "beings", "UFOnauts", and other colorful terms. The problems are obvious: not all UFO "occupants" acutually occupy the object with which they are associated; nor are the "little men" associated with UFOS always little or always men; not all 'humanoids" are human-like in appearance, and while some of then certainly appear "alien", most of us still do not know for certain that they are "extraterrestrial" in origin; nor can we be sure that a "UFOnaut" is actually the "operator" of, or just a passenger aboard, a UFO. They have been called "beings" but we are uncertain that all of then are living organisms, since some are described as robot-like while still others may be nothing nore substantial than holograns, or projections of living beings. While there are extravagant variations as to size and shape, there is a consensus that most UFO entities appear as humanoid beings, even though sone (such as robots and holograms) may not be actual living organisns. The uFo
entity, then, seems to be the most useful generic term to fall back on. Webster defines entity as: "A being; especially a thing which has reality and distinctness of being, either in fact or for thought". While entity seems the most appropriate generic term, for practical purposes the term "humanoid" applies to the vast majority of reports, and we will at times fall back on that, as in "humanoid report", and "The Hmanoid Catalogue".

Another debatable definition involves the words "contact", or "contactee report". They are terms often used interchangeably with "close encounter", and we would like to suggest a more precise usage for them. A close encounter may or may not involve an actual "contact", or some direct interaction, between the percipient and the entity, such as comprehensible communication between the two. Therefore, we would like to reserve the term "contact" for those close encounter cases in which some specific interaction occurs, either physical, or by communication, whether by voice, telepathy or by physical gesture. A'contactee" is, of course, one who makes a "contact" report; however, the term "contactee report" is by now associated with a particular genre of UFO lore in which the UFO "contactee" has repeated "contacts" with extraterrestrials and, further, talks a lot about it. The classic case in point, of course, is George Adamski. It may be appropriate to reserve "contactee report" for this special kind of example; as this already seems to be the case, we have no quarrel with the usage of the term.

The terms "abduction" and "abduction report" pose no real problem of definition. We are, however, faced with the paradoxical situation of having certain features of the abduction case that are similar to some of the claims of the contactees of earlier years - that is - witnesses are claiming to have been taken aboard an unidentified object and even, in some cases, of having been transported to some strange place, presumably the home of the abductors. The similarities are, in fact, only superficial: unlike the contactees of old, who found their experiences spiritually uplifting, more recent abductees have most often been unwilling participants in an experience that was traumatic in the extreme, and one they did not wish to have repeated. Moreover, unlike the contactees, they have not
hit the lecture circuit following theix experience, nor sought in any way to exploit it. They want, in fact, only to forget it. Many of the abduction cases have remained unpublicized and the witnesses refuse to allow their names to be used in any published record of the experience.

## RECURRENI CHARACTERISTICS OF THE CE III

So far as is known, there have been no comprehensive studies made of all available CE III reports, using computerized techniques. Several extensive studies jave been made, using Iimited data or case material with a broader Iange of phenomenology. Jacques Vallee, for example, has done a computerized study of close-range reports he classifies as Type 1 cases, but these are not limited to only CE III reports; ${ }^{13}$ likewise, Vincente-Juan Ballester Olmos has prepared an extensive study of a selection of Type I cases that have occurred on the Iberian Peninsula. ${ }^{14}$ To dare, the only known study of recurrent features of humanoid reports is Les "Extra-Terrestres" by Jader U Pereira, of Porto Alegre, Argentina. ${ }^{15}$ Piblished in French by GEPA, it is not yet available in English for American researchers.

The observed characteristics of $u F O$ entities can be sunmarized in three categories:
(1) the appearance of the entities
(2) their behavior, and
(3) the physical effects the experience leaves upon the local environment as well as on the witness.

Both appearance and behavior of entities vary widely, leading some researchers to throw up their arms in disinay at the apparent confusion and contradiction or detail; even so, in spite of these conflicting elements, a careful examination of reports discloses specific and recurrent patterns.

APPEARANCE - The most obvious characteristic of appearance seems to be the size, or height, of the entities, which varies from very small 'under a foot' to gigantic lio feet or more'. Howevez, three main categories are apparent:
(1) "divarfs" that average three to four-and-a-half feet tall
(2) "normals" of standard height (or slightly under) and
(3) "giants" of seven feet or more

Vallee classified these categories in $1964^{16}$ and concluded that the behavioral characterisitcs of each class seemed to be a function of their heigit. Dwarfs, for example, tend not to be just small, but also appear in pairs, wearing shiny or silver outfits (les "scaphandriers"), often with helmets, and usually have abnormally large, round eyes.
"Normals", on the other hand, tend to appear in groups af three or more, resemble humans more closely, but sometimes have wide-set ("wrap-around") eyes, rudimentary noses and ears, and slit-like mouths. They are most often seen in diffexent colored coverall-type garments. "Giants", while not so numerous in number, seem to have been reported more often in recent years. In some of these cases, they are described as being particularly ugly and, in several instances, have been described as having only one eye.

Jader Pexeira has refinedVallee's three basic types and has devised an elaborate and definitive classification of humanoids based not only on size and appearance, but on behavior as well; his basic types number 12, with variable sub-types within each group. ${ }^{17}$

A curious feature of many reports is the apparent absence of distinctive racial features, in spite of the reasonably close proximity of the witness to the entity, and detailed descriptions of other bodily features. (See, for example, the Wauwatosa case, cited at the beginning of this paper). Although not always clearly observed, hands of the entities are most often reported to be normal, although there are a growing number of cases reporting the presence of only three or four digits. Claws have been reported in a number of cases, usually as seen on dwarfs; in a few cases (Pascagoula, for example), the hand is replaced by a two-digit, claw-like appendage.

There are a ferw examples of entities that are clearly reported in detail that seem to be entirely unique in appearance, turning up once and never again (to our lnowledge) reported: two of these are the entities reported at Branch Hill, Ohio, in May 1955, and at Kelly, Kentucky, the following August (the now-famous "rlopkinsville" case). Entities appearing more "robot-like" than living beings have been described in numerous instances: a classic example of this type is the Cisco Grove, California, "robot" (seen in association with several humanoid types of being, encountered by bow-and-arrow lounter Donald Shrum in September, 1964.

BEHAVIOR - This is a di土ficult category to define, since we must base our classirication on the apparent, or implied, behavior of the entities. A wide variety of behavior has been reported, much of it inconsistent and perplexing, some of it absurb and manic. It is always possible that what the witness perceives to be happening is not what is occurring at ail. Many close-encounters with humanoids have an extraordinarily "staged" quality about them, with the implication that their apparent behavior was contrived for effect.

Encounters seem to fall into two general categories - intentional and unintentional. In many instances the witness will "happen" upon a situation in which the entities are "discovered" and ther. make a hasty "escape" in their UFO, as though they had been caught at something that ought not to have been seen. This "discover"and escape" behavior has a distinct quality of disingenuity about it: a UFO and its crew, for example, sitting in the middle of a back road at night would certainly be aware of the noise and lights of an approaching car in time to get away, if they did not want to be observed. To betave as though taken by surprise when, at the last minute, the lights of the car fall upon them implies that they dann well wanted to be seen, despite their hasty departure. A classic example of this "discover and escape" scenario (although a daylight sighting) is the encounter at Socorro, New Mexico, in April 1964 by Police Officer Lomie Zamora. ${ }^{18}$

ACITVIIIES - There are a numer ot distinctive aciivities engaged in by entities that witnesses describe again and again. "Sample gathering" is one of these: beirgs are cescribed picking up stones and rocks, or pulling up plants and depositing them in handy carriers. A classic example of-this activity is found in a CE III that occurred just across the Hudson River from Ner York City in North Bergen, New Jersey, in the early roorning hours of January 1975: As the witness drove his car into North Hucson Park, he saw an object swoop down Irom behind and land in a field next to the road just ahead of hin. Driving slowly by, he observed 8 to 11 small entities energe, each with little spades and bags, and commence to dig up soil samples, after which they quickly re-boarded the UFO which then:took off swiftly and silently, leaving the witness utterly flabbergasted. ${ }^{19}$ Another distinctive activity ožten described by witnesses is what appears to be a sort of "repai= overation" undertaken by the entities on their venicle. A detailed account of this type of activity is founc in the Nem Serlin, New York, landing report of November 1964, in which two women watched for Four hours as the crems of two UFOs made elajorate repairs on a unit removed from the bot tou of one of the objects.

ATIITUDES OF THE ENTITES - Uttexly inconsistent is the description that best applies to the attitudes displayed by entities fovard the witnesses in CE III reports. In \#any cases the beings remain entirely oblivious to the presence of tio witress (as in the North Bergin case, cited above); at the othex extreme are those reports in which objects are seen to land from whicin emerge entities who pu=poserully approach the witness, aith a direct interaction between the two occurring. Following this briez "direct confrontation", sometimes involving attempts at comunication (otten unintelligible), the entities re-enter their UFO and take off: mission completed. Cases in which the witnesses' presence is acknowledged by the entities fall into two general categories - passive and active interaction. The "discovery and escape" situation is passive recognition of tine witness coning on the scene, whereas the "direct conirontation" is an example of active interaction. Abduction cases, of course, are a dranatic exarple or active interョction between percipients and UFO entities.

Disappearing entities are not unusual, and the ability to fly and/or to float is a detail found in numerous cases. An early example of the "disappearing" entity was the encounter by multiple witnesses in a gravel-pit at Naxcilly-sur-Vienne, France, on September 30, 1954, when the being under observation was reported to have "dissolved" in front ox the witnesses" eyes; not only that, but the UFO, hovering overhead, also vanished by emitting a heavy fog which, upon dissipating, sliowed no sign of the object at all. 21 Floating appears to be an efficient method of egress and entrance by entities to and from objects hovering overhead, and has been reported on numerous occasions. The ability to float turns up in cases in which no UFO is directly involved: the classic example of this feature can be found in the Kelly, Kentucky, encounters by a farmhouse full of people in August 1955 (the famous "Hopkinsviale Report") in a more recent case, a pair of small silvery beings mas reported by multiple witnesses at Lartford City, indiana, on the night of October 22-23, I973, and in the final observation of the series the entities were seen rising up off the ground and ascending into the nignt skies, finally disappearing from viev. 23 Flying entities, in a variation of floating abilities, can be traced back manyr years. There were a number of 'flying men" reports that came from the state of Washington in the earl: months of 1943. In some instances they have been reported wearing wing-like contraptions and in others there seems to be no need for contrivances such as these. More recently, during August and September 1967, there were a number of reports fron Venezuela of "flying humanoids" of small stature; sone accounts described the entities entering upper-story windows of homes and apartments. Some were seen in association with UFOS, others simply "rlew off" into the night-tine sky, graceful as birds. There is a certain incredulity that lingers about such reports, as can be understood; even so, the accounts exist as reports and are by no means unique, and they must be considered along with other equally unlikely behavior that ieoccurs in CE III cases. ${ }^{24}$

Specific and continued interest in vehicles has been evident in a large number of reports from the earliest period. (See, for example, the five cases cited at the beginning of this paper, of which four involved witnesses who were driving trucks or cars at the time or the encounter). Many CE II and CE III cases include electrical failure of the car's motor and lights which become operative once again upon the departure of the UFO. It is interesting to note that of the 70 "wave" reports documented by David Webb in his report, 1973 - Year of the fumanoids ${ }^{24}$ (from the period September through December), 25 incidents involved witnesses in or associated with vehicles more than a third of the cases for that period. Electromagnetic effects were reported in ten of these cases.

## PHYSICAL EFFECTS

As noted above, physical effects associated with CE II and CE III reports include not only electrical failure of automobile lights and engines but affect electrical appliances and lights in homes, as well. Physical effects occur in UFO witnesses, also: in many cases the witness reports a feeling of dizziness or light-headedness, or a "prickly" sensation when in the close proximity of a UFO; in othe $r$ cases, witnesses have been completely immobilized during the encounter, sometimes as the result of having a beam of light shine on then either by some implements carried by the entity, or from the UFO itseli. Animals react to the close proximity of UFOs in various manners. There are a number of cases, particularly those in which the abduction occurs, when the witness is subject to effects that occur after the encounter is concluded. In some of these cases the psychological effects of the situation have been severe enough to create psychological problems requiring professional treatment, as with the famous Betty and Barney Hill abduction in 1961. We have already mentioned cases in which ground traces, residues and scorched vegetation have been noted at the sites of UFO landings.

Comprehensible commuication reportedly talking place between UFO entity and witness is perhaps one of the most significant features of $C E$ III reports, and an accurate record and analysis of "messages" and the namner in which they are delivered, no matter how absurd the content, can plovide some important clues about the phenomenon. As has already been mentioned, intelligible comunication can be verbal, telepathic, or by gesture. Verbal communication is most often delivered in the natiave tongue of the percipient, sometines articulately and sometimes in a jumbled mixture of comprehensible and monsensical words. On occasion, messages have had a nonsense content that borders on the bizarre: for exanple, during the spate of CE III reports in Venezuela in 1967, one percipient was told, upon being accosted by a diminutive humanoid, that "the earth was cracking" and "they" were here to save the man race. 25 The classic non-seguitur delivered by a UFO entity occurred in France in 1954: asking the witness where he was, the UFOnaut disputed the answer by insisting he was elsewhere; likewise, upon being told the time, he disputed this piece of infornation as well. 26 To take such messages at face value, or course, can be a risky business, for it appears that in certain cases, at least, the entity is lying. While all of these so-called "messages" need to be carefally collected and analysed, their contents must be viewed with a healthy degree or skepticism. These reports underscore the need to know as much about the witness himself as we need to know about the contents of messages by the entities.

## CE III REPORTS AND WAVE PATTERNS

CE III reports correlate well not only with other close encounte= cases but tend to Follow wave patterns both in terms of yearly and geographical distrijution. The UFO waves of 1947, 1950 and 1952 were early waves showing increases in CE III reports simultaneous with peaks of UFO sightings in general. It was not until 1954 that the first truly massive number of CE III incidents was reported, and this occurred during waves or UFO sightings in Europe and in South Anerica. Another wave of
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UFO reports in 1957 was accompanied by another increase of CE III reports, although not on the sane scale as that $0=$ 1954. It was not until the mid-I960's that large-scale numbers of reports, on the order of those from l954, were once again evident; flese were concurrent with the massive UFO waves that took place between 1965 and 1963. 1967 EOI example, produced more CE III reports than l954, but these tended to be distributed over the entire year, with moderate peaks in March (in the United States) and in August and September (in South America). The two most massive wave-peaks occurred in 1954 and in the fall of 1973, in the United States. The latter is described in detail in an excellent report by David Webb, 1973 - The Year of the Fumanoids.

## ASSOCIATION OF ENIIIIES WITH OBJECTS

The precise relationship of the UFO entity with the venicle that presumably bears it has not always been carefully specified and I would like to propose a method of classification that researchers may find helpful in the analysis of exactly what types of experiences occur under what speciffic relationship of entity to UFOs. It has been ny suspicion that a number of dificerent sources may be involved in reports of entities and other strange and unidentified beings: for example, some entity reports appear to be more alcin to ghostly manifestations; others appear to involve troll-like beings belonging to the realm of earth-folk lore; still others may be representative of that large and hairy hominid, the mysterious Bigfoot. The line between these and other entities that are directly associated with UFOs is not a very clear one, and by classifying the association of entity to the object that purportedly bears it should be helpful in making more meaningful distinctions. The classification" that $I$ suggest is as follavs:

TYPE A Entity is observed inside the object only the true occupant), through doors, ports, windows, transparent dome, etc. The association is explicit.

TYPE B Entity is observed getting into and/or out of an object (the "egressed" occupant). The association is still explicit.

TYPE $C \quad$ Entity is seen in the jmmediate vicirity of an object but is not actually seen entering or leaving. Association is implicit.

TYPE D Entity is observed independent of an object, but there is UFO activity occurring in the area at the time, usually reported by other sources. Association is circurnstantial.

TYPE E Entity is observed independent of an object and there is no record of UFO activity in the area at the time. Association is negative.

TYPE F Neither entity nor UFO (or, in some cases, only a UFO) is seen, but the percipient expesiences some manner of communication (by psychic experience, automatic writing, etc), hears "voices", or receives "messages".

TYPE $G$ Percipient has an "on-board" experience, either voluntarily or as an "abductee". Entities may or may not be physically present, but the involvement of "other intelligences" is at least implicit.

## RATING AND CREDTBILITY

The credibility of CE III reports depends not only upon the character and reliability of the ritness but upon the objectivity and thoroughness of the investigator, in his Einal report on the experience. The contents of such reports no bonger depend upon their contents as an index for reliability, for we are apparently dealing with experiences that seem to transcend the reality with which we are familiar. Therefore, we have an urgent need to know as much about the person reporting the se strange events as it is possible to lnow; likewise, we must depend upon competent and knowledgeable investigators to provide this information. Complete documentation of every CE III event is essential if we are to ever unravel this mystery; unforturately, our files are filled with reports that simply do not meet the minimun requirements for meaningful analyses. Older reports, in particular, exist merely as incomplete notes or unchecked newspaper clippings. It is necessary to sort out the dreck and concentrate our studies on the cases that will provide the maximun data yield. In the process of sorting out reports that provide the mast usefuz and.reliable data, we are subject to our own pexsonal biases and pre-dispositions ajout what constitutes "good data". Even so, there are objective criteria that can provide a general consensus among most responsibleresearchers about what cases should be getting priorities.

Completeness of the investigator's report, including information not only about the reported experience but about the background of the witnesses themselves, provide the basic ingredients with which to rate individual cases.

The method I've employed is use of a 0 to 9 scale, with the lower point representing the weakest reports and the higher the best. Therefore, an absolute 0 rating applies to those reports that are lenown to be hoaxes or mistakes. The case with a rating of 9 would be the strongest possible report with indisputable evidence of genuine phenomenology. Assuming there is a $50-50$ chance for a particular report to be genuine, a score of 5 may be applied at the outset and then modified as additional information is made available. A case of suspected hoax, or one in which a witness's testimony is clearly unreliable, can be reduced to a score of 1 or 2 ; those reports that may be genuine bit for which sufficient data renain elusive may have a score or 3 or 4 . Cases with especially conplete information may be sixes or sevens, and multiple-witness reports can, for example, rate an extra point on tinat basis alone. Knowledge about the investigator is also an important factor about whether a point can be added to or must be taker. away from the rating. These are subjective factors, of course, but in the end the researcher has essentially only his o:m common sense to rely upon in making his judgments. It might be helpful to consider any rating as only temporary, at best, and subject always to re-assessment upon the reipt of new material. When the process of rating the cases has been concluded, based upon all the a vailable material on each case, the researcher can then chose his own average score of $\equiv 11$ cases to detemine which ones constitu亡e the best possible sample of information. For exarple, one might consider all cases of 5 or higher as an appropriate sample for study.

The method is not fool-proof and there can be violent disagreements:anong researchers about certain reports; on the other hand, it is a reasonable way to establish the degree of importance of case material, and I have found from fy own experience that there is a consensus among researchers in this method of rating CE III reports.

The faranoid Study Group, in which I share the co-chairmanship with David Webb, is a loosely-organized group of interested participants closely associated with the Mutual UFO Network (MUFON) ${ }^{28}$ and the Center for UFO Studies. 29 We depend essentially upon the interest and assistance of many members connected with these two organizations to provide first-hand information of CE III reports. The HSG case files have grown out of what essentially was my own collection of humanoid reports from many years. With the co-operation of other researchers and investigators and, particularly, with new contacts amongst organizations abroad, the USG files have more than doubled in the last two years.

Most of our effort thus far has been given over to the collection of CE $\operatorname{III}$ reports. Data acquisition is only the first step of CE III research: data reduction and or ganization are essential in dealing with large quantities of material. Researchers must have ready access to logically prepared information; with the valuable assistance of Dr David Saunders and Richard Bonenfant, we are now in the process of organizing the $H S G$ case material into computer-retrievable form. Only after this step is completed can any meaningful analysis of CE III reports be carried out. There is still much work to be done.

The Humanoid Catalogue is a chronological listing in sumary form of all HSG files covering the years between 1896 and the present. The preliminary listing of FunCat (as we call it) has been completed, with the untiring help of veteran uFo researcher Lex Mebane. An inventory of turacat currently includes nearly 1300 reports for the 70 -year period. (See Statistical Breakdown by year of reports). Approximately half of these are from Noith America (the United States and Canada); the balance from the rest of the world. Brazil and France follow with the next-highest number of reports per country, each with just under a hundred. There are gaps in the representation or foreign CE III reports but valuable new contacts overseas are beginning to fill them.

I am, therefore, especially pleased at this time to have been invited to address this impressive asserblage of British UFO researchers. The mutual exchange of information that results will be enormously valuable to all of us whose inagination has been caught by this fascinating subject.

North America South America Afroone Sia Pacific Totals Tear Can Hex USA Arg Br Vz Oih GB Fr It Sp Oth Afr Asia Aus NZ Oth Sub Grand

| $95-97$ | - | - |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $000-09$ | - | - |
| 4 |  |  |
| $010-19$ | 2 | - |
| $20-29$ | 3 | - |
| 0 | 4 |  |
| $-30-39$ | 2 | - |
| $040-44$ | - | 2 |


| 1945 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1046 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 1047 | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| 1948 | 2 | - | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 12 |
| 1949 | - | $I$ | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |


| 1950 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 15 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1957 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 9 |
| 1952 | - | - | 16 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 25 |
| 1953 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 15 |
| 1954 | 3 | - | 10 | 2 | 0 | 7 | - | 2 | 60 | 16 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | - | - | 125 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 64 | 20 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 130 |


| - 355 | - | - | 25 | - | - | $\sim$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956 | - | - | 12 | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 13 |
| -757 | - | - | 22 | 2 | 6 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | I | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 35 |
| 1953 | - | I | 5 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | $\sim$ | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 17 |
| 2959 | - | - | 5 | - | 4 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | $\underline{2}$ | 17 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 69 |  | 1.6 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | i | I |  |  | 124 |


| 1960 | - | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 17 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1961 | 1 | - | 10 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 15 |
| 1962 | 1 | - | 4 | 5 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 7 | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 24 |
| 1963 | 1 | - | 8 | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 23 |
| 1964 | - | - | 16 | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 31 |
|  | 3 | 0 | 43 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 110 |


| 1965 | 1 | 5 | 22 | 11 | 7 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 73 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1906 | 2 | - | 59 | 4 | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 75 |
| 1967 | 8 | 2 | 66 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 3 | 7 | 7 | - | 6 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 125 |
| 1958 | 14 | - | 24 | 16 | 11 | - | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 99 |
| 1969 | 3 | - | 11 | 3 | 14 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 44 |
|  | 28 | 1 | 182 | 35 | 39 | 17 | 25 | 24 | 10 | 2 | 24 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 416 |



## 

1972
1973
1974
$\begin{array}{rrr}2 & 70 \\ 2 & 1 & 29 \\ 11 & 6 & 126\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}- & 4 & - & 2 & - & 1 & - & 2 & 3 & 1 & - & - & - & 1 & 23 \\ 1 & 8 & 1 & - & 4 & - & - & 1 & 4 & - & - & 4 & - & - & 37 \\ 9 & - & - & - & 1 & - & - & - & 6 & 4 & - & 5 & - & - & 37 \\ 3 & 3 & - & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & - & 4 & 1 & 1 & 3 & - & - & 97 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & - & 6 & 4 & 1 & 6 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & - & - & 64 \\ 17 & 18 & 2 & 3 & 12 & 7 & 3 & 9 & 20 & 8 & 2 & 13 & 0 & 1 & 258\end{array}$
$1976^{*}-21-1-1-1-1-1-\frac{24}{68}$

[^0](1) Fynek, J Allen. The UFO Experience - A Scientitic Inquiry, Henry Regnery Company (Chicago, 1972). See Chapter 10 "Close Encounters ot the Third Kina".
(2) Undated letter Irom the witness to the Center for UFO Studies, received in tine first week of̈ November 1975. Courtesy of CuFOS.
(3) Personal letter fron the investigator, Jonn B Musgrave, July 18, 1976; Edson (Alberta) Leader, October 15, 1975. Newspaper clipping and notes on his investigation courtesy of Mr Musgrave.
(4) Wauwatosa (Wisc) Post, Narch 3, I976; copies of taped interview with the witnesses by investigator Richard Heiden, April 3, I976, courtesy of Mr Heiden.
(5) Erickson, Al. "Under Hypnosis, Youth Tells of Time on UFO", Peabody (Maine) Times, March 3, 1976; Niss, Robert $S$, "The Stephens Abduction in Orford, Maine", Official UFO $1-9$ (July 1976), 20-21, 46-10; copies of the taped hypnotic sessions with the primary witness by Dr Herbert Hopkins, courtesy of CUFOS; copies or the oricinal police repont and the results of a first-hand inquiry by Mrs Shirley Fickett on November 11, l975, courtesy of CUFOS; letter of investigator Al Erickson to Raymond Fowler detailing results of his inquiries, dated February 27, 1976, courtesy of Mr Fowler.
(6) Numerous press clippings fron the Phoenix Arizona Republic, November 6-12, 1975; Associated Press repout by John Halvorson from Snowflake, Arizona, Iucson (Ariz). Daily Star, February 16, 1976; APRO Bulletin, November 1975, I-5; op. cit., December 1975, 1 ard 3; op. cit., February 1976, I and 3; MUFON Skylook, December 1975, $3-7$; op. cit., February 1976, 5-7; op. cit., March 1976, l1-12; ob. cit., April 1976, T-9; Jordon, Raymond. "The Travis Walton Case", Official UFO $1-9$ (July 1976), 22-23, 48-52; \& :
(7) Kynek, J Allen. "The Embarrassment of Riches", MUFON 1973 Symposium Proceedings, Kansas City, Mo., address by Dr mynek on June 16, 1973, 62-60.
(8) Phillips, Ted. Physical Traces Associated with UFO Sightings, publisied by CUFOS, 1975.
(9) Merxitt, Fred. "A Preliminary Classification of Some Reports of Unidentified Flying Objects Based on Shape and Dimension or Imprint Patierns", paper delivered by Mr Merritt at the CUFOS Conference, Lincolnwood, Illinois, Nay 1, 1976. To be published in the conFerence Proceedings, scheduled for release sometime in Fall, 1976.
(10) Hamilton, Charles. "Webster Woman Saw Disc," Worcester (Mass) Daily Telegran, July 7, 1947, l (describing sighting at Webster of an "occupied" object by an unidentified woran on June 20, 1947); "Tacoma Joins Saucer Jag," Tacoma "Washington) News-Tribune, July 8 1947, 1 (describing several local sightings, among which was an account by multiple witness of objects landing on a nearby roof, from which enexged several "little people" who quickly re-entered and "varished" upon the approach of reporters); "Sailor Sees Sociable Saucerite," The Houston (Texas) Post, July 9, 1947, 1 (describing a merchant marire's telephoned report to the paper about an object that landed, from which emerged a "little mar" with a head the size of a basketball; the "little man" shook the witness's hand, climbed back in and took ofix).
(11) For details of many of the "Airsinip" reports, see Jacobs, David M., The UFQ Controversy in America (Indiana University Press, l975), 5-34 (Chapter I, "The Mystery Airship"); Clark, Jerome, and Coleman, Loren. The Unidentified (Warner Paperback, New York, 1975), 131-164 (Chapter 4, "The Airships; the Technological Impulse"); Keel, John. UFOs - Operation Trojan Horse (G P Putnam's, New York, 1970), 78-103 (Chapter 5, "The Grand Deception").
(12) Fowler, Raymond E., editor. Field Investigator's Manual, published by MUFON, 1975, available fron that organization 103 Oldowne Road, Sequin, Texas 78155.
(13) Vallee, Jacques. Passport to Magonia (Henty Regnery Company, Chicago, 1969).
(14) Ballester Olmos, Vincente-Juan. A Catalogue of 200 Type I UFO Events in Spain and Portugal (published by CUFOS, Evanston, III., l976).
(15) Pereira, Jader U. Les 'Extra-Terrestres' (published by Groupement d'Etude de Phenomenes Aeriens, 69 Rue de la Tombe-Issoire, Paris 75014: Second edition 1974).
(16) Vallee, Jacques. "A Descriptive Study of the Entities Associated with Type I Sightings, "Flying Saucer Review X-1 (Jan-Feb 1964), 6.
(17) Pereira, Jader U. Op. cit., 3-23
(18) A recent book published on this case provices a complete account of the NICAP investigation, as well as some new and provocative information not known before. See Stanford, Ray. Socorro 'Saucer' in a Pentagon Pantry (Blueapple Books, Austin, Texas 1976).
(19) Investigation by the author in association with Bucd Hopkins and Gerard Stoehrer. A complete report on the incident will be published soon in Flying Saucer Review.

Bloecher. Ted. "UFO Landing and Repair by Crew," Flying Saucer Review $X X-2$ and 3 (1974), $21-26$ and $24-27$
(21) Vallee, Jacques. Passport to Magonia, 68-69
(22) A complete report on investigations of this case by Isabel Davis ard Bud Ledwith has existed, unpublished, for more than 20 years. I am pieased to be able to say that this excellent report, revised and expanded to include information obtained since its preparation, will be published by the Center for UFO Studies some time in the near future. Please contact CUFOS for details.
(23) Special report by Don Worley, for MUFON, courtesy of the investigator; also see Worley, Don lUFOs, Occupants and Artifacts in Eastern Indiana, 1972-1973, "Of三icial

(24) Webb, David. 1973 - Year of the Hmanoids (second edition published by the Center for UFO Studies, Evanstor, Illinois, 1976). Interested parties are urged to contact CUFOS for copies of this excellent report.
(25) Letter to NICAP from Venezuelan representative Vlacimir Scheffer, August 22, 1967, citing local newspaper reports. Courtesy of Major Donald E. Keyhoe.
(26) Vallee, Jacques. Op. cit., 146-147.
(27) Ibid.
(28) The Mutual UFO Network (ivUFON), Walter H. Andrus, Ir., Director. 103 Oldtowne Road, Seguin, Texas 78155.
(29) The Center for UFO Studies (CUFOS), Dr J. Allen Hynek, Director. 924 Chicago Avenue, Evanston, Illinois 60202.

## By

Anthony R Pace

The following paper gives a brief insight into sore aspects of BUFORA's current project concerned with the reported effects on motor vehicles involved in UFO encounters. Because we are dealing with a global phenomenon our sample of some 400 cases is taken from many different countries of the world.

It is interesting to note that yesterday was the 9 th anniversary of an incredible UFO encounter, which has become one of tine most significant cases of its type recorded throughout the world.

In the early hours of Monday morning 6th Novenber 1967 between 1.00 and 2.00 am Carl Eeverley Farlow was driving his Leyland Super Comet diesel loryy down the A338 between Avon and Sopley on his way to deliver a consigmment of Aga Cookers to a central heating firm at Christchurch near Bournemouth. It had been raining earlier but now the weather was sine and cool.

As he approached a crossroad with a bridge over the River Avon on his right the headiights of the lorxy began to dim, and within a few seconds had gone out altogether. He pulled up quickly with his engine running. It was then that he first noticed a large egg shaped object moving slowly across the road from the right. He estimated its height above the ground to be that of a telegraph pole and by comparison with the bridge its length was sore 80 feet. By now the object was hovering quite motionless partly above the scrub land on his left and completely covering the road ahead.

He described the colour as magenta with a whitish area at the bottom and through the open window of the cab he could hear a continuous huming sound not unlike a donestic refrigerator. For several minutes he sat there petrified. There was a strong, pungent odour similar to the smell of an electric drill drilling through wood. His first impression was of a massive electrical fault on the lorry. The object then began to move off to the left, slowly at first, then accelerating at a moderate angle to disappear in seconds. It was then he becane aware that another vehicle was also involved.

A cream coloured Jaguar travelling in the opposite direction had driven up to the hedge bank. The UFO had apparently moved betweer the two vehicles. The Jaguar driver, a veterinary surgeon, came over to $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nr Farlow who was still sitting in his cab, and suggested }\end{aligned}$
that they phone the police. Both his engine and lights had malfunctioned as the UFO approached and his passenger, a young woman, had become hysterical. Fortunately, there was a telephone box between the two vehicles and the local and Christchurch police were soon on the scene. According to Carl Farlow the light which normally illuminated the telephone kiosk was not functioning and when the vet attempted to use his torch this would not work either although he had used the same torch earlier the previous evening.

From the lights of the police vehicles Carl Farlow was able to see that the scrub land and hedge over which the UFO had hovered appeared brown and blacicened. The road over which the difo had passed had a shiny appearance as though the tarmac surface had been melted. Both men were then taken to Christchurch police station where they were questioned separately and statements were taken until about 4.30 an. The woman passenger was treated for shock at a local hospital where she was kept overnigit. The police arranged for hotel accomodation and later in the morning the men were taken to the police station, this time at Bournemouth, where they were interviewed by a man from the Ministry of Defence.

In order to collect some personal effects Carl was driven back to Ringwood by the police on the A338 and passed the very spot where he had encountered the UFO. As he went by the bridge he saw a man with a theodolite and another with a geiger counter taking measurements along the road. In the field where the scrub land had been blackened a small bulldozer was levelling the ground and a man was repainting the telephone kiosk. According to Farlow on a subsequent trip to the Bournemouth area, about a week later, he found that the road had been resurfacec with tarmac for approximately 200 feet from the bridge where the incident had occurred.

On Tuesday lunch time Carl picked up his lorry from the police compound at Christchurch and was told by the engineer that all the electrics were out of commission. He was given a tow by an army truck to start the vehicle. Travelling only by day he
eventually returned to the haulage contractor's depot in Shropshire. The lorry was irmeaiately taken to an auto repair garage for examination. Apart from the harnesses, ie the main cables, the rest of the electrical system was virtually useless. This included the dynamo, starter motor, regulator, ameter, some light bulbs and the four 6 volt batteries, which had been newly fitted to the loryy $\&$ months earlier, and this resulted in a £ 400 repair bill.

This then is a prime example of a UFO event involving interference with motor vehicles. But one can see immediately the added significance of the Carl Farlow case. It is one of the very few reports where two vehicles, one petrol driven and the other a diesel, came under the influence of the same phenomenon simultaneously with differing results. Although both lighting systems had malfunctioned, the diesel engine continued running while the Jaguar had stalled. These circunstances, which have been recorded before, for example at Forni in Italy in November 2954, provide researchers with a major clue to the nature of the mechanisms responsible Eor the characteristic UFO effects on motor venicles.

As you know BUFORA is at present undertaking a study of vehicle interference cases with the air of producing a project report as soon as possible.

This project was adopted by the BUFORA Research Department, as a continuation of a smaller independent study begun several years ago by Geoffrey Falla, a member from Guernsey. Mc Falla has continued to play a key role in the project by summarising all relevant cases extracted from the reference sources.

Our preliminary aim is to produce a basic catalogue of summarised cases involving motor vehicles and other devices using the same motive power. These include aircraft, trains, boats and fixed engines, driving such things as generators. The project report is to be published along similar lines to the Physical Traces Catalogue produced by Ted Philiips in the USA except that we will include other sections as indicated below:-

## CONTENTS

(1) Foreward
(2) Introduction - a list of considerations
(3) Catalogue of Case Swmaries
(4) Case Studies - two or more fully documented cases, eg Carl Farlow - 6.11.67
(5) Statistics
(6) Comments from BUFORA project tean
(7) Resume - Conclusions and Recommendations
(8) Appendices - Moto = Vehicle Questionnaire Work of Other Researchexs eg Cranp

Drawings, Diagrams and possibly photographs will be included

In the literature there must be several thousand cases where a UFO has been witnessed from a motor vehicie (oz aircraft/boat/train These cases could be categorised as follows:-
(1) Cases where the occupants of the vehicle simply observe a UFO at a distance which performs in such a way as to incicate no deliberate interest in the vehicle or its occupants. No effects of any kind are recorded.
(2) Cases where there seems to be a definite interest because the UFO follows, leads or paces the vebicle, though no effects are recorded or reported. In this category the UFO may come very close and even hover above the moving or stationary vebicle without apparently causing any effects!
(3) Cases where the circumstames described are as in 1 or 2 but effects are recorded though not "E-N" (Electromagnetic) effects to the vehicle itself, ie Physiological effects on the occupants ~ burns, a feeling of weightlessness, numbness, "electric shock", paralysis etc., and other physical effects to the vehicle such as involuntary movement, buffeting, scorching of paintwork, change in colour of paintwork, physical damage etc.
(4) Cases similar to 3 with or without Physiological/Physical effects, where the vehicle's engine andor lights (and often radio) are effected in some way ie the so-called "E-M" effects are recorded.

The preliminary project draws its information from the cases falling into categories 3 and 4 . In a second project report it is hoped to include those cases which fall into categories 1 and 2 also. This may not at first seem relevant to the study of vebicle interference effects but taking the situatior. as a whole one might ask why no efiects are recorded or repor:? when the UFO is in close pronimity to the vehicle? This then presents an additional problem in the study of "E-M" effects $c$ : vehicles. The project is a comparative study of the "E-M" efí= characteristic of UFOs principally in relation to motor vehic-: and is conducted on a giobal scale to give meaningful resuits. The number of cases already included has now passed the 400 mark and it is plainly obvious that there must be many more reports of the types described in categories 3 and 4 which remain undiscovered in the literature and on the files of UFO investigation organisations throughout the world.

This latent pool must be tapped and every consideration is being given to ensure that we pick up a high proportion of these potential cases.

What sort of a problem are we dealing with and what are the effects reported in this type of case that we must try to account for?

Of the 400 cases in our preliminary sample the following has been noted:
(a) 250 reports described engine malfunctions of which the majority mere of a temporary nature only. In most cases the engine had to be restarted by the witness, but in a small number of reports, although the engine had failed, it began running again when the UFO left, without any action being taken by the driver.

In a relatively small number of cases more severe and permanent effects were reported, such as fuses blown, wiring and contact points burnt out, and batteries dead.

In the Carl Farlow case, of course, the starter motor, dynamo, ammeter, and regulator had to be renewed completely.
(b) In 125 of the cases a malfunction of the vehicle lights was reported and again in the majority of cases this was only of a temporary nature.
(c) Approximately $25 \%$ of the cases from our sample display some form of physiological effects with or without engire malfunction. Typical effects described by the vehicle occupants were:-
(i) Change of pressure in the ears
(ii) Hair stood on end - the air was full of static electricity producing shocks
(iii) Weakness in the legs
(iv) Immobility and paralysis
(v) Temperature increases - waves of heat
(vi) Painful pricklings and tinglings
(vii) Fainting and loss of consciousness
(viii) Lack of air - feeling of vacuun
(ix) Cooling effects
(x) Sunburn effects on skin
(d) Other physical effects included:-
(i) Watches slow or stopped
(ii) Vibration of netal objects
(iii) Radioactive traces on car
(iv) Bending of headlight beams
(v) Temporary colour change in vehicle paintwork
(vi) Car body heated
(vii) Car body transparent
(viii) Another interesting characteristic reported in a few cases was one of involuntary movenents caused to motor vehicles, such as violent rocking, being thrown off the road, lifted and set down again, and physically transported for many miles (Rhodesian, case of 31.5.74)
(e) ilumanoids, of one form or another, either inside or outside the UFO, featured in about 60 of the 400 cases studied.
(f) In one instance it was first thought that at last a case had been discovered where a diesel engine had beer discovered where a diesel engine nad been directly affected in a UFO encounter. A report appeared in the APRO Bulletin of May-June 1969 as follows:-
"STRANGE OBJECT SIGHTED IN ALASKA
A well-written and detailed feature article appearing in the Juneau, Alaska DAILY EiPIRE for the 4 th of January 1960 included sone very interesting sightings whic $n$ took place in the vicinity of Hawk Inlet on the l5th and l6tin of December 1968. The following is a condensation of that information:

Ken Marlowe, owner of the cargo boat Teel and Ralph Kern reported seeing a "pure white light" - ball shaped and about 20 Ieet in diareter with two brightly glowing s -foot dianeter globes above it - while at Kawk Inlet at 3.30 pra on the 15 th. When first seen, Marlowe passed it off as a reflection fron an icy bluff or an aluminu boat but was soon observing it more
closely when he noticed that it had begun to move slowly toward his boat. Using binoculars, Marlowe watched it but could not identify it. By 7 pm the ball was floating on the water within a quarter mile fron the reel, then suddenly rose out of the vater and slowly flew out of sight over a nearby mountain ridge.

At 6 pm on the following evening (the l6th) Marlowe and Kern were docking the Teel at the cannery at Hawk Inlet, and it was snowing. As Marlowe was preparing the evening meal he looked out into the snowstorm and saw the same mysterious light again, this time moving slowly in the direction of the cannery from about half a mile distance. When the ball had hovered within a few yards of the dock, Marlowe called the marine radio operator in Juneau. It took about 30 seconds to reach the operator and by the time he did, the object was directly above the Teel's mast at a height of about 70 feet above the deck, the mast being 40 feet tall. Both men were apprehensive the object made no sound, and just as Marlowe flipped the switch to contact the operator the object dipped in the air. Meanwhile, the marine operator had connected the line with Elmendorf Air Force and the Coast Guard so that they could hear Marlowe's description of the sighting.

After approximately five minutes from the time that Marlowe had connected with the marine operator, the boat's power went dead and the connection was cut off. Marlowe did not realize that the power was entirely off at first because the object gave off such a bright light. When he went forward to flip on the auxiliary batteries, he realized that all the power was off. He then ran to the cannery to make another call, noting that the light from the object over his boat which was 200 feet away, was bright enough to illuminate the inside of the building. Finding no radio or other means of calling, Marlowe went back outside and stopped to turn off an auxiliary diesel power generator which was "just barely running". As he reached to turn it off, the object over his boat moved away and the diesel engine began to function normally. It finally
(vi) Painful pricklings and tinglings
(vii) Fainting and loss of consciousness
(viii) Lack of air - feeling of vacuum
(ix) Cooling effects
(x) Sunburn effects on skin
(d) Other physical effects included:-
(i) Watches slow or stopped
(ii) Vibration of metal objects
(iii) Radioactive traces on car
(iv) Bending of headlight beans
(v) Temporary colour change in vehicle paintwork
(vi) Car body heated
(vii) Car body transparent
(viii) Another interesting characteristic reported in a few cases was one of involuntary movements caused to motor vehicles, such as violent rocking, being thrown off the road, lifted and set down again, and physicaily transported for many miles (Rhodesian, case of 31.5.74)
(e) Fhmanoids, of one form or another, either inside or outside the UFO, featured in about 60 of the 400 cases studied.
(f) In one instance it was first tiought that at last a case had been discovered where a dieser engine had been discovered where a diesel engine had been directly affected in a UFO encounter. A report appeared in the APRO Bulletin of May-June 1969 as Follors:-

## "SIRANGE OBJECT SIGHTED IN ALASKA

A well-written and detailed feature article appearing in the Juneau, Alaska DAILY EXIPIRE for the 4th or January 1969 included some very interesting sightings whic $n$ tooic place in the vicinity of Harvk Inlet on the 15 th and $16 t h$ of December 1968. The following is a condensation of that information:

Ken Marlowe, owner of the cargo boat Teel and Ralph Kern reported seeing a "pure white light" - ball shaped and about 20 reet in diameter with two brightly glowing fifoot diameter globes above it - while at Hawk Inlet at 3.30 pm on the $15 t h$. When first seen, Marlowe passed it off as a reflection from an icy bluff or an aluninum boat but was soon observing it more
closely when he noticed that it had begun to move slowly toward his boat. Using binoculars, Marlowe watched it but could not identify it. By 7 pm the ball was floating on the water within a quarter mile from the Teel, then suddenly rose out of the water and slowly flew out of sight over a nearby mountain ridge.

At 6 pm on the following evening (the l6th) Marlowe and Kern were docking the Teel at the cannery at Hawk Inlet, and it was snowing. As Marlowe was preparing the evening meal he looked out into the snowstorm and saw the same mysterious light again, this time moving slowly in the direction of the cannery from about half a mile distance. When the bail had hovered within a few yards of the dock, Marlowe called the marine radio operator in Juneau. It took about 30 seconds to reach the operator and by the time he did, the object was directly above the Teel's mast at a height of about 70 feet above the deck, the mast being 40 feet tall. Both men were apprehensive the object made no sound, and just as Marlowe flipped the switch to contact the operator the object dipped in the air. Mearwhile, the marine operator had connected the line with Elmendorf Air Force and the Coast Guard so that they could hear Marlowe's description of the sighting.

After approximately five minutes from the time that Marlowe had connected with the marine operator, the boat's power went dead and the connection was cut off. Marlowe did not realize that the power was entirely off at first because the object gave off such a bright light. When he went formard to flip on the auxiliary batteries, he realized that all the power was off. He then ran to the cannery to make another call, noting that the light from the object over his boat which was 200 feet away, was bright enough to illuminate the inside of the building. Finding no radio or other means of calling, Marlowe went back outside and stopped to turn off an auxiliary diesel power generator which was "just barely running". As he reached to turn it off, the object over his boat moved away and the diesel engine began to function normally. It finally
disappeared from sight after 15 minutes of observation by Marlowe and Kern. After it left the batteries of the reel were still dead but when the power was restored with an auxiliary gasoline engine, everything returned to normal and Marlowe checked the engine over and could find nothing wrong with it.

This sighting is of particular interest because a diesel engine was affected. In the past diesel engines have not been affected by the presence of UFOS".

However, the following coments were published in the next issue of the APRO Bulletin of July-August 1969:-
"NEW INFO ON ALASKAN SIGHIING
On page 6 of the May-June issue, the details of a sighting of a UFO in Alaska and the subsequent interference of a diesel engine were reported. Dr Harder, APRO's Research Director forwarded the following: "I was interested in the iter . . . For the explanation is that the engine was comected to a generator, and if the output of the generator was shortcircuited, it would be a heavy load to the engine, slowing it down until the voltage plus the shorting resistance was of such magnitude to equal the power output of the engine. If it was "just baxely runting" as reported, the resistance shorting the output would be very low, and might even be calculated from the speed of the engine . . . The power autput from the diesel would be about proportional to its speed. The normal load resistance for the diesel can be calculated from the generator power and voltaje, etc, so all is in hand to calculate the shorting resistance."

It is cases such as this, with cetailed analysis, which will eventually provide the answers to questions posed by these characteristics of the UFO phenomenon

Experience gained from this first major project has shown the limitations and pittalls of catalogues whose data is extracted largely from the broad cross-section of UFO literature as opposed to original case histories on file. Essential basic data such as date, time, duration of evert, colour of object and so on are, surprisingly enough, often missing. This situation naturally creates problens with any meaningful statistics you propose.

The re-investigation of the Carl Farlory case, with which I began this paper, has shown that even original case histories on file are not always as accurate and fully documented as they should be. In this particular case the questionnaire on file had in fact been filled in by the witness's father who had offered his assistance to complete the two dozen or so forms he had received through the post. There is little evidence that Carl Farlow was ever interviewed personally by a BUFORA investigator. This is such a pity considering the significance of the case when we realise that after the passage of nine years the tangible and measurable evidence has simply disappeared. If a full and competent investigation had been undertaken at the time of the event, there mould have been sufficient tangible evidence and measurable effects to have proven the intervention of some hitherto unclassifiec phenomenon!

As it is we are now conserned with picking up the threads and although much of the valuable evidence is irfetrievably lost, there is a chance that jefore long we will be able to puilish a fully documented account of this incredible incident. Apart from the experience gained from the project, the lesson, once more, is quality as opposed to quantity:

# "TIE ANALYSIS OF UFO PROTOGRAPHS <br> AND <br> FILAS AS EVIDENCE" 

> 000000000 $0000-0000$ 000000000
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ROBERI DIGBY
At The Second
NATIONAL RESEARCH AND INVESIIGATIONS CONFERENCE
BIRNINGHAM, ENGLAND
NOVEMBER 1976

Originally Titled
"THEY SHOOI UFO's DON I THEY?"

GOOD MORNING IADIES AND GENTLEEEN, NY THNNES IO ROGER SIANWY FOR HIS INTRODUCTION.

MY TALK, IN THE FORM OR A QUESTION IS ENYITIED יTHEV SHOOI UFO'S DON IT THEY?" OR PERHAPS UE SHOULD ASR "OR DO THEY?" 'they' beting ire large nuriber of people who clath to have TAREN P!BTOGRAPHS OF JFO'S AND SUBMITITED IFEET AS SUCH TO VARIOUS PEOPLE, FOR EVALUATION ATD CORENT.

## 000000000

I represent a group of people who have cone together under the EUFORA umbrella, for the purpose of analysing and investigating photographic cases; I hope, as my talk progresses to impari an understanding of the problems involved in this work.

I will begin with the reaction of people to the UFO filn and photograph alike. People vary a lot in their individual reaction to, and interpretation of, vistial stimuli. Their accounts of what was scen vary if you compare incividual and incependent obsezvations of the surie event.

Typical reactions to a JFO filn are as follows:
'FANTASTIC!', 'I HAVE NEVER SEEN ANYTHING LIEE IT' 'W\&AT IS IT SUPPOSED TO BE?' 'IS IT A UFO?' 'IS IT A FAKE?' 'IS IT GENUINE?' WHO TOOK IT?' 'WHERE WAS IT IAKEN?' 'WHAI DO YOU THINK OF IT?'

Always there are plenty of questions, so how does one go about getting some answers? To begin with, I carried out a search amongst the wealth of UFO litexature that exists, only to be very disappointed with what I found. Fynek and Vallee have produced reliable morks which include many useful suggestions. On the subject of UFO photographs, with the exception of Hynek, the only pubiication which represented a thorough and scientiric approach to UFO's and did not deal in general discussion but specifics, was the University of Colorado's 'SCIENIIFIC STUDY OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS'.

I an well aware that there is a credibility gap where this book is concerned. However, the approach needed with photographic evidence has been quite clearly outlined. UFO photographic cases can best be selected for study and analysed on the basis of the following criteria:-

## FIRST - A SUBJECTIVE EVALUATION

Do various photographic factors such as focus, clarity, sharpness and contrast combine with the testimony to make the case appear credible? Does the case have potential in providing high probability evidence for the reality of an unusual phenomena?

## SECOND - RATIONAL EXPLANATIONS

Is any known phenomena rationally acceptable as an explanation of the observation? Phenomena considered must be based on a wide experience with Astrononical, Meteorological, Optical and Photographic effects. Can the report be a case of mistaken interpretation?

## THIRD - IS IT A FAKE?

Can the case be accepted as having been made in good faith? Are tiere any signs of tampering with the negative? Are the negatives or original prints available? Do the negatives represent a continuous sequence? Are focus, sharpness and other characteristics satisfactorily in accord with the alleged sightings? Are light and shadows internally consistent on each photography?

FOURTH - IS THERE COASISTENCY?

In addition to the internal evidence of the photographs therselves, is the photography consistent with the witness testimony? Is lighting consistent with alleged tine and direction of sighting? Are time intervals between photographs consistent with testimony?

What peculiar characteristics suggest tests? Is the object in front of, or behind, any landscape features? Are contrast and focus consistent with alleged distance? What can be learned fron motions and time intervals? Can the flight path be estimated from the sequence of positions and angular sizes?

The Colorado study of UFO photographic evidence failed to disclose conclusive evidence of the existence of 'flying sal:cers'. Nor did it, of course, establish that such objects do NOT exist.

## SO TO SUMUAARISE

(l) A subjective evaluation establisines whether the case kas potential
(2) Rational explanations (if any) are considened
(3) Was faking possible or convenient?
$(4)$ Is the testimony consistent with the photograplic evidence?
(5) Do physical and geometric tests confin this consistency?

Shotographic evidence in contrast to verbal testimony, might be considered "mird" data. Lomever, one canmot assume that a photograph of an airborne disc is nore credible than a verbal report. Even if it were true that caneras never lie, photographers sonetines do.

Our prinary concern is mith credioility, especially since our first photographic case of 1976 was highly dubious. Applying the criteria I outlined earlier, there was no justifiable reason for carrying on a cletailed investigation on a case or very apparent low priority.

However, OF all the cases we have looled at so far, the rajority are hoaves. Sone are made in good faith, but are readily explainable, but lori are fixst priority and justify exhaustive investigation.

But of these, there are not many cases which rate as high probability eviouence for the existence of UFO's. This, after aIl, is what we are Ieally looking sor.

Tro very good film cases are the 16 mm AIV film of 1971 , and the Peter Day film of l073, which was taken on 3 rm filn. These are both uncer intensive investigation, and I would refer you elserhere for full details. Such cases are very rare, however, in the short time since early 2976 , when the Photographic Departrant cane into being, we have about tnenty assorted photographic cases under investigation. we vili nake available our findings and will, hoperully, be able to publish full accounts of the best cases.

I have brandished the tern UFO, but this, like many other terns. requizes definition. ve accept the definition outlined by Hynek in his book "The UFO Experience".

A UFO is the reported perception of an object or Iight, seen in the sky or upon the land, the appearance, trajectory, and genez= dynamic and luninescent behaviour of which do not suggest a logical, conventional, explanation, and which is not only mystizying to the original percipiants, but renains unidentifie. after close scrutiny of all all available evidence by persons who afe technically capable or making a common-sense identification, if one is possible.

We also believe the manifestation of UFO's to be the result of physical causes that can be described in terns of natural laws. Therefore, a UFO event is the generation of an unusual image by a physical cause. A UFO sighting is the perception of this image by a witness.

The tern UFOLOGIST is used to mean someone who studies Ufology However, this terminology is not widely recognized and sone schools of thought find this tern synonymous with idiocy. With the strange goings-on in physics today, a new role has been cast for a 'Phenonenologist' - one who studies phenomena.

Johannes Kepler was a Phenomenologist. Faced with the precise detailed observations of Tycho Brahe which could not be reconc: with contemporary l6th century ideas of planetary motion, Kepl= came to the conclusion that the planets must move in elliptica: orbits, with the sun at one focus.

This was a phenomenological model, later clarified by Newton with a more comprehensive theoretical model. The moral is, that however strange the terminology, its credibility is decided by its recognition and the image the tern creates.

Personally I am of the opinion that the term 'flying Saucer' is a dirty phrase. It does, in ny opinion, strike an unforiunate image. The term UFO was oxiginally used, in a very simple context, by the US Airforce.

Over time we have had to adopt a more complex definition as already outlined. However, even this term becones unsatisiactory in view of what UNIDENIIFIED means. Identification is the assigning of an event or object to a class. What this class is, is irrelevant. Consistency, or repeatability in UFO events enable the definition of classproperties; and identification of an object can take place as a member of a 'class of behaviour'. The cause of UFO events is not of primary importance, we are concerned with the exact nature of the UFD where credibility of a UFO event and photographic evicence is established, such cases car be assigned a probative value.

The central question in the study of UFO photographis is:-

Does the report have any probative value in establishing the existence of UFO's?

Probative means to afford prooi. Hynek is of the opinion that when a photographic case meets all the relevant criteria, all one can positively say that while the probability that the photograph is genuine, is very high, certainty cannot be established.

However, if 25 such cases for example, can each be accorded a very nigh probability, the compound probability that photographic proof of UFO's exists would be all but undistinguishable from certainty. This is a very good idea which can also be extended to the best docurented cases where photographic evidence is missing. But what of probability?

Probability is the likelihood of sonething, estimated mathematically. The assessment of the probability rating of a report, is highly subjective. One starts with the assessed credibility of the individuals concerned in the report, and we estimate to what degree, given the circumstances at this particular time, the reporters could have erred.

Factors that must be considered here are:-
(a) Internal consistency of the given report
(b) Consistency anong several reports of the same incident
(c) The manner in which the report was made
(d) The conviction transmitted by the reporter to the investigator
and finally, as Hynek puts it,
(e) That subtle judgenent of "How it all hangs together"

A meaningfull probability rating would require the juagement or more than one person.

Hynek states that he has found it relatively easy to assign a number to a case for degree of strangeness (values l-lo).

However, it is much more difficult to assign a probability rating. A case with a high 'SP' rating ought to be an outright challenge to science.

In our present stages of photographic work, we have not attenpt any evaluations of this type. At present, our role is to obtaithe clearest photographic data we can, from the evidence presented to us. In doing this, the real nature or what has been photographed often becomes readily apparent.

A residue exists, in the order of $10 \%$ which satisfies our criteria for unknown. Categorising among our un-known is a dangerous occupation though.
 supposedly identifies an object as what we are looking for. however, there is clearly a further divison, since in some instances we are being asked to believe in the existence, of photographic evidence, of extratererestrial spacecraft.

One stone that mustn't be left unturned is that of íabricaded photographs or hoaxes.

For years the 'Print redia' and UFO organisations have published numerous photographs stating or implying that these pictures represent genuine unidentified flying objects. And so this situation has, not surprisingly, continued, since there is no authority on such matters whose conclusions are universally recognized.

One could ignore photographs whose authenticity is doubted, or alternatively, dran clear distinctions bejween ieliabie and unseliable evidence. Fraudulent photograpis infect the genuine ones with suspicion and are a hindrance. Hovever, an alleged photographer of the Ioch Ness monster had his oictures prominently featured in the British press and despite refusing the release of the original negatives for serious study by photographic experts, and the fact that there are inconsistencies, in the account of their $\ddagger$ aking, the pictures continue to be used. The same person once commented that the use of the pictures by the media all over the morld would fetch something like $£ 200000$ over the first six months. After that there would be lectuyes and personal appearances.

So one can see that there are great incentives for obtaining what the public wants.

Amongst a lot of people, especially school boys, it has becone a hobby in its own right to fake flying saucer photographs.

Ore of the best known hoaxes by a school boy, in this country, was the Alex Birch case. In 1902 when Alex was a 14 vear old school boy, he produced a photogiaph of a group of five saucer-
like objects and he appeared on $I V$ and made radio broadcasts and was even intervieved at the Air Uinistry, on each occasion bacling up his clain. He appeared at Bufora's inaugural neeting at kensington to explain the circumstarces of his sighting.

My opinion is that this wrole event need never have smomballed the may it did in the first place. The methods os photographic analysis available in 1902 could not have been applied. Who were the experts who thought the photograph genuine? Aind why wasn't the case looked into more thoroughly? Above all, ladies and gentlemen, I feel that this sort of incident does untold danage to the genuine enquirers. It was a matter of coincidence that Alex's photograph came along in tine to give the public what they were loolsing for.

Surely, it is our job to see that this type of incident is not repeated. I feel that if our present procedures gere to be follo:ved, then there is very small likelihood of such a repetition. However, we must be on our guand.

I feel that there are other subject parallels which can give valuable lessons.

The tracking dom of the so-called Loch Ness monster has been fraught mith pitfalls. The concept of a 'monster' lending the whole thing an air of mythology. Yowever, in the Autumn of 1975, a set of colour slides were developed, that were taken in June by an Anerican scientific tean. These pictures allegedly show the body of an arimal, with a long out-stretched neck, another, the head in profile with open mouth showing teeth and protrusions fron the head. Another picture shomed the underbelly, apparently complete with parasites. The pictures were all taken underwater and lack sufficient clarity for positive classifícation.

However, the final verdict on then was left to experts from the Smithsonian Institute and British Musen. Folloring their findiings, a press conéerence vas supposed to be arranged.

Even aiti these findings, it is only when enough distinguished zoologists get together and agree on the fact that an unusual animal or animals exist in the loch, that the forces of coubt can be brushed aside.

Unfortunately, the photographs obtained by Dr Eob Rines' tean have achieved the opposite of what vas hoped. A major rom brole out between the scientists, when experts fron the Natural History Niuseun in London issued the statement that none of the photographs were sufficiently inform tive to establish the existence of a large living animal in the loch.

However, the subject has entered the arena of sexious scientific deoate and one thing all those concerned do agree on, is that there is a strong case for doing more work and trying to obtain better evidence or proof.

Unfortunately, the study of UPO's has not yet entered the sane arena of debate with sufficient force of argument. However, we nust be prepared for this, with the naterial to back up the arguments, winen the right tine arrives.

It is on that note, 0 being prepared, that $I$ nors wish to turn attention to some of the guns in the armoury.

Our work, in the main, so far has been on a variety of existing cases. However, we nave been looking at ways of generating nev data in photo cases and the use of diffraction gratings is one possibility. In Astronony, one finds auch written data on the visual observation of neteors for example, but little on the photograpiny and spectography of these objects. EURORA's Project Photon is concerned with the detection of UFO spectra using this technique. A suitable grating, perhaps transparent plastic, has a large number or grooves engraved on it. These grooves scatter light so as to give two spectra - one each side of the light source. Each spect=u shows the component colours mating up the light.

The spectrum enables one to find out the composition and temperature of a light source. If the source is a hot gas it will radiate only a few colours specific to the gas, eg Mercury gas emits only at specific poirts in the orange, green, blue and violet. If the source is a hot solid, the colours are emitted but sone mill be more prominent than others. The colour of the brightest part is related to the solid's temperature:

RED $\quad=$ below $700 \mathrm{deg} K$
ORANGE $=700-1,700$ deg K
YELLOW $=1,700-10.000 \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{K}$
GREEN $=$ above 10,000 deg $K$

If an unidentified light has the same temperature as the Sun (which is 5,600 deg K) or a car headlight ( 1,700 eeg K) or has the same composition as normal street ligints (iercury-sodiun or Neon) it is unlikely to be an extra-terrestrial space-ship. One draw-back is film sensitivity and one would need to refer to a chronaticity diagram to see the limited colours which can actually be reproduced by dyes of pigments in colour photography. Yellows are easily produced but there tends to be a lack of richgreens, blue-greens and violet. Incidentally, modern lenses are capable of resolving more lines per min than the film emulsion will allow, ever fine-grain versions, but new films are on the way and will continue to improve.

In order to photograph a spectra, I use a diffraction grating mounted on a 35 mm slide. I merely hold this in front of the lens of my SLP camera by wedging it in a rubber lens hood. I hope, in the not too distant future, we will be able to organise a supply of suitable gratings which investigators and skywatchers can keep by their carieras. However, our researches are not yet complete.

Other camera techniques used in Astronony, which could help us, are the all-sky canera perhaps used with the rotary shutter method. The object of the all-sky camera is to photograph a
major part of the sky with the ain of showing the path of an unknown, against fixed points of refererce, eg a pole stuck in the ground.

A fast film type is required, commonly Iri-X or HPA which can be uprated to 650 ASA. Ideal exposure time is about 20 minutes after which star trails begin to merge; also scatterea atmospheric light can cause fogging.

For ali-sky camera work the camera is laid on its back, either on the ground or preferably on a stand above the ground. The all-sky camera systems may be of three types:
(1) A collection of camerasfitted with 'normal' lenses arranged in a circle
(2) A lens attachment fitted to a 50 or 35 malens on a 35 mm canera, to give a wide-angle vien, or
(3) A special wide-angle lens (or wide angle camera). Fcr lenses this would include anything less than about 2 l mm on 35 mm cameras. A 21 mm lens gives a field view of about 90 deg.

Whichever system is used there are problems which fall into three main areas:-

FIRST Pictures will sometimes be taken in pitch darkness or maybe bright sunlight

SECOND The 'object' may be stationary or it may move rapidly

THIRD The 'object' may be large but distant, or small but close

These factors affect choice of film, lens and shutter speed. Some of the problems of UFO photography are similar to those of meteor photography and therefore similar techniques may be used.

For general photography, lenses faster than $f 2.8$ are recommended such as the $7^{\prime \prime}$ and $12^{\prime \prime}$ focal length Kodalc Aero-Ektar lenses at f2.5, used in conjunction with a very fast panchromatic film.

Recipracity failure is another problem when making long exposires of faint objects such as comets or nebulae, but for meteor work it suppresses the build-up of sky fog without ruining the momentary exposure presented by a meteor.

Briefly, the law of reciprocity is the concept that changes in the brightness of an image and can be compensated by exposure tine, or EXPOSURE $=$ INTENSITY $\times$ IITAE.

However, where either I or $I$ are very small or very large, this law fails to hold good.

I mentioned rotating shutters and these are easily consiructed and can be successfully used to determine the velocity of a meteor. The shutter should rotate at a speed of about 15-20 interruptions per second and should be coupled to a synchronous motor. One design for such a shutter is three triangular apertures cut into a disc. Camera and motorised shutte= are positioned so that the rotations of the shutter over the lens will chop a meteor trail into a number of distinct parts and from the speed and dimensions of it, the duration and angular velocity of the meteor can be determined. The shutter also reduces sky fog and effectively allows about twice the open shutter time.

I hope some of these ideas are pointing the way to more scientific slcywatching, especially since the data obtained by these methods from a UFO event could be invaluable. Even without a UFO event, the data generated on astronomical events would pave the way for better co-operation with groups like the BAA, whose members look up, not down.

We are working on better means of physical analysis of film, for example, the use of high definition film to enable blowups of images from tiny segments of small sized negatives or movie film.

Recent developments - if you will pardon the pun - are the introduction of Ciba-chrome Reversal paper which has light fast azo dyes inherent in the paper which permit good definition and colouring previously not possible.

Video techniques are another possibility. Fila can be converted into a video tape image. The information on the video tape can be digitized and hence analyzed by a computer which can carry out picture cell measurements and compare the dots that make up the picture and enable enhancenents to be made.

Such techniques are already being carried out in the United States by GROUND SAUCER WATCH in Phoenix, Arizona. Since August l975, they have actively evaluated hundreds of UFO photographs to detergine the exact origin of the image on the film. In each case, computer image enhancement testing was performed on those photographs which passed the preliminary inspection. The total analysis included edge enhanceraent, colonr contouring, digitizing, electronic densitomtry and pixcel or picture cell measurements for distance factoring.

This processing has enabled a list of photographs to be drawn up which represent strong evidence of an extra-ordinary flying object in each case.

Therefore, we are only around the corner from the suggested figure of 25 high probability cases whici Hynek has suggested would give a compound probability all but undistinguishable from certainty of proof.

An area I haven't touched on is the photographing of physical traces and this would certainly be the first job allocated to a tear investigating the site of a UFO event, where there was physical evidence lert behind. I an pleased to say that the necessary procedures have already been drawn up.

There will be certain cases (classes 1 and 2) where traces will be left. It is vital that immediate action is taken so that the necessary evidence for a proper scientific investigation of the phenomenon may be uncovered.

It would be unreasonable for BUFORA to expect any one person to be able to cope with all the necessary worl. In association with menbers of the scientific community, BUFORA has arranged to establish scientific investigation teams. These are to be ta at strategic points throughout the Country. The establishment of these specially trained teans was felt necessary, because:
(1) Since we do not really understand the nature of the phenomenon, there is a possibility there may be biological, chemical and/or radioactive contamination associated with these sites. We have people trained in handling these agents.
(2) Specialised site photography is required.
(3) Site surveys are required:
(4) On site soil mechanics and geology are required.
(5) Special techniques requiring use of liquefied gases may be required. (Liquid Nitrogen boils at 196 deg centigrade, and special training is required in its use; .

In the event of a trace case occurring, BUFORA'S National Investigations Co-ordinator should be contacted immediately or failing this, any offer of BUFORA.

Details of the case should be given, including date and time of incident, location and duration of the sighting. Give an Ordnance Survey Map reference if possible. Local land-marks will aid the tean to find the site.

It might be possible to despatch a tean immediately. If possible an eta will be given. You may be asiced in sone cases to prevent sightseers from daragirg the site.

My conclusions to this talk, ladies and gentlemen, are that thers is no smoke without fire. We need to establish whose concIusions are recognisable in this field and we need a recognized central body of opinion so that all of us speak the same language.

We reed to establish what constitutes proof of the existence, reality and nature of UFO's and perhaps be prepared for the shock is the existence of extra-terrestrial spacecraft should be irrefutable. I think it very likely that a nerv phenomenon, hitherto unlenown, may be discovered that merits a class other than UFO but which has been discovered as a result of our researches.

Quality as well as quaritity is needed to produce the best possible evidence, and although I don't feel the tine is quite ripe, I feel that it won't be long before the whole concept of UFO's firmly and seriously enters the arena of Scientific Debate.

I grataíully acknowledge the help of Terry Brewis, John Shaw, and Stave Ganble of our Photographic Department.

That only leaves me to thank you very much for listening.

A UNIFITD EXTRA-TERRESTRII HYFOTHESIS
IN EXULANAON OF 'I'HE' UFO FHETOMENON

BY TNM OMRTEN

What I have to say about a "Unified Theory of uro Phenomena" is the result of 12 years study of the Phenomena, and of intensive research over the last seven years into disciplines as apparently widely separated as archaeo-astronomy and psychology; geology and genetics; mythology and mathematics; and languages such as ancient Sumerian Cuneiforn and Old Irish Gaelic. I have found all these necessary in tracing a meaning for the extraordinary events which occur from time to time in our skies. In the time, I can only cover principles and conclusions; the scientific discussion which backs them up must be ignored on this occasion. Those who wish to hear the discussion will be welcome at the BUFORA London Meeting on the first Saturday in May when I shall have two hours, or more, to try and deal adequately with the subject.

Probably the most famous of all Sufi "teaching stories" is that of the "Elephant in the Dark": a story of a group of investiga~ tors who biundered across a stationary elephant on a pitchblack night, and started to argue about what it was. One with his arms around a leg swore that it was a tree~trunk; another touching its side said that it was a wall; another holdins an ear argued that it was a sail for a boat; and one, finding the trunk, cieclared for a coil of thick rope. Result - Deadlock.

The advantage of unified theory is that it takes all the separate, and seemingly unrelated pieces of evidence and produces a complete picture. Of course, it may be the wrong picture. In the elephant example, it would only need one other to find a tusk and declare it to be a boor leading to the sail, for the body expert to change his mind and say that it was not a wall after all, but a hull, and for the leg-mar to change from a tree-trunk to a mast, and the whole group would be absolutely convinced that they had, commally, found a boat. The fact that a boat did not make sense in the middle of the African Savannah would worry them for years.

It does not matter if the unified theory produces a wrong result, for the simple reason that one further piece of evidence, which is incompatible with the whole, will show this up, and will generate
a new theory to take account of the latest development. The danger lies in keeping knowledge in separate pigeon-holes; then, new evidence will simply be placed in a new pigeonhole, and no progress will have been made, despite new information.

For these reasons, I have been lecturing at BuFORA Meetings for the past four years trying, piece by piece, to draw what eviden: we have into a unified mhole. And there is no better catalyst for concentrating the mind than having to give a lecture to a group of constructively critical listeners.

Now my Elephant has five parts; or to put it another way, I har: been able to examine five separate parts of the Elephant in order to reach a conclusion on the whole animal. These are, returning to the UFO Phenomena:
(1) The physical impact of the Phenomena; that is the audiovisual evidence combined with that obtained from radar and other electro-magnetic devices.
(2) The Occupants.
(3) The Time-Span over which the Phenomena have been recorded.
(4) The Effects on Mankind which may be attributed to these Phenorena.
(5) The Purpose behind the Phenomena.

Let's start with the Physical Impact. It is possible to take the commoner, physical manifestations of the UFO Phenomena and to construct from them a scientific model of the UFO itself. But I must stress, imrediately, that the construction of such a model does not imply that it is correct in all its features. It is mexely the closest approxination to the truth which can be reached, within the limits of human understanding, which most closely lits the observed data. İ only stands until new data are obtained which are incompatible.with it, and then it requires modification or, perhaps, abandonment.

My sodel has 9 components:
(1) It is a solid of spherical, discoid or tapered cylindrical outline with dimensions of the order of tens of metres rising in exceptional cases to several hundreds of metres. The exceptionally large examples are capable of storing within themselves, and releasing, examples of the smaller kind.
(2) It has breaks in the solid outline of a circular, or rectangular, pattern through which light is able to radiate. This implies a hollow interior.
(3) It is mobile within our atmosphere and exhibits relative speeds, and degrees of manoeuvrability, greatly in excess of craft of our own technology.
(4) Sudden changes of speed, and of direction at very high speeds, combined with the ability to hover for extended periods, indicate that the model is unrestricted by the constraints of terrestrial gravity; it has a gravitational influence of its own, which is capable of neutralising the effects of Earth.
(5) It is capable of exerting an external field of force capable of interacting with terrestrial equipment.
(0) Apart from its interior illumination, it exhibits diffuse colouration, varying from natural at rest, through blues when moving slowly, to orange and red during rapid acceleration. There is sone evidence, observed by myself, that at constant speeds, after acceleration is completed, the colour reverts to natural - grey or silver.
(7) It has an unknown, silent propulsive power. Evidence of humming and whistling noises, associated with the model, suggest that these are caused by aiz disturbances.
(8) It reacts to human activity; it is conscious of, or sensitive to, huanaly activated events on, or above, the Earth. This is particularly clear in the evasive action taken during attempts at interception by terrestriallybased aircraft.
(9) It is directly, or indirectly, intelligently controlled. It may, of course, be piloted by intelligent beings; it may be roboticaliy controlled; or it may have been programed to react to given sets of circunstances.

All nine components of the model are subject to reservations ar: to a range of detail variation. One of these reservations, wit some of my colleagues wish to apply, is that the Phenonena may paranormal, and, therefore, in some way non-physical. Vith respect, I consider this to be loose thinking. Paranormal, I :- $^{-}$ perfectly willing to concede, because five of my components are patently paranormal in so far as they are beyond the normal range of human experience and understanding. The solid, physic= nature of a large section of the Phenonena is established for $\therefore=$ by the rany radar contacts that have been made, and by a number of cases of bullets ricocheting from a landed exterior.

However, I do believe that there is evidence for psychicallygifted witnesses having seen ar event under circumstances whic: would inply that others should have seen it too; but where other witnesses have not been found. Such an occurrence may simply imply that a sensitive witness is more observant than others, perhaps ultra-observant; or the occurrence may be displaced in time or space. But this does not imply that the event was non-physical; like a mirage, it has a physical background.

Now, mathematical probability overwhelningly indicates that the model whicin $I$ have outlined does not have a terrestrial origin. Fron my calculations, there is a marginally higher probability ror an origin within the Solar System than for an origin in the Galaxy beyond. But this I now consider to be a false conclusio: which is nodified, in Eact overridden, when all parts of the "elephant" are unified.

Time is passing and I should like to give some consideration to the other parts.
$B$ - THE OCCUPANTS
Actually, I am not going to say anything about these for two very good reasons:
(1) Ted Bloecher has already given us a most excellent outline of what is lnown, and surnised, about Occupants, and I have nothing to add to that, but
(2) I have never seen an Occupant, while I have seen my model of a UFO. As a scientist $I$ put great weight on first-hand personal experience; I put less, but still considerable weight on second-hand experiance where I have been in contact with those who have had a İ亡-st-hand, personal experience, But I ar very cautious of reaching conclusions on third and fourth-hand experiences. All my knowiedge of Occupant cases is fourth hand. This means that I have read what others have collected from investigators who have spoken to those who have had the first-hand experience. This is not good enough. Of course, Ted Bloecher, last night, gave us some excellent third-hand experiences.

## C - TIUE SPAN OF THE PHENOMENA

If we accept the model as a concrete part of the jig-saw, we find that it, or parts of it, are compatible with a duration of events extending over at least 3,500 years. We find that previously inexplicable events, which would otherwise have to be regarded as myth, have a place in a unified theory. Among individual events are
(I) the Eiexy circles which so upset the court of Pharoah Thutmose III that he found it necessary to mobilise the Arny; this was in the lath Century $B C$
(2) the experiences of Moses and the Israelites in the Desert wanderings; particularly with the "pillar of cloud by day
and the pillar of fire by night"; and also the extraordinary series of events on the top of Mount Sinai;
(3) the removal fron the Earth of the Prophet Elijah in the Fiery Chariot and Whirlwind
(4) the experiences of Ezeliiel, which have been very creditabl:' analysed by Blumrici
(5) the meeting of Nohamed with Gabriel at Hedina, described in the Koran at which a bright light approached out of the sky, followed after a short interval by the appearance of Gabriel.
(0) I would even include the Transfiguration and Ascension of Christ

All these, for me, are individual pieces of one section of the overall theory.

## C - EFPECTS ON FUMANITY

This part of the theory covers both tangible and intangible aspects, but is so vast in scope that I can do no nore than refer to it, here. It is a greater part of a book which ray wife and I are researching for at present, and minch looks like vying with the Encyclopedia Britannica for length. All I can do is to outline areas of possibility.

There are four periods in our more primitive history when Man appears to have taken a relative sudden jurp formard in his development. These mere

Ca 40000 BC - the sudden emergence of Cro-inagnon man from the ruck of Anthropoids, with his completely upright stance, his moderr sloull structure and ultra-nodern brain size, and his ability to produce animal pictures as a sophisticated artform. This energence is seemingly too sudden for an evolutionary process and may, just possibly, have been the result of genetic intervention by extra-terrestrial visitors. One must put it no higher than that.

7TH MILLENJUM $B C$ - the $e_{\text {again, }}$ sudden advances of Man, in the Fertile Crescent of the Middle East, from a hunter and gatherer of food, to a settled farmer and stock breeder, producing cross-bred wheats and barleys, and sophisticated legumes, is firmly attriouted, in the early Sumerian writings, to teaching by what I consider to be extra-terrestrial visitors. The repetition of these advances in many, wide-spread parts or the world, similarly attributed to "divine" intervention, strengthens this hypothesis.

3RD MILLENIUY BC - the appearance of megalithic monuments with astronomical alignments, and the growth of astronomical and mathenatical knowledge, all have the appearances of having been taught. My own work in East Anglia has uncovered designs and surveying techniques, from this period, far in advance of anything which the local cultures could have achieved without assistance.

ISI MILLENIUM BC - the almost simultaneous appearance of great religious and philosophical leaders is remarkable; all contained within a century of the middle of the millenium:
(a) Isaiah to the Hebrews
(b) Zoroaster to the Persians
(c) Buddha to the Indians
(d) Confucius and others to the Chinese
all teaching the same principles of nonotheism, enlightenment, love and brotherly harmony.

Consequently, I see in all this, and in the current events of today, five stages in the Development of Civilised Man, all planned and carried out through extra-terrestrial intervention. These are:

A - GENETIC DEVELOPMENT - genetic encouragement leading to a rapid advance from Anthropoid to the first true humanoid.

B - PHYSICAL DEVELOPRENI - teaching of husbandry; agriculture, horticulture, domestification of animals, irrigation and implenent-malking.

C ~ INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT - teaching of simple astronomicai and sathematical principles, and the setting up of Solar and Lunar Calendars.

D - SPIRITUAL DEVELOPMENT - teaching of moral and spiritual values, and the advancement of philosophical precepts in aid of a fuller and more satisfying life.

I am beginning to conclude, I think, that this phase of extraterrestrial concern mith the everyday affairs of Manicind cane to an end with the 酋urder of Christ.

The Iransfiguration and the meeting with Moses and Elijah on th. Mount, may just possibly have been a conference on how to hancle the growing opposition from Jevish spiritual leaders. The Ascension has all the hallmarks of an extra-terrestrial evacuation.

However, one further attempt was rade to spread the universal truths, and de-brutalise Mankind. Mohanmed was inspired to carry Islam through the iliddle East and up to the doors of

Europe. The Crusades stopped this influence from spreading further westwards, and left the two major mestern, spiritual concepts in open confrontation.

E - SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT - a period, or stage, of non-physical contact with emphasis, perhaps, on influencing minds rather than by overt teachins. I realise that in saying this I an on even more doubtful ground than $I$ mas in making prehistoric assessments, but, somehow I feel bound to make this point, if only for the sake of completeness.

I believe that the reason for our visitors' switch fron overt to covert teaching is because we are now considered untrustworthy and clangerous.

Our visitors keep to their craft (ir, Endeed, these are not now rodot controlled) for the very same reason that people stay in their cars, with mindows closed, in wild gane parles. We are no longex simple people, happy to revere the celestial visitor - we are arrogant, panicky and trigger-happy. The us Airforce, and possibly other airforces, and isolated incidents in which pistols have been fired against landed craft, have made MAN'S intentions all too clear. We have become MALICIOUS.

TO SUN UP - My Unified Theory covers 6 basic premises:
(I) The UFO Phenomena can be interpreted as the manifestation of spacecraft of advanced technology.
(2) This technology is so far in advance of or own that it is considered to be extra-terrestrial.
(3) The periodical advances of Mankind fron Anthropoicl to an intellectual and spiritual creatu=e have been initiated during teaching spells by extra-terrestrial visitors working within local comunities.
(4) These overt teaching spells have nory ceased, because Man has developed panicky and malicious tendencies which make physical approach to iim dangerous.
(5) Such contact as occurs today is largely, but not necessary totally, accidental. Instead we are being watched, monitored and studied.
(6) Such monitoring, I believe, is wholly benign, and merely a covert continuation of the philanthropic assistance which Man has been given in the Past.

But please let me have one last word. The strength and credibility of a scientiric theory lies in its ability to predict, in two ways:
(I) to predict the reactions to artificially induced stimuli, and
(2) to predict the trends which a series of connected events ルill follow:

For a UFO Theory, the first kind of prediction is almost impossible to observe in that we have no control over the experiment. I might predict, for example, and indeed I do, that if a UFO accelerates very slowiy it will exhibit green and yellow colouration phases before reaching orange and red, but I cannot stimulate the UFO to undertake the experiment for me.

The second type of prediction, about trends, if applied to UFO Intentions, would be defeated by the Iine Scale. An enfightened observer of the Anannage's efforts to teach the pre-Sumerian peasant how to cross-breed wheats to obtain wheat suitable for making bread, might have predicted that the nert stage would be to teach the more advanced members of the community how to lay cut Sunrise Azimuths to assist in making an agricultural calendar - but he mould have had to wait 4000 years to say "I told you so".

Any prediction that we might make today concerning the future intentions of our visitors might well have to wait millenia before being seen to be right or wrong - except for one important factor: the exponential fncrease in the growth of our knowledge. It was said in the 1960 s that $90 \%$ of our scientific knowledge, then, had been acquired in the previous decade. The curve of accumulating knowledge is still climbing very steeply, but our curve of responsibility is in no way keeping pace. If we mere to continue to accumulate knowledge in a climate of diminishing social responsibility, we should, inevitably destroy ourselves.

But my Unified Theory of extra-terrestrial assistance in the development of Mankind leads me to predict that we would not be allowed to destroy ourselves, and that in the event of such becoming an imminent possibility, there would be a dranatic intervention, perhaps of the kind that the Hebrews, in Canaan, became quite accustomed to. Honever, it is my belief that even this prediction is unlikely to be put to the test, because the curve of declining social responsibility, in my view, has now flattened out and is rising effectively. I do not believe that we shall reach the stage at which intervention is necessary. Instead, we may have a long period of covert guidance until we reach a stage in our developrent where regular contact will again be possible. At that stage Man should take a very real step formard.
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## SURMARY

This paper sumarises some nine years' independent research investigating the reality of the strangest of reported UFO events.

The work comenced in 1967 with a detailed analysis cz objects reported within the UK during a period of intense UFO activity. This first study lead to further UK-centred research.

A study of world-wicle events was begun during 1973, using data for the period 1885-1954. This work is continuing; however, progress to date is described, and a number of tentative suggestions is put forwara to explain the rature of the phenomenon.

A theory of seasonably variable Eartذ-encounters is expounded, which, with further developnent, mignt enable future UFO events to be predicted.
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## 1. INTRODUCTORY REMARES

I want to begin by stating that, after a life-time of skywatching, some 9 years of serious research, and having investigated numerous reports personally, I accept that many, perhaps the majority of so-called UFO's might be capable of being identified, by experienced observers, as man-made or natural objects. However, the work described in this paper has been concerned only with objects having a high 'strangeness' rating, in Dr Hynek's terminology. (Ref 5.1)

The paper deals with two studies, the first being concermed with sightings within the United Kingdom, the second, with global activity.

Information for the UK study was obtained largely fron Paess reports, personal investigations, the files of DIGAP, Manchester, and the Stanway and Pace Report (Ref 5.2).

Data for the period 1885 to 1952 used for the global stucly were extracted from ail unfinished but detailed catalogue of selected reports by Peter Rogerson (Rer 5.3), supplemented by information from the 1972 Catalogue issued by NCCUFOR (Ref 5.4). The Peter Rogerson catalogue is currently being continued in the limited-circulation magazine MUFOB.

The stcdy began in 1967, when it becane apparent, from the frequent newspaper reports, that something odd was occurring in British airspace, or perhaps in British minds. Newspaper reports were collected and the contents analysed. The fundamental question to be answered seemed to be
"Is there any coherent evidence to suggest that the Earth is being visited by the products of an alien intelligence?"

It cannot be emphasised too strongly that since the evidence is all circumstantial and the work presented here is unfinished, any conclusions drawn must be regarded as being tentative.
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## SIGNIFICANT SIGHTINGS - 1967

FIG.!

## 2. THE UK SIUDY

### 2.1 Analysis of the 1967 Sightings

The first step in the initial study was to mark sighting locations on a map of the UK, the distribution pattern evolving with the passage of time from July to December 1967. Fig 1 shows the result of this exercise.

Towards the end of 1967 it was discerned that a narrow distribution band some 35 riles wide could be drawn North to South which would enclose all known sightings of significance between the Lake District and the South lidiands, and include others in the area beyond, down to the South Coast. It was interesting to note that this band lay roughly Magnetic North/South. By observation, it was further noted that a band of minimum width, covering the scattered sightings in the South of England could be drawn at right angles to the North/South band. This East/West band was some 70 miles wide, twice the width of the $N / S$ band.

These observations were interesting, but could not be considered significant, since a number of questions were posed:-
(I) Could the sample of data obtained be considered to be representative of the overall pattern for the UK? The absence of reports from East of the Pemines was rather disconcerting in this respect.
(2) Could the bands be regarded as flight corridors? A survey of the reported flight directions indicated negatively.
(3) Could the bands be associated with major air routes? A glance at a chart of these suggested otherwise, the Manchester area being the only one likely to be afrected in this way.

### 2.1 Analysis of the 1967 Sightings

(4) Was there any evidence that people had been influenced by Press stories and had invented the incidents, or misinterpreted natual occurrences? The possibility can never be completely ruled out, due to the suggest ibility of the human mind, so, to investigate this aspect, details of the objects described were studied. The results of this study are given below.

### 2.1.1 Oojects Reported

(a) Geametry During 1967 most of the 'high strangeness' events reported involved solid-looking objects of non-aerodynamic appearance. Shapes described were cylinders, spneres, discs surmounted by dores, and a small number of other variations. Consequently, it was concluded that such objects could not be regarded as natural phenonena by any stretch or the inagination, and further could be divided into three classes:-
(1) Eodies of revolution about a vertical axis, often reported to be rotating about the vertical axis.
(2) :dodified disc shapes having non-circular planforms.
(3) BluEf cylinders having low length-to-diameter ratios, reported flying with the longitudinal axis horizontal, without the aid of aerodynaric surfaces.
(b) Other Physical Attributes The objects were generaily witnessed during the hours of darkness, but, more rarely. were seen in daylight. Daylight sightings reported solid objects sharply outlined against the sky, having a grey or silvery metallic appearance. On one such occasion a small, rotating sphere was seen to display 2 red lights; on another, an oval disc with a transparent dome vas said to have carried a. white light on the upper surface just art of the leadingedge, and much incomprehensible detail was described.

Night-time sightings often involved highly illuminated objects caxrying a number of powerful lights, and, in some cases, the entire object was said to be luminous. The overall luminescence sonetines exhibited sudden changes of colour, and further, seened capable of being switched on and off.

Very few reports involved sound effects, despite the fact that objects seen at close quarters were often said to be large, (estimates of diameters were in the range 30 to 100 feet). However, on a number of occasions involving disc/ dome objects, a low hum was reported. Only one object mas said to have had luminous jets issuing from it, and on that occasion a high-pitched whine was reported.

Perhaps the nost significant sound efrect reported was incidentally described in Ref 5.2, associated with a glowing disc/dome object which flew low over house-tops before landing in a nearby field. A sound like a wind was nentioned.

At the time, this seened to me to be exactly the kind of sound that one might expect to be associated with an extrenely energetic, turbulent wake, such as might have been trailing behind the shape described. This iclea is given further credibility by letters appearing in recent editions of 'Aerospace', the journal of the Royal Aeronautical Society (Refs 5.5 and 5.6). Two readers have comrented on the audibility of the vortices trailing fron the wing-tips of large aircrart during landing nanouevres. The letter in Ref 5.5 describes the sound as "eerie . . . as if the air above my head were rushing down some invisible aerial plughole", whilst that in Ref 5.6, referring to recordings and measurements taken in America, notes that the noise level peaked at 30-40 Hz and was characterised by a general 'rushing' sound.

Although the process of energy dissipation in a turbulent wake is sonewhat different to that in a tip vorter, for the UFO case in question the energy level could have been of the
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same order as that in the vorter generated by a C5A freighter aircraft; however one would expect the characteristic frequency of the sound to have been different and perhaps to have been characterised by blustering.

These observations seen to support the view that the object reported in Staffordshire in 1967, winch was geonetrically similar to others reported during the same period, was indeed solid. I suggest that the witness could not have anticipated an aerodynamic sound effect for which there had been no precedent at that tire.

### 2.1.2 1967 Location Analysis

Having concluded that at least some of the 1967 objects were real in a material sense, ny attention turned to close exarination of the sighting locations. Or the grounds that the objects seened to originate from the atnosphere, if not from space, and might be monitoring humar cevelopment, typical signs of man's presence such as might be easily observed from high altitude were listed as follows:-

```
Transwitting stations
Military bases
Reservoirs
Power Stations
Industrial Comple:zes
Transport systems (if-Ways,
    Railways, Airports, Canals)
Historical sites
```

Sighting areas mere then scrutinised for the presence of these. The conclusions were drawn in the form of a bar chart (Fig 2).

The predominence of historical sites was uneroected. Of course, the chart is not statistically sound since the relative abundance of the seven types of location was not determined. Nevertheless, the finding gives some credibility to the idea that UFO activity may have begun in historical times.
*--0 OBIECTS SEEN OVER SEA
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(Incidentaly, it is relevant to mention that the bisectors of the N/S and E/W distribution bands were observed to intersect in the vicinity of Stonehenge, but this could not be considered to be more than a curious coincidence, since no sighting was recorded from that area, and the bands were dram somewhat arbitrarily).

### 2.2 UK Activity During The Period I968-1973

Time limitations allow only a briet survey of the situation in the UK since 1967.

During 1968 only 4 UK sightings were recorded, and no artificial object was definitely involved. 1969 was also a poor year for sightings, 4 being recorded, but 2 of these involved artificial objects of unknown origin.

Then followed a year of complete inactivity, broken early in 1971 by the appearance of a spectacular fireball, witnessed from the centre of Nanchester. This was folloved by a green Fireball event in February, and then, to my lenomledge, nothing of significance occurred until late sumer. In the period August to end of November 1971 some 30 strange sightings were recorded. Many involved peculiar lights, but there were 12 artificial objects reported.

The geographical distribution of the 1971 sightings was as shown in Fig 3. Although the pattern resembles that of 1967, the orientation South to North is inclined towards the North West and there appears to be some evidence of a line of activity East to West across Manchester, extending to Anglesey.

During the first three months of 1972 several 'Fireball' events occurred, these being reported in various colours. The late summer again produced a spate of sightings which mainly involved balls of brilliant light behaving as thongh under control. However, one sighting in August reported a grounded object near Thirsk in Yorkshire. This story was
rivalled for impressiveness by the much publicised Cairo Mill event, near Oldham, Lancashire, in October.

Autumn 1972 was also remarkable for a spate of sighting reports emanating fron Salford, which I investigated personally. Almost without exception, these involved strange lights, usually balls of light, which apparently flew in a controlled manner at roof-top level, to the considerable consternation or certain residents of Lower Broughion. The events began in August, and, after a lull throughout September, activity peaked during November. The last sigintings occurred on the l3th December.

1973 was a year of strange lights and 'fireball' events. However, there was a spectacular report of a grounded device near Winsford, Cheshire, on $28 t h$ January. My records contain some 38 reports for 1973.

Significant UK Activity since 1973 seems to have been minimal, but interesting cases have been reportec. Perhaps the nost outstanding report has been that involving the rectangular object witnessed at low altitude by a Trinity House employee on the Isle or Wight during January this year. Such reports seem to imply a continuing presence, even in periods of apparent quiescence.

### 2.3 Evidence For Cyclic Fireball Events

It was noted that, with the exception of 1967 , fireball and sometimes other occurrences were reported on and around certain dates in each year. Although sightings were not reported at regular intervals in any one year, by superimposing sightings for several years, a $36 / 37$ day cycle became evident. This cycle divides the year into 10 equal divisions, commencinc on or around January loth. Of course, an astronomer would immediately suspect that some natural mechanism, such as that producing the annual meteor showers, might be responsible, but in fact would finc great difficulty in explaining the events in this way. For example, if the cycle exists, only 2 of the 10 dates can be associated with the well-established meteor showers. The suggested occurrence dates are:-

```
1Oth January
14th February
22nd March
    3rd June
    9th July
15th August
2lst September
28th October
    3rd December
```

whereas the regular meteor showers occur during the periods:-

| $3-4 t h$ January | Quadrantids |
| ---: | :--- |
| $19-22 n d$ April | Lyrids |
| $1-13 t h$ May | Aquarids |
| $27 t h$ July-17th August | Perseids |
| $15-25$ th October | Orionide |
| 26 th Oct. -16 th Novenber | Taurids |
| $15-17$ th November | Leonids |
| $9-13 t h$ December | Geminids |

Events on 25 th August and $28 t h$ October might just be attributable to the Perseids and the Taurids, respectively, but in general, the UFO dates seen to slot neatly between the meteor shower periods.

## 3. THE GLOBAL STUDY

### 3.1 The Evidence

The study of world-wide activity began with the first issue of Peter Rogerson's international catalogue in l973. Details of events were suminarised in a list giving the date, time, place, the type of sighting and the latitude/longitude co-ordinates. Ihis last item of information involved much search activity using the most comprehensive atlas available to me. Sometimes the place names could not be located, presumably because the events had occurred in remote areas. Often, the time of the occurrence was not given, and even when time was stated, the standard used (eg GMT, local time) was omitted. If such information had been included in the original reports, doubtless it would have been included in such an otherwise excellent catalogue.

Events during the period 1885 to early 1954 form the basis of my

work on global activity, to date. The exercise began with a straight-forward plotting of the located sightings on a world atlas. This task proved to be fruitless. Sightings were concentrated in the Northern Henisphere and were in distinct blocks.

It was next noted that a number of the objects sighted over extensive areas of rvater had been witnessed not only entering the water, but also exiting from it. Since such behaviour is extrenely unnatural, it seened a logical step to extract water entry and exit events for special treatment. First results were disappointing. Although 23 events could be located they were concentrated in only 12 areas, all in the Northern Hemisphere. Then the idea occurred to me that if these objects had originated from space in a planned way, there might be a series of orbits or Great Circles involved. To investigate this, the area locations were marked clearly on the surface of a small globe atlas, and with a piece of cotton, Great Circles passing through 3 or more well-spaced points were sought.

Seven circles were defined in this way, with maximur latitudes located approximately at the following points, these being confirmed later by a Cartesian presentation as shown by Fig 4.

| Max Latituce ${ }^{\circ}(\mathbb{N})$ | O Longitude |
| :--- | :---: |
| $43 / 44$ | 70 W |
| 52 | 177 W |
| 53 | 90 W |
| 54 | 20 W |
| 54 | 157 N |
| 67 | 57 E |
| 76 | 48 W |

The circles were computed assuming a spherical Earth.

One of the interesting observations made about these circles was that some of the sightings close to $43^{\circ}$ on the $43^{\circ} \mathrm{N} / 70^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ circle had occurred near the Autumal Equinox at sunset. This became

bASIC GEOMETRY AT AUTUMNAL EQUINOX VIEW FROM SUN
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of special interest because it was realised that, at the Autumnal Equinox $43^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude at sunset reflects the position of the North Pole on the terminator, about an axis dram at right angles to the Ecliptic, the plane of the Earih's orbit round the Sun. (Fig 5)

Since all the other values of maximun lati.tude lay between $43^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$, the possibility was presented that the other Circles related to specific periods of the year.

An examination of the ccurrence dates for the 'water' sightings was inconclusive, since some points were comon to more than one circle, and others occurring at different dates, clustered in such a way that it was inpossible to determine whether they all belonged on the same circle or not. The exercise was then extended to include all the located and dated sightings between 1885 and 1954. (This excluded those which could not be located or for which no accurate date was given). Again, the outcome was inconclusive. There was little to suggest that the 8 circles defined were preferred distribution lines, or that they occurred at predictable times or the year. With this, the work came to a temporary halt.

Inspiration came several months later, with the realization that the pievious work had not necessarily disproved the idea that the Great Circles were seasonably variable. Instead of there being júst a limited number of Great Circles with maximun Iztitudes between $43^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$, there might be an infinite number. In other words, each point on the Earih's surface could lie on any Great Circle with maximum latitude greater than, or equal to its own. To prove conclusively the existence of a seasonal variation would require much more obsezvational detail than was availasle.
$3.266 \frac{1}{3}^{\circ}$ Theory
There was one other approach possible, viz to construct a model to fit the known situation.

MODEL FOR $66 \frac{10}{2}^{\circ}$ THEORY
FIG. 6

All the maximum latitude angles noted had been in excess of $43^{\circ}$. Some observations at approwimately $43^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude had occurred on the sunset terminator at the Autumnal Equinor. Could the seasonal variation in the location of the terminator, relative to the Earth's spin axis, be the key? Further, perhaps it was significant that both $43^{\circ}$ latitude and the Earth's spin axis created angles of $60^{\frac{12}{3}}$ to the eciiptic, in the plane of the terminator. These ideas are illustrated by Fig 6.

The Earth's North Pole is orientated towards a fixed point in space - this is located approximately by the Pole Star, Polaris. As the Earth moves in its orbit round the Sun, its fixed angle of tilt to the ecliptic causes the position of the terminator, relative to the poles, to vary. Therefore, an angle of $66 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ to the ecliptic, in the plane of the teminator, intercepts the Earth's surface at points corxesponding to angles of latitude between $43^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$ during the period between the Equinoxes, the actual angle of latitude being determined by the position of the Earth in its ordit.

This was exactly the sort of model being sought. Of course, it was realised that it was just one of many possible models that mignt explain the situation; nevertheless, the not inconsiderable task was begun of determining the seasonal variation in the latituce co-ordinate on the terminator corresponding to the $66 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ intercept with the Earth's surface The res:uting $66 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ intercept curves are shown by Fig 7 .

At any given time of year in the Northern Hemisphere there were two possible values of intercept latitude, one corresponding to sunset, the other to sunrise, Further, the sunset latitude in the Northern Herisohere was the same as that at sunrise in the Southern Henisphere, and vice versa. Using these curves, the significance of the 7 Great Circles was investigated, and the following correlations noted:-

| Great Circle Max Latitude | Predicted |
| :---: | :---: |
| $76^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | 14th February * |
|  | 27th April |
|  | 15th August |
|  | 29th October |
| $67^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | 22nd January |
|  | 22nd May |
|  | 22nd July |
|  | 22nd November |
| $52-54{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | 2-10th* January * |
|  | 3-10th* June |
|  | 1-9th * JuIy * |
|  | 3-12th December * |
| $44^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | Ist March |
|  | loth April |
|  | Ist September |
|  | loth October |
| $\triangle 3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $90^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | 21st March |
|  | 2Ist September |

The difference between $43^{\circ}$ and $44^{\circ}$ maximun latitude is obviously critical, but in view of the approximate manner in which the original circles were obtained, it was clearly not possible to differentiate to $1^{\circ}$ latitude accuracy.

However, if the dates corresponding to $43^{\circ}$ latitude are taken into account, it will be observed that all the fireball dates predicted fron the UK study are accounted for and markec* in the above list.

This was totally unexpected and fortuitous, consolidating the results of two largely intuitive, but distinctly separate, exercises.

It is pertinent to note that the key events in the formulation of the $66 \frac{1}{2}$ O theory involved not fireballs, but artificial devices. Thus another tenuous link was forged between fireball events and objects of artificial appearance.

### 3.3 Possible Implications of $661^{\circ}$ Theory

It has been suggested, in the previous section, that the intersection of the $47^{\circ}$ cone (created by $66 \frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ theory) and the terminator determines the mavimum latitucle for the Great Circle adopted at any given time of year. Since the ecliptic seems to be the reference plane throughout, it could be guessed that objects approach the Eaxth in the plane of the ecliptic, and establish sub-orbital entry paths with maximum latitudes close to the terminator. Since most Uro sightings occur during the hours of darlness, it could be further deduced that the objects approach the temmirator fron the direction of the sun, and after establishing sub-orbital entry, proceed to a landing area on the dark side of the Earth.

Such an adrirably simple tactic would have much to comnend it to anyone wishing to conduct regular clandestine excursions to Earth from outer space. An approach from the Sun is in the best fighter-pilot tradition, and the rapid transit at hypersonic speeds to a predeterined landing site would be witnessed only as a transient fireball event.

To investigate this guess another exercise was begun. It was argued that, if sub-orbital entry is always initiated close to the terminator, and a particular Great Circle is followed across the dark face of the Earth, it should be possible to determine the local times of sighting occurrences at all latitudes below the mavimum. The shape of the terminator, and the Great Circles corresponding to each of the ten dates previously mentioned were detemmined and plotted, as in Figs 8 a and 8 b . Timed sightings within $\ddagger 18$ days of the dates were superimposed onto these curves, the date scatter band having to be accepted to increase the quantity of data on each chaxt.
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At the Equinoxes, one of the options would be a polar route. This means that time prediction could be particularly difficult during these periods. A study of the patterns of actual occurrences suggests that Great Circle routes are being followed, at least at some tines of the year, but that they are displaced fron those predicted by approximately 1 hour ie $15^{\circ}$ Iongitude.

Displacement of actual circles fron those predicted nay be due to:-
(1) Incorrect assumptions on the seasonal variation of maximum latitude.
(2) Incorrect assumptions on the sub-orbital injection points relative to the terminator.
(3) Errors in the times plotted. Due to non-statener: of the time standard, local time was assumed througinout.
(4) Objects may not have been witnessed during the arrival or departure sequence.

In the August-October period the randon seatter of points across the hours of darleness is indicative of 'wave' activity. Given sub-orbital injection rules similar to those proposed, it is possible that during periods of intense activitj; the objects hide in remote places and carry out close reconnaissance missions at all times of the clay and night.

There is evidence to support this view. A recent report (Ref 5.7) is of special interest in this respect:-

In thick fog off the West Coast of Ireland, an object was detected on ship's radar, initially, close to the sea and approaching at low speed ( 6 Krots, estimated). Within 30 seconds the object had apprently accelerated to an estimated 7,000 knots before radar contact was lost. Other details given mere:-

Ship's position
Date
Tine
Object's track bearing
$52^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ N $20^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
23Id June, 1975
12.37 (hours?)
$105^{\circ}$ true (constant)

Examination of the appropriate chart (Fig. 8b) indicates that this object was following one of the predicted paths, but at the wrong time of day. However, it should be noted that the object was first detected travelling slowly near the surface of the sea before accelerating andy. Mis suggests an arrival in the sea at some earlier time, perhaps during the night, followed by continuation along the predetermined flight path during daylight.

Given that the objects have this degree of operational flexibility, the task of time prediction becomes well night impossible, uniess only the times of arrival to, or departure from Earth are considered. For this reason, the exercise illustrated by Figs. Sa and $s b$ may be meaningful only when sighting data for quiet, non-wave periods are used.

## 4. CONCLUSION

Even when the inadequacies of the available data are fully recognised, there is much circunstantial evidence, from eyewitnesses ' reports gathered throughout the world, to suggest that artificial devices of unknown oxigin are visiting the Earth on a continuing basis.

This being the case, it rust next be concluded that the nature of the objects described is unknown in the terns of present-day science and technology. Ary theories propounded to explain the nature, propulsion, purpose and place of origin of these objects must therefore be regarded as being purely speculative. (It is my view that the widespread publication of such theories over the past 30 years has only served to discourage serious scientific research into the phenomena, and therefore, it is not
my wish that the speculative content of this paper should be quoted out of context.) Nevertheless, analysis of the global data has predictable tactic to Eacilitate visitations to thins planet, but due to the apparent variability of this tactic with the time of year, and possibly the use of staging points in remote places and underwater, the accurate prediction of time and place of occurrence is difficult. However, it is suggested that further exhaustive statistical analysis might improve our knowledge of the situation.

There is evidence to link periodic fireball events with other UFO activity, and it has been implied that such events could signal the arrival (or departure) of an alien device.

Finally, scrutiny of sighting locations in the UK during 1967 suggested a linit betroen close-approach UFO activity and the outward signs of humar development, ar observation supported by the findings of a more recent analysis of sightings in the Manchester area.

Being acutely aware of the incompleteness or the study, and the tentative rature of the conclusions dram, I have been extremely reluctant to publish this work at its present stage of development. However, recognising the need for a nore comprehensive and detailed study, and my own resource Iimitations, it seemed that a presentation at this Research Conference would not be inappropriate.
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## Introduction

There are three fundanental ways in which reports of unidentified flying objects (UFO) can be interpreted:
(1) as NATURAL, RECOGNIZED events and known phenomena. This is the interpretation adopted by nost scientists.
(2) as PARANORMAL events representative of more esoteric phenomena, such as estra-terrestrial visitation, 'alternative' and 'parallel' universes, psychic phenonena, time travel, etc. This is the way UFO 'enthusiasts' tend to interpret the data.

The third possible interpretation has been very much neglected, nawely the interpretation of UFO phenomena
(3) as NATURAL physical processes which occur from time to time in our atmosphere and which are so rare that they are as yet unrecognized by science.

This paper examines this third possibility and develops a tentative model to explain some of the signtings.

|  | BAIL LIGHTNING (Singer) | UFO <br> (Poher) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shape | Usually spherical, but may be other shapes (e.g., oval, ringshaped, rod-shaped, irregular, etcas) | Usually exhibit at least one axis oi rotational symmetry. $\sim 70 \%$ consistent with circulai lens shape seen from different angles. |
| Diameter | Usually ~ 10 to 20 cm . Has been reported up to 260 m. | 0 sually or 1 to 10 m . Can be much larger or smaller. |
| Trajectories | Very slow to fast. Direct or erratic. Typically $\sim 1 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ to $240 \mathrm{~m} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. | Very slow to very Iast. Direct or erratic. Can exceed $50 \mathrm{~km} .3^{-1}$. |
| Colours | Wide variation: nearly all colours represented. <br> Red-orange and mite most common. Green rarely reported. | Mide variation: nearly all colours represented. <br> Red-orange and white most common. Green raxely reported. |
| Duration of appearance | Usually 3 to 5 s. Has been reported up to $\sim 900 \mathrm{~s}$. | Usually 60 to 1200 s. |
| General appearance | Both groups of phenomens are more often nazy and diaphanous in appearance, but can also be well-defined and solid-looking. |  |
|  | Usually Iuminous, sometimes not. | Sometimes luminous, sometimea not. |
| Notes | Usually associated with thunderstorms. |  |
| Physical epfects: |  |  |
| Physiologí | Witnesses feel 'numbed', paralyzed, burned。 |  |
| Odour | Ozone, sulphur, etc., |  |
| Electromagnetic | Radio and compass disturbance. Passage , along power lines. |  |
| Physical traces | Burn marks; ground marks, furrows, etc.,; damage to electrical equipment. |  |




Fig $2 \quad$ Idealized (Felmholtz) vortex
ring (inviscid Iluid)


Sketches from Sallet D F and Wiamayer R:S. $Z$ Flugriss 22(1974) rief̂t 6 pp 207-215.

The physical model suggested in this paper was developed fron a comparison of the characteristics reported for UTO and those reported for ball lightning. Ball lightning is a phenomenon on the borderline of scientific acceptance, although it is by no means understood.

TABLE 1 summarizes the comparison. Characteristics are drawn from statistical studies of both phenomena, Iisted at the end of the paper. (Singer, 1971; Cade and Davis, 1969; Iynelc, 1972; Vallee, 1967; Poher).

It can be seen that there are considerable similarities in shape, colours, general appearance, ard, above all, physical effects associated with the two phenonena. Tine suggestion that both phenomena are, in fact, the same is countered by the basic differences in dianeter, velocity, lifetime and the fact that ball lightning is usually associated with thunderstorms, whereas UFO usually are not.

In spite of these fundamental differences, the extreme similarities in physical effects suggest that a common plyysical explanation may be found.

The reported physical effects seen to be consistent with the behaviour of a plasna, or highiy ionized gas. Fovever, containment of plasmas mder controlled laboratory conditions is difficult enough, and so it is difficult to suggest how a plasna could remain stable in the atmosphere for the periods reported for ball lightning and especially UFO.

One plasma theory for ball lightning was advanced by E R Wooding (See References), who suggested that ball lightning may be a plasma vortex ring produced by a process similar to the ablation of a solid surface by a high power laser pulse. This is not an entirely satisfactory hypothesis
according to others working in the same field, but it may explain sone of the ball lightning observations.

Further examination of the fluid vorter ring hypothesis is Of interest here because of the bearing it may have on UFO phenomena. FIGURE 1 shows the production of a filuicl vortex ring in an inviscid fluid. A variation in the geonetry of the vortex ring can result in nearly any of the shapes which have been reported for ball lightning and UFO, with the characteristic axis of rotational symetry.

In order to hypothesize a plasma vortex ring interpretation of UFO we have to provide a metnod of formation. We al=eady have an explanation for the generation of ball lightning in thunderstorms, but we have noted the absence of thunderstorm correlation for UFO.

A convenient 'local' source of plasna would seem to be the earth's magnetosphere, and it is worth considering whether or not fluctuations in the positions of magnetospheric boundaries (Byyart and Johnstone) during magnetic substorms could resuit in the injection of plasma vortex rings into the atmosphere. Recent discussions would seen to indicate that this is extrenely unlikely. The extreme energies of particles which managed to penetrate to this depth would produce effects far more dranatic than simple vortex rings, with probable catastrophic consequences. Indeed, it has been suggested that this process could have been responsible for the farous Tungusía event oí. 1908. The correlation of sunspot activity with magnetospheric behaviour, and the reported correlation also with incidence of UFO reporting (Delair, 1976) do suggest that further consider ation of this idea, perhaps in modified forn, might be worthuhile.

For an alternative solution, we may consider the fact that lightning strokes do not always occur during tinnderstorms (Cade and Davis pl3), and isolated lightning discharges =rom clear skies have been known. Furthernore, reports of ball
lightning which is seen in the absence of thunderstorms seen to suggest a greater energy than that associated with thunderstorm ball lightning. It could thererore be suggested that isolated lightniag discharges carry a greater anount of energy than lightning strokes during thunderstorns, and therefore tend to produce larger vortex rings winch also have greater velocity and lifetime, and have greater departwre fion sphericity.

However, this is not an entirely convincing argument and further investigation needs to be undertaken of the various leads suggested in this paper, in particular the close connection between ball lightning and UFO clescriptions.

It will very likely be found that whatever theory is finally formulated to satisfactorily account for ball ligitaing will also provide an explanation for at least the najority of UFO.

Certainly, the glowing, amorphous rasses and diapinanous phantasms reported as UFO are far more suggestive of natural events than of spacecraft from an advanced tecinology.

## CONCLUSIONS

A comparison between UFO and ball lightning suggests that the sane physical nechanism is responsiole for some of the reports.

A possible model in the fon of plasma vortex rings is suggested, but the source of these is not satisfactorily explained.
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BY IAIT GRATT?

To attempt solution of a mystery which has baffled the morid's best brains for thirty years may seem presumptuous: but I an putting forward a logical step-by-step approach which is open to verification, and nay be modified as necessary in the lignt of future evidence. This treatment will be set out in greater detail in a book (nom in course of preparation): the main difficulty in presenting a brief sumary is that some of the conclusions reached by logical analysis are at variance with certain 'scientific' beliefs, which turn out, on caxeful examination, not to be so scientific after all.

Consider astrology: a struggle between popular credulity and official antipathy has gone on since the days of the Ronan Empire. Science has added the invaluable conclusion that it can't be, so it isn't; the facts must not be investigatea, because there can be no facts to investigate. This wall of superstition was accidentally breached in 1907 by an astrononer engaged in the seemingly innocuous task of counting sunspots, who nade the puzzling discovery that the number of sunspots appearing on the east limb of the sur is consistently greater than the number vanishing on the west 1 imb (9А7 and 777 respectively, for the years 1889 to 1901).

Since this would seen to indicate that the Earth is sonehow suppressing sunspots, i土 was tactrully ignored by most astrononers, and 65 years ment by before Professor $K$ D Wood, of the University of Colorado, published a report showing a close correlation bemeen the mean planetary tide on the sun and the sunspot cycle. Two years later, in 1974, Anerican scientists John Gribben and Stephen Plageran claimed in the book 'The Jupiter Effect' that earthquakes, too, are governed by the positions of the planets. There is a popular belief that when the moon is in the seventh house, and Jupiter aligned with Mars, something rather spectacular is going to happen - such as the Daming of the Age of Aquarius. The two Anerican scientists male a different prediction. They say that in 1982, when Jupiter aligns with Mars (and ali the otre planets - an event which recurs every 179 years), the San Andreas fault will siip, and Los Angeles will be destroyed.

As if this isn't bad enough, French scientist Michel Gauquelin has found a statistical correlation between planetary configurations at the time of birth and subsequent choice of career. A discovery which infuriated scientists and astrologers alike, since the relationships uncovered by M Gauquelin vere not those forming the foundations of astrology.

Thus we see that even the silliest supersition may conceal a vestige of trath, while the serious statements of the scientists turn out to be mere pompous platitudes.

Iurning to the UFO enigma, let us first consider a few reports which are, I believe, not untypical. We start with an incident on November 4 th, 1967, at Fort Itaipu, Brazil. Around 2.00 an two sentries on the ramparts mere discussing an unusually bright orange star, when one said "That's no star - it's coning nearer". In seconds a great glowing disc was hovering scarcely 30 netres above them: for pernaps a minute it hung there, then a fierce wave of heat smept over the men, burning then bady as the disc shot simyards. Simultaneously, all the lights in the fort ment out, the electric alarm clocks (set for 5 an ) started ringing, and though the energency generators vere running, impossibly, no electricity was produced.

For our next report, we move on to September 2边h, l959: Redmond, Oregon. Just before 5.00 an, city police officer Robert Dickerson saw a pink glow in the slyy, and a great shining disc plunged out of the clouds, then noved off northeastrards over the airport. He rushed there, and alerted Flight Specialiṣt Laverne Wertz, who, with other Federal Aviation Authority men, studied the disc through binoculars for several minutes. Then, at 5.10 am , Mertz teletyped the Air Route Iraffic Control Center at Seattle; at 5.18 six F-lo2 jets took off fron Portlend, Oregon, and minutes later they were approaching Rectuond. The disc was still hovering, but as the jets arrived, it rose vertically at incredible speed, alnost causing two of the interceptors to crash.

We continue with an encounter on October $12 t h$, I963, between Monte Maiz and Isla Verde, Argentina. Around 3.30 an ir a violent rainstorm, truck driver Eugenio Douglas was stopped by a blinding light ahead. He got out, to find the road blocked by a huge oval netallic object ten metres in height: three manlike creatures, $\leq$ to 5 metres tall emerged, and one fired a burning red light at hin. Eugenio fled tomards Ponte Maiz; reaching the village, he shouted for help at the first house. Meanwhile, the ball of red fire floated on up the village street, turning the street larps green and violet while in the house candles and electric lights likemise changed colour.

Finally, on May 31st, 1974, we have an odd tale fron Rhodesia, where a young couple were driving overnight fron Salisbury to Durban, South Africa. Towards 2.30 an, they saw a light in the sley; the car lights faded, and it became bitterdy cold. A 'great light' shone round the car, illuminating an eerie dead-straight road passing through impossible swampy country. They reached the frontier post at Seit Eridge soon after dam, having covered 288 km on less than 2 litres of petrol. Subsequently, uncer deey hypnosis, the driver reported "the car was telling ge what to do . . . it mas direct signals froul the spacecraft . . . this simulated screen was put (around the car) . . . we travelled off the top of the road. . . in a dead straight line . . . and then the form which was beaned straight to the back seat . . . it told me . . . if I wanted it to look like a duck, then it looked like a duck; if I manted it to look like a monster, then it looked like a monster".

Now, what are we to make of these stories? The first two perhaps sound like alien spacecraft, but the others are more like tales of the supernatural. This has led writers such as John Keel to conclude that UFOs are 'paraphysical', or, to quote fxom a public lecture given by Air Marshal Sir Victor Goddard at Caxton Hall, London on May 3rd, 1969, "creations of an invisible world coincident with the space of our physical Earth planet . . . inhabited by illusion-prone spirits . . . eager to exemplify principalities and poriers". Moreover, Jacques Vallee, in passporit to Magonia', shows suspicious
similarities between the UFO occupants and the 'little people' of folklore: can it be, he asks, that both are a "functioning lie . . . so well engineered that its image in human consciousness could sink very deep indeed and then be forgotten . . . to control human imagination is to shape maninind's collective destiny".

The trouble with the 'paraphysical' explanation is that it explains nothing, and leaves unsolved that other mystery rwhere is everybody?' - why do we seeningly have no visitors from other planets? On the other hand, the reported behaviour of the UFO entities is so consistently ridiculous that it seems absurd to suppose they might be intelligent visitors fiom advanced civilisations. But then, how would such visitors behave? Not how might they - whicin only leads to endless speculation - but how must they.

Is it possible to answer such a question? The first thing to realise is that the universe is ten thousand million years old: the chance of a visit from beings less than a million years ahead of us must be remote. To base owr predictions of the jehaviour of such beings on science-fictional extrapolation of our omn technological progress over the last fer decades is clearly fatuous. Instead, we must try to uncover the basic principies of evolution. Indeed, we will go back further, and seek to determine the prevalence of Earthlike planets. Because although it has been suggested that life might evolve En other environments - even in outer space - this is mere speculation, and we have to stick to the facts.

How do planets form? When a mass of interstellar gas condenses into a star, the conservation of angular momentum requires that there will be a corresponding increase in any pre-existing rotational motion. Even if the original gas cloud were at rest, spin mould be created during the contraction, because the galary as a whole is rotating. The nem star will only attain stability when the core temperature rises to some 13 million ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ alloming commencement of the hydrogen-heliun nuclear fusion reaction, which provides sufficient energy to balance the pull
of gravity. Long before this stage is reached, it can be shown that the equatorial surface of the protostar hill have reached orbital velocity, and can thus contract no nore; the remainder of the star, however, continues to contract and spin faster. In fact, a number of rings will be forned in succession, and each will be driven outwards from the star.

These rings, originating as they do from the matter of interstellar space, have much the sane composition - some $39 \%$ hydrogen, and 10\% heliun. The remaining 1\% consists of otner elements, derived principally from supernova explosions. As a star 'burns', helium accumulates at the centre and contracts under its own weight. In large stars, the temperature may pass 100 million ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, allowing 'helium burning' (conversion to carbon, oxygen, and neon); at still higher temperatures, neon is converted to magnesiun, and then oxycen to silicon and other elements. Finally, at temperatures over 2000 million ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, extrenely violent reactions lead to the formation of iron, and otner metallic elements; at the sane time, energy is lost in the form of neutrinos, and the core collapses faster and faster. At about 5000 million ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, there is a catastzophic change as all the energy supporting the core is used to change everything back into helium; the core collapses almost instantaneously, leaving the outer layers of the star with no visible means of support. These outer layers in turn coミlapse, leading to sudden heating; the fusion reactions still taking place run out of control, and the whole star blows up with a ferocity that makes the hydrogen bonb look like a very darp squib.

As we might expect from this, the composition of stars is pretty constant, and we can predict what will happen as the rings formed by a condensing protostar are driven outwards and cool. First, crystals of iron will form, together with silica, a proportion of magnesiun silicate, and traces of alumiriun silicate. As cooling proceeds, increasing amounts of water will be absorbed, to forn hydrated silicates. Nitrogen, will be present, mainly as amania, while carbon, because of its specijal properties, will form many compounds principally methane, but also hydrogen cyanide and carbon-
hydrogen-oxygen compounds such as formaldehyde. In the presence of ultra-violet radiation fron the nerf star, these compounds, together with amonia, will form a number or organic chemicals, including probably certain oily and tarry materials, mich could help to stick together the tiny grains of silicate and iron. Thus solid aggzegations begin to form, and are lét behind as the remaining material of the rings is driver outwards. With further cooling, first ice crystals form, and then methane and amonia solidify. It is interesting to note that the planetoids formed in our asteroid belt would consist mainly of methane and amonia at just below their freezing points; having grown to a certain size, the heat genexated in collisions mould vaporise the material, preventing formation of a large planet. This might suggest that asteroid belts could be a common phenomenon - an important point, because although our Earth may seem a faisly safe bone in the short tern, over millions of years, it is being pelted mith asteroids like stones from a sling-shot.

We can see from our onn solar system that this analysis is broadly correct. Still further out, large methene-anonia planets formed quickly, sweeping up much of the hyclrogen and heliun in their paths - the giant planets, Jupiter and Satum. Smaller particles mere driven on by radiation pressure fron the new sun, to forn the outer planete Uranus and Neptune, while quantities of hydrogen and heliun, amounting to some seven times the mass of all the planets, were blown back into interstellar space. We would expect similar processes to occur with all new stars; but, bearing in mind that large stars contract less and burn hotter, we would predict that they would have proportionately smaller planets, and above a certain size, no planets at all.

These predictions are confirmed by neasurements of the angular momentun of different stars. As the rass of the star decreases: so does the angular monentur (indicating a loss of gas into space); below about $1 \frac{1}{2}$ tires the mass of our Sun, the decrease becomes much steeper, shoming that an increasing proportion of the original mass has gone into the formation of planess. We
would expect, therefore, that stars larger than the Sun would have smaller planets; as the size of the star decreases, the planets would become larger at first, then level out, before finally becoming smaller. This calculation is confimed by the planetary system of Barnard's Star, which, although possessing only some 15\% of the mass of our Sun, nevertheless has larger planets (1.26 times the mass of Jupiter, at 1.8 astronomical units from the star, 0.63 times at 2.8 A.U., and 0.89 times at 4.5 A.U.). We may reasonably conclude that Barnard's Star also has Earth-type planets; but the ecosphere, or habitable zone, around such a small star is so narron that chances of life must be small; moreover, the ecosphere is so close to the star that gravitational retardation would lead to slow rotation, one day perhaps lasting many of our months. However, there are some 250, 000 million stars in our galaxy alone, and even on a fairly pessimistic calculation we might expect some 10,000 millinn habitable planets.

What sort of life-forms can we expect on such planets? All life on Earth is derived from 29 basic compounds, notably the 20 amino-acids and the 5 nitrogenous bases of DNA and RNA; these are remarkably simple chemicals, mostly containing no more than 4 or 5 carbon atoms - indeed three of the aminoacids have even less. We may reasonably suppose that the cherical constituents of life on other planets are likely $=0$ be similar; at present, however, it seems impossible to be sure they will be identical. This gives rise to the alarming possibility that life on other planets qight be based on sone different, and perhaps incompatible form of DNA - an idea that seems to have eluded the science-fiction writers, for all their bug-eyed monsters. Could we co-exist with non-DNA life? Would the non-DNA gobble up all Earth life like an unstoppable cancer? Or per haps do no worse than cause a mild allergic-type reaction? (Like the 'elf-burg' of Icelandic folklore).

Now, each link in the DNA chain has four possible values, so the number of possible variations is inmense - with only 1.75 links, $4^{175}$, which is greater than $10^{105}$. It has been calculated that the number or atoms in the universe is less than $10^{80}$, so it follows that if we made the whole universe into one giant computer, and computed l,OOO, OOO variations per second on each atom, there would not have been time to compute all the possible values of even such a mini-DNA chain during the entire life of the universe. Since comtemporary lifeforms on our planet have anything from 10,000 to $1,000,000$ links in the DNA-chain, it is clear that the possibility of one particular arrangement arising by chance is inconceivably less than the ratio of the volume of an electron to the volume of the universe. A miracle indeed:

But while the impetus for evolution may derive from randon mutation, the process is subject to a very tight control in the form of natural selection. Just how tight, we can see fron consideration of the concept of geonetrical progression, and to illusirate this $I$ would like to look at something completely different - the population explosion. At present, the Earth's population is doubling every 30 years; that means four tines as many people in 60 years, and so on. If we go on doubling every 30 years, we get 8 , then $16,32,64,128$, 256, 512, and 1024 - more than a thousand times as many people in only 300 years, and a thousand times that (in other words, a million) in 600. At this rate, in a thousand years our population increase can fill 10,000 million planets, which happens to be the same as our estimate of the number of habitab: planets in our galaxy. In another thousand years, each one of those planets can populate a galaxy; which just about disposes of the unfverse. After that, no doubt we would just have to consider some kind of birth control.

What this has to do with evolution? Consider a nutation which gives just one-tenth of one per cent improved chance of surviva: something so slight as a millinetre difference in the positioni:
of the eyes, for instance, giving fractionally better vision of lurking predators. Completely insignificant, one might think, since a population increase of one tenth of one per cent per generation would take 25,000 years to increase from one to two. For 200,000 years the survival of such an attribute mould depend alnost completely on chance: neverthe~ less, if such a mutation survives, calculation shows it will becone universal well within a million years. Thus we have the concept of biological engineering: each attribute present for a million years or more has been optimised to an accuracy of better than one-tenth of one per cent.

Of course, we understand mechanical engineering much bettex than the biological variety: even if we imagine cars being assenbled at random from random parts, we would scarcely expect a successful model to have five wheels, three headligints at the rear, and a windscreen in the roof. So it is with living organisms; the course of evolution follows sound engineering principles. First the single cell, then the group of cells surrounding a central digestive tube. This tube requires a mouth, and some sort of food-detecting sensor - using touch, sound, ox slight, for example. As size increases, we need an improvised mechanism for the distribution of nutriment - a specialised digestive organ, and primitive circulatory system. So we have the first fish. Fins becone legs, and the first reptiles emerge from the waters. But the land is an inhospitable environment with extremes of heat and cold; irmproved systens for temperature regulation, and better insulation, lead to the first furry warm-blooded mamals. At last the circulation is sufficient to support a fair-sized brain, and the stage is set for the appearance of intelligent creatures - Man and Dolphin.

Since our understanding of this process is in its infancy, we can do iittle to predict the appearance of intelligent creatures on other planets; though we may suspect that some at least might be not unlike ourselves - erect bipeds, with the usual organs in the usual places. Remembering our prediction that many habitable
planets will be larger than our own, we might expect many such creatures to be adapted to a heavier gravity, somewhat shorter and more thickset than ourselves. Such beings are in fact described in folklore as well as UFO reports. Coincidence?

However, it turns out that the most important feature of our analysis is not the physical appearance of extraterrestrials, but their psychology; and here we can predict one important $\frac{2}{3}$ difference. In a fev years, it will be possible for a perfectly ordinary man to leave a perfectly ordinary car outside Victoria station, and blow up half London. Already in the United States enough plutonium is lost in transit each year to make several dozen atomic bombs. It needs no genius to tell us that as techology advances we will be faced with a stark choice either we eliminate violence, or violence will eliminate us.

It follows that civilisations millions of years ahead of us will of necessity be non-violent, since otherwise they could not have survived. Now we tend to seek the answer to violence in religion or morality; however, lacking any decisive evidence for the existence of a kind of noral evolution independent of the biological variety, I propose instead a minor correction to the DNA molecule, cutting the excitement and exhilaration out of violence, and enhancing the nausea and shock. shock is a medical condition, which can kill - rather a disincentive to violence, one might imagine. If this analysis is correct, we would expect advanced beings to be not vaguely 'better' than ourselves, but different in a very predictable way. In their world, the very idea of deliberate violence would be quite incomprehensible, since it would amount to intentional selfinjury - a form of madness, except that having eliminated madness as well as violence, this concept too would be beyond their understanding. Presumably they would visualise our deliberate violence as a very odd and destructive form of systers malfurction. Accidental harm, by contrast, they would understand well; but their concern would be less for the victim ( who would be quickly patched up in hospitai-) than for tive unfortunate culprit, who would need emergency treatment if he was to survive.

How would a non-violent society behave towards us? The answer must depend largely on the difficulties, or atherwise, of interstellar travel. We must therefore try to assign some sort of mumerical values to these - a bighly subjective procedure, but luckily it will appear that the exact figures chosen are far from critical.

Some authorities talk glibly oi time~dilation and relativistic speeds, conveniently ignoring the incredible energy require~ ments - even atomic energy can take us to no more than a tiny fraction of the speed of light, for greater velocities we need Total Matter Conversion (the matter-antimatter reactors of the Starship Enterprise). How such a thing might be accomplished we have no idea - just as well perhaps, since the energy required to take us to the nearest star could equally be used to blow a fair-sized hole in the United States. Nevertheless it seems somewhat foolhardy to label interstellar travel 'forever impossible' when NASA is already planning such a trip.

What about 'faster than light' travel? By the theory of relativity, this is impossible; but already scientists such as Burkhard Heim are working on six-dimensional theories which go beyond the four dimensional space-time of relativity. Nevertheless, the idea of rapid or instantaneous transfer seems very far-fetched, so let us consider the implications: if transfer in and out of our four-dimensional space-tine is possible, we would expect to find occasional mysterious appearances or disappearance. Such things have in fact been reported since time immemorial, bu* dismissed as 'obviously Iudicrous'. Coincidence? Then there are some recent discoveries in atomic science, including a particle which seems to jump out or spacetime.

Should interstellar travel be possible, how can our planet have escaped colonisation? The most plausible disincentive would seem to be the fear of setting a precedent for being colonised oneself - a consideration'which applies less if interstellar travel is difficult. As for open contact, consideration of the
resulting 'culture shock' demonstrates that such contact could only be, particularly if interstellar travel is fast and easy, a deliberate act to destroy our civilisation.

Assigning probabilities to all the theoretical alternatives, then, we have:
(1) Interstellar ヶravel impossible:

Earth contacted by radio I\% $\times$
Not yet contacted 4\%
(2) Iravel slow and difficult:

Not yet contacted $5 \%$
Concealed surveillance $5 \%$
Open contact $5 \%$ 爻
Colonisation
$30 \%$
(3) Travel quick and easy:

Concealed surveillance $25 \%$
Colonisation $25 \%$ x

The possibilities marked with an $x$, amounting to $61 \%$ can be eliminated since they clearly have not happened. This leaves 'concealed surveillance' (30\%), wnich might explain UFO phenomena, and 'no contact yet', which does not. Further consideration shows that UFO reports are not consistent with slow and arduous interstellar travel either, so we will now look at the 'fast, easy' alternative, vhich has been Iargely ignored hitherto, because it was assumed impossible, and therefore never consiciered.

On this model, it is clear that the prospect of our discovering the reans for fast interstellar transfer must. fill accessible commnities with considerable alarm. In tine, of course, we will eliminate violence, or destroy ourselves. Either way, the problem is solved. But what will they, logically, do in the meantine? The answer would seem to be two fold; first, they must shorten the danger period by pushing us into the inevitable crisis just as far as they can, and second, in the meantime they must try to delay our discovery of fast interstellar travel as long as possible. It is interesting to note that all matters connected with other dimensions seem to be affected by a deep fear of "medding with the unlenown'. Coincidence?

How would our supposed extraterrestrials carry out their objectives? On our planet, the normal method is talk, backed up by war. When violence is excluded, we fall back on 'hidden persuasion', such as 'soft-selz' advertising, and psychological warfare. We may presume that civilsations millions of years ahead of our own would have advanced sorewhat in such techniques. Of course, to us the idea of 'warfare' based on dreams and hallucinations seems ludicrous; but consider the simple illusion of receiving a (non-existent) order. With incredible folly, we have placed ourselves in the position where a few key persornel could be deceived into destroying the world. Luckily, it seems the aliens are not taking such measures yet. Meanwhile they are bombarding us with silly scenarios of ridiculous UFOs, not to speak of elves, goblins, ghosts, and monsters, all of which combine subconsciously to push mankind pell-mell into the crisis of violence, which though inevitable, is none the more pleasant for all that. (And before dismissing these ideas as 'rubbish', remember that we ourselves can produce apparitions, by three-dimensional television, and hallucinations, with drugs such as LSD).

I am aware that these conclusions may seem wild and absurd. I have difficulty in believing ther myself. There has been no time to give my logical analysis in adecuate detail; I an setting the arguments out in book form, so that those who are interested will have the opportunity of examining them for possible flaws. Because if there are no flaws, the conclusion is inescapable. We are being pushed at great speed towards an inevitable crisis; the pressure is external, but the decision will be ours alone. Time is short; already there are rumours that scientists are on the point of discovering 'instantaneous transfer', and opening the way to the stars. An analysis of UFO events seems to indicate deliberate implanting of fears that in such an eventuality something unfortunate might occur - such as a world-wide failure of electricity. Impossible, of course, but can we really be sure? Perhaps the counter-measures, if they come, may be in other forms; an epidemic of apparitions and poltergeists, perhaps. Who knows?

One thing is certain. If my analysis is correct, humanity is faced with a stark choice, a choice which has faced many species before. In the distant past, when small bands of men faced ferocious wild beasts, violence was essential for survival. But the beasts have gone, and the violence remains. We have changed the environment, and now we must face Nature's law - adapt or perish.

Tape subuitter by Dr. J. Allen Hyner on current wo research in the U.S. A .

It is indeed a pleasure to greet you on this occesion or your significant BUFORA-sponsored gatherine, the second national scientific coriference on UFOs. I would have liked to have been able to deliver this in jerson.

I am hapoy that l'ed Bloecher is wioh you and among other things he pill certainly be ajle to answer any other auestions that you may have on how the Center for UiU Studies and the International JFO Reporter are getting on. Is a matter of fact I expect to 'ce in London on December llth and lath on my way to Indonesia and wernaps I will be able to meet some of you at that wime. .

There is no flap going on in this country at this time, althougn we do have some interesting cases under investigation; one in particular that is being investigated byi Richerd Sigismuna in Boulder, Colorado, may well turn out to be another Betty and Barney Fill case. It involves a time lapse and displecement of the witnesses oy some yo miles without their knowledge and what seemingly is becoming quite a general jattern - an abduction and medical examination end the retrieval of this information only through progressive nyonosis. The same techniques are being used by itir. Sigismand as were used by Dr. Simon, that is, by not letting the two principal witnesses hear each other's tapes. But in. Sigismund tells me that the accounts on both tajes are remarkably similer.

Since my thongits these days are almostentirely aken uo with the Center for UFO Studies and the International JFO Reporter, it is oniy natural for te to want so speak about these matters to you and inaeed it is what I would be talkines about were I nith you in person. Diny of you rray not knoy how the Center for UF? Studies became started and a brieñ resume might be in order.

As soma of you know a number of colleagues or mine and I have deen maetirig sporadically to discuss the UFG problem, these collaagues inclucing jacques Valea, Fead Becknn, Dave Baunders and others, and I think you also know that we hai ratiner jokingly termed ourselves the Invisible College.

Mell, in the Fall of 1973 when we nâ quite a flap here in the United States, and nobody seemed to be doing anytning pariiculan about it in any orgenised may, we felt that the Invisiole College ought really to beoome somewhat more visiole
 Fe began without any noticeable tiunds to try to co something. Finds always enter, of course, and we felt tiat such funds migh be obtained by having popular merbersinip in the Center, but soon zoandoned the idea when we realised that there would be no way of keeping the center from turning into a rather
 mean.

We then decided we might get the necessary funds by simply asking jor contributions. fell, this worked reasonably well but certainly not well enough to finance any meaningful reeearch. ve Firelly decíad shet we :rould !ave to lift ourselves up oy our own boctstraps, so to speak, and go comercial in a sense. By commercial in this instarce I simply mean the puolication of the International rFu revorter, rinch is to be a type of newsletter, rather short but to the point and furnishing reliaile information to schools, libraries and to the gereral public. But it is to be of such a calikre that no schooltaacher or librerian, for that matier, vould be in the least bit ashamed to have this fublication open on her desk where, as you know, at least in this country it is so, thet one finds on news stands a great deal of trash literature on UFOs which I belisve school teacirers and
librarians would indeed not wish to have open on their gesks. It is understood that the revenues accruing from the subscriptions to this publication will be used for research not only for the Center for LiFO Studies but for any qualified TRO researcher with a good track record who has a good rroposal.

The amount of funds arailable, of course, will be a direct eunction of momy subscriotions we gat. Wis is not really meant to be a conmercial but rathen to tell you how and why the whole thing started. There is a bare possioility thet I can get the first cony of the Interrational URO leporter, or the I.U. Z . for short, to you by air mail before your mestings are over. I rrote an editorial for this íirst issue which, while it tells you nothing nevi or at least nothing new to persons who are well aoquainted with UPO phenomena, I thought it inigh be well for the first issue to set down sone besics and, if you will inculge ne for a morent, I mould like to insert this short editorial for the recond so to speak, since I am not thera to present to each of you an initial cony of tiol.i.i.i. In any event it does express my own feelings about the wole tipu proolem.

Whateven one may think about UNOs, whatever one may believe about their ohysical reality, whatever one may sreculate aoout their origins, one fact has stood out over about a quarter of a century - UFOs continue to be reported by peojle the world over and in all walks of life.

The contents of these reports continue to intrigue, mystify, entrance and engage our imasinetions - even the sceptic can oareiv fail to sense the unematic slement in a mell cocumented multiple witness revort of $a \operatorname{drO}$ ciose encounter. Almost always eack new $\mathcal{H} O$ report bears some relation to grevious reports, yet each is e new chalienge to the enquiring rific. İ is a challense but it is also a threat to established ways of looking at things to our presert corceot of reality. Each well-investigated UFO report, wich teans all reporis for which rational explanation has been $\hat{i}$ ound have been slitinated, carries us close to the edge of reality. It suggests that the conventional way of looking at things, what me accept as reality may cave to be chensed, much in the same way thet Einstein preseniad us with a new way of looking at the physical morld.

It suggests the need $\hat{\text { for }}$ a shift in outlook and $\overline{\mathrm{F}}$ or an allowance for things not yeu krown. Each ağe has had its change in scientific outlook in its world of you and the UFO phanomenon ray well point the way to the next such shange. What that change might be we can only find by diligent research; quíck guesses or wisniul thinking will not do, only well-supported, well-executed research will eventually yielá answers.

It is becoming increasingly clear that the $\mathcal{G N}$ is mich more than that winch merely fools the eje on deludes the fool - much more - it is entirely naw information ane only the unorejudiced mind is pregared to taze the ndvantage of and meet this new challenge. Thus endetin the editorial.
liour for a somewhat lighter iter. Let ne mention by way of passing, the film that is neariñ its completion and which will be released in this country at Easter. It is called "Close Encounters of the Third Kind" and I have had the opportunity of being technical adviser on it. It is being directed by Steven Spielberg, the young ganius (and I use the term properly) who directed the novie "Jaws".

I agreed to be associated with the oicture orly if it ware to treat the subject respecieully and with sympatiny and it does that. "Jams", as you yay know, was a. horror picture but "Close Encounters of the Thira Kind" is not. it is actually oased, in a way, on several ectual cases, out it is azactional treatment of the UFO pienomenon.

I suppose ons shouid not mention this but it has a budeet of some twelve million dollars which of course does not guerantee that it mill not be a good picture, but I think it is an index of at least the êfort that is going into it. I have been on the set and $I$ know it is going to be an extremely seectacular and good
treatment of the UFO subject. I will predict it will have quite an imosct on the public here.

Fiere is anotiner naws iten ratber more directly related to our subject. As all of you krow, I an sure, the U.S. Air Force has finally released the "Blue Zook" filies and I heve been asked by a zublisher to do a resurvey with aporopriate comnents on what these files contained, so my assistants and I nave gone through all the 94 reels of microfilm and, in a serse, it was "old home week" because it brought back to mind many of gy days at Froject Blue Book. It ras almost a nostalgic feeling to see some of the cases that I nad seen back in the fifties, for instence, but reviewing these microfilms it brought home and to the fore very forcibly what a ooor project Projact 3lue book really was, and how devotion to tine policy of "it can't be, thererore it isn't" was upheld.

I have extracted some of the cases which illustrate this particularly well - a case, for instance, in which an object was seen to rise up to meet an oncorning aeroplene, blacked out its lizhts, swerved, and then went to the west. The Air Force evaluated it as a star. If you should ask, and you might well ask, "Fhy didn't you do something about that when you were there as astronorical consultant to the Froject Blue Book?", the arswer is ouita clear and simple. I didn't get . passed on all the cases by any weans and when I did remonstrate with the blue Book officers, it was generally to no avail. Furthemrore, many of the evaluetions were ra-evaluations. I renemoer that Sgi. ioody and it. Warley years leter went back over old evaluations, changing them Erequently to suit their fancy at the time. They were not dishonest - that is not the point - they honestly thought they were doing a good job, but they were so embued with the reignirs theoren that "it can't be, therefore it isn't" and the Eentegcn policy that UFO reports must be debunked, and that is a natural explanation qust be found, since obviously thers had to oe a natural explanation for everything, and so these good zentlemen proceaied to find a netural explanatior for everjo one.

It always struck me as rather amusing that many of the Air force avaluations were, for insjance, possible bellocns, probable qeapolane, possiole meteor, but never possicle CFO. Ooviously there could never be stich a tining as a "possible UNO".

When I remonstrated with the Blue Book ofticers once tilat it was not good statistics to drod the qualifying words "possiole" she "probable" before such evaluations as "possiole balloon", I was told that "well, there is ro such thing as a possible balloon, it's either a balloon or it isn't, so if there is a possible balloon is had to be a balloon", and that ie, of course, the way it went in the records because there was no such physical thing as a possible dalloon and one can hardly argue with that. I am sure even as a child I never olew up a possible balloor.

I wish I had a programme of your conference, since I am very curious as to what sort of papers mill be presented íplease make sure Ted Bloecher brings an extra cooy back with him to the States). I believe thet lyed yloecher has brought with him for the BJFORA liorary a copy of Lave Vieob's 1973 Year or the Eumanoics, and a report on the Lumberton case and also the Catalofue of Two Eunared Enisical Landing Cases. It is a fira zolicy oî the lenter to puolish winewever possible fairly lengthy and well considered reporis. It is uníortunate that more fiunde are not available but I do believe that with the coming of the International $\bar{j}$ Reporter, we should be able to puolish a great deal more and one of the thints that is hizh on our oriority Iist for puolication will be Isabel Davis's and Sud Ledwith's tione treetise on the Kelly-Hoprins تilll case. Also in preparation is a fairly detailed investigation of the fifior loin helicopter case, and actually now available in serox form only is the UFCCAT Lode 3ook. UFOCAT nom has some fiifty chousand individual cases entered and we owe quite a debt to owfriends
in Great Britain who have allowed their data to be incorporated into UFOUAT． Since this is an informal talk to you in a sense，although I am not officially on the prosramme and since my mind these dajs is very much cocupied rith this Blue Book review：I am doing，well it just so happers that this very afternoon I came across a letier iron Lt．Col．Eector auntinella to me，and ay answer io him．

This mas in later ly68 when my relations rith slue book mere becoming outte strained since it was $\tilde{E}$ ettins ciear that I was not at all pleased with the iurn，garticularly the more recent ium，that the silue Book，had taken，and the Iormal letter that I receited from Quintinella reads as follows：

1）I have just read the Glectronic Mews article of September 30 th 1968 with regards to the liational Electronics Conference Panel on UFOs．The article states that you and three other ganitemen will appraise the current status of UFO and review the latest inincings including those of the Condon Committee．I wish to inform you that wner no circumstances will you review the findings of the Conion Conmittee as an official Air Force consultant．The review and findings of the condon Committee will be undertaken by the National Academy of Sciences，therefore the Air Force is not going to involve itself with Dr．Condon＇s report wnil tha National Acadeny releases the document to the Secretery of the dir Force and the general oublic．

2）As jour project monitor I would arpreciate if you would reírain from identifying yourself as anf fir Force consultant when perticipatin天 in pseudo－scientific panels of this type．
jiy reply was as zollows：
1）Biaterial conteined in tree aoove reference suctoot res nou ozeicielly sanctioned by me，in any event since the recent two nonth extension of the condon contract，there will be no Condon mevori to discuss．The matter therefore is acaderic ard be you assured the＇t since I have hed no official Air Force connection with the Condon Commitiee it is preoosterous for any one to think that I would essay to coment or criticise or in eny other way discuss the Condon rejort，other thar in my capacity as a private citizen of the U．S．A．

2）Your reference to the pseudo－scientific panel in my opinion is illogical． A panel could only be called pseudo－scientific if its members were pseudo－ scientists．
The locale of the sponsorial organisation nas nothing whatsoever to do with whether a penel is pseudo－soientific or not，this clearly deoends on the constituency of the yanel．In this instarce you mention the panel members are all scientists，thet is，either Pn．D．s or their experienced ecuivalent and are associated respectively with the Universities of Chicaco，Colorado and Iforth Viestern．I suggest that you retragt vour allusion to a peudo－ scientiĩic panel．

Signed J．Ailen Fiynek．
I thought you might be a litile amused at this drop－out，you misht say，from the files of Blue Book．Tomards the very end of Blue Book，I was hardly sreaking to Col．Guintinella by then．

Yes，indeed，the chance to review the entire Blue Bock files is really cuite an exverience for me and it certainly brings back those days from lete l94cs to玉erly 1969．Since I an in a reminiscing mood，I wish now I nad paid more三viention to the actual politics of Blue Book while I was there，but my memory
serves me very well nonetheless and I remember many instances both amusing and sometimes even semi-tragic that occurred during that long period. I still remember quite vividly the day that three men Irom the iright Patterson sir Force base came to visit me at the observatory. No, they were not dressed in black! They questioned me about, as they putit, the "Plying saucer craze" and finally enced up by asking whether I would care to consult with the sir Force as en astronomer on this whole subject. I thought it would be fun and I certainly entared the whole thing as a conglete sceotic. I remember the early days of Project Sign and my tortured efforts to provide z normel, cormonsense, rational explantion to each and every one of the reporis that was in at that time, and I certainly strejohed my imasination to co some of them, but of course I was sure there could rot be such things as "Flying saucers" and obviously they had to have a natural explanation. Then when Froject Sisn took over iy services were temporarily terminated and it was not until the great flag of 1952 when UFOs appeared ever llashington D.C. that I was asked to some back in service, so to speak, although I remained a civilian.

I remember the early days with Captain Ed Rupvelt, gho vas certainly a very puzzled chap end one who vas trying very hard to do a eood job and tried to be scientifically honest and yet also tried to please the Pentagon. Since he knew very mell winch side his bread was buttered on and alsc knowing now one goes on about getting promotion in the Air Force, he did his vsry best to play ball and make everytining out as a bizd, oslloon, aircrafi, meteor or hoax; next ceme Capt. Eardern who was a superb master of not rocking the boat and he was fellowed by Capt. Gragory who vas by far the most conscientious and zealous debunker the Blue Eook ever had. He was followed by Col. Friend who brought very real balance to Blue 3ook and dia, I believe, the best job of any of ther. fe was followed by 三iest Zajor, thon later, Col. Quintinella, who recognised that he had a joo to an zud rent ahead and did that joo. Fifle, enyway, the release of the 3lue Book ËLes nas certainly given me a chance to revien those old days, deys trat ceriainly chenged ny life. I often wonder what my life would nave bear like if those trree men had not visited me. I really worder how I would regand flyine sョucere and uFOs today as an astronomer.
wouli I reve not interested anyway or would I, like most of yu astronomical colleajxes, have considered the subject beneath the dignity of my attention? It is cenceinly herd to say because as all of you know, one doesn't get to know auch ejcut the JFO subject unless one reads a lot and rolls us one's slesves and actually looks into some cases. But this or course requires that you are first interested in the subject defore you go ahead and do tre reajine and of course a person really does not become interestad in the subject unless he does the reading, so you have a real vicious circle. This is a litile bit like a $0 \equiv r$ son trying to $\overline{\text { İind }}$ his misplaced reading glasses but finding that he needs his glasses in order to find his glasses. There is indeed a noral there somerhere.

In anj event I have rembled for too long and if any of you are still reading we once asain fish your conference the best of successes and I arl most anxious to learn of its results and I do hope thet I will at least see some of you in December. Thank you.


[^0]:    * Pending receipt ô̂ additional material, $9 / 15 / 76$.

